Variability study of extreme Blazars with VERITAS

eXtreme 2019

Orel Gueta for the **VERITAS collaboration**

DESY

Jan 23, 2019



HELMHOLTZ RESEARCH FOR GRAND CHALLENGES

xHBL xHBL

Secondary photons

 Explore VHE short-term variability as a function of energy to probe their contribution.

Introduction Why variability of xHBL?

Take advantage of the extensive VERITAS HBL dataset.









VERITAS The basics

and the



General:

- Energy range: 0.085 to >30 TeV
- Energy resolution 15-25%
- Sensitivity: 1% Crab in ~25h
- Angular resolution: R_68% < 0.1 deg at 1 TeV
- Pointing accuracy: Error < 50 arcsec
- Field of View: 3.5°
- Effective area 100,000 m²

xHBL variability study:

- Min energy threshold: 150 GeV
- Stability of the Crab was checked
- FermiPy used for *Fermi*-LAT analysis
- Swift-XRT from Leicester online tool

1ES 0033+595

1ES 0033+595

Previous publications

DESY.

- Classified as an extreme HBL (Nieppola E. et al.).
- MAGIC detected in 2009, estimate $z = 0.34 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.05$.
- Paiano et al. 2017 (tentatively) set z = 0.467.



60.5

60

59.5

59-

PSF

DEC [deg]

- 6

4

2

0

-2

VERITAS analysis 1ES 0033+595

Analyse full 1ES 0033+595 dataset

- ~63 hours of data, 0.27 +/- 0.01 gammas/min
- Cumulated significance 21.9 σ .
- Soft BDT cuts, E > 200 GeV.

DESY.

• Incorporate systematic uncertainties on flux due to energy reconstruction.





E [TeV]



Fermi-LAT analysis 1ES 0033+595

11 years of data

 10^{-11}

10⁻¹² -

10-13 -

10⁻¹⁴ -

10-15

 10^{-16}

64°

62°·

°00 DEC

58°

56°

s^{-1.}

cm⁻²

Ч Г

[MeV

dN/dE

• Use preliminary 8-year catalogue (FL8Y).

Significance

SY 10041.4+005

FL8Y10035.9+594

FLOY J0014.74

FLOY J0015.64

10³

5°

- -2

137.04322

10°

RA

FL8Y 10106.4+5938

15°

• Include all available Fermi data (up to Dec 18').



Upper limit on 1ES 0033+595 redshift

Spectrum + EBL + *Fermi-LAT* \rightarrow z \leq z_max

• De-absorb the measured VERITAS spectra using Franceschini 2008, incrementally increasing z, until

 $(\Gamma_{\text{deabs}} \pm \Delta \Gamma_{\text{deabs}}) - (\Gamma_{\text{Fermi}} \pm \Delta \Gamma_{\text{Fermi}}) > 2\sigma \ (95 \% \text{ conf.})$

Resulting upper limit

 $z \le 0.394$

• Reminder, Paiano et al. 2017 (tentatively) set z = 0.467





ElleVI

1ES 0033+595 SED

SED at estimated redshift

- Redshift estimated by searching for best fit between VHE and *Fermi*-Lat spectra. $z = 0.331^{+0.035}_{-0.029}$
- MAGIC estimated $z = 0.34 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.05$
- Does not include EBL model uncertainty.
- Add more MWL data.





MWL long-term lightcurves 1ES 0033+595

• Weak in HE (8-week bins). • Not enough data points to claim correlation. • Focused study of flare period?

DESY.



1ES 0229+200

MWL long-term lightcurves 1ES 0229+200



- Very weak in HE, most bins undetected.
- Some variability observed in Swift, a little less in VHE.
- Not enough data points to claim correlation.



DESY.



1ES 0502+675

MWL long-term lightcurves 1ES 0502+675



- High state in VHE when discovered.
- Weak and stable since then.
- Few X-Ray data available.
- No apparent correlation between Swift and HE/VHE.





1ES 1011+496

MWL long-term lightcurves 1ES 1011+496



- unclear xHBL classification (peak exactly at 10^17 Hz).
- Strong VHE flare, observed also in HE (X-Ray?).
- A dedicated study of the flare is necessary.





Correlations between lightcurves 1ES 1011+496

- Apply DCF to study correlations
- VHE/HE correlated with possible HE lag of ~3 weeks.
- Correlations with X-Ray fail due to sparse data.
- A dedicated correlation study during the flare is planned.



1ES 1218+304

MWL long-term lightcurves 1ES 1218+304



- Plenty of data available
- VHE and X-Ray are variable, HE more stable.
- X-Ray variable on short-time scales, VHE less so.





Correlations between lightcurves 1ES 1218+304

- Apply DCF to study correlations
- VHE/X-Ray show some correlation.
- Correlations with HE fail (not enough points).
- Additional studies required.



PG 1553+113

MWL long-term lightcurves PG 1553+113



- Classified as an HBL.
- Variable in all energy bands.
- Flare in VHE and X-Ray.
- Enough data to study correlations





Correlations between lightcurves PG 1553+113



RGB J0710+591

MWL long-term lightcurves RGB J0710+591



- Weak and stable at VHE/HE.
- Short-term variability seen in X-Ray.
- Decreasing Long-term trend?
- No apparent correlation.









Photon survival probability

1ES 1011+496

VHE lightcurves, 1ES 1011+496

Contribution from secondary photons

1ES 1011+496, weekly bins



DCF for VHE lightcurves, **1ES 1011+496**

Contribution from secondary photons

DESY.

1ES 1218+304

VHE lightcurves, 1ES 1218+304

Contribution from secondary photons

DESY.

DCF for VHE lightcurves, 1ES 1218+304

Contribution from secondary photons

PG 1553+113

VHE lightcurves, PG 1553+113

Contribution from secondary photons

PG 1553+113, weekly bins

DCF for VHE lightcurves, PG 1553+113

Contribution from secondary photons

Summary and outlook

Summary

- Studied long-term lightcurves of various HBLs/xHBLs, spanning a decade of VERITAS data.
- Long-term variability observed in all energy bands.
- Some sources present short-term variability, where
 - VHE/HE/X-Ray show potential correlation (1ES 1011+496 flare).
 - VHE/X-Ray vary, HE does not (e.g., 1ES 1218+304).
 - VHE/HE are stable, strong X-Ray variations.

xHBLs are not all the same?

• Intra-VHE correlation observed, implications for secondary photons contribution?

Outlook

- Estimate additional systematic uncertainty on 1ES 0033+595 redshift.
- Model the 1ES 0033+595 MWL SED.
- Test different lightcurve binning, coarser and finer.
- Expand correlation study and repeat for flares with finer binning.
- Explore SED variations (long-term).

Backup slides