Exotic Hadrons @BESIII

Francesca De Mori Università di Torino e INFN Torino On behalf of BESIII collaboration

100

.....

.

Mirò J.



- Introduction to BEPCII & BESIII
- XYZ studies : selected results
- Summary













BEPCII and BESIII





BESIII: the collaboration

Europe (16)

US (5)

Univ. of Hawaii Carnegie Mellon Univ. Univ. of Minnesota Univ. of Rochester Univ. of Indiana

> 400 members 67 institutions 14 nations

Germany: Univ. of Bochum, Univ. of Giessen, GSI Univ. of Johannes Gutenberg Helmholtz Ins. In Mainz, Univ. of Munster Russia: JINR Dubna; BINP Novosibirsk Italy: Univ. di Torino, Univ. di Ferrara, LNF Frascati INFN-Torino, INFN-Fe Netherland : KVI/Univ. of Groningen Sweden: Uppsala Univ. UK: University of Manchaster and Oxford Turkey: Turkey Accelerator Center

Pakistan (3)

Univ. of Punjab COMSAT CIIT University of Lahore **India (1)** Indian Institute of Technology, Madras

Mongolia (1)

Korea (1)

Seoul Nat. Univ.

Technology

Institute of Physics and

China(39)

IHEP, CCAST, GUCAS, Shandong Univ., Univ. of Sci. and Tech. of China Zhejiang Univ., Huangshan Coll. Huazhong Normal Univ., Wuhan Univ. Zhengzhou Univ., Henan Normal Univ. Peking Univ., Tsinghua Univ., Zhongshan Univ., Nankai Univ. Shanxi Univ., Sichuan Univ., Univ. of South China Hunan Univ., Liaoning Univ. Nanjing Univ., Nanjing Normal Univ. Guangxi Normal Univ., Guangxi Univ. Suzhou Univ., Hangzhou Normal Univ. Lanzhou Univ., Henan Sci. and Tech. Univ. Beihang Univ., Beijing Petrol Chemical Univ.

La Thuile 2019, March 10-16 2019

\$194 1999

BESIII: Data Samples BESI

PDG2017



BESIII: Data Samples for XYZ studies BESII



Increase beam energy: 4.60 GeV \rightarrow 4.70 GeV

Explore a new energy region

- To start in 2018/2019 after the summer shutdown
- Increse to 4.90 GeV under study(upgrade two ISPB magnets)

BEPCILuparac

- Top Up injection:
 - Data taking increase by 20-30%
 - Testing commissioning in Nov. 2018
 - To start after the summer shutdown











Glueball



Exolics @BESIII



Exotics spectroscopy





Many unexpected states reported above the $D\overline{D}$ threshold (the so-called XYZ).

For predict. Barnes *et al.*, PRD 72, 054026 (2005) (not all XYZ candidates shown!)

La Thuile 2019, March 10-16 2019

11

Exotics spectroscopy



S.L.Olsen,arXiv:1812.10947

La Thuile 2019, March 10-16 2019

X, Y and Z and the cross-section as CME function.

- Tetraquarks
 - Bound states of (coloured diquark anti-diquark), Large number of states (charged and neutral) foreseen (a nonet for each spin-parity) ,
 Small widths above threshold

Nature of XYZ states

- Hadronic Molecules
 - Loosely bound states of pair of mesons, Small number of states,
 Small widths above threshold
- Hybrids
 - Bound States with a pair of quarks and excited gluonic degrees of freedom
 - Lattice and model predictions for the lowest-mass hybrid ~ 4.2 GeV/c²
- Glueball

Bound states of gluons

HadroCharmonium



Unprecedented possibility to test the our knowledge of the QCD

Compact charmonium enbadded in light quark mesonic excitation interacting by analog of Van der Waals force

Others: Threshold, cusp, or coupled-channel effect produce a cross section enhancement
 <u>EXPERIMENTAL CONTRIBUTION</u>

1)Establish the spectrum: search for more XYZ states, determine their properties and investigate new decays for known ones
 2) Build connections: look for transitions between different states







- X(3872) →
$$\omega$$
J/ ψ
- X(3872) → $\pi^0 \chi_{c1}$ (1P)



The X(3872) was discovered by Belle in 2003 in $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$

 $M = 3871.69 \pm 0.17 \text{ MeV/c}^2$

Very close to the $D^0 \overline{D}^{*0}$ thr, Very narrow(< 1.2 MeV/c²)

Confirmed by BABAR, CDF, D0, LHCb.

 $-J^{PC} = 1^{++},$

-no isospin partners currently known –

-decays into $D^0\overline{D}^0\pi^0$ (dominant), $D^{*0}\overline{D}^0$, $J/\psi \pi +\pi^-$, $J/\psi \pi +\pi^-\pi^0$, $\gamma J/\psi$, $\gamma \psi(2S)$. Also isospin-violating $\rightarrow \rho J/\psi$ and $\omega J/\psi$.



The X(3872) was discovered by Belle in 2003 in $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$

 $M = 3871.69 \pm 0.17 \text{ MeV/c}^2$

Very close to the $D^0 \overline{D}^{*0}$ threshold, Very narrow (< 1.2 MeV/c²) Confirmed by BABAR, CDF, D0, LHCb.

-*J^{PC}* = 1⁺⁺,

-no isospin partners currently known –

-decays into J/ $\psi \pi^+\pi^-$, $D^{*0}\overline{D}^0$, J/ $\psi \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$, $D^0D^0\pi^0$, $\gamma J/\psi$, $\gamma \psi(2S)$. Also isospinviolating $\rightarrow \rho J/\psi$ and $\omega J/\psi$.

Unconventional meson candidate :

molecular states, tetraquark states, mixture of excited χ_{c1} and D^0D^{*0} bound state. ...

Much is now known about the X(3872), except exactly what it is



9.0 fb⁻¹ for $4.15 < E_{CM} < 4.30 \text{ GeV}$, 0.7 fb⁻¹ for $4.00 < E_{CM} < 4.15 \text{ GeV}$, 2.8 fb⁻¹ for $4.30 < E_{CM} < 4.60 \text{ GeV}$,



17

opportunity to search for new decay modes of the X(3872)!!!!

X(3872)

The hadronic molecule model predicts that the decay of X(3872) $\rightarrow \omega J/\psi$ is sensitive to its internal structure

 $-\gamma\omega/\psi$

Previously, Belle and BABAR reported weak evidence for this decay

 $\rightarrow \gamma X(3872)$



at least one additional Breit-Wigner

X(3872) (M=3873 \pm 1.1 \pm 1.0) signal significance >5.1 σ , including systematic errors

$Y \rightarrow \gamma X(3872) \rightarrow \gamma \omega J/\psi$



Simultaneous ($\omega J/\psi$ and $\pi \pi J/\psi$) fit to the cross section with a single Breit-Wigner resonance

 $M[Y(4200)] = 4200.6^{+7.9}_{-13.3} \pm 3.0 \text{ MeV}/c^2 \text{ agree with the } \psi(4160) \text{ or } Y(4260)$ $\Gamma[Y(4200)] = 115^{+38}_{-26} \pm 12 \text{ MeV} \text{ not compatible with hadronic molecule}$ relative decay ratio $\mathcal{R} = \frac{\mathcal{B}[X(3872) \rightarrow \omega J/\psi]}{\mathcal{B}[X(3872) \rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{-}J/\psi]} = 1.6^{+0.4}_{-0.3} \pm 0.2$ free parameter

Predicted about 60% by Swanson, Phys. Lett. B 588, 189 (2004);

Study of X(3872) $\rightarrow \pi^0 \chi_{cj}(1P)$

The $X(3872) \rightarrow \pi_0 \chi_{cJ}$ decays are sensitive to the internal structure of the X(3872).

 $\begin{array}{l} \Gamma(X(3872) \rightarrow \pi^{_0}\chi_{_{c1}}(1P)) \sim 0.06 \ \text{keV} \ \textit{(i.e. very small)} \ \textit{if} \ \chi \ (2P) \ \text{pure charmonium state} \\ \Gamma(X(3872) \rightarrow \pi^{_0}\chi_{_{c1}}(1P)) \ \text{greatly enhanced for tetraquark state.} \\ \textit{[Dubynskiy, Voloshin, PRD 77, 014013 (2008)]} \end{array}$

9.0 fb⁻¹ for $4.15 < E_{CM} < 4.30$ GeV, 0.7 fb⁻¹ for $4.00 < E_{CM} < 4.15$ GeV, 2.8 fb⁻¹ for $4.30 < E_{CM} < 4.60$ GeV,

In the range of 4.15<Ecm<4.30 GeV A clear X(3872) signal is seen choosing the range of the three χ_{cj} None in the other ranges.



Observation of X(3872) $\rightarrow \pi^0 \chi_{c1}(1P)$



Using BR(X(3872) $\rightarrow \pi + \pi - J/\psi$) > 3.2% [PDG] and BR(X(3872) $\rightarrow \pi + \pi - J/\psi$) < 6.4%, (obtained by assuming all measured X(3872) decays add to less than 100%) we find:

 $BR(X(3872) \rightarrow \pi^{0}\chi_{c1}) \sim 3-6\%.$

If the X(3872) IS the $\chi_{c1}(2P)$ state of charmonium, then $\Gamma(X(3872) \rightarrow \pi 0\chi c1) \sim 0.06 \text{ keV}$, [Dubynskiy, Voloshin, PRD 77, 014013 (2008)]

-> unrealistically small $\Gamma_{TOT}(X(3872)) \sim 0.5 - 1.0 \text{ keV}$. (...several orders of magnitude smaller than $\Gamma_{TOT}(J/\psi) \sim 100 \text{ keV}$)

Large R_1 disfavours the χ (2P) interpretation of the X(3872).

the hadrocharmonium interpretation is somehow in trouble as well



 $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma_{\scriptscriptstyle ISR}\pi^+\pi^-\psi(3686)$

Evidences of the Y(4660), ISR technique



PHYSICAL REVIEW D 91, 112007 (2015)



PDG: M=(4643 ± 9) MeV Γ=(72 ±11) MeV La Thuile 2019, March 10-16 2019

..but not observed in $\pi^+\pi^-J/\psi$





Y(4660) not observed in $e^+ e^- \rightarrow DD$, DD^*, D^*D^* (courtesy Steve Olsen)

 $\gamma(4660)$ in $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma_{ISR}\Lambda_c\Lambda_c$



Ling-Yun Dai, Johann Haidenbauer, Ulf-G. Meißner <u>fit to Belle</u> Resonance Y (4660) [called X (4660)]

+ <u>FSI @thr</u>:

PRD 96, 116001 (2017)

 $M = (4652.5 \pm 3.4) \text{ MeV}$ $\Gamma = (62.6 \pm 5.6) \text{ MeV}$

 $\sigma_{\text{peak}} \sim 0.55 \text{ nb}$ [comparable to $\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow pp_{\text{bar}}) \sim 0.8 \text{ nb}$ @ threshold]

o in $e^+e^- \rightarrow \psi$ (3686) $\pi \pi$, $\sigma_{peak} \sim 0.04 \pm 0.025$ nb

to be compared to $\sigma_{\text{peak}} (e^+e^- \rightarrow \Lambda_C \overline{\Lambda_C}) \sim 0.55$ nb

 \circ Y(4660) baryonic coupling ≥ 10 mesonic coupling →Unexpected !



 Y(4660) mass, close to the threshold, favours its interpretation as a charmed baryonium.

oY(4660)-> $\Lambda_c \overline{\Lambda_c}$ width, actually (expected large, according to the Rossi Veneziano model Nucl.Phys. B123,507(1977)) constrained by the threshold close by.



Using 10 decay process to reconstruct $\Lambda_c, \sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \Lambda_c \overline{\Lambda_c})$ is measured @ 4 energy points, good detection efficiency @thr (weak decay)



At thr $\sigma(\mathbf{e}^+ e^- \to \Lambda_C \overline{\Lambda_C})$ shows a step, it is close to the pointlike value, once the Coulomb enhancement factor is taken into account: $\sigma(\mathbf{e}^+ e^- \to \Lambda_C \overline{\Lambda_C})_{\text{pointl}} \approx \pi^2 \alpha^3 / (2M_B^2) \approx 145 \text{ pb}$ La Thuile 2019, March 10-16 2019 28



e+e- -> ppbar Born cross section





from E. Solodov

Same behaviour @threshold !!



 Concerning BESIII measurements in PRD 96, 116001 (2017) :
 "While they agree with the Belle data, as for as cross sections magnitude, they indicate a different trend in energy. It is impossible to fit both data. Hopefully BESIII will extend their measurements at higher energies and thereby clarify the situation"

Waiting for more data of BESIII @threshold and above 4.6 GeV (after upgrade)!!

It will be important if Y(4660) can be confirmed, for the baryonium hypothesis!



he so-called "Y problem"



Summarizing



33









 $-J^{p} \text{ for } Z_{C}(3990)$ $-Z_{C} \rightarrow \rho \eta_{C}$

Must contain at least a $c\bar{c}$ and a light $q\bar{q}$ pair:exotic!



Z_(3900)/Z_(4020)

PRL112, 022001 (2014) PRL115, 222002 (2015) PRL112, 132001 (2014)

PRL111, 242001 (2013)

PRL113, 212002 (2014)

- PRL115, 182002(2015)
- Observed in various channels
- strong coupling with $D\overline{D^*}$. $D^*\overline{D^*}$
- 2 Isospin triplets estabilished by BESIII

La Thuile 2019, March 10-16 2019

37



Phys. Rev. Lett. 119, 072001



- and with $\sigma,$ f_0(980), f_2(1270), f_0(1370), Z_c
 - Z_c pole mass and with:

 $M_{\text{pole}} = 3887.0 \pm 0.8 \pm 10.0 \text{ MeV}, \ \Gamma_{\text{pole}} = 45.2 \pm 4.8 \pm 16.8 \text{ MeV}_{38}$ La Thuile 2019, March 10-16 2019

Jr determination for Zc(3900)

 $m_{J/\psi\pi^{\pm}} \in (3.86, 3.92) \text{ GeV}/c^2$



Theoretical expectations exist for the ratio of BF of $Z_c^{(\prime)} \rightarrow \rho \eta_c \in Z_c^{(\prime)} \rightarrow \pi J/\psi(\pi h_c)$ They can help in understanding their nature, in particular btw tetra-quark(Type-1) e molecole

Evidence of $Z_c \rightarrow \rho \eta_c$

$$R_{z'} = \frac{Br(Z'_c \to \rho\eta_c)}{Br(Z'_c \to \pi h_c)} \qquad \qquad R_z = \frac{Br(Z_c \to \rho\eta_c)}{Br(Z_c \to \pi J/\psi)}$$



Evidence of $Z_c \rightarrow \rho \eta_c$





Evidence of $Z_c \rightarrow \rho \eta_c$

A. Esposito Phys. Lett. B 746, 194 (2015)

Our measurement doesn't agree with both molecular Zc and tetraquark Zc Type-1 assumptions

Summary

- BESIII is taking data since 2009 and already has world's largest data samples of various Y and charmonia
- It will take data until 2022, at least
- Important upgrades of BEPCII and BESIII detector forseen in the next future
- Many interesting results in the XYZ studies
- Many others are on the way by BESIII
- Still remain unanswered questions:
- strong interplay between theory and experiment needed.
- Expected more data in the future. (XYZ data taking on-going, (~3.9fb-1 XYZ data in 128 days)



-Y(4260) and Y(4360) -Y(4660)





Y(4260) observed first by BaBar (2005) In $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma_{ISR} \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$. CONFIRMED BY Belle e CLEO. no sign of Y(4260) $\rightarrow D^{(*)} \overline{D}^{(*)}$

WEIGHTED MEAN: $M = 4259\pm9$ MeV, $\Gamma = 120\pm12$ MeV



Significance of the second BW \rightarrow 7.9 σ First resonance agrees with the Y(4260). First observation of Y(4360) in this channel

Y (4008) not necessary to explain the data.



PRL 118, 092002 (2017)

79 c.m. energy points from 3.896 to 4.600 GeV



Fit with coherent sum $M_1 = 4218 \pm 4 \text{ MeV}$ $\Gamma_1 = 66 \pm 9 \text{ MeV}$ Y(4220)of two resonances $M_2 = 4392 \pm 6 \text{ MeV}$ $\Gamma_2 = 140 \pm 16 \text{ MeV}$ Y(4390)

Y(4220) consistent with the one in $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$



16 energies btw 4.008 e 4600 GeV

PRD96, 032004 (2017)



$$\begin{split} \mathsf{M}_1 &= 4209.5 \pm 7.4 \pm 1.4 \; \text{MeV} \, / \mathsf{c}^2 \text{;} \Gamma_1 &= 80.1 \pm 24.6 \pm 2.9 \; \text{MeV} \\ \mathsf{M}_2 &= 4383.8 \pm 4.2 \pm 0.8 \; \text{MeV} / \mathsf{c}^2 \; \text{;} \Gamma_2 &= 84.2 \pm 12.5 \pm 2.1 \; \text{MeV} \end{split}$$

Y (4360) compatible with BaBar e Belle with better precision.



Fit with a three body phase space term and two relativistic BW functions

$$\sigma_{\rm dress}(m) = |c \cdot \sqrt{P(m)} + e^{i\phi_1} B_1(m) \sqrt{\frac{P(m)}{P(M_1)}} + e^{i\phi_2} B_2(m) \sqrt{\frac{P(m)}{P(M_2)}}|^2$$

 $M(Y(4220)) = (4228.6 \pm 4.1 \pm 5.9) \text{ MeV/c}^2,$ $\Gamma(Y(4220)) = (77.1 \pm 6.8 \pm 6.9) \text{ MeV}.$ With stat signif. more than 5.0 σ .

Models including one additional known resonance, either Y (4260), Y (4320), Y (4360), or ψ (4415) (mass &widths @world average values)

Summarizing

Process	$M_1 \; ({ m MeV}/c^2)$	$\Gamma_1 ({\rm MeV})$	$M_2 \; ({ m MeV}/c^2)$	$\Gamma_2 ({ m MeV})$
$e^+e^- ightarrow \omega \chi_{c0}$	$4230 \pm 8 \pm 6$	$38 \pm 12 \pm 2$ [37]		
$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi$	$4220.0 \pm 3.1 \pm 1.4$	$44.1 \pm 4.3 \pm 2.0$	$4320.0 \pm 10.4 \pm 7.0$	$101.4^{+25.3}_{-19.7} \pm 10.2[9]$
$e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-h_c$	$4218.4^{+5.5}_{-4.5} \pm 0.9$	$66.0^{+12.3}_{-8.3} \pm 0.4$	$4391.5^{+6.3}_{-6.8} \pm 1.0$	$139.5^{+16.2}_{-20.6} \pm 0.6$ [10]
$e^+e^- \to \pi^+ D^0 D^{*-} + c.c$	$4224.8 \pm 5.6 \pm 4.0$	$72.3 \pm 9.1 \pm 0.9$	$4400.1 \pm 9.3 \pm 2.1$	$181.7 \pm 16.9 \pm 7.4$ [38]
$e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\psi(3686)$	$4209.5 \pm 7.4 \pm 1.4$	$80.1 \pm 24.6 \pm 2.9$	$4383.8 \pm 4.2 \pm 0.8$	$84.2 \pm 12.5 \pm 2.1$

Be

1. A.