# IDEA Drift Chamber simulation e TB

Tassielli G.F. - INFN Lecce & Mathematics and Physics Dept., University of Salento RD\_FA collaboration meeting 2018, Milano





# Outline

- IDEA tracking system (DCH + SVX + SOT + PSHW) simulation status
  - Implementation with a standalone Geant4 code
  - Implementation in FCC-sw
  - Results
  - □ To do
- DCH test beam
  - Detector status
  - □ To do
  - Needs for test beam
- Summary





#### Implementation with a standalone Geant4 code

- Study was performed with a standalone geant4 simulation:
  - Geant4 10.01 p03 (and 10.03)
  - Physics List: QGSP BERT 4.0
  - 2T Constant Magnetic Field, G4ClassicalRK4 motion integrator
  - particles generator used: General Particle Source
  - Interfaced with HEPMC generator data format
- The DCH geometry description is detailed
- The DCH Hit simulation is approximated but a detailed version is available
- The SVX, SOT, PSHW geometry description is simplified
- The SVX, SOT, PSHW Hit simulation is just a geometrical segmentation
- We used the ROME (developed for MEG experiment https://midas.psi.ch/rome/) framework to manage the output data and run the track fitting and reconstruction.
- The GenFit2 is interfaced to perform this preliminary study on the expected tracking system performances on track fitting.
- A "preliminary" pattern recognition code is interfaced







IDEA tra	acking system -	Possible	e layouts	(DCH)		
I		Current	<b>Option 1</b>	<b>Option 2</b>		-
		value	value	value	dim.	
	R <sub>in</sub>	345	200*	250	mm	_
	R <sub>out</sub>	2000	2150	2000	mm	* not over the entire
	active area length	4000	4000	4000	mm	<ul> <li>length, to avoid overlap with beam</li> </ul>
	total length	4500	4500	4500	mm	pipe etc.
Geometry is	total cells	56448	34560	52704	n.	A possible construction strategy is available.
not yet	layers	112	96	112	n.	
optimizeu:	Superlayers	14	12	14	n.	All these
	Layers per SL	8	8	8	n.	numbers are
	phi sector	12	12	12	n.	under update to
	smaller cell	11.85	14.2	11.65	mm	reach a well
	larger cell	14.7	22.5	15.25	mm	established
	min. stereo angle	48	25	35	mrad	Base line
	max. stereo angle	250	240	245	mrad	





#### IDEA tracking system - Possible layouts (SVX) Base line

#### **Option 1 (larger DCH)**

	Ly g	R <sub>in</sub> [mm]	length [mm]	Thick [µm]	x pixel [μm]	Α	All these numbers				R <sub>in</sub> [mm]	length [mm]	Thick [µm]	pixel [µm]
	1	17	200	300	20	a	are under update			1	17	200	300	20
	2	23	200	300	20	to	o reach a	well	l	2	23	200	300	20
Barrel:	3	31	200	300	20	e	established				31	200	300	20
	4	180	600	300	20	B	ase line			4	180	600	300	20
	5	200	600	300	20					5	190	600	300	20
	6	330	1000	950	20									
	7	340	1000	950	20									
Ly		R <sub>in</sub> [mm]	R <sub>out</sub>	Zpos	Thick	pixel	Double	Ly	R <sub>in</sub>	$\mathbf{R}_{out}$	Zpos	<b>Thick</b>	pixel	Double
		[]	[mm]	[mm]	[µm]	[µm]			[mm]	[mm]	[mm]	[µm]	[μm]	
_	1	30	[mm] 170	[mm] 230	<b>[μm]</b> 300	[μm] 20	yes	1	[mm] 30	[ <b>mm</b> ] 170	[mm] 230	[µm] 300	[μm] 20	yes
Forward:	1 2	30 60	[mm] 170 170	[mm] 230 400	[μm] 300 300	<b>[μm]</b> 20 20	yes yes	1 2	[mm] 30 60	[mm] 170 170	[mm] 230 400	[µm] 300 300	<b>[μm]</b> 20 20	yes yes
Forward:	1 2 3	30 60 100	[mm] 170 170 320	[mm] 230 400 650	<b>[μm]</b> 300 300 300	<b>[μm]</b> 20 20 20 20	yes yes yes	1 2 3	[mm] 30 60 100	[mm] 170 170 190	[mm] 230 400 650	[µm] 300 300 300	<b>ἶμm]</b> 20 20 20 20	yes yes yes
Forward:	1 2 3 4	30 60 100 165	[mm] 170 170 320 340	[mm] 230 400 650 1100	<b>[μm]</b> 300 300 300 950	εm]       20       20       20       20       20       20	yes yes yes	1 2 3 4	[mm] 30 60 100 120	[mm] 170 170 190 190	[mm] 230 400 650 800	[µm] 300 300 300 300	[μm]         20         20         20         20         20         20         20         20	yes yes yes yes





#### IDEA tracking system - Possible layouts (Outer Si Layer + PSHW)

All these numbers are under update to reach a well established Base line

#### **Base line**

	Ly	R <sub>in</sub> [mm]	length [mm]	Thick [X <sub>0</sub> ]	pitch [µm]	
Barrel:	1	2005	2400	1%	70	
	2	2025	2400	1%	250	
	3	2045	2400	1%	250	
		_				
	Ly	R <sub>in</sub> [mm]	R <sub>out</sub> [mm]	Zpos [mm]	Thick [X₀]	pitch [µm]
Forward•	<b>Ly</b>	<b>R</b> <sub>in</sub> [mm]	<b>R</b> <sub>out</sub> [mm] 2000	<b>Zpos</b> [mm] 2300	<b>Thick</b> [X <sub>0</sub> ] 1%	pitch [µm]
Forward:	<b>Ly</b> 1 2	<b>R</b> <sub>in</sub> [mm] 300 300	R <sub>out</sub> [mm]           2000           2000	<b>Zpos</b> [mm] 2300 2325	Thick           1%	<b>pitch</b> [μm] 70 250

#### **Option 1 (larger DCH)**

Ly	R <sub>in</sub> [mm]	length [mm]	Thick [X <sub>0</sub> ]	pitch [µm]
1	2155	2400	1%	70
2	2175	2400	1%	250
3	2195	2400	1%	250

Ly	R <sub>in</sub> [mm]	R <sub>out</sub> [mm]	Zpos [mm]	Thick [X <sub>0</sub> ]	pitch [µm]
1	300	2150	2300	1%	70
2	300	2150	2325	1%	250
3	300	2150	2350	1%	250

between two measurement layers there is an absorber shell equivalent to 1  $X_0$  (used 6mm of Lead)





#### Implementation in FCC-sw

The DCH is implemented in a good but not detailed way

- Some details as wires and support structures are missing
- The Hit simulation is made with the following assumption:
  - $\hfill\square$  Stepping in the gas with a step length of 2 mm
  - Reject ionization acts with:
    - $E_{dep} < 10 \text{ eV}$
  - G4Step length <  $5\mu m$
  - Total  $E_{dep} < 100 \text{ eV}$
  - $\, \circ \,$  Drift the  $E_{_{dep}}$  to the nearest wire assuming constant drift velocity of 2 cm/µs
  - For each wire, merge the E<sub>dep</sub> with a drift time smaller than the maximum drift time in the cell
  - Beam-pipe, interaction region (IR), LumiCal and SVX taken from the CLD concept.







#### results

#### Standalone simulation:

- Performed resolution performance studies on single tracks as function of the momentum (0.5-100 GeV/c).
- Performed a scan of the resolutions as a function of the theta angle for tracks of fixed momenta (1, 10, 30, 100 GeV/c).
- started some Pattern Recognition studies.

#### FCC-sw simulation:

- Performed occupancy studies induced by background sources:
  - incoherent  $e^+e^-$  pairs on the interaction region (IR) at 365 GeV and at Z energy
  - SR





8/19

## IDEA tracking system – Expected tracking performance (single muon at 65 deg) Red curve has half of the statistic of blue one

Momentum Resolution

Transverse Momentum Resolution

9/19







#### IDEA tracking system - Expected tracking performance (single muon as function of $\vartheta$ ) 10 1 GeV 1 GeV 10 GeV 10 GeV $\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{1}}$ р - 30 GeV 30 GeV + .base line option 100 GeV 100 GeV momentum resolution: 10-3 0-3 -1 -0.8 -0.6 -0.4 -0.2 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 -0.8-0.6 0.2 0.6 0.8 -1 -0.4-0.20 0.4 cos(0) $\cos(\theta)$ $10^{-2}$ 10-2 1 GeV 1 GeV 10 GeV theta phi 10 GeV 30 GeV 30 GeV 100 GeV 100 GeV 10-3 10 angular vertex resolution: 10-4 10 10 1 10 -0.8 -0.4 0.2 0.8 -0.6-0.20.4 0.6 -0.8 -0.6 -0.4 -0.2 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 0

cos(0)

 $1^{\circ}1^{\circ}$ 



# IDEA tracking system – Expected tracking performance (single muon as function of $\vartheta$ )

base line option



More than 100 Hits per tracks  $-45^{\circ} < \vartheta < 45^{\circ}$ More than 60 Hits per tracks  $-25^{\circ} < \vartheta < 25^{\circ}$ 





#### IDEA tracking system – Expected tracking performance PR

10  $\mu$ 's (0-100 GeV), DCH only (no longitudinal info used) with Z vtx preselection of seeds

eff ~ 99.5% particle separation  $\Delta\phi_{_0}$  ~ 0.005 rad

efficiency to find 0.6nhits at 1 turn(|cos th|<0.8 over all tracks

# to be tested for secondary particles with vertex out of the SVX

efficiency to find 0.6nhits at 1 turn(P>1GeV) over all tracks





DCH occupancy due to incoherent e+e- pairs

(thanks to Niloufar Alipour Tehrani)

average DCH occupancy (400ns integration time) over 4 Bunch crossing ~ 1.2% (neglecting Hit from single G4 step)



average DCH occupancy (400ns

~ 2.8%

integration time) over 100 events



## DCH occupancy due to incoherent e+e- pairs (single G4step problem)

Hit created by a single G4 step create strangeness in the single cell distribution and a large occupancy at Z energy.

Removing them the distribution become normal and the occupancy at Z energy decrease at a reasonable level.

We have to check if is too optimistic or at which level the can be removed.



15/19



## To do (simulation):

- Produce a documentation and put in a common place the standalone simulation
- Investigate the background occupancy:
  - Use a full signal simulation or a (better parameterization)
  - Check the FCC-sw results with the standalone code
- Improve the FCC-sw DCH geometry description
- Approach the reconstruction task in FCC-sw
- Integration with the DR-calorimeter
  - In the standalone code the 4pi geometry is ready, needs help for the proper hit creation
  - In FCC-sw we have to start to implement the geometry first
- Implement a fast simulation (extract the right information form the full simulation) to perform physics studies





#### DCH test beam

- The prototype is built
- We are performing a working check and maintenance
- The DCH prototype is an array of 12X12
   1cm drift cells
- The active area is reduced to 10x10 cells
- Only a set of central cell (at least 8, depends on the available digitizer channels) are digitized
- The other cells will be discriminated and read with a TDC.







#### DCH test beam (to do and needs)

To do:

- Build a mechanical support to install the prototype to the test beam area (1-2 working days)
- procure / build HV cables of the needed length
- Gas procurement and bureaucracy (we need info)
- Work on the DAQ to speed up the acquisition rate (1-2 weeks)

Needs:

- 1-2 weeks rent of a van + travel (3 k€)
- Gas + services (2 k€)
- Eventual Connectors, adapters etc. at CERN (1 k€)
- Support for 3-4 people (1.5 k€/person)

#### Tot. 12 k€





## Summary

- A full simulation for the IDEA tracking system (DCH + SVX + SOT + PSHW) is ready at a good level of details
- The implementation in the FCC-sw is started and produce the first results on the DCH.
- Still more work to do:
  - work on the Pattern Recognition and test its performance;
  - optimization of the IDEA geometry configuration (SVX: n. layers and radii; DCH dimensions and cell layout);
  - perform some analysis on physics channels (like Higgs recoil mass in the Higgs Strahlung channel)
  - improve the hit makers to handle correctly the tracks pile up;
  - Simulate the dN/dx and the PID:
  - improve porting the DCH geometry etc. into the FCC framework;
  - Finalize the integration of the Dual Readout calorimeter in the full simulation
  - ... etc ...
- Work on the test beam!







# Backup







#### Cluster Counting/Timing and P.Id. expected performance







#### Cluster Counting/Timing and P.Id. expected performance

From the ordered sequence of the electrons arrival times, considering the average time separation between clusters and their time spread due to diffusion, reconstruct the most probable sequence of clusters drift times:  $\{t_i^d\}$   $i = 1, N_d$ 

#### dE/dx

$$\frac{\sigma_{dE/dx}}{(dE/dx)} = 0.41 \times n^{-0.43} \times (L_{track}[m] \times P[atm])^{-0.32}$$

from Walenta parameterization (1980)

truncated mean cut (70-80%) reduces the amount of collected information n = 112 and a 2m track at 1 atm give

 $\boldsymbol{\sigma} \approx 4.3\%$ 

Increasing P to 2 atm improves resolution by 20% ( $\sigma \approx 3.4\%$ ) but at a considerable cost of multiple scattering contribution to momentum and angular resolutions.



$$\frac{\sigma_{dN_{d}/dx}}{\left(dN_{d}/dx\right)} = \left(\delta_{d} \times L_{track}\right)^{-1/2}$$

from Poisson distribution

 $\delta_{cl}$  = 12.5/cm for He/iC\_4H\_{10}=90/10 and a 2m track give

#### $\sigma\approx 2.0\%$

A small increment of  $iC_4H_{10}$  from 10% to 20% ( $\delta_{cl} = 20$ /cm) improves resolution by 20% ( $\sigma \approx 1.6$ %) at only a reasonable cost of multiple scattering contribution to momentum and angular resolutions.



# The MEG2 Drift Chamber Performance







signa I track michel tracks

INFN

# The MEG2 Drift Chamber Performance





24/19



## 2 pairs seed construction (DCH only)

Seeding from 2 pairs of hits (each pair on same layer) pointing at the origin

2 consecutive hits in same layer

- $\rightarrow$  4=2x2(Left-Right) pairs with direction
- 2 pairs from nearest layers compatible:
- $|\Delta \cos(\varphi(direction) \varphi(position))| < 0.2,$
- crossing Z inside DCH
- 1 pair with origin → Pt estimate (averaged over 2 pairs)
- Cross Point of 2 opposite stereo pairs give Zcoordinate (with  $\Delta \phi$  correction from Pt)
- Pz = 0 at beginning

Z measurement give additional compatibility check between 2 hits and between 2 pairs

Combinatory low: 2 local compatibilities + 1 from opposite stereo view, but with direction angle check



Red hits projection at z=0planeYellow rotatedaccording to φ





#### additional seed construction (DCH only)

#### Seeding from 3 hits in different layers with origin constraint

- Take any 2 free hits from different stereo layers with a gap (4 or 6 layers)
- Cross Point of 2 wires give Z-coordinate (must be inside DCH volume)
- Select nearest free hits at middle (+-1) layer
- 2 hits from same stereo layer give initial angle in Rphi
- origin added with sigma Rphi~ 4cm Z ~ 100 cm (Mu2e case)
- Seeds constructed for all 2x2x2=8 combination of Left-Right possibilities
- Checked that at -4 (+-1) layer are available free hits with  $\chi^2 < 16$
- Extrapolate and assign any compatible hits (by χ<sup>2</sup>) from last to first hits
- Refit segment to reduce beam constraint
- Check quality of track segment:
  - $\chi^2/NDF < 4$
  - number of hits found (>=7)
  - number of shared hits (<0.4Nfound)</p>



Combinatory high: local compatibility over

- different layers,
- + 1 from different stereo view





#### IDEA expected Higgs resolution

the ILC  $4^{\rm th}$  concept detector had a central tracking system based on a similar Drift Chamber system.

The tracking performance was a little bit worst than the IDEA detector  $\chi^2/ndf$ 





