Topics to be discussed

Preliminaries : a bit more on QCD and a bit on complex calculus

- **Causality and Analyticity.**
- Scattering in non-relativistic quantum mechanics.
- Kinematics of relativistic scattering and decays.
- Relativistic partial wave analysis, unitarity, analyticity and resonances.
- General parameterizations: N/D, K-matrix, connection with lattice QCD.
- Physics of high energy collisions.
- **Regge limit and the Veneziano amplitude.**
- New hadrons observed in particle decays?

H.M.Nussenzveig, Causality and Dispersion relations V.Gribov, Strong Interactions of Hadrons at High Energies V.Gribov, Theory of Complex Angular Momentum M.Perl, High Energy Hadron Physics

Jefferson Lab

INDIANA UNIVERSITY



IU Online Course, P665, Fall 2019 E-mail Adam Szczepaniak if you are interested

- Observables are smooth, analytical functions of variables. Physics law, constraints are manifested in singularities (poles, branch points)
- Cauchy theorem is a powerful tool to connect observables at different values of variables
- Physics is on 1st sheet but interesting phenomena happen on other sheets connected by analytical continuation, eg. Breit-Wigner formula

Identifying resonances



Stranger Things (of the Nuclear World)



What are the constituents of hadrons, (quarks and gluons) ?

small world (10⁻¹⁵m)

of fast (v~c) particles

exerting ~1T forces !!!



 $\hbar = c = 1$

 $[length] = [time] = [energy]^{-1}$ $= [momentum]^{-1}$

Unit energy = 1 GeVUnit length = 1GeV^{-1} = 0.197 fm

Particles vs Fields

In relativistic quantum mechanics (QFT) particles are emergent phenomena

(i.e. fields are not physically measurable but their "consequences" are, cf. potential vs electric field density)



$H = H_{h.o} = harmonic oscillators$

"bare" particles : eigenstates of H_{h.o.}



Bare particles are eigenstates of free Hamiltonian 6

"Bare (free)" particles of QCD: quarks and gluons

e.g. because of asymptotic freedom measured in high energy collisions







- Gluon ~ 8 copies of a photon
- Photons do not cary electric charge : they only interact the matter (e.g.) electrons that do carry charge
- Gluons carry charge, i.e. interact with each other and with quarks.

Particles vs Fields: Hamiltonian vs Lagrangian⁷



QED vs QCD

QED

 Bare particles are eigenstates of free Hamiltonian. If interactions are weak (e.g. QED) the "bare particle" ~ observed particle = (interacting particles)



Quark Model : exploring flavor

11 *) G. Zweig CERN-Geneva ABSTRACT ۰. Both mesons and baryons are constructed from a set of three fundamental particles called aces. The aces break up into an isospin doublet and singlet. Each ace carries baryon number 1/3 and is fractionally charged. 3Uz (but not the Eightfold Way) is adopted as a higher symmetry for the strong interactions. The breaking of this symmetry is assumed to be universal, being due to mass differences among the aces. Extensive space-time and group theoretic structure is then predicted for both mesons and baryons, in agreement with existing experimental information. Quantitative speculations are presented concerning resonances that have not as yet been definitively classified into representations of SU_{π} . A weak interaction theory based on right and left handed aces is used to predict rates for $|\Delta S| = 1$ baryon leptonic decays. An experimental search for the aces is suggested.

AN SU, MODEL FOR STRONG INTERACTION SYMMETRY AND ITS BREAKING

*) Version I is CERN preprint 8182/TH.401, Jan. 17, 1964.

This work was supported by the U.S. Air Force Office of Scientific Research and the National Academy of Sciences - National Research Council.

INDIANA UNIVERSITY Jefferson Lab

Volume 8, number 3

PHYSICS LETTERS

1 February 1964

A SCHEMATIC MODEL OF BARYONS AND MESONS *

M. GELL-MANN California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California

Received 4 January 1964

If we assume that the strong interactions of bary- ber $n_t - n_t$ would be zero for all known baryons and ons and mesons are correctly described in terms of the broken "eightfold way" 1-3), we are tempted to look for some fundamental explanation of the situation. A highly promised approach is the purely dynamical "bootstrap" model for all the strongly interacting particles within which one may try to derive isotopic spin and strangeness conservation and broken eightfold symmetry from self-consistency alone 4). Of course, with only strong interactions, the orientation of the asymmetry in the unitary space cannot be specified; one hopes that in some way the selection of specific components of the Fspin by electromagnetism and the weak interactions determines the choice of isotopic spin and hypercharge directions.

Even if we consider the scattering amplitudes of strongly interacting particles on the mass shell only and treat the matrix elements of the weak, electromagnetic, and gravitational interactions by means of dispersion theory, there are still meaningful and important questions regarding the algebraic properties of these interactions that have so far been discussed only by abstracting the properties from a formal field theory model based on fundamental entities 3) from which the baryons and mesons are built up.

If these entities were octets, we might expect the underlying symmetry group to be SU(8) instead of SU(3); it is therefore tempting to try to use unitary triplets as fundamental objects. A unitary triplet t consists of an isotopic singlet s of electric charge z (in units of e) and an isotopic doublet (u, d) with charges z+1 and z respectively. The anti-triplet t has, of course, the opposite signs of the charges. Complete symmetry among the members of the triplet gives the exact eightfold way, while a mass difference, for example, between the isotopic doublet and singlet gives the first-order violation.

For any value of z and of triplet spin, we can construct baryon octets from a basic neutral baryon singlet b by taking combinations (btt), (btttt), etc. **. From (bti), we get the representations 1 and 8, while from (bttft) we get 1, 8, 10, 10, and 27. In a similar way, meson singlets and octets can be made out of (tt), (tttt), etc. The quantum nummesons. The most interesting example of such a model is one in which the triplet has spin $\frac{1}{2}$ and z = -1, so that the four particles d⁻, s⁻, u⁰ and b⁰ exhibit a parallel with the leptons.

A simpler and more elegant scheme can be constructed if we allow non-integral values for the charges. We can dispense entirely with the basic baryon b if we assign to the triplet t the following properties: spin $\frac{1}{2}$, $z = -\frac{1}{3}$, and haryon number $\frac{1}{3}$. We then refer to the members u3, d-3, and s-3 of the triplet as "quarks" 6) g and the members of the anti-triplet as anti-quarks q. Baryons can now be constructed from quarks by using the combinations (qqq), (qqqqq), etc., while mesons are made out of (qq), (qqqq), etc. It is assuming that the lowest baryon configuration (qqq) gives just the representations 1, 8, and 10 that have been observed, while the lowest meson configuration (q q) similarly gives just 1 and 8.

A formal mathematical model based on field theory can be built up for the guarks exactly as for p, n, A in the old Sakata model, for example 3) with all strong interactions ascribed to a neutral vector meson field interacting symmetrically with the three particles. Within such a framework, the electromagnetic current (in units of e) is just

$i\{\tfrac{1}{3} \, \mathtt{u} \, \gamma_{\alpha} \, \mathtt{u} - \tfrac{1}{3} \, \mathtt{d} \, \gamma_{\alpha} \, \mathtt{d} - \tfrac{1}{3} \, \mathtt{s} \, \gamma_{\alpha} \, \mathtt{s}\}$

or $\mathscr{F}_{3\alpha} + \mathscr{F}_{8\alpha}/\sqrt{3}$ in the notation of ref. 3). For the weak current, we can take over from the Sakata model the form suggested by Gell-Mann and Lévy 7). namely i $\bar{p}_{\gamma \alpha}(1 + \gamma_5)(n \cos \theta + \Lambda \sin \theta)$, which gives in the quark scheme the expression **

 $i \bar{u} \gamma_{\alpha} (1 + \gamma_5) (d \cos \theta + s \sin \theta)$

- * Work supported in part by the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission.
- ** This is similar to the treatment in ref. 1). See also ref. 5).
- The parallel with i $\bar{v}_e \gamma_Q (1 + \gamma_5) e$ and i $\bar{v}_{\mu\nu} \gamma_Q (1 + \gamma_5) \mu$ is obvious. Likewise, in the model with d^-, s^-, u^0 , and b^0 discussed above, we would take the weak current to be $i(\bar{b}^{0}\cos\theta + \bar{u}^{0}\sin\theta) Y_{0}(1 + Y_{5}) s^{-1}$ + $i(u^0 \cos \theta - \overline{b}^0 \sin \theta) \gamma_{C}(1 + \gamma_5) d^-$. The part with $\Delta(n_t - n_f) = 0 \text{ is just i } \overline{u}^0 Y_0(1 + Y_5)(d^- \cos \theta + s^- \sin \theta).$

Discovery of quarks e.g. the J/ψ

A narrow resonance was discovered in the 1974 November revolution of particle physics" in two reactions:



Charmonium spectrum







Hunting for Resonances



Light mesons





quark model

 $|B[8]\rangle = |Flavor\rangle_{8_{M_A}} \times |Spin\rangle_{8_{M_A}} + |Flavor\rangle_{8_{M_S}} \times |Spin\rangle_{8_{M_S}}$

Baryon magnetic moments

fully symmetric wave function (antisymmetric does not work!) Color makes it into fully antisymmetric to respect Pauli principle

H. J. Lipkin November.

FERMILAB-Conf-84/125-T November, 1984

 $S_{u}^{z} = \frac{1}{2}$ $\int_{S_{d}^{z}} \int_{S_{u}^{z}} \int_{S_{$

INDIANA UNIVERSITY Jefferson Lab

Baryon Moment	1983 Data Ref[26]	From Naive Model[25
μ(p)	2.793±0.000	2.79
µ(n)	-1.913±0.000	-1.86
μ(Λ)	-0.613±0.005	-0.58
μ(Σ ⁺)	2.38±0.02	2.68
μ(Σ)	-1.11±0.04[27]	-1.05
μ(Ξ°)	-1.25±0.014	-1.40
μ(Ξ¯)	-0.60±0.04	-0.47

1995

Y

$$\mu_{\Omega^-} = (-2.019 \pm 0.054)\mu_N - 1.84\mu_N$$

better then 10% accuracy !!

34



"Evidence" for Constituent Quarks:Light Quark Hadrons 16

Spectrum of mesons containing u,d,s quarks from numerical QCD simulations (lattice) resembles spectrum of quark models.





QCD as a many body theory

$$L = -\frac{1}{4}F^{a}_{\mu\nu}F^{a}_{\mu\nu} - \bar{\psi}(\gamma_{\mu}D_{\mu} + m)\psi$$
$$F^{a}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu}A^{a}_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A^{a}_{\mu} + gf^{abc}A^{b}_{\mu}A^{c}_{\nu}$$
$$D_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} + igA^{a}_{\mu}T^{a}$$
$$[T^{a}, T^{b}]_{ij} = if^{abc}T^{c}_{ij}$$

Variables:

INDIANA UNIVERSITY Jefferson Lab

ψ

$$A^{a}_{\mu}(\mathbf{x};t) \quad \psi = \psi^{i}_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x};t)$$

Parameters: g,m

8 x 4 x 3N

Plausible scenario $H_{QCD} = H_{c.h.o.} + (non-linear)$

finite energy, localized solutions: solitons (monopoles, vortices , ...)

"physical quarks" → quasi particles in gluon mean filed



The QCD vacuum is not empty. Rather it contains quantum fluctuations in the gluon field at all scales. (Image: University of Adelaide)



Monopoles have been long speculated as candidate gluon filed configurations responsible for confinement

Monopole confining scenario

in "empty vacuum"



in "magnetic condensate"





Type-II supper conductor

Emergence of constituent quarks

$$H = H_0 + V \qquad H_0 = \int d\mathbf{x} m_0 |\psi(\mathbf{x})|^2$$

Mean field approximation Hartree + Fock (BCS theory)

$$V = \int d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{y} |\psi(\mathbf{x})|^2 V(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) |\psi(\mathbf{y})|^2$$

$$|\psi(\mathbf{y})|^2 \rightarrow \langle |\psi(\mathbf{y})|^2 \rangle = \text{condensate}$$

$$m_0 \rightarrow m_0 + V \times \text{ condensate}$$

$$m_{\text{current quark levels}}$$

Interaction with the condensate
increases energy of a quark added to
the vacuum
Example 2 - 28 - 3
Instantaneous potential
between (color) charges, e.g.
Coulomb + Linear

$$m_{\text{const}} \sim 0.1-0.3 \text{ GeV}$$

$$m_{\text{const}} \sim 0.1-0.3 \text{ GeV}$$

ground state contains a condensate of bare quarks



[V(r)-V(r₀)] r₀ 0 -1

Confinement in QCD



e.g. absence of isolated quarks applies to both screening and confinement

21

absence of isolated quarks

In absence of an order parameter we have to content with properties of confinement:

linearly rising potential
Regge trajectories
Casimir and N-ality scaling
string behavior





QCD vacuum and the role of gluons

Gluons are responsible for confinement (aka effective potential between color charges) and are confined (aka contribute to the color charge)





Coulomb gauge

 $B_i^a = \nabla_j A_k^a - \nabla_k A_j^a + g f^{abc} A_j^b A_k^c$ Coulomb gauge Hamiltonian $H = \frac{1}{2} \int d\mathbf{x} \left[\mathcal{J}^{-1} \vec{\Pi}^a \mathcal{J} \vec{\Pi}^a + \overset{\downarrow}{\vec{B}^a} \vec{B}^a \right]$ \Box Jacobian (e.g. $r^{-1} \frac{d}{dr} r \frac{d}{dr}$) $\mathcal{J}(A) = Det \vec{\nabla} \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A})$ $+ \int d\mathbf{x} \psi^{\dagger} \left[-i\vec{\alpha} \left(\vec{\nabla} - igA^{a}T^{a} \right) + \beta m \right] \psi$ $+\frac{g^{2}}{2}\int d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{y} \mathcal{J}^{-1} \rho^{a}(\mathbf{x}) K_{ab}[A](\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) \mathcal{J} \rho^{b}(\mathbf{y})$ $K = \frac{1}{\vec{\nabla} \mathcal{D}(A)} (-\vec{\nabla}^{2}) \frac{1}{\vec{\nabla} \mathcal{D}(A)} \qquad \rho^{a} = f^{abc} \vec{A}^{b} \vec{\Pi}^{c} + \psi^{\dagger} T^{a} \psi$ $H\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta A},A\right)\Psi[A] = E\Psi[A], \quad \int \mathcal{D}A\mathcal{J}|\Psi[A]|^2 = \langle |\rangle$

 $\bar{H} = \mathcal{J}^{1/2} H \mathcal{J}^{-1/2}, \ \bar{\Psi} = \mathcal{J}^{1/2} \Psi \qquad \int \mathcal{D}A |\bar{\Psi}[A]|^2 = \langle | \rangle$

Example of calculation Khriplovich, 1969

$$H_0 \quad \text{is a h.o.} \qquad H = H_0 + gV$$

$$|0\rangle \sim \exp(-\int dx dy A(x)\omega_0(x-y)A(y)) \qquad E = E_0 + gE_1 + g^2E_2 + \cdots$$

calculate E for QQ in the perturbative QCD ground state



Confining Potential and the gluon condensate ²⁵

 Ω contains condensate of

monopoles, vortices, ...

$$H = H_{kin} + V \qquad H = H_{kin} + V$$
$$V = \int d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{y} \rho(\mathbf{x}) K[\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}] \rho(\mathbf{y})$$
$$K \to -\frac{g^2}{\nabla^2} = \frac{\alpha}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|} = \bigvee^{V+\int d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{y} \rho(\mathbf{x} V(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \rho(\mathbf{y}))$$



INDIANA UNIVERSITY

Ж

Jefferson Lab



- Coulomb "Potential" between external (i.e. quark charges) depends on the distribution of gluons.
- In presence of a gluon condensate it produces a Confining force been external color charge



How to "measure the potential"



Quark Model with Gluons : Hybrid States



 $J^{PC} = 1^{-+}$ is not a qq state

exotic quantum numbers

Meson Spectrum on the Lattice





QCD: There are many other possible color singlets.





Quick Summary

- QCD vacuum has gluon condensate in the form color monopolies, vortices,...
- The condensate leads to an effective, confining potential between color charges
- Light quarks propagating through this medium acquire effective mass
- Static color charges (i.e. "very heavy" quarks) inserted into the vacuum polarize the condensate and change the background gluon distribution
- For large separation between the charges this leads to formation of a chromo electric flux tube (aka dual superconductor)
- For small separation between charges, the effect of vacuum polarization can be described as quasi-particles.
- Once the have quarks are allowed to move the polarized gluon filed (the quasiparticle of the flux tube) can result in a new type of hadrons -> hybrid mesons or baryons.

Intermezzo : Complex Calculus

Jefferson Lab

INDIANA UNIVERSITY

$$z = a + bi \rightarrow f(z) = Ref(z) + iImf(z)$$

Elementary functions: you can also think of them as maps of one complex plane (z) to another (f(z)): $z \rightarrow f(z)$



To define a function we can use the algebraic relations e.g

$$f(z) = \sqrt{z}$$
 is such that $z = f(z) \times f(z)$

Complex functions

- Continuity imposes very strong conditions of functions (much stronger than in the case of real variables)
- "Smooth" (analytic) functions are "boring" all "action" is in the singularities (poles, cuts)
- Singularities determine functions "far away" from location of the singularity (e.g. local charge determines electric field)
- Physical observables are functions of real parameters, however physics law can be generalized to complex domains and become "smooth". Any "constraint" results in singularities.

Complex functions



Often the mapping is not "one-to-one" and one needs to be careful in defining domains which give a unique value for the function, e.g. is

$$\sqrt{-25} = +5I \text{ or } -5I$$
?



Example \sqrt{z}



gives different results for \sqrt{z}

$$\sqrt{z} \equiv \sqrt{|z|} e^{i\frac{\phi}{2}}$$

• using
$$\phi = [-\pi, \pi)$$

gives square root that is continuous near the positive real axis

$$\sqrt{1+i\epsilon} \sim +1$$

$$\phi \sim \epsilon$$

$$\phi \sim 2\pi - \epsilon$$

$$\sqrt{1-i\epsilon} \sim -1$$

$$\sqrt{1+i\epsilon} \sim +1$$

$$\phi \sim \epsilon$$

$$\phi \sim -\epsilon$$

$$\sqrt{1-i\epsilon} \sim +1$$

• using
$$\phi = [0, 2\pi)$$

gives square root that is discontinuous near the positive real axis

In both case it has the same value when approaching the positive real axis rom above
More complicated functions







Calculus: differentiation

f(z) is differentiable (holomorphic) if
$$\lim_{z \to z_0} \frac{f(z) - f(z_0)}{z - z_0} \equiv f'(z_0)$$
 exists

write z = x + iy and f(z) as f(z) = u(x,y) + i v(x,y). Since the procedure of taking the limit in definition of $f'(z_0)$ is independent of the path taken in $z \rightarrow z_0$, you can take two independent paths e.g. path 1: $x = x_0 + \varepsilon$, $y = y_0$ and path 2: $x = x_0$, $y = y + \varepsilon$: Cauchy relations:





Calculus: integration

Line integrals: given a curve C in the complex plane parametrized by a real number $0 \le t \le 1$, $t \rightarrow z(t) = x(t) + iy(t)$ the integral of f over C is defined by

$$\int_{C} f(z)dz = \int_{t=0}^{1} f(z(t))\frac{dz}{dt}dt = \lim_{|\Delta z_{n}| \to 0, N \to \infty} \sum_{n=1}^{N} f(a_{n})\Delta z_{n}$$

$$C \xrightarrow{\Delta z_{n} = z_{n} - z_{n-1}}_{Z_{n-1}} \text{ note: this is an ordered path}$$

$$We \text{ can estimate the integral: if } |f(z)| \le M > 0 \text{ along } C \text{ then}$$

$$a_{n} Z_{n} \xrightarrow{Z_{n}} |\int_{C} f(z)dz| \le Ms \text{ where s it the length}$$

$$z(0) = z_{0}$$

Cauchy-Goursat theorem: If f(z) is holomorphic in some region G and C is a closed contour (consisting of continuous or discontinuous cycles, double cycles, etc.) then

$$\oint f(z)dz = 0$$
 (converse is also true)



6

Cauchy formula

The Cauchy integral formula: if f(z) holomorphic in G, $z_0 \in G$, and C a closed curve (cycle), which goes around z_0 once in positive (counterclockwise) direction, then



$$f(z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_C \frac{f(z)dz}{z - z_0}$$



Proof



INDIANA UNIVERSITY Jefferson Lab

Integrals:

 $\int_{\gamma} dz \qquad \int_{\gamma} z^n dz$

 $\int_{\gamma}^{\cdot} \frac{dz}{z}$ $\int_{\Omega'} \frac{dz}{z}$

 γ = unit circle γ' = unit square

 $\int_{\gamma} \frac{dz}{z^2}$

Contour deformations !!!!!





Analytical continuation



but for complex functions you can go continuously around the z=0 singularity and *analytically continue* from one region to another



Unique!

Analytical continuation

Let $f_1(z)$ be holomorphic in G_1 and $f_2(z)$ in G_2 , G_1 and G_2 intersect on an arch A (or domain D), and $f_1 = f_2$ on A (or D) then f_1 and f_2 are analytical continuation of each other and

$$f(z) = \begin{cases} f_1(z), z \in G_1 \\ f_2(z), z \in G_2 \end{cases}$$

is holomorphic in the union of G_1 and G_2



Examples

 $\begin{array}{l} 1+z+z^2+\cdots \ \ \text{is holomorphic in } |z|<1\\ \int_0^\infty e^{-(1-z)t}dt \ \ \text{is holomorphic in Re } z<1\\ -(1+1/z+1/z^2+\cdots) \ \ \text{is holomorphic in } |z|>1 \end{array}$

all these functions represent f(z) = 1/(1-z) in different domains, which is holomorphic everywhere except at the point z=1

A(s,t) : how to continue from between s and t ⁴⁸



Continuation of integrals

what are the possibilities for g(s) to be singular?

$$g(s) = \int_C dz f(z, s) dz$$

 $\overline{}$

g(s) can be singular at $s_0\in G$ only if

- 1. f(z,s₀) in z-plane has a singularity coinciding with the end points of the arc C (end-point singularity)
- 2. two singularities of f, $z_1(s)$ and $z_2(s)$, approach the arc C from opposite sides and pinch the arc precisely at $s=s_0$. (pinch singularity)
- 3. a singularity z(s) tents to infinity as $s \rightarrow s_0$ deforming the contour with itself to infinity; one has to change variables to bring the point ∞ to the finite plane to see what happens.

Example

$$f(z) = \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{dx}{x-z} = \log(1-z) - \log(-1-z)$$

where are the singularities ?



Before analytical continuation the result is on the 1st sheet !



Analytical continuation takes us to "other" sheets¹



Q: So on which sheet is physics A: All. The 1st sheet is protected by analyticity. Other sheets have singularities which have physical interpretation



Breit-Wigner Formula (1st sheet)

$$A(s) = \frac{1}{m^2 - s - im\Gamma(s)} \times \operatorname{rest}(s)$$

$$A(s) = \frac{1}{2 - s - 0.8i\sqrt{s - 1}}$$

$$A_I(s) = \frac{1}{2 - s + 0.8\sqrt{1 - s}}$$

- Physics : s real i0 above the real axis
- Unitarity : cuts the real axis above thresholds.
- Analytical continuation to 2nd sheet displays resonances, etc.

This formula is valid entirely on the 1st sheet There is

There is no peak !!!





Breit-Wigner Formula





- Observables are smooth, analytical functions of variables. Physics law, constraints are manifested in singularities (poles, branch points)
- Cauchy theorem is a powerful tool to connect observables at different values of variables
- Physics is on 1st sheet but interesting phenomena happen on other sheets connected by analytical continuation, eg. Breit-Wigner formula

Probing QCD resonances (using physical states)

- When (color neutral) mesons and baryons a smashed, their quarks overlap, "stick together" and form resonances (quasi QCD eigenstates). They are short lived and decay to lowest energy, asymptotic states (pions, K's, proton,...)
- Resonances are fundamental to our understanding of QCD dynamics because they are formed by all-order (aka beyond perturbation theory) interactions. Resonances challenge QFT practitioners to develop all orders calculations (still ways to go).
- (QCD) Resonance lead to extremely rich phenomenology, e.g. XYZ states, gluonic excitations, etc.
- In practice, one requires tools that relate asymptotic states before collision to asymptotic states after collision that include flexible parametrization of the microscopic dynamics. This is often referred to as amplitude analysis. The rest of these lectures will focus on this topic.

Bound states/Resonances/Asymptotic states 56



Bound states: compact wave function contains interaction to all orders.

Born approximation : "weak" perturbation (lowest order) to free motion

> **Resonances**: particles interact to all orders (like bound states) but eventually decay (connect with asymptotically free states). Their effect appears in the S-matrix : Compare (1) and (2) ! $(k = i\alpha m_e)$

Actual relation depends on the type of problem (mechanics, Q.M., QFT, ...)

You will see similarities, though, i.e. absence of singularities on the physical sheet.

Conservation law i.e. probability deals with time dependent flow "messes with analyticity" and introduces singularities outside physical sheet.



Amplitude analyticity: it is much about complex functions 58



- Scattering amplitude describes evolution between asymptotic states. The information related to formation of resonances is "hidden" in unphysical domains (sheets) of the kinematical variables.
- The "bump" in the right figure is an indication of a "hidden" phenomenon. To uncover it one needs to analytically continue outside the physical sheet.



Potential Well vs Barrier : not very pole is a resonance

59

infinitesimal -> long time spend

on top of the barrier



Every pole is a resonance (positive energy finite lifetime) but not all resonances (poles) are connected to bound states

Infinite, thin shell

$$H = \frac{p^2}{2\mu} + V \qquad V = \frac{\lambda}{2\mu a^2} \delta(r - a) \qquad \dim \lambda = -1$$

"Relation" to QCD

Inside the shell (0<r<a) particles are confined (like quarks in hadrons) The shell is thin allowing for free asymptotic states (hadron decays)

Method 1: In coordinate space (as before)

Method 2: Lippmann-Schwinger

$$T = V + VG_0V + \cdots$$



Shell

- For any strength of the potential there is an infinite number of resonances
- There is one pole in each strip $(n-1)\pi < \Re(\beta_n) < n\pi \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots)$



• as potential strength decreases :

$$\beta_n \to (n - \frac{1}{2}) - i\infty$$

as potential strength increases :

$$(1)$$
 $(n\pi)^2$

$$\beta_n \to n\pi \left(1 - \frac{1}{1+A}\right) - i\left(\frac{n\pi}{A}\right)^2$$

$$A = \lambda/a$$

- There are no potentials
- Particles and antiparticles are related by crossing
- There are NO exact, non perturbative methods in QFT (major challenge for mathematicians)
- Physics lows are manifested as singularities of analytical functions (observables)

First order of business: understand properties of reactions enforced by these general principles.



S-matrix properties (in relativistic theory)

· Related to transition probability

$$P_{fi} = |\langle f|S|i\rangle|^2 = \langle i|S^{\dagger}|f\rangle\langle f|S|i\rangle$$

• Conservation of Probability = Unitarity





$$\bar{u}(p_1,\lambda_1)[A(s,t) + (k_1 + k_2)_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu}B(s,t)]u(p_2,\lambda_2)$$

• Crossing symmetry: the same scalar functions describe all process related by permutation of legs between initial and final states (only the wave function change) $\pi(k_1) + \pi(-k_2) \rightarrow \overline{N}(-p_1, \mu_1) + N(p_2, \mu_2)$

$$\bar{v}(p_1,\mu_1)[A(s,t) + (k_1 + k_2)_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu}B(s,t)]u(p_2,\mu_2)$$

• Analyticity: The scalar functions are analytical functions of invariants

Lorentz symmetry

N-to-M scattering depends on 4(N+M)-(N+M)-10 = 3(N+M)-10 invariants e.g for 2-to-2: 2 invariants related to the c.m. energy and scattering angle



How many independent variables describe

- Decay proces $A \rightarrow a + b + c$
- Three particle production A +B \rightarrow a + b + c



Helicity amplitudes

We work in the c.m. frame

INDIANA UNIVERSITY Jefferson Lab

$$\frac{\vec{S} \cdot \vec{p}}{|\vec{p}|} |p, \lambda\rangle = \lambda |p, \lambda\rangle$$

$$\langle p_3, \lambda_3; p_4, \lambda_4 | A | p_1, \lambda_1; p_2, \lambda_2 \rangle = A_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4}(s, t, u)$$

Helicity states vs canonical spin states: $S_z |p, m\rangle_z = m |p, m\rangle_z$ $|p, m\rangle_z = \Lambda(\vec{p} \leftarrow 0) |0, m\rangle_z$ $|p, \lambda\rangle = R(\hat{p})\Lambda(|\vec{p}|\hat{z} \leftarrow 0) |0, m\rangle_z$ Exercise show this: $|p, \lambda\rangle_z = \sum_{m=-S}^{S} |p, m\rangle_z D^S_{m,\lambda}(\hat{p})$

• Even though this looks non relativistic it is relativistic. Notion of LS amplitudes, LS vs. helicity relations are relativistic $\mathcal{N} = naturally$

Parity
$$A_{\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3,\lambda_4}(s,t,u) = \eta A_{-\lambda_1,-\lambda_2,-\lambda_3,-\lambda_4}(s,t,u)$$

How many independent scalar functions describe

$$J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$$

 $\gamma p \rightarrow \pi^0 p$



Crossing symmetry

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{p}_{1}) + \overline{\mathbf{c}}(\overline{\mathbf{p}}_{3}) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbf{b}}(\overline{\mathbf{p}}_{2}) + \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{p}_{4}) \\ \hline \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{p}_{1}) + \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{p}_{2}) \rightarrow \mathbf{c}(\mathbf{p}_{3}) + \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{p}_{4}) \\ \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{p}_{1}) + \mathbf{b}(\mathbf{p}_{2}) \rightarrow \mathbf{c}(\mathbf{p}_{3}) + \mathbf{d}(\mathbf{p}_{4}) \\ \mathbf{a}(\mathbf{p}_{1}) + \overline{\mathbf{d}}(\overline{\mathbf{p}}_{4}) \rightarrow \mathbf{c}(\mathbf{p}_{3}) + \overline{\mathbf{b}}(\overline{\mathbf{p}}_{2}) \\ \mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{c.m.}} \quad s = (p_{1} + p_{2})^{2} \quad t = (p_{1} + p_{\overline{3}})^{2} \quad u = (p_{1} + p_{\overline{4}})^{2} \\ \mathbf{Cos}(\mathbf{\theta}) \quad t = (p_{1} - p_{3})^{2} \quad s = (p_{1} - p_{\overline{2}})^{2} \quad t = (p_{1} - p_{3})^{2} \\ \mathbf{Cos}(\mathbf{\theta}) \quad u = (p_{1} - p_{4})^{2} \quad u = (p_{1} - p_{4})^{2} \quad s = (p_{1} - p_{\overline{2}})^{2} \\ \mathbf{A}_{\lambda_{1},\dots}^{(s)}(s + i\epsilon, t, u) \rightarrow \sum_{\lambda_{1}',\dots'} [D_{\lambda_{1},\lambda_{1}'}^{S_{1}} \cdots] \mathbf{A}_{\lambda_{1}',\dots}^{(t)}(s, t + i\epsilon, u) \rightarrow \cdots \end{array}$$

• The is important. Function values at, e.g. s + is vs s - is are different !

C

ψ

Crossing Symmetry : Decays $M_1 > m_2 + m_3 + m_4$ 69





 $a(p_1) + b(p_2) \rightarrow c(p_3) + d(p_4)$

 $a(p_1) \rightarrow \overline{b(p_2)} + c(p_3) + d(p_4)$

$$A(s,t,u) \to A(M_1^2 + i\epsilon, s + i\epsilon, t + i\epsilon, u + i\epsilon)$$

- In decay kinematics, the decaying mass becomes a dynamical variable, (is important)
- Crossing from one kinematical region (e.g. s-channel) to another (e.g. t-channel) requires taking the corresponding variables off the real axis and to the complex plane : analytical continuation.

Kinematical vs Dynamical Singularities



- Wigner d-functions lead to kinematical singularities
- Threshold (barrier factors) originate from kinematical factors in relation between t and cos(θ) (through dependence of A_λ on t)
- Unequal masses give lead to "daughter poles"
- Dynamical singularities : from dynamical (unitary cuts) in A(s,t).



Analyticity

Feynman diagrams

$$A(p_1, \cdots) \propto \int [\Pi_j d^4 k_j] \frac{\text{polynomial in } \mathbf{k}_j}{(m_q^2 - (p_i - k_j)^2 - i\epsilon)((k_i - k_j)^2 - i\epsilon) \cdots}$$
$$m^2 - p^2 = [m^2 + \mathbf{p}^2] - (p^0)^2 \qquad \qquad \sum p_1 \qquad \qquad p_2 \qquad \qquad p_2$$

$$m^2 - p^2 = 0 \to p^0 = \pm (m^2 + \mathbf{p}^2)^{1/2}$$

- Integrand becomes singular when intermediate states go on shell.
- Thresholds for producing physical intermediate are the only reason why amplitudes are singular.
- Production of intermediate states is related to unitarity. Thus we expect unitarity to determine singularities of the amplitudes.

Jefferson Lab

On the role of it

INDIANA UNIVERSITY

$$\operatorname{Im}\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{m^2 + \mathbf{p}^2} \mp i\epsilon - p^0}\right] = \pm \pi \delta(p_0 - \sqrt{m^2 + \mathbf{p}^2})$$



Causality: Determines domain of analyticity of reaction amplitudes as function of kinematical variables.

Unitarity: Determines singularities.

Crossing: Dynamical relation, aka reaction amplitudes in the exchange channel (forces) are analogous to amplitude in the direct channel (resonance)

These principles constrain the amplitude on the physical sheet. But on the unphysical sheet, there poles and other singularities, i.e. triangle singularity brains points, that arise from the underlying dynamics. Thus in reality it is the unphysical sheet which is of interest.

Amplitude analysis = make hypothesis about these singularities and use analytical continuation to obtain the amplitude on the physical sheet where you fit to data.


S-matrix properties (in relativistic theory)

• Related to transition probability

$$P_{fi} = |\langle f|S|i\rangle|^2 = \langle i|S^{\dagger}|f\rangle\langle f|S|i\rangle$$

• Conservation of Probability = Unitarity







How unitarity constrains singularities: simple example



At fixed s, this is a complicated, integral relation w.r.t momentum transfer, t It is simplified (diagonalized) by expanding A(s,t) in partial waves

Jefferson Lab

INDIANA UNIVERSITY

$$A(s,t) = 16\pi \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2l+1)f_l(s)P_l(\cos\theta) \qquad Imf_l(s) = \rho(s)|f_l(s)|^2$$

74

How unitarity constrains singularities

Properties of the partial wave, $f_i(s)$ (for fixed I as function of s):

- $f_I(s)$ is real for s below threshold
- Im f_l(s) is finite above threshold.
- f_l(s) is analytical (since A(s,t) is)

$$f_l(s) = \frac{1}{32\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} d\cos\theta P_l(\cos\theta) A(s, t(s, \cos\theta))$$

for simplicity ignore singularities in t

 \rightarrow Reflection theorem (Calculus 101): $f_i(s^*) = f_i(s^*)$



$$\frac{1}{2i}[f_l(s+i\epsilon) - f_l(s-i\epsilon)] = \rho(s)f_l(s+i\epsilon)f_l(s-i\epsilon)$$

Lets look for a function, $f_{II}(s)$ that, for s-i ϵ is equal to $f_I(s+i\epsilon)$. Theorem of analytical continuation implies there is only one such function



Second sheet



Breit-Wigner



$$f_{II}(s) = \frac{f(s)}{1 - 2i\rho(s)f(s)} = \frac{1}{m^2 - s + g^2\sqrt{s_{tr} - s} - 2ig^2\sqrt{s - s_{tr}}}$$

when Im s < 0

$$=\frac{g^2\sqrt{s_{tr}-s}}{m^2-s-ig^2\sqrt{s-s_{tr}}}$$





- Evidence for resonance scattering : connection to QCD bound states.
- Kinematical range for resonance scattering.
- Features of high energy scattering : physics of cross channels
- Space-time interpretation of high and low energy scattering
- Dual models

$$\sigma_{a+b\to a+b} \propto \int \frac{dt}{s^2} |A(s,t)|^2$$

$$\sigma_{a+b\to X} \propto \frac{ImA(s,0)}{s} \qquad \text{from unitarity}$$



Phenomenology of hadron interaction



Resonance Scattering : look at angular distribution ⁸⁰



more pronounced forward/backward peaks as energy increases



Resonance scattering

- Due to confinement, we expect an infinite number of resonances (poles at positive energy — recall the potential shell example) of arbitrary large mass and spin.
- String/flux tube breaking leads to screening of color charge and these poles decay. As mass increases they coach to multi-particle final states. The poles are still there, but dive deeper into to complex plane and are more difficult to identify. However, when making a model it makes more sense to parametrize amplitude with BW resonances as compared to some arbitrary background functions. p = l/r
- For $I_{max} \sim 5$ and interaction range $r_0 \sim 0.5$ fm this gives $p_{lab} <\sim 10$ /fm ~ 2 GeV, [or W $\sim (2 P_{lab} m_p)^{1/2} \sim 2$ GeV]
- For resonance scattering

$$A(s,t) = \sum_{l} (2l+1)f_l(s)P_l(z_s(t)) \longrightarrow A(s,t) \sim \frac{P_{l_R}(z_s(t))}{s-s_R}$$



Scattering at High energies



• s-dependence:

•many intermediate particles can be produced, unitarity becomes complicated and less useful.

• t-dependence:

•high partial waves become important, several Legendre functions are needed.

 There is universality in both s and t-dependencies: smooth (constant or falling s-dependence), and forward/(backward) peaking in t. The universality hints into importance of t/(u) channel singularities.





From t-channel to s-channel (high energy forward scattering)⁸⁴



From u-channel to s-channel (high energy backward scattering) 85



analytical continuation from s to t



INDIANA UNIVERSITY Jefferson Lab

Sum of a large number of particle productions at high-s looks like an exchange of various resonances in the t-channel.

Use t-channel partial waves and analytically continue to large-s



Example of analytical continuation

$$A(s,t) = \sum_{l} (2l+1)f_l(t)P_l(z_t) \qquad s = -\frac{t-4m^2}{2}(1-z_t)$$

The series converges for $|z_t|<1$ (cosine of scattering angle in the t-channel), i.e. in the t-channel physical region. We want to know A(s,t) for in the s-channel physical region, in particular for large s, with corresponds to $|z_t| >> 1$.

For example, assume $f_l(t) = \frac{1}{l - \alpha(t)}$ i.e. it has a pole (resonance) where $\alpha(t)=1$

$$A(s,t) \sim J(z_t) = \sum_{l} \frac{z_t^l}{l - \alpha(t)} \quad \text{for } \alpha < 0 \text{ and } |z_t| < 1 \text{ use } \quad \frac{1}{l - \alpha} = \int_0^\infty dx e^{-x(l - \alpha)} dx e^{-x(l - \alpha)} dx = \int_0^\infty dx e^{-x(l - \alpha)} dx e^{-x(l - \alpha)} dx = \int_0^\infty dx = \int_0^\infty dx e^{-x(l - \alpha)} dx = \int_0^\infty dx e^{-x(l - \alpha$$

to obtain
$$J(z) = \int_0^\infty dx \left[\frac{e^{x\alpha}}{1 + ze^{-x}} \right] = z^\alpha \int_0^z \frac{dy}{y^{\alpha+1}(1+y)} \qquad y = ze^{-x}$$

provides analytical continuation for $\alpha > 0$ for large $z = z(s) \sim s$

$$J(z) = -\frac{z^{\alpha}\pi}{\sin\pi\alpha} + z^{\alpha} \int_{z}^{\infty} \frac{dy}{y^{\alpha+1}(1+y)} \to -\frac{z^{\alpha}\pi}{\sin\pi\alpha} \qquad z \to \infty$$

this is analog of

$$f(x) = 1 + x + x^{2} + \cdots$$
$$f(x) = \frac{1}{1 - x}$$

INDIANA UNIVERSITY Jefferson Lab

Reggeon

s-channel partial wave expansion $A(s,t) = \sum_{l} (2l+1)f_{l}^{(s)}(s)P_{l}(\cos\theta_{s})$

t-channel partial wave expansion $A(s,t) = \sum_{l} (2l+1)f_{l}^{(t)}(t)P_{l}(\cos\theta_{t})$

The amplitude at large-s (in the s-channel physical region) is dominated by a selected, infinite set of t-channel partial waves (t-channel resonances).

This sum is referred to as a Reggeon or a Regge exchange.

Since Reggeon is a collection of partial waves and partial waves have quantum numbers of resonances, so do Reggeon. They are like special kind of virtual particles. For example in perturbation theory pion we can talk about virtual, single pion exchange. A collection of all pion like exchange becomes a Reggion with pion quantum numbers. "Reggized pion"



Pomeron vs Reggeons

s-channel: multi-particle production

*

t-channel: collection of resonances: "Regge" exchanges



Exchange of t-channel partial wave with quantum numbers of the vacuum is called the Pomeron

(exchange of non-vacuum q.n. falls with energy)



Growing Radius, partons, saturation,...

Where does to parton model come from

adding correlated partons is beneficial (expansion not in g^2 but in $g^2 \log s$)

... and in space-time assuming Pomeron $\alpha(0)=1$

$$\begin{split} A(s,r_{\perp}) \sim \int d^2 k_{\perp} e^{ik_{\perp}r_{\perp}} e^{\alpha(-k_{\perp}^2)\log s} \sim \frac{1}{\log(s)} e^{-r_{\perp}^2/\log(s)} & \text{hadron swells} \\ \Delta E \sim \frac{\mu_{\perp}^2}{x(1-x)p_z} & \clubsuit & \text{long lived fluctuations finite <x>} \end{split}$$
 $(1-x)p_z \land \qquad \langle x \rangle^{\langle n \rangle} = \frac{p_z}{\mu} \quad \langle n \rangle \sim \log(s)$ $p_z \to \infty$ random walk in transverse space * $\langle r_{\perp} \rangle \sim \sqrt{\langle n \rangle} \frac{1}{\mu_{\perp}} \sim \log^{1/2}(s)$ interaction when commensurate large-s behavior universal momenta (Pomeron = vacuum pole, universal mid-rapidity) p = 0

INDIANA Reitsite kaster on a fast one a low-x parton out of a fast one

Comparing with Experiment



Large N_c



$$N_c \to \infty$$

 $g^2 N_C = const.$

An empty digram represents infinite number of process that happen in a plane !

The plane can be intercepted as a world sheet of a string/flux tube connecting the valance quarks

Non planar diagrams are suppressed by 1/Nc

To leading order in 1/Nc hadrons do not decay, that to not scatter.



 $\left< M \left| H \right| M \right> = O(1)$





Dualities

planar diagrams may be considered as either s-channel or t-channel



Ktp 15 u u d

Interpretation of what happens in s-channel is dual to what happens in the t-channel : Mesons require baryons and vice versa Regge phenomena : sum of t-channel resonances determines large-s behavior of the s0channel and vice versa.

Does it work ?



Dolen Horn Schmit duality



 $\bar{u}(p_1,\lambda_1)[A(s,t) + (k_1 + k_2)_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu}B(s,t)]u(p_2,\lambda_2)$

What about "exotic" hadrons



Standard argument for non-existence of multi quark sates: they can fall apart to ordinary mesons and baryons

For example 2 quarks and 2 anti quarks can rearrange into 2 quark-antiquark pairs

But confinement requires quarks are connected by flux tubes and it is possible that certain multi quark configurations are more favorable than "fall apart configurations"



Need to introduce strings

Talk by G.Rossi







Muti-quark states can be related to ordinary states by duality



^(b)To estimate the s-behaviour we have taken $\alpha_R = 0.5$.



Muti-quark states can be related to ordinary states by duality

BB→BB Junction duality diagrams s-channel t-channel^(a) annihilation formation Multiplicity exchange Slope s-channel mesons are dual to t $s^{\alpha(M_4^{\prime})-1} \sim s^{-3/2}$ 1qq – jet $\bar{n}(s) \simeq \bar{n}_{c^+c^-}(s)$ $\alpha'(M_4) \sim \alpha'_R$ channel tetra Regge pole quarks 2 sa(M2)-1~s-1 2qq - jets $\bar{n}(s) \simeq 2\bar{n}_{e^+e^-}(s/4)$ $\alpha'(M_2^I) \sim \frac{1}{2} \alpha'_R$ Regge pole tetra quarks 3 should form sα(M0)-1~s-1/2 3qą – jets $\bar{n}(s) \simeq 3\bar{n}_{e^+e^-}(s/9)$ $\alpha'(M_0^1) \sim \frac{1}{3}\alpha'_R$ Regge pole **Regge trajectories** just like mesons Mo $s^{2\alpha_B-2} \sim s^{-2}$ $\frac{1}{2}\alpha'_{R}$ $\tilde{n}(s) \simeq 2\bar{n}_{c^+c^-}(s/4)$ 2-Reggeon cut

Contribution to $B\bar{B}$ annihilation ($N_c = 3$)

^(a)To estimate the s-behaviour we have taken $\alpha_B \approx 0$.



Veneziano Model

f

$$(s) = rac{1}{K^{-1}(s) - i\Gamma(s)}$$
 $^{\infty}$ number of poles : confinement

Quadratically spaced radial trajectories

$$K(s) = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{g_r^2}{m_r^2 - s} \to \sum_r \frac{1}{r^2 - s} \sim \frac{\cos(\pi\sqrt{s})}{\sin(\pi\sqrt{s})}$$

Linearly spaced radial trajectories (Veneziano)

$$K(s) \sim \frac{\Gamma(a-s)}{\Gamma(b-s)}$$

INDIANA UNIVERSITY

Veneziano amplitude : crossing symmetric:

Jefferson Lab

$$A(s,t) = \frac{\Gamma(1 - \alpha(s))\Gamma(1 - \alpha(t))}{\Gamma(2 - \alpha(s) - \alpha(t))}$$
$$\alpha(s) = a + bs$$





relativistic h.o.



 $\omega \to 3\pi$



INDIANA UNIVERSITY

Other effects of partial wave analyticity

Scalar particle scattering 1+2 -> 3 + 4

$$A_l(s) = \int dz_s A(s, t(s, z_s), u(s, z_s)) P_l(\cos\theta)$$

Partial waves have "right hand" singularity (from s) and "left hand" (from t and u) For example assume equal masses

$$\propto (m_e^2 - t(s, z_s))^{-1} \qquad t = -\frac{(s - 4m^2)}{2}(1 - z_s)$$

$$A_0(s) \sim \int_{-1}^1 dz_s \frac{1}{m_e^2 + \frac{(s - 4m^2)}{2}(1 - z_s)}$$

For s>4m² integral is finite

For $s < 4m^2 - m_e^2$ the detonator crosses 0 within integration limi, implying $A_0(s)$ has a cut for negative s

Scalar amplitudes have simple singularity structure, but partial waves a much more complicated. They also have kinematical singularities when spin and/or unequal masse are involved



Bound states and Virtual States



INDIANA UNIVERSITY Jefferson Lab

- f0(980),
- a0(980),
- a1(1420),
- Lambda(1405),
- XYZ,
- Thresholds are "windows" to singularities (particles, visual states, forces") located on the nearby unphysical sheet.
- They appear as cusps (if below threshold) or bumps (is above)

bound state : pole on the physical energy plane the physical energy plane virtual state : pole on "unphysical sheet" closest the physical region



Amplitude singularities



• However, X-sections are given by A(s,t,u) and not by partial waves. In general "bumps" in partial waves are "washed out" and require partial wave analysis.

Jefferson Lab

INDIANA UNIVERSITY

herit singularities of

Well known examples of cusps




Classical picture

Coleman-Norton



t-channel resonance can produce schannel "band" if:

all particles on-shell

m₂ and m₁ collinear

 $v(m_2) > v(m_1)$



Example : Pc Kinematics





- In QCD light quark resonances appear clearly up to ~2GeV But one expected there to be an infinite number of them.
- At higher masses they are harder to find. To help discriminating between various hypotheses one should "consult" with expectations from quark model and duality arguments.
- Duality arguments are consistent with existence of multi quark hadrons.
- Veneziano model and generalizations could be used to implement these ideas in data analysis.
- Unlike non-relativistic theory, besides resonance poles one should work about "left-hand cuts' (cusps), however, so far there is no unambiguous evidence for them in the data.

Thank you for your attention !

