

The J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ decays at KEDR

ANDREY SHAMOV AND TATYANA KHARLAMOVA

FOR KEDR COLLABORATION

THE 13TH INTERNATIONAL WORKSHOP ON HEAVY QUARCONIUM

13-17 MAY 2019



N* Novosibirsk
State
University
*THE REAL SCIENCE

Outline

Introduction

VEPP-4M / KEDR

Latest result on J/ψ leptonic width

Latest result on $\psi(2S)$ leptonic width

Summary

J/ψ and ψ(2S) leptonic and total widths

J/ψ widths measurements

	Γ_{ee} , keV	Γ , keV	Comments
BaBar 04	5.61 ± 0.21	94.7 ± 4.4	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$ ISR
CLEO 06	5.71 ± 0.16	96.1 ± 3.2	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$ ISR
KEDR 10	5.51 ± 0.12	94.1 ± 2.7	$e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$
BES3 16	5.58 ± 0.09	-	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-\gamma$ ISR
PDG	$5.55 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.02$	92.9 ± 2.8	
KEDR 18	5.55 ± 0.11	92.9 ± 1.8	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$ $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$

ψ(2s) widths measurements

	Γ_{ee} , keV	Γ , keV	Comments
BES2 02	2.44 ± 0.21	264 ± 27	e^+e^-
BES2 06	2.33 ± 0.12	331 ± 58	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
BES2 08	2.34 ± 0.10	358 ± 88	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$
BES3 15	2.23 ± 0.10	-	$e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma\pi^+\pi^-J/\psi$
PDG	2.29 ± 0.06	286 ± 16	average
KEDR 18	2.28 ± 0.04	-	e^+e^-

$e^+e^- \rightarrow l^+l^-$ cross section nearby the narrow resonance

The cross section nearby the narrow resonance is described by the sum of 3 contributions: QED process, resonance production and their interference

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)^{ee \rightarrow ee} &= \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{\text{QED}}^{ee \rightarrow ee} + \frac{1}{W^2} (1 + \delta_{sf}) \left\{ \frac{9}{4} \frac{\Gamma_{ee}^2}{\Gamma M} (1 + \cos^2 \theta) \text{Im } f - \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{3\alpha}{2} \frac{\Gamma_{ee}}{M} \left[(1 + \cos^2 \theta) \text{Re} \frac{f^*}{1 - \Pi_0(s)} - \frac{(1 + \cos \theta)^2}{(1 - \cos \theta)} \text{Re} \frac{f^*}{1 - \Pi_0(t)} \right] \right\} \\ \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)^{ee \rightarrow \mu\mu} &= \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{\text{QED}}^{ee \rightarrow \mu\mu} + \frac{3}{4W^2} (1 + \delta_{sf}) (1 + \cos^2 \theta) \times \\ &\quad \left\{ \frac{3\Gamma_{ee}\Gamma_{\mu\mu}}{\Gamma M} \text{Im } f - \frac{2\alpha\sqrt{\Gamma_{ee}\Gamma_{\mu\mu}}}{M} \text{Re} \frac{f^*}{1 - \Pi_0(t)} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

(in the soft photon approximation)

[Azimov Ya.I. , Vainshtem A.I. , Lipatov L.N., Khoze V.A. JETP Lett. V. 21, issue 6(1975) p. 172]

$e^+e^- \rightarrow$ hadrons cross section nearby the narrow resonance

$$\sigma_{\text{n.r.}}^{\text{hadr}}(W) = \frac{12\pi}{W^2} \left\{ \left(1 + \delta_{\text{sf}}\right) \left[\frac{\Gamma_{ee}\Gamma_h}{\Gamma M} \text{Im} f(W) - \frac{2\alpha\sqrt{R\Gamma_{ee}\Gamma_h}}{3W} \lambda \text{Re} \frac{f^*(W)}{1-\Pi_0} \right] - \frac{\beta\Gamma_{ee}\Gamma_h}{2\Gamma M} \left[\left(1 + \frac{M^2}{W^2}\right) \arctan \frac{\Gamma W^2}{M(M^2 - W^2 + \Gamma^2)} - \frac{\Gamma M}{2W^2} \ln \frac{\left(\frac{M^2}{W^2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\Gamma M}{W^2}\right)^2}{\left(1 - \frac{M^2}{W^2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\Gamma M}{W^2}\right)^2} \right] \right\}$$

[V.V. Anashin et al., Phys. Lett. B 711(2012) 280]


The radiative correction from the structure function approach:

$$\delta_{\text{sf}} = \frac{3}{4}\beta + \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \left(\frac{\pi^2}{3} - \frac{1}{2} \right) + \beta^2 \left(\frac{37}{96} - \frac{\pi^2}{12} - \frac{1}{36} \ln \frac{W}{m_e} \right) \quad \text{with} \quad \beta = \frac{4\alpha}{\pi} \left(\ln \frac{W}{m_e} - \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

Function f definition:
$$f(W) = \frac{\pi\beta}{\sin \pi\beta} \left(\frac{W^2}{M^2 - W^2 - iM\Gamma} \right)^{1-\beta}$$

To fit resonance the numerical convolution of the analytical cross sections with the energy spread is used


Collider VEPP-4M and KEDR detector



Beam energy	1 – 5 GeV
Number of bunches	2 x 2
Luminosity at 1.8 GeV	$1.5 \cdot 10^{30} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$

Beam energy measurement:

- Resonant depolarization method
 - Instant measurement accuracy $\sim 1 \cdot 10^{-6}$
 - Energy interpolation accuracy $(5 - 15) \cdot 10^{-6}$ (10-30 keV)
- Infrared light Compton backscattering method with accuracy $< 100 \text{ keV}$



1. Vacuum chamber
2. Vertex detector
3. Drift chamber
4. Threshold aerogel counters
5. ToF counters
6. Liquid krypton calorimeter
7. Superconducting coil
8. Magnet yoke
9. Muon tubes
10. CsI calorimeter

Kedr is a siberian pine somewhat similar to lebanon cedar



Measurement of $\Gamma_{ee} \cdot B_h(J/\psi)$ and $\Gamma_{ee}(J/\psi)$

Combined fit of hadronic and leptonic events

Free parameters: $\Gamma_{ee} \cdot B_{ee}(J/\psi)$, $\Gamma_{ee} \cdot B_h(J/\psi)$ or $\Gamma_{ee}(J/\psi)$,



and also : $m(J/\psi)$, R_L , σ_W , σ_0

$L = 230 \text{ nb}^{-1}$

Scan in 11 points

250k J/ψ mesons







$\sigma_W = 692 \pm 4 \text{ keV}$



$$\Gamma_{e^+e^-} / \Gamma_{\mu^+\mu^-}(J/\psi) = 1.0022 \pm 0.0065$$

was fixed from KEDR result [Phys. Lett. B 731\(2014\) 227](#)

Simulation of J/ψ decays



Fair agreement between MC simulation and data in main properties of hadronic events:

the number of tracks from the IP - N_{IP} ,

the total number of particles - $N_{particles}$,


energy deposited in the calorimeter - E_{cal} ,

inclusive P_t and θ_{tracks} distributions,

the ratio of Fox-Wolfram moments H_2/H_0 .

Tuning of JETSET parameters in BES generator [Phys. Rev. D 62 (2000) 034003]

Luminosity measurement for $\Gamma_{ee}(J/\psi)$ analysis




The relative luminosity was measured by bremsstrahlung luminosity monitor

The absolute luminosity factor was calculated using e^+e^- events

Systematic uncertainties for $\Gamma_{ee}(J/\psi)$

Source	Uncertainty, %		
	Γ_{ee}	$\Gamma_{ee} \cdot \mathcal{B}_{\text{hadrons}}$	$\Gamma_{ee} \cdot \mathcal{B}_{ee}$
Luminosity	1.0	1.0	1.0
Simulation of J/ψ decays	0.7	0.7	–
Detector response	0.8	0.8	0.4
Accelerator-related effects	0.4	0.4	0.4
Theoretical uncertainties	0.4	0.4	0.2
Total	1.6	1.6	1.2

Measurement of $\Gamma_{ee}(J/\psi)$



$$\Gamma_{ee}(J/\psi) = 5.550 \pm 0.056 \pm 0.089 \text{ keV}$$

V. V Anashin et al., *J. High Energy. Phys.* (2018) 2018: 119

Agreement in $\Gamma_{ee}(J/\psi)$ obtained from hadronic and leptonic decays confirms the assumption, that interference phases are not correlated

$\Gamma_{ee} \cdot B_{\mu\mu}(\psi(2S))$ measurement


Experimental Statistics

Data set	Period	$\int L dt, \text{nb}^{-1}$	σ_w, MeV
Peak/cont. 1	January 2005	358	1.08
Peak/cont. 2	Autumn 2005	222	0.99
Scan 1	Spring 2006	255	0.99
Peak/cont. 3	Spring 2006	631	0.99
Peak/cont. 4	Autumn 2006	701	0.99
Peak/cont. 5	Autumn 2007	1081	1.01
Scan 2	End 2007	967	1.01
Scan 3	Summer 2010	379	1.00
Scan 4	End 2010	2005	0.98

Total luminosity
is about 6.5 pb^{-1}
 $4 \times 10^6 \psi(2S)$ mesons
Combined fit of
 e^+e^- and $\mu^+\mu^-$ events

Main Background Sources


Bg mode	$m, \%$	Efficiency, %	Correction, %
$J/\psi \pi^+ \pi^-$	34.49	$0.03 \div 0.09$	$2.29 \div 8.94$
$J/\psi \pi^0 \pi^0$	18.16	$0.01 \div 0.02$	$0.38 \div 0.92$
$\gamma \chi_{c0}(1P)$	9.99	< 0.01	$0.00 \div 0.05$
$\gamma \chi_{c1}(1P)$	9.55	$0.03 \div 0.03$	$0.47 \div 0.92$
$\gamma \chi_{c2}(1P)$	9.11	$0.02 \div 0.03$	$0.44 \div 0.69$
$J/\psi \eta$	3.36	$0.02 \div 0.05$	$0.17 \div 0.46$
e^+e^-	0.79	< 0.01	< 0.01
$\eta_c \gamma$	0.34	< 0.01	< 0.01
$\tau^+ \tau^-$	0.31	$0.05 \div 0.08$	$0.05 \div 0.07$
$J/\psi \pi^0$	0.13	$0.10 \div 0.15$	$0.03 \div 0.05$
$p\bar{p}$	0.03	$0.01 \div 0.03$	< 0.01



Systematic uncertainties for $\Gamma_{ee} \cdot B_{\mu\mu}(\psi(2S))$

Systematic uncertainty source	p/c 1	p/c 2	sc. 1	p/c 3	p/c 4	p/c 5	sc. 2	sc. 3	sc. 4	$\sigma_{\text{syst}}^{\text{CORR}}$
1 C. m. energy distribution	1.9	2.7	1.1	2.9	2.2	2.6	1.1	2.9	1.7	0
2 Fixed values of $M_{\psi(2S)}$, $\Gamma_{\psi(2S)}$	0.7	0.6	0.1	0.3	0.7	0.7	0.5	0.2	0.9	0.1
3 Energy measurement	3.1	0.6	< 0.1	1.7	0.3	0.5	0.2	3.8	2.7	< 0.1
4 Bhabha simulation	1.4	1.4	2.2	1.7	1.1	2.1	1.6	2.6	0.9	0.9
5 $\mu^+\mu^-$ scattering simulation	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.2
6 Collinearity cuts	0.8	2.8	2.4	0.8	2.1	1.4	1.5	5.4	1.6	0.8
7 e^+e^- polar angle range	1.1	2.0	1.8	1.0	1.0	1.2	1.6	2.1	1.3	1.0
8 Charge determination	0.6	0.3	0.8	0.6	0.2	1.9	0.1	1.0	0.4	0.1
9 Detector asymmetry	0.9	0.2	0.5	0.9	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.1
10 Extra energy deposit cut	1.4	1.2	2.2	0.5	1.0	0.6	2.2	1.7	1.6	0.5
11 Muon system cut	2.5	2.7	2.2	0.6	0.3	0.5	0.6	0.7	< 0.1	0
12 ABG thresholds	0.3	0.7	0.5	0.1	0.3	—	—	—	—	0.1
13 Calo trigger thresholds	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	< 0.1	0.4	0.5	0.4	0.2	< 0.1
14 RND trigger application	0.2	0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	0.3	0.1	0.9	0.3	< 0.1
15 FSR accounting	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3
16 e^+e^- events θ binning	0.6	0.2	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.4	0.3	0.1
17 ToF measurement efficiency	1.9	2.5	1.5	1.2	0.8	0.9	2.8	2.7	2.3	0.8
18 Trigger efficiency	0.9	< 0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	< 0.1
19 Theoretical accuracy	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Sum in quadrature	5.7	6.2	5.4	4.4	3.7	4.5	4.7	8.7	4.9	1.9


$\Gamma_{ee} \cdot \mathcal{B}_{\mu\mu}(\psi(2S))$ measurement



$$\Gamma_{ee} \cdot \mathcal{B}_{\mu\mu} = 19.3 \pm 0.3 \pm 0.5 \text{ eV}$$

World average taking Γ_{ee} and $\mathcal{B}_{\mu\mu}(\psi(2S))$ from PDG: $\Gamma_{ee} \cdot \mathcal{B}_{\mu\mu} = 18.5 \pm 2.1 \text{ eV}$

$\Gamma_{ee}(\psi(2S))$ measurement



[V. V Anashin et al., Phys. Lett. B 781 (2018) p. 174]

With lepton universality and KEDR result on hadronic channel:

$$\Gamma_{ee} \cdot \mathcal{B}_{\text{hadrons}} = 2.233 \pm 0.015 \pm 0.042 \text{ keV}$$

[Phys. Lett. B, 711 (2012), p. 280]

$$\Gamma_{ee} = 2.279 \pm 0.015 \pm 0.042 \text{ keV}$$

Summing up hadronic and 3 leptonic channels from KEDR:

$$\Gamma_{ee} \cdot \mathcal{B}_{ee} = 21.2 \pm 0.7 \pm 1.2 \text{ eV}$$

[Phys. Lett. B V. 781 (2018) pp. 174]

$$\Gamma_{ee} \cdot \mathcal{B}_{\tau\tau} = 9.0 \pm 2.6 \text{ eV}$$

[JETP Lett. 85 (2007) p. 347]

$$\Gamma_{ee} = 2.282 \pm 0.015 \pm 0.042 \text{ keV}$$

Summary

KEDR performed new precise measurements of J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ leptonic widths:

J/ψ leptonic width was measured in processes $e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$ and $e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$ with accuracy less than 2%.

$\psi(2S)$ leptonic width was obtained in process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ process with the world best accuracy about 3%.

Determination of electron widths of narrow resonances is a necessary addition to the R measurements (see [my report on Friday](#)).