



- I. Advanced Virgo Status VS O2
- II. Pending works, towards O3
- III. Very short sight beyond O3

((O))) Advanced Virgo layout VS O2 configuration

⊕ B8 Rushing to join observational run O2 (2017), Virgo SWEB collaboration, adopted a preliminary configuration. WE Comparing AdV/Virgo, main features comparing Input were: a) adoption of a new optical layout and Mode Cleaner b) heavier test masses WI Many minor AdV features were not implemented yet. SIB1 SPRB CP NI NE SNEB Faradav Isolator ₿7 ₽7 02 Laser PRM POP x2 Mass of 3km FP cavity mirrors 🔁 B2 x2.5 larger beams SIB2 SRM OMCs Higher quality substrates (<0.5 nm Roughness) • Improved coatings (<0.5 ppm, scattering <10ppm) SDB1 x3 Higher Finesse 🔁 B1 SDB2 Improved Thermal Compensation System Improved Stray Light reduction

((O))) Advanced Virgo layout

- Main characteristics
 - SiO2 mirrors, 350 mm in diameter, 200 mm thick, with residual roughness $< 0.5 \times 10^{-9}$ m.
 - Marginally stable cavities.
 - Monolithic suspensions: SiO2 fibers 400 μ m in diameter to suspend mirrors 42 kg in weight.



Also...

....

- Improved Stray Light Control suspended baffles
- Improved Thermal Compensation System

(CON) Test mass suspensions and seismic isolator: overall system



In AdV the first 5 stages of the Super-Attenuator (horizontal and vertical) are the same as in initial Virgo.





AdV quasi-monolithic suspensions, same successful design adopted since 2009, broke several times and a deep investigation was needed

The last filter of the Super attenuator, prolonged downwards, is in the same vacuum environment of the payload and surrounds it: the "actuation cage".

(()) Target sensitivity



Monolithic fused silica suspension breaking failures nightmare:

typically weeks after installation, at rest, under vacuum





Evidences of isolated bubbles in 3/8 cases Tests conducted through an intensive collaborative effort conducted also outside Virgo collaboration (e.g. Glasgow, ext. companies and research institution)

- Small bubbles in SiO2 (seemed the most promising)
- Quality of welding
- Mechanical impacts inside the payload structure
- Stress FEA studies
- Cleanliness and assembly procedures
- Existence of a radioactivity near the payload (the most exotic)

 Null identification of critical parameters
 Investigation tools integrated in the procedure
 Implementation of non-critical improvements

Monolithic fused silica suspension

On October 13th, 2016, just after the last fused silica suspension breaking, *we realized the event was clearly correlated with vacuum operations*

Material investigation study assessed that all the breakings failures started at the level of the fiber and not at the clamp/welding



Failed Mirror	Failure date	Time in air	Time in vacuum	Failed Fiber	Anchor type	Likely cause	ſ	New
WI (1st assembly)	Nov 18 th , 2015	5 months	9 days	3	old	Anchor coll apse	identification of failed fiber, after revision of Aug.2016	identification of failed
NI (1st assembly)	Dec 18 th , 2015	4.5 months	5 days	2	old	Fiber/welding failure		fiber, after revision of
NI (2nd assembly)	Mar 1 st , 2016	1 week	5 days	2	new	Fiber/rod failure		Aug.2016
NE	Oct.12 2016	6 months	4 months (currently	TBD	new	TBD		
WI dummy (1st assembly)	Apr 25 th , 2016	1 week	11 days	1	mixed old	Anchor collapse		
WI dummy	No failure	2 days	2 weeks		mixed old	No failure		
WI (2nd assembly)	June 25 th , 2016	1 month	30 days	3	new	Fiber/welding failure		Mirror Reference
WE (1st assembly)	Jun 28 th , 2016	7 months	18 days	3	new	Fiber/welding failure		System
from	the report	to the S	STAC, Octobe	er 18	3 th 2016	1 2	View fi	rom the top

Breakdown causes finally identified as arising from vacuum/venting inlets at least in 7/8 cases.





Backup solution: readapting payload to steel wires to join O2



Monolithic suspensions: *Sensitivity VS steel-wire backup*



((())) 02

SUMMARY



- **O1**~**49** *days* of coincident **LIGO** data
 - O2 ~120 days of coincident LIGO data
 ~16 days of coincidence with Virgo data
 10 GW alerts for EM follow-up

Averaged distances to which Binary Neutron Star could be detected VIRGO : 26 Mpc HANFORD : 55 Mpc LIVINGSTON : 100 Mpc



• observations 2015-17 vs 2010:

averaged observable volume of Universe : ~100x gain for BBH like GW150914 ~30x gain for BNS coalescence events

02



Quiet weather conditions (summer) Good duty cycle (85.5% in spite of some technical bug)

Highest BNS range: 28.2 Mpc Average ranges: BNS 26 - BBH₁₀ 134 - BBH₃₀ 314 Mpc

Short duration Glitchness to be reduced Automatic Alignment accuracy to be improved



Two well know events detected through LIGO-Virgo network

Post-O2 commissioning (fall 2017),

to tackle most relevant pending issues

Limited BNS range improvement Maximum value reached around 30 Mpc, Mean O2 range: 26.5 Mpc

Glitch rate significantly reduced (autoalignment, Global Inverted Pendulum Control...)



Still a significant difference with respect to the max performance achievable with steel suspension

Nevertheless, go ahead with upgrades

MOJJ Overall suspension improvement

- Global Inverted Pendulum Control (GIPC) is a technique already used in VIRGO in which common and differential error signals are built-up to control the seismic suspension top-stages.
- In particular, FP cavity correction can be used to derive differential signals along each arm
- Using this strategy the crossover frequency of the Position/Acceleration blending filters can be tuned and lowered (20 mHz, 30 mHz), improving the rejection of microseism, and making the system much more robust



(Control Control (GIPC)

An example of a strong earthquake that would very likely unlock the IFO without GIPC



((O))) Upgrades after O2

priority

Upgrades before O3

- I. Monolithic suspensions
- II. Vacuum system modifications

III.Squeezing (AEI)

IV. LASER amplifier integration

V. Integration of seismic sensors deployed around ETM for NN studies (monitor)

During O2 (GW detection in August 2018) Virgo adopted

steel wires in the last stage suspension, as a backup solution

Upgrades after O3: High Power Laser operation, Squeezing (2° phase)... Signal recycling

Trouble on Monolithic suspensions, solutions: *fiber guards to protect fibers against any external mechanical agent*



GEMMA Physics Workshop, Università del Salento, Lecce, 04 - June-2018

(O)) Planning towards O3 assuming to start in fall 2018

rush, parallel compression, accuracy



GEMMA Physics Workshop, Università del Salento, Lecce, 04 - June-2018

TΤ

Towards O3 assuming to start in fall 2018

rush, parallel compression, accuracy

Strategy:

- Reserve commissioning time
- Limit the number of upgrades

Target sensitivity for O3: 60 Mpc NSNS std candle

 Main benefit: monolithic suspension as removing the steel wire thermal noise provides a 20 Mpc range increase





Why a long commissioning is an issue:

Significant technical noise to be removed
Several other (minor, pending) issues in the planning

Updated timeline



GEMMA Physics Workshop, Università del Salento, Lecce, 04 - June-2018

Comparison Content of the checking actual implementation



- Breaking of fibers had caused destruction of anchors
- Removal of anchors left holes in ear surface
- Gluing of new anchors to ears was still possible
- But a new failure could make it impossible
- Ears are "hard" bonded to mirror flat cannot be removed

Actual monolithic suspension: status of mirror ears after fiber breakings, stress/ thermal noise

P. Puppo

<u>sità del Salento, Lecce, 04 – June-2018</u>



Mechanical stress in the damaged ear, after gluing of anchors, is far from breaking strength

ANSYS

Max stress in the ear ~7 MPa

II

7 MPa vs. 1 GPa in compression

Defect dept 1mm Area 81 mm²

Anchor contact area ~2mm width

Thermal noise is OK if the ear holes are not filled with glue (Talk by L. Naticchioni)

GEMMA Physics Workshop

(CON) Thermal Noise and risk mitigation: Spare mirror preparation





End mirror spares ready (coated, glued)
Coating/gluing of input mirrors: all will be ready mid-July

Spare mirrors ready to be suspended will stay in the tray during O3



II

Vacuum modifications

The vacuum system has been modified for eliminating the cause of the fiber problems



((O)) Extraordinary cleaning and dust survey





• O2 configuration towards O3

Laser Power &Co...

- Further developments towards Nominal AdV power
- Thermal Compensation System (TCS)
- Parametric Instabilities (PI)
- Squeezing implementation

[[[]]] Injected power upgrade: a trade-off towards O3

O2 injected set at 13, test later on with 14, 17, 20 and 26 W No major issues, simulation ~OK No need to use Thermal Compensation System Sideband gain reduced No parametric instabilities 10⁻²² V1:INJ_ITF_INPUT_mean__TIME 1194847270.0000 : Nov 16 2017 06:00:52 UTC V1:Hrec_Range_BNS__TIME 11. \Rightarrow ITF degrades, TCS needed to further Pin increase \Rightarrow Parametrin Instability study needed



O3 and beyond, HP LASER

4 main activities during last year ARTEMIS



100 W Fiber LASER

(Azur Light Systems and Alphanov)

- mid-July, long term study finalised.
- **Electronics** failure

100 W SolidState LASER with 19 W seeder (neoVAN-4S-HP, tested in AEI)

- Seeded using AdV spare slave LASER
- Under test right now

Decision taken, 100 W neoVAN for O3, operated at 50 W



(O)) O3, INJECTION SYSTEM: finalization



70 W amplifier replaced by a 100 W Max input power in the ITF: around 50 W 100 W fiber laser tests ongoing at Nice New pre-mode cleaner External Injection Bench "suspended"



GEMMA Physics Workshop, Università del Salento, Lecce, 04 - June-2018

Sensitivity curves: Power/Squeezing/Signal-recycling parameter prediction

Wire material - laser power



GEMMA Physics Workshop, Università del Salento, Lecce, 04 - June-2018

Sensitivity curves: Power/Squeezing/Signal-recycling parameter prediction

Squeezing - laser power



GEMMA Physics Workshop, Università del Salento, Lecce, 04 - June-2018



GWINC, P. Puppo/May/2018

Test Mass internal modes and parametric instabilities ^{II} thermal peaks *(axisymmetric modes)*

A subset of internal modes computable through FEA might be strongly coupled with cavity E.M. modes and excited, producing instability





Parametric Instabilities observed in LIGO (O1): active damping through Electro-Static Drive used for locking

$$\tau_{PI} = \tau_m / (1 - R_m)$$

 τ_m : natural time constant of the mechanical mode





Parametric Instabilities observed in LIGO (01) deduning through ring heater

Compensation of thermal mirror curvature can be used to attenuate excitation pop-up in specific cases.



Thermal tuning with the RH changes the Mirror radius of curvature and shifts the beating note far from the most critical mode (E)



Parametric instabilities passive dampers, mechanical damper also for Virgo, but after O3

First simulations ongoing with mechanical dampers

LIGO: the "acoustic mode Damper" PZT-shunt tuned damper



Frequency [Hz]

Pure mechanical damping mechanism developed by Virgo, under test using old V+ payload (Rome) and at EGO, through the dummy payload meant to check fused-silica suspension.



Parametric instabilities, bulk Q using mechanical damper

Coating and FS influence just the first 3-4 modes

Anchors and ears bonding starts to matter above 9kHz.



Thermal noise terms. [x10⁻²² m/sqrt(Hz)].

TN	Brownian Substrate	Damper	Silicate Bonding Glue	Brownian CTN	Total TM	Increment
ITM	8.7	16	11	52.3	56.4	4%
ETM	8.7	16	11	82.2	84.9	3%

Thermal noise increment a bit high, but the damper materials and resonances can be tuned.



- First critical mode
- (with the highest PGain)
- 12.5 kHz expected Q<1e6 (Rm<<1)

- So far PI study is not completed.
- PI impact might affect O3.
- Then we must use the only handles available:
- 1. present coil magnet pairs
- 2. and Ring heater
- 3. After O3, pure mechanical dampers are, so far, the solution



(CONDING) TCS, AdV Thermal Compensation System, in use during O3!

✓ High circulating power changes the ITF nominal optical configuration:



- ✓ ITF control signals degradates
- ✓ Sensitivity decreases
- ✓ TCS thermally acts on the ITF optics, restoring the nominal operating point



Change of RoC of mirrors due to the absorption of laser power





TCS actuators :

- ✓ CO₂ laser projector corrects thermal lensing
- Ring Heater (RH) acts on the thermoelastic deformation of the HR surface

TCS sensing :

- ✓ Wavefront sensors (HWSs) in the recycling cavity to measure thermal lensing (HWS-RC)
- ✓ HWSs probing each TM surface to measure the thermoelastic effect (HWS-HR)





TCS, AdV Thermal Compensation System, in use during O3 !

TCS being commissioned to be ready for O3





GEMMA Physics Workshop, Università del Salento, Lecce, 04 – June-2006

TM

[[[[[]]]]] Frequency Independent Squeezing bench (Max Planck Institute AEI !) II

In the last two years squeezed light prototype bench took place at the site. → Squeezing observed, local knowledge significantly grown, development facility operative, excellent position to actively collaborate with AEI to integrate plug&play squeezer bench, presently under commissioning



Two identical boxes have been developed, the second one remains in Hannover for debugging

- 3 Faraday isolators, matching Telescope, autoalignment
- Doubly Resonant OPO (532 and 1064 nm).
- Seismic: placed on a bench equipped with elastometers
- Environment: under laminar clean airflow, DT ~ 0.5 C OK
- AEI electronics rack to be integrated in AdV system
- Digital control HW and SW integrated with AdV
- VAC flange Susp Bench re-adapted
- Squeezer locking on Virgo laser via OPLL.

AEI Squeezer integrated in Virgo, commissioned by summer. It will be in operation during O3.

Frequency Independent Squeezing bench, *Integration*



GEMMA Physics Workshop, Università del Salento, Lecce, 04 – June-2018

Frequency [Hz]

Newtonian Noise subtraction, preparing post-03!



- About 50 sensors foreseen in each terminal building
- Two weeks of data collected in Jan-Feb 2018
- Analysis on-going





GEMMA Physics Workshop, Università del Salento, Lecce, 04 - June-2018

III

Newtonian Noise subtraction, preparing post-03!



In the range 10-30 Hz sound NN should be at least reduced as it shows a spectrum comparable to seismic NN (!!)

III



LIGO-Virgo-KAGRA observing scenario



MOJ AdV+ phase I: finally reaching Advanced Virgo full target

Complete the AdV program: 200 W laser; 125W at the ITF input Signal recycling \rightarrow 120 Mpc

Frequency Dependent Squeezing 300 m-long filter cavity \rightarrow 150 Mpc





Newtonian Noise Cancellation \rightarrow 160 Mpc

GEMMA Physics Workshop, Università del Salento, Lecce, 04 - June-2018

III

(CON) AdV+ phase II: Thermal noise reduction: large beams

Larger mirrors

Diameter: 550 mm, thickness: 200 mm, mass: 100-120 kg (?) Scenario 1: ETM-only \rightarrow 200 Mpc Scenario 2: full upgrade \rightarrow 230 MpcCoating improvements

If factor 3 reduction in **Coating TN**: Scenario 1: ETM-only \rightarrow 260 Mpc Scenario 2: full upgrade \rightarrow 300 Mpc

Several challenges, feasibility under study





III

Conclusions

- Virgo upgrades towards O3 done
- > Monolithic suspensions
- > Laser power increased
- Frequency independent squeezing
- O2 sensitivity just recovered
- Commissioning run with higher sensitivity in fall 2018
- Target 60 Mpc (CBNS) achievable with the HW installed
- One-year-long organisational and data storage (uncommented here), seriously considered

Conclusions

- Virgo upgrades towards O3 done
- > Monolithic suspensions
- > Laser power increased
- Frequency independent squeezing
- O2 sensitivity just recovered
- Commissioning run with higher sensitivity in fall 2018
- Target 60 Mpc (CBNS) achievable with the HW
- One-year-long organisational and data storage (uncommented here), seriously considered





We explore, in parallel, the land beyond O3: HIC SVNT LEONES