OBSERVATIONAL BLACK HOLE SPECTROSCOPY: RINGDOWN TESTS OF GENERAL RELATIVITY





Gregorio Carullo QFC 2019







OUTLINE OF THE PRESENTATION

• Introduction



OUTLINE OF THE PRESENTATION

- Introduction
- Quasi-normal modes of a black hole



- Introduction
- Quasi-normal modes of a black hole
- **GW150914**: the day we saw a **black hole** ringing



- Introduction
- Quasi-normal modes of a black hole
- **GW150914**: the day we saw a **black hole** ringing
- **Ringdown data analysis** in the time domain



- Introduction
- Quasi-normal modes of a black hole
- **GW150914**: the day we saw a **black hole** ringing
- **Ringdown data analysis** in the time domain
- Tests of General Relativity with second-generation detectors



- Introduction
- Quasi-normal modes of a black hole
- **GW150914**: the day we saw a **black hole** ringing
- **Ringdown data analysis** in the time domain
- Tests of General Relativity with second-generation detectors
- **Population inference** of deviation from General Relativity



- Introduction
- Quasi-normal modes of a black hole
- **GW150914**: the day we saw a **black hole** ringing
- **Ringdown data analysis** in the time domain
- Tests of General Relativity with second-generation detectors
- **Population inference** of deviation from General Relativity
- Conclusions



earth





Coalescences of binary black holes emit gravitational waves detectable on

GW170814 discovery paper, LVC collaboration, PRL 119, 141101 (2017)

• Three main phases of the coalescence:







- Three main phases of the coalescence:
 - Inspiral: Post-Newtonian theory





- Three main phases of the coalescence:
 - Inspiral: Post-Newtonian theory
 - **Plunge-merger**: numerical simulations







- Three main phases of the coalescence:
 - Inspiral: Post-Newtonian theory
 - **Plunge-merger**: numerical simulations
 - **<u>Ringdown</u>**: perturbation theory and numerical simulations





• **Space-time** itself can undergo **oscillations** even when no matter is present



- The **ringdown** phase corresponds to the emission of the **normal modes** of the remnant black hole, damped by the presence of an horizon



• **Space-time** itself can undergo **oscillations** even when no matter is present

Vishveshwara, Nature 227, 936 (1970)



- the remnant black hole, damped by the presence of an horizon
- background



• **Space-time** itself can undergo **oscillations** even when no matter is present

• The **ringdown** phase corresponds to the emission of the **normal modes** of

• Analytical solution of the **linearized** Einstein equations on a **black hole**

Regge, Wheeler, Phys. Rev. 108, 1063 (1957) Zerilli, Phys. Rev. Lett. 24, 737 (1970) Teukolsky, Astrophysical Journal, vol. 185 (1973)



• Linear **perturbations** of the Schwarzschild background:

 $g_{\mu\nu} = \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}$



 $Z^{A} := \frac{1}{r} \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right) h_{1}$



• Linear **perturbations** of the Schwarzschild background:

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}$$

• Einstein's eq.s for the perturbations:

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + V_A(x)\right) Z^A(x,t) = 0$$





Nollert, Characteristic Oscillations of Black Holes and Neutron Stars (CQG, 2000)





Gregorio Carullo

Courtesy of Prof. Sebastiano Bernuzzi



RINGDOWN: QUASI-NORMAL MODES SOLUTIONS

• In terms of gravitational wave multipoles:

-

$$h_{+} - i h_{\times} = -\frac{M}{r} \sum_{l,m,n} \mathcal{A}_{lmn} S(\theta,\phi) e^{i\omega_{lmn}t} e^{-t/\tau_{lmn}}$$









RINGDOWN: QUASI-NORMAL MODES SOLUTIONS

• In terms of gravitational wave multipoles:

$$h_{+} - i h_{\times} = -\frac{M}{r} \sum_{l,m,n} \mathcal{A}_{lmn} S(\theta, \phi) \, \epsilon$$

only by **mass** and **spin** of the black hole





• Frequencies and damping times spectrum predicted by perturbation theory, fixed



• In terms of gravitational wave multiped

$$h_{+} - i h_{\times} = -\frac{M}{r} \sum_{l,m,n} \mathcal{A}_{lmn} S(\theta, \phi) e^{i\omega_{lmn}t} e^{-t/\tau_{lmn}}$$

- only by mass and spin of the black hole
- Amplitudes and relative phases predicted by numerical relativity

RINGDOWN: QUASI-NORMAL MODES SOLUTIONS



410

400

420



0.004 -

0.000

-0.004

-0.008

-0.012

390

Nollert, Characteristic Oscillations of Black Holes and Neutron Stars (CQG, 2000)



430



• In terms of gravitational wave multipoles:

$$h_{+} - i h_{\times} = -\frac{M}{r} \sum_{l,m,n} \mathcal{A}_{lmn} S(\theta, \phi) \epsilon$$

- only by **mass** and **spin** of the black hole
- Amplitudes and relative phases predicted by numerical relativity
- GR predictions

RINGDOWN: QUASI-NORMAL MODES SOLUTIONS





• Frequencies and damping times spectrum predicted by perturbation theory, fixed

• Independent measurement of the **final black hole** parameters, enabling a test of

Nollert, Characteristic Oscillations of Black Holes and Neutron Stars (CQG, 2000)



What if there's no complete absorption here?



Gregorio Carullo

Courtesy of Prof. Sebastiano Bernuzzi





h(t)

- Extreme compact objects (ECOs) are candidates to mimick BHs
- Instead of a simple ringdown they produce echos
- Echoes are caused by **multiple reflections** between the potential barrier and the would-be-horizon

Cardoso, Pani arXiv:1904.05363





Pani - <u>www.darkgra.org</u>







• **First** and only ringdown observation: GW150914







- **First** and only ringdown observation: GW150914
- Different **start times** yields different results







- **First** and only ringdown observation: GW150914
- Different start times yields different results
- Results completely consistent with General Relativity if start time is large enough







- **First** and only ringdown observation: GW150914
- Different start times yields different results
- Results completely consistent with General Relativity if start time is large enough
- **Unmodelled** analysis, not exploited all the available theoretical information (no investigation of progenitors memory)







- **First** and only ringdown observation: GW150914
- Different start times yields different results
- Results completely consistent with General Relativity if start time is large enough
- **Unmodelled** analysis, not exploited all the available theoretical information (no investigation of progenitors memory)
- Systematics unclear







HOW TO PUSH IT FORWARD

• Unlike standard LVC analysis time-domain likelihood



- Unlike standard LVC analysis time-domain likelihood
- Black hole spectroscopy reformulated as Bayesian model selection



- Unlike standard LVC analysis time-domain likelihood
- Black hole spectroscopy reformulated as Bayesian model selection
- Identify ringdown in **other signals**





- Unlike standard LVC analysis time-domain likelihood
- Black hole spectroscopy reformulated as Bayesian model selection
- Identify ringdown in **other signals**
- **Population**-based test of GR?





- Unlike standard LVC analysis time-domain likelihood
- **Black hole spectroscopy** reformulated as Bayesian model selection
- Identify ringdown in **other signals**
- **Population**-based test of GR?



• Tests of the **no-hair theorem** (read final state conjecture) already with **2G**?



• Reconstructed whitened waveform on top of detector data:







Carullo, Del Pozzo, Veitch, PRD 99, 123029 (2019)

• Introduced in : [1], [2]

[1] Dreyer+, CQG. 21, 787 (2004)
[2] Berti, Cardoso, Will, PRD 73, 064030 (2006)

.

- Introduced in : [1], [2]
- **Predict** modes frequency: IMR estimates of progenitors intrinsic parameters + NR fits [3]

Carullo, Del Pozzo, Veitch, PRD 99, 123029 (2019)

[1] Dreyer+, CQG. 21, 787 (2004) [2] Berti, Cardoso, Will, PRD 73, 064030 (2006)

38 [3] Jimenez-Forteza, Keitel+, PRD 95, 064024 (2017)

- Introduced in : [1], [2]
- **Predict** modes frequency: IMR estimates of progenitors intrinsic parameters + NR fits [3]
- Bayes theorem predicts the probability that recovered agnostic posterior corresponds to given predicted mode

Carullo, Del Pozzo, Veitch, PRD 99, 123029 (2019)

[1] Dreyer+, CQG. 21, 787 (2004) [2] Berti, Cardoso, Will, PRD 73, 064030 (2006)

39 [3] Jimenez-Forteza, Keitel+, PRD 95, 064024 (2017)

• What is the effect of the time prior?

Carullo, Del Pozzo, Veitch, PRD 99, 123029 (2019)

0.2

0.0

DEPENDENCE ON TIME PRIOR

• Remnant mass and spin reconstruction:

Carullo, Del Pozzo, Veitch, PRD 99, 123029 (2019)

10M prior

MULTIMODAL KERR ANALYSIS

Model	$\rm logB_{s,n}$	M_f/M_{\odot}	a_f
IMR (LVC)	-	$68.0^{+3.2}_{-3.0}$	$0.69^{0.05}_{0.04}$
DS - 1 mode	56.3	-	-
DS - 2 modes	55.4	-	-
Kerr - $(2,2,0)$ mode	56.5	$64.6^{+14.3}_{-11.4}$	$0.50^{+0.28}_{-0.40}$
Kerr - $(2,1,0)$ mode	56.6	$61.2^{+8.9}_{-8.5}$	$0.60^{+0.28}_{-0.49}$
Kerr - $(2,0,0)$ mode	56.0	$55.0^{+4.1}_{-4.1}$	$0.69^{+0.27}_{-0.58}$
Kerr - $(3,-3,0)$ mode	57.2	$72.3^{+9.7}_{-8.1}$	$0.46^{+0.47}_{-0.42}$
Kerr - $(3,-2,0)$ mode	57.0	$75.7^{+7.1}_{-5.5}$	$0.49^{+0.44}_{-0.43}$
Kerr - $(3,-1,0)$ mode	57.0	$79.9^{+4.5}_{-3.8}$	$0.47^{+0.46}_{-0.43}$
Kerr - $(2,2,0),(3,-3,0)$ modes	56.7	$69.2^{+12.1}_{-14.2}$	$0.50^{+0.40}_{-0.44}$
Kerr - $(2,2,0),(2,1,0)$ modes	56.2	$62.7^{+15.6}_{-9.9}$	$0.54^{+0.31}_{-0.44}$
Kerr - $\ell = 2 \text{ modes}$	55.0	$55.1^{+15.5}_{-7.9}$	$0.53^{+0.54}_{-0.46}$
Kerr - $\ell = 3 \text{ modes}$	54.3	$81.9^{+13.2}_{-10.5}$	$0.31^{+0.54}_{-0.28}$
Kerr - $\ell = 2, 3 \text{ modes}$	52.0	$56.6^{+27.9}_{-10.1}$	$0.39^{+0.47}_{-0.36}$

• Summary: not enough SNR to robustly clearly **claim** more than one mode

Carullo, Del Pozzo, Veitch, PRD 99, 123029 (2019)

• Parametrized **deviations** from GR:

 $\omega_{lmn}(M_f, a_f) \to (1 + \delta \hat{\omega}_{lmn}) \,\omega_{lmn}(M_f, a_f)$

Gossan, Veitch, Sathyaprakash PRD 85 124056 (2012)

• Parametrized **deviations** from GR:

 $\omega_{lmn}(M_f, a_f) \to (1 + \delta \hat{\omega}_{lmn}) \,\omega_{lmn}(M_f, a_f)$

Gossan, Veitch, Sathyaprakash PRD 85 124056 (2012)

- Future prospects: **1.5%** accuracy with 6 golden events and LIGO-Virgo network (design)
- Consistent with what predicted in **Brito**, Buonanno, Raymond PRD 98, 084038 (2018)

Carullo et al. PRD 98, 104020 (2018)

• Parametrized **deviations** from GR:

 $\tau_{lmn}(M_f, a_f) \to (1 + \delta \hat{\tau}_{lmn}) \tau_{lmn}(M_f, a_f)$

• Parametrized **deviations** from GR:

 $\tau_{lmn}(M_f, a_f) \to (1 + \delta \hat{\tau}_{lmn}) \tau_{lmn}(M_f, a_f)$

RINGDOWN ANALYSIS ON GWTC-1 CATALOG

• Parametrized **deviations** from GR:

 $\omega_{lmn}(M_f, a_f) \to (1 + \delta \hat{\omega}_{lmn}) \,\omega_{lmn}(M_f, a_f)$ $au_{lmn}(M_f, a_f) \to (1 + \delta \hat{\tau}_{lmn}) \tau_{lmn}(M_f, a_f)$

• Assume GR as null hypothesis: **combine** constraints

TESTING GENERAL RELATIVITY

HIERACHICAL ANALYSIS ON RINGDOWN

• Following the method of [4].

[4] Isi+, Phys. Rev. Lett. 123, 121101 (2019)

- Following the method of [4].
- the **source parameters** of the binary.

• In a generic theory of gravity, the **deviation value** will in general depend on

- Following the method of $\lceil 4 \rceil$.
- In a generic theory of gravity, the **deviation value** will in general depend on the **source parameters** of the binary.
- Cannot multiply posteriors: hierarchical analysis.

- Following the method of [4].
- In a generic theory of gravity, the **deviation value** will in general depend on the **source parameters** of the binary.
- Cannot multiply posteriors: hierarchical analysis.
- Suppose an underlying "parent" distribution of which each posterior is a specific realization.

- Following the method of [4].
- In a generic theory of gravity, the **deviation value** will in general depend on the **source parameters** of the binary.
- Cannot multiply posteriors: hierarchical analysis.
- Suppose an underlying "parent" distribution of which each posterior is a specific realization.
- The shape of the "parent" distribution is given by the properties of the **theory** (GR is a delta distribution centered on 0).

- Following the method of [4].
- In a generic theory of gravity, the **deviation value** will in general depend on the **source parameters** of the binary.
- Cannot multiply posteriors: hierarchical analysis.
- Suppose an underlying "parent" distribution of which each posterior is a specific realization.
- The shape of the "parent" distribution is given by the properties of the **theory** (GR is a delta distribution centered on 0).
- Put constraints on the **parameters** of such "**parent**" distribution.

HIERACHICAL ANALYSIS ON RINGDOWN

• Underlying gaussian distribution on $\delta\omega_{220}$ (fundamental mode case)

Gregorio Carullo

CONCLUSIONS

objects)

Gregorio Carullo

• Black hole perturbation theory is a well-established theoretical framework explored in many alternative theories to GR (or for alternative compact

- objects)
- from the LIGO-Virgo collaborations

Gregorio Carullo

• Black hole perturbation theory is a well-established theoretical framework explored in many alternative theories to GR (or for alternative compact

• Measurements of **black hole ringdown** are nowadays routinely performed

- objects)
- from the LIGO-Virgo collaborations
- **Tests of GR** using ringdown are possible with current detectors, but interesting constraints will need **3G** or **space detectors**

Gregorio Carullo

• Black hole perturbation theory is a well-established theoretical framework explored in many alternative theories to GR (or for alternative compact

• Measurements of **black hole ringdown** are nowadays routinely performed

WHY DO WE NEED THIS

- Test Hawking's Area Theorem (Cabero et al., PRD 97, 124069 (2018))
- Test of the Black Hole **Uniqueness Theorems**
- Test energy and angular momentum conservation during strong-field gravitational processes (Ghosh et al., CQG (2017))
- Extract implication on Black Hole **astrophysics** from final **mass** and **spin** measurements
- Test for the presence of **alternative compact objects** (spacetime **signature**), alternative theories or non-vacuum environment (Cardoso, Pani - Living Reviews in Relativity (2019))
- Constrain the graviton mass (Chung, Li (2018))
- Test quantum horizon effects and classical BH thermodynamics (Foit, Kleban, Hod (2019))

Gregorio Carullo

See talk from Danny Laghi (tomorrow, 16.45): "Testing The Area Quantisation Hypothesis From Black Hole Ringdown Signals"

for further applications of this approach.

Credits to: Jani, Ghonge

