Mixing and CP violation in beauty and charm at the LHC

NATHAN JURIK, UNIVERSITY OF OXFORD,

ON BEHALF OF THE LHCB COLLABORATION,

WITH MATERIAL FROM ATLAS, CMS, AND LHCB

LES RENCONTRES DE PHYSIQUE DE LA VALLÉE D'AOSTE, MARCH 13, 2019

Why study CP violation?

- The Standard Model (SM) does an excellent job of describing existing data, but it is clearly an incomplete theory.
- Example: SM CP violation is not capable of generating the observed matterantimatter asymmetry of the universe.
- Most extensions of SM include new sources of CP violation.
- Likely that the CKM picture of flavour physics is modified, and hopefully at accessible energy scales.
- "Indirect" searches have often provided first glimpse of new particles, e.g. the discovery of the top quark.

Measurements at the LHC

- Each second LHC produces $\mathcal{O}(100k) b$ and $\mathcal{O}(1M) c$ -hardrons.
- ATLAS, CMS, and LHCb take advantage for studying CP violation and mixing.
- LHCb is designed for precision measurements of *b* and *c*-hadrons.
- Unsurprisingly the source of most new results.
- $\circ~$ And there is a lot that is new!
- > Happy to be able to show some results for the first time.
- Try to give an overview of the themes studied in context of new results, but not a complete list!



Beauty

- From unitarity condition $V_{ud}V_{ub}^* + V_{cd}V_{cb}^* + V_{td}V_{tb}^* = 0$
- Goal: precisely measure these triangles to test consistency of CKM description of CPV



Measurements of γ

 A key part of the CP violation programme at LHCb is measurement of unitarity angle $γ ≡ arg[-V_{ud}V_{ub}^*/V_{cd}V_{cb}^*]$

- Accessed through exploiting the interference betweer $b \rightarrow cW$ and $b \rightarrow uW$ transitions.
- Combine the knowledge from several *B* decays and subsequent *D* decays.
- ≻ Latest LHCb average $\gamma = (74.0^{+5.0}_{-5.8})^{\circ}$

• As of summer 2018, the world average is $\gamma = (72.1^{+5.4}_{-5.7})^{\circ}$

→ Indirect value is $\gamma = (65.64^{+0.97}_{-3.42})^{\circ}$



CP violation in interference of mixing and decay

- \circ Excellent probe for physics beyond the SM.
- An important example in the B_s^0 system is that of $\phi_s \approx -2\beta_s \equiv \arg[-V_{ts}V_{tb}^*/V_{cs}V_{cb}^*]$
- Inferred with high precision from other measurements.
- Can be enhanced by new physics contributions.
- Golden mode for measuring ϕ_s is $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi K^+K^-$
- Measurements performed by ATLAS, CMS, and LHCb using Run 1 data
- Also measured by LHCb in $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$, and similar observables in other B_s^0 decay modes.



LHCb: PRL 114, 041801 (2015)

CMS: PLB 757 (2016) 97

ATLAS: JHEP 08 (2016) 147

[In preparation]

New!

"Measurement of the CP-violating phase ϕ_s from $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$ decays in 13 TeV pp collisions"

- An update has been performed using 1.9fb⁻¹
 of LHCb data taken in 2015-2016
- Tagged, time-dependent angular analysis
 needed, making for a complicated analysis:
- Acceptance modelling in 4 dimensions of the decay phase-space.
- Decay-time acceptance and resolution.
- \succ Tagging the flavour of the B_s at production.
- > Description of the $\pi^+\pi^-$ resonance spectrum.



[In preparation]

Detector response and flavour tagging

- Four dimensional efficiency determined from simulation.
- Data-driven determination of decaytime acceptance and resolution (average resolution of 41.5fs)
- Flavour tagging uses information from decays of other bhadron produced in the event (OS) and fragments of jet that produced B_s that contain charged K



Category	$\varepsilon_{ m tag}$ (%)	$arepsilon_{ m tag} D^2(\%)$
OS only	11.0 ± 0.6	0.86 ± 0.05
SSK only	42.6 ± 0.6	1.54 ± 0.33
OS and SSK	24.9 ± 0.6	2.66 ± 0.19
Total	78.5 ± 0.7	5.06 ± 0.38

Resonance model

Several models considered, with second best used to assign associated systematic uncertainties.

Component	Fit fractions (%)	Transve	rsity fract	ions (%)	
		0		\perp	$ = LHCb \qquad \bullet Data \qquad \cdots \qquad f_0(980) $
	Soluti	on I			$-Fit - f_0^{(1500)}$
$f_0(980)$	60.09 ± 1.48	100	_	_	$f_0(1790)$
$f_0(1500)$	8.88 ± 0.87	100	_	_	$-f_2(1270)$
$f_0(1790)$	1.72 ± 0.29	100	_	_	\sim $-\frac{f_2(1525)}{1}$
$f_2(1270)$	3.24 ± 0.48	13 ± 3	37 ± 9	50 ± 10	\overline{P}_{10^2}
$f_{2}'(1525)$	1.23 ± 0.86	40 ± 13	31 ± 14	29 ± 25	
NR	2.64 ± 0.73	100	_	_	
	Solutio	on II			
$f_0(980)$	93.05 ± 1.12	100	_	_	
$f_0(1500)$	6.47 ± 0.41	100	_	—	
$f_0(1710)$	0.74 ± 0.11	100	_	_	
$f_2(1270)$	3.22 ± 0.44	17 ± 4	30 ± 8	53 ± 10	
$f_{2}'(1525)$	1.44 ± 0.36	35 ± 8	31 ± 12	34 ± 17	1 0.5 1 1.5 2
NR	8.13 ± 0.79	100	-	-	$m_{\pi\pi}$ [Ge

CP-violating parameter results

	Fit result	Correlation		
Parameter		$\Gamma_{\rm H} - \Gamma_{B^0}$	$ \lambda $	ϕ_s
$\Gamma_{\rm H} - \Gamma_{B^0} ({\rm ps}^{-1})$	$-0.050 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.004$	1.000	0.022	0.038
$ \lambda $	$1.01^{+0.08}_{-0.06}\pm 0.03$	0.022	1.000	0.065
$\phi_s \ (\mathrm{rad})$	$-0.057\pm0.060\pm0.011$	0.038	0.065	1.000

• A combination with the Run 1 measurement gives:

$$\Gamma_H - \Gamma_{B^0} = -0.050 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.004 \text{ ps}^{-1},$$

 $|\lambda| = 0.949 \pm 0.036 \pm 0.019, \text{ and}$
 $\phi_s = 0.002 \pm 0.044 \pm 0.012 \text{ rad}$

Consistent with inferred value of $-36.5^{+1.3}_{-1.2}$ mrad. (Phys. Rev. D91 (2015) 073007)

arXiv:1812.07041

"Measurement of the branching fraction and CP asymmetry in $B^+ \to J/\psi\,\rho^+$ decays"

- Analysis of 3fb-1 of data collected by LHCb in 2011/2012
- Gives estimate of imaginary part of penguinto-tree amplitude ratio in $b \rightarrow cc\bar{d}$ transitions





- Asymmetry measured to be $\mathcal{A}^{CP} = -0.045^{+0.056}_{-0.057} \pm 0.008$
- Following isospin symmetry expecations, consistent with $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \rho^0$

Charmless *B* decays

- Suppressed at tree-level, significant higher order contributions.
- Known to exhibit large asymmetries.
- Several new results in multibody decays, some of which were covered in Cayo Costa Sobral's talk yesterday evening [link]
- > Amplitude analysis of $B^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} K^{-} K^{+}$ decays (In preparation)
- > Amplitude analysis of $B_s^0 \to K_s^0 K^{\pm} \pi^{\pm}$ decays [arXiv:1902:07955]
- $\circ~$ And even more to show now!

"Study of the
$$B^0 \rightarrow \rho(770)^0 K^*(892)^0$$
 decay with an amplitude analysis of $B^0 \rightarrow (\pi^+\pi^-)(K^+\pi^-)$ decays"

- Analysed 3 fb⁻¹ collected in 2011/2012 in the range of $300 < m(\pi^+\pi^-) < 1100$ and $750 < m(K^+\pi^-) < 1200$ MeV/c²
- Tree level $b \rightarrow u \overline{u} s$ is doubly Cabibbo_{B⁰} suppressed
- Higher order diagrams contribute.
- $D = \begin{bmatrix} \overline{u} & \overline{u} & \rho^0 & W^+ & \overline{s} \\ \overline{u} & \overline{v} & \rho^0 & \overline{b} & \overline{u}, \overline{c}, \overline{t} & \overline{s} \\ W^+ & u & B^0 & \overline{b} & \overline{u}, \overline{c}, \overline{t} \\ d & W^+ & \overline{s} \\ d & W^+ & W^+ & W^+ \\ d & W^+ & d \\ d & W^+ & W^+ \\ d & W^+ \\ d & W^+ & W^+ \\ d & W^+ & W^+ \\ d$
- Small longitudinal polarisation fraction and significant direct CP asymmetry found $\tilde{f}^{0}_{\rho K^*} = 0.164 \pm 0.015 \pm 0.022$ $A^{0}_{\rho K^*} = -0.62 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.09$
- $\circ~$ Hints at significant contribution from penguin amplitudes.

[arXiv:1812.07008]

Study of the $B^0 \rightarrow \rho(770)^0 K^*(892)^0$ decay with an amplitude analysis of $B^0 \rightarrow (\pi^+\pi^-)(K^+\pi^-)$ decays

- CP violation in VV component clearly visible in projections.
- First significant observation of CP asymmetry in angular distributions of $B^0 \rightarrow VV$ decays.





[In preparation]

"Measurement of CP asymmetries in charmless four-body Λ_b^0 and Ξ_b^0 decays"

CP violation not yet been observed in baryon decays

LHCb has seen evidence: <u>arXiv:1609.05216</u>

- Measurement of asymmetries in six modes made using 3fb⁻¹ of data collected in 2011/2012.
- Construct difference between CP asymmetries in charmless decays and decays with an intermediate charmed baryon with the same particles in the final state.
- Cancel out production and detection chargeasymmetry effects

Charmless mode	Control channel
$\Lambda_b^0 \to p \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-$	$\Lambda_b^0 \to (\Lambda_c^+ \to p \pi^- \pi^+) \pi^-$
$\Lambda_b^0 ightarrow p K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$	$\Lambda_b^0 ightarrow (\Lambda_c^+ ightarrow p K^- \pi^+) \pi^-$
$\Lambda^0_b \to p K^- K^+ \pi^-$	$\Lambda_b^0 \to (\Lambda_c^+ \to p \pi^- \pi^+) \pi^-$
$\Lambda^0_b \to p K^- K^+ K^-$	$\Lambda_b^0 \to (\Lambda_c^+ \to p K^- \pi^+) \pi^-$
$\varXi_b^0 \to p K^- \pi^+ \pi^-$	$\Xi_b^0 ightarrow (\Xi_c^+ ightarrow p K^- \pi^+) \pi^-$
$\varXi^0_b \to p K^- \pi^+ K^-$	$\Xi_b^0 ightarrow (\Xi_c^+ ightarrow p K^- \pi^+) \pi^-$

Results

- Asymmetries measured in full phase space as well as exploring specific regions of kinematics.
- > In total 18 asymmetries measured.



• No significant asymmetries found, the search for CP violation in baryons continues.

Mixing and CP violation in charm

- Interesting and unique opportunities:
- > SM signal is very small due to CKM and GIM suppression.
- > Only possibility for studying these phenomenon in up-type quark.
- Complementary to the strange and beauty systems.
- Challenges:
- > Small signals require millions of candidates and good control of systematic uncertainties.
- > Tricky theoretical uncertainties due to long-distance effects.

Note: Mass and width differences denoted by $x = \frac{m_2 - m_1}{\Gamma}$ and $y = \frac{\Gamma_2 - \Gamma_1}{2\Gamma}$, with $\Gamma = \frac{\Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2}{2}$

Searches for direct CP violation

 $\,\circ\,$ We are of course actively pursuing searches for direct CP violation in charm

○ Two such recent searches were covered by Tommaso Pajero yesterday: [link] > Search for CP violation in $D_s^+ \to K_S^0 \pi^+$, $D^+ \to K_S^0 K^+$, and $D^+ \to \phi \pi^+$ [arXiv:1903.01150]

Search for CP violation through an amplitude analysis of $D^0 \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^$ decays [arXiv:1811.08304]

O And another will be shown by Julián García Pardiñas this afternoon [[link]
 ➢ Measurement of angular and CP asymmetries in D⁰ → π⁺π⁻μ⁺μ⁻
 [arXiv:1806.10793]

"Current" picture in time-dependent charm analyses

 \circ A few analyses out of date, but conclusion from 2018 HFLAV fit still true.

 \circ Non-mixing hypothesis rejected at overwhelming significance



"Measurement of the charm-mixing parameter y_{CP} "

- Analysis compares decay width of D^0 to CP-even final states (Γ_{CP}) to the decay width to CP-mixed states (Γ): $\Delta_{\Gamma} \equiv \Gamma_{CP} - \Gamma$
- Decays to CP eigenstates $D^0 \to K^+K^-$ and $D^0 \to \pi^+\pi^$ are reconstructed along with CP mixed $D^0 \to K^-\pi^+$.
- 3fb⁻¹ of Run 1 data, using *D*⁰mesons coming from ⁻ semimuonic *B*⁻ and *B*⁰ decays

5	Decay	Signal yield $[10^3]$
	$D^0 \to K^+ K^-$	878.2 ± 1.2
	$D^0 ightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$	311.6 ± 0.9
	$D^0 \to K^- \pi^+$	4579.5 ± 3.2



"Measurement of the charm-mixing parameter y_{CP} "



- Also consistent with world average value of $y = (0.62 \pm 0.07)\%$.
- > No evidence of CP violation in mixing.

"Measurement of the mass difference between neutral charm eigenstates"

- Analysis of $D^0 \rightarrow K_S \pi^+ \pi^-$ decays in 1.3M prompt and 1M semileptonic decays, corresponding to 3fb⁻¹ of data taken in 2011 and 2012.
- $\,\circ\,$ Features a rich resonance spectrum.
- Pros: Good sensitivity due to varying strong phase differences.
- Cons: Requires good understanding of decay dynamics and acceptance effects.



The "bin flip" method

 Uses novel approach for minimising the above challenges: <u>arXiv:1811.01032</u>

- Data is binned according to Dalitz coordinates and external measurements of strong-phase variation used as constraints.
- > Avoids modelling dynamics of D^0 decay.
- Binned also in decay time, ratio of yields in opposite bins across the symmetry line formed in each decay-time bin.
- Cancellation of acceptance effects.
- Particularly sensitive to measuring x.



Fit to ratios



Fit results

Parameter	Value	95.5% CL interval
$x \ [10^{-2}] \ y \ [10^{-2}] \ q/p \ \phi$	$\begin{array}{r} 0.27 \substack{+ \ 0.17 \\ - \ 0.15 } \\ 0.74 \pm 0.37 \\ 1.05 \substack{+ \ 0.22 \\ - \ 0.17 } \\ - 0.09 \substack{+ \ 0.11 \\ - \ 0.16 } \end{array}$	$\begin{matrix} [-0.05, 0.60] \\ [& 0.00, 1.50] \\ [& 0.55, 2.15] \\ [-0.73, 0.29] \end{matrix}$

- Most precise measurement of x by a single experiment
- $\circ~$ Consistent with CP symmetry.



Effect on world average

 The measurement helps to significantly improve the limits on the CPV paramters.

Parameter	Fit result	Allowed interval		
		$68.3\%~{\rm CL}$	$95.5\%~\mathrm{CL}$	$99.7\%~\mathrm{CL}$
$x [10^{-2}]$	0.38 ± 0.12	[0.26 , 0.50]	[0.14, 0.61]	[0.02, 0.71]
$y \ [10^{-2}]$	$0.655 {}^{+ 0.062}_{- 0.067}$	[0.588, 0.717]	[0.52, 0.78]	[0.44, 0.84]
q/p	$0.967 {}^{+ 0.050}_{- 0.045}$	[0.922, 1.017]	[0.88, 1.07]	[0.84, 1.13]
ϕ	$-0.070^{-0.081}_{+0.079}$	$\left[-0.151, 0.009 ight]$	[-0.24, 0.09]	[-0.33, 0.19]



- Also note x is > 3σ from 0 !
- Around 30 times more data was already collected during Run 2.

"Opportunities in Flavour Physics at the HL-LHC and HE-LHC"

- Prepared for the European Strategy for Particle Physics.
- > Physics potential from future upgrades discussed.
- Projections of uncertainties for key measurements are shown.
- > Too many to go through! A beautiful and a charming example:





Conclusions

- Making precision measurements of CP violation is important for testing Standard Model description of CP violation.
- Promising area to look for new physics effects.
- \circ There is a very exciting and active program pursuing these studies at the LHC.
- \circ A lot (most) of data taken at LHC remains to be analysed.
- Higher statistics updates and brand new analyses on the way!
- And of course, we keep our eye on the future and much, much larger statistics.

Backup slides

CP violation in the Standard Model

- $\circ~$ Weak interaction known to violate CP symmetry
- Not invariant under exchange particle with antiparticle and inversion of spatial coordinates
- CP violation described in the SM by the Kobayashi-Maskawa mechanism
- > Due to a single irreducible phase of the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix

$$V_{CKM} = \begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \lambda^2/2 & \lambda & A\lambda^3(\rho - i\eta) \\ -\lambda & 1 - \lambda^2/2 & A\lambda^2 \\ A\lambda^3(1 - \rho - i\eta) & -A\lambda^2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^4)$$

Expansion in $\lambda \approx 0.22$ convenient way of viewing hierarchy.

Types of CP violation

- Direct CPV
- ➤ Occurs if $\left[\overline{A_f}/A_f\right] \neq 1$, i.e. amplitude for decay and its CP conjugate have different magnitudes



• Only possible in flavoured neutral mesons which "mix" due to non-coincidence of flavour and mass eigenstates $M_{1,2} = p |M^0\rangle \pm q |\overline{M}^0\rangle$



CPV in interference between mixing and decay

$$> \text{ Occurs if } \phi \equiv \arg\left(\frac{q\bar{A}_{\bar{f}}}{pA_{f}}\right) \neq 0$$

$$| \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} D^{0} & f \\ + & f \\ D^{0} & \overline{D}^{0} & f \end{array}}_{p^{0} & f^{-1}} | \underbrace{\begin{array}{c} 2 \\ \neq \\ \overline{D}^{0} & D^{0} & f \end{array}}_{\overline{D}^{0} & D^{0} & f \end{array}} | 2$$