# **Doubly heavy baryons at LHCb**

Menglin Xu On behalf of the LHCb collaboration



QWG 2019 13-17 May 2019 Torino Overview of doubly charmed baryons

## □ LHCb detector

- $\square$   $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$  discovery
- $\square$   $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$  lifetime
- $\blacksquare$  Additional decay modes of  $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$
- Prospects and outlook
- □ Summary

## □ Motivation

- DCBs provide **new and unique testing grounds** for studies of QCD
- e.g. In HQET two heavy charm quarks can be considered as a single static di-quark reducing it to a simpler  $Q\bar{q}$  system
- □Overview and status
  - Doubly charmed baryons (DCBs) of the form  $QQq(Q \equiv c; q \in u, d, s)$ 
    - > Ground states:  $\Xi_{cc}^{++}(ccu)$ ,  $\Xi_{cc}^{+}(ccd)$  and  $\Omega_{cc}^{+}(ccs)$  with  $J^P = 1/2^+$
    - > Only one DCB discovered so far,  $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$  (mass & lifetime)
    - > Active searches ongoing for  $\Xi_{cc}^+$  and  $\Omega_{cc}^+$  states
    - Production cross-section and quantum numbers remain unmeasured



## **Doubly charmed baryons**

Expected properties

•  $m(\Xi_{cc}^+) \simeq m(\Xi_{cc}^{++}) \& m(\Omega_{cc}^+) \simeq m(\Xi_{cc}^{++}) + 100 \text{ MeV}$ 

≻ From Lattice QCD, bag model, QCD sum rules, quark model etc[1-7]

- Large spread in  $\tau$  predictions for DCBs:
  - ➢ Between 100fs − 250fs [8-11]

 $\succ \tau(\Xi_{cc}^{++}) > \tau(\Omega_{cc}^{+}) > \tau(\Xi_{cc}^{+}) \implies$  main reason  $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$  searches were prioritize [8-11]

□ Production and decay

• Dedicated doubly heavy MC generator: GENXICC [12]

➤ Dominated by  $gg \rightarrow [cc] + \overline{c} + \overline{c}$  process

Single parton scattering produces [*cc*] diquark fragmenting into DCB

• **Decay weakly** to high multi-body final states  $\Rightarrow$  makes reconstructing decays challenging

ΡV

 $\Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \pi^+ \Lambda_c^+ (\rightarrow p K^- \pi^+)$ 

#### LHCb detector

#### □ Advantages

- Good particle identification (Muon station & RICHes)
- Excellent vertex resolution to isolate DCBs from lighter hadrons (VELO)



Most measurements discussed in this talk are from 2016 pp data (around 18% of total recorded luminosity at LHCb)



LHC era			HL_LHC era	
Run1 (2010-12)	Run2 (2015-18)	Run3 (2021-24)	Run4 (2027-30)	Run5+ (2031+)
$3 \text{ fb}^{-1}$	<b>9</b> fb <sup>-1</sup>	$23 \text{ fb}^{-1}$	<b>46</b> fb <sup>-1</sup>	> <b>300</b> fb <sup>-1</sup> ??

Phase-1 UpgradePhase-1b Upgrade?Phase-2 Upgrade?

# $\Xi_{cc}^{++} \otimes SELEX$

- □ SELEX (Fermilab E781) claimed observation of  $\Xi_{cc}^+(ccd)$  in  $\Xi_{cc}^+ \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+$  and  $\Xi_{cc}^+ \rightarrow pD^+K^-$  decays
  - Short lifetime:  $\tau(\Xi_{cc}^+) < 33$  fs @ 90% CL, but not zero
  - Large production:  $R = \frac{\sigma(\Xi_{cc}^+) \times BF(\Xi_{cc}^+ \to \Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+)}{\sigma(\Lambda_c^+)} \sim 20\%$
  - Mass (combined):  $3518.7 \pm 1.7$  MeV (not consistent with most theory predictions)



## $\Xi_{cc}^+$ @ LHCb & others

□ SELEX results **not confirmed** by FOCUS, Baber, Belle & LHCb

 $\Box \ \Xi_{cc}^+ \to \Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+ \text{ searched by LHCb 2011 data}$ 

•  $\delta m \equiv m \left( [pK^{-}\pi^{+}]_{\Lambda_{c}}K^{-}\pi^{+} - m ([pK^{-}\pi^{+}]_{\Lambda_{c}}) \right) - m(K^{-}) - m(\pi^{+})$ 

> 380 < δm < 880MeV/c<sup>2</sup> corresponding to 3300 < m(Ξ<sup>+</sup><sub>cc</sub>) < 3800MeV/c<sup>2</sup>.

• No significant signal was found



# Searching for $\Xi_{cc}^{++}(ccu)$

 $\square$  Expected to have **longer** lifetime than  $\Xi_{cc}^+$ , higher sensitivity at LHCb

**Decay:**  $\Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$ , branching fraction up to 10% [15]

**D** Data sample: LHCb run II at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV, ~1.7 fb<sup>-1</sup>

- Dedicated exclusive trigger ensuring high efficiency, full event reconstruction at trigger level
- Run I data (2012) also analyzed for cross-check



# $\Xi_{cc}^{++} ightarrow \Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$ [PRL 119 (2017) 112001]

Doubly charmed baryon **first** observation

• LHCb announced discovery  $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$  baryon in 2017 after studying the decay chain  $\Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ (\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow pK^-\pi^+) \text{ K}^-\pi^+\pi^+$ 

**D** Properties

- Signal yield:  $313 \pm 33$
- Significance >  $12\sigma$  with 1.67 fb<sup>-1</sup> ( $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV)
- Significance >  $7\sigma$  with 2.08 fb<sup>-1</sup> ( $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV)
- Peak width consistent with simulation
- $m(\Xi_{cc}^{++}) = 3621.40 \pm 0.72 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.27 \text{ (syst)} \pm 0.14 \text{ (}\Lambda_c^+\text{)}MeV/c^2 \text{ [13]}$
- $t/\sigma_t > 5 \Rightarrow \tau(\Xi_{cc}^{++})$  measurement is needed





□ Inconsistent with **zero** in the observation paper

□ Lifetime measurement is critical:

- Confirm it is a **weakly** decay
- Necessary ingredient for theoretical prediction of BR
- Important information for experimental exploration of  $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$
- Test various predictions in QCD models



## $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$ lifetime [PRL 121 (2018) 052002]

#### **U** Weak decay confirmed

- Same 2016 data as  $\Xi_{cc}^{++} \to \Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$  analysis with extra trigger requirement
- Decay-time distribution measured relative to  $\Lambda_b^0 \to \Lambda_c^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-$ 
  - Same selection requirements applied to both decays and common systematic effects largely cancel
  - Lifetime acceptances taken from simulation



# $\Xi_{cc}^{++} ightarrow \Xi_{c}^{+} \pi^{+}$ [PRL 121 (2018) 162002]

#### **Confirmed existence**

- Searching for more modes to understand the decay dynamics of DCBs
- Looked at decay chain:  $\Xi_{cc}^{++} \to \Xi_{c}^{+} (\Xi_{c}^{+} \to pK^{-}\pi^{+}) \pi^{+}$ 
  - $\succ \text{Expect } \mathcal{B}(\Xi_{cc}^{++} \to \Xi_{c}^{+}\pi^{+}) \simeq 10\%, \mathcal{B}(\Xi_{cc}^{++} \to \Lambda_{c}^{+} \text{ K}^{-}\pi^{+}\pi^{+}) \simeq 10\% \text{ [15]}$

 $\succ$  Less final-state tracks  $\Rightarrow$  better efficiency

Branching fraction				
$\mathcal{B}(\Lambda_c^+  ightarrow  ho K^- \pi^+) = 6.35\%$ [16]				
${\cal B}(\Xi_c^+  o  ho {\cal K}^- \pi^+) = 2.20\%~[15]$				



### $\Xi_{cc}^{++} ightarrow \Xi_{c}^{+} \pi^{+}$ [PRL 121 (2018) 162002]

#### **\Box** Re-discovery of $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$

- $m(\Xi_c^+\pi^+) = 3620.56 \pm 1.45 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.40 \text{ (syst)} \pm 0.30 (\Xi_c^+) \text{ MeV}/c^2$
- $m(\Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^+) = 3621.40 \pm 0.72 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.27 \text{ (syst)} \pm 0.14(\Lambda_c^+) \text{ MeV}/c^2$
- Combined:  $m(\Xi_{cc}^{++}) = 3621.24 \pm 0.65 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.31 \text{ (syst)} \text{ MeV}/c^2$

•  $\frac{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Z}_{cc}^{++} \to \mathcal{Z}_{c}^{+} \pi^{+}) \times \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Z}_{c}^{+} \to pK^{-} \pi^{+})}{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Z}_{cc}^{++} \to \Lambda_{c}^{+} K^{-} \pi^{+} \pi^{+}) \times \mathcal{B}(\Lambda_{c}^{+} \to pK^{-} \pi^{+})} = 0.035 \pm 0.009 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.003 \text{ (syst)} [17]$ 



14

## $\Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow D^+ p K^- \pi^+$ [LHCb-PAPER-2019-011]]

#### $\square \text{ Searched for } \Xi_{cc}^{++} \to D^+(D^+ \to K^-\pi^+\pi^+) pK^-\pi^+ \text{ in 2016 data}$

□ Motivation

- Excellent  $D^+ \to K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$  trigger
- Long lifetime of  $D^+$  (1 ps)  $\Rightarrow$  files further from  $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$  decay point
- Expect  $\mathcal{B}(\Xi_{cc}^{++} \to D^+ p K^- \pi^+) \simeq \mathcal{B}(\Xi_{cc}^{++} \to \Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^+)$



# $\Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow D^+ p K^- \pi^+$ [LHCb-PAPER-2019-011]]

#### **D** Results

- No  $\Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow D^+ p K^- \pi^+$  signal in 2016 data
- Using CLs method to set upper limits on:

• 
$$\mathcal{R} = \frac{\mathcal{B}(\Xi_{cc}^{++} \to D^+ pK^- \pi^+)}{\mathcal{B}(\Xi_{cc}^{++} \to \Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^+)} = \frac{N(D^+ pK^- \pi^+)}{N(\Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^+)} \times \frac{\varepsilon(\Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^+)}{\varepsilon(D^+ pK^- \pi^+)} \times \frac{\mathcal{B}(\Lambda_c^+ \to pK^- \pi^+)}{\mathcal{B}(D^+ \to K^- \pi^+ \pi^-)}$$
  
•  $\mathcal{R} < 1.5 (1.9) \times 10^{-2} @ 90\% (95\%) CL$ 
  
•  $\mathcal{R} < 1.5 (1.9) \times 10^{-2} @ 90\% (95\%) CL$ 

 $\square$  Better understanding of resonant and non-resonant contributions in  $\frac{2}{2}$ 

$$\Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow D^+ p K^- \pi^+$$
 and  $\Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow \Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$  needed to explain large

difference in branching fractions

• Likely suppression from low **Q-value** of decay



## **Prospects with DCBs**

#### **Current work**

- Production cross-section  $\sigma(pp \to \Xi_{cc}^{++} + X)$  analysis progressing well
- Update on  $\Xi_{cc}^+ \to \Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+$  search soon
  - > SELEX collaboration reported signals of  $\Xi_{cc}^+$  in this mode in 2002 [17]
  - > Inconsistent with being isospin partner of LHCb's  $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$  state [18]
- Searching for  $\Xi_{cc}^+$  baryon in decay of  $\Xi_{cc}^+ \to \Xi_c^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$
- Dedicated  $\Omega_{cc}^+$  search programme started as well

#### Plan

- Establishing quantum numbers (J<sup>P</sup> etc.)
- Searches for excited  $\Xi_{cc}^*$  and  $\Omega_{cc}^*$  states

#### Aims to build an accurate and concise picture of doubly charmed baryons as a whole

#### Summary

□ World-leading works on doubly charmed baryons spectroscopy.

- **Observed**  $\Xi_{cc}^{++}(ccu)$  state decaying to  $\Lambda_c^+ K^- \pi^+ \pi^+$  and  $\Xi_c^+ \pi^+$  final states
- No evidence of  $\Xi_{cc}^{++} \to D^+ p K^- \pi^+$  decay
  - > Implications for dynamics of **weakly** decaying doubly charmed baryons
- Established mass and lifetime of  $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$  state
- □ Expect more of doubly charmed baryons
  - Diverse programmed of DCB studies currently in progress
    - > Includes the much anticipated search of singly charged  $\Xi_{cc}^+$  (ccd) baryon

Hopefully more doubly charming results coming soon Thanks for your attention!

## **Reference I**

- [1] W. Roberts and M. Pervin, *Heavy baryons in a quark model*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A23 (2008) 2817, arXiv:0711.2492.
- [2] D.-H. He et al., Evaluation of spectra of baryons containing two heavy quarks in bag model, Phys. Rev. D70 (2004) 094004, arXiv:hep-ph/0403301.
- [3] Z.-G. Wang, Analysis of the <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> doubly heavy baryon states with QCD sum rules, Eur. Phys. J. A45 (2010) 267, arXiv:1001.4693.
- [4] C.-H. Chang, C.-F. Qiao, J.-X. Wang, and X.-G. Wu, Estimate of the hadronic production of the doubly charmed baryon Ξ<sub>cc</sub> under GM-VFN scheme, Phys. Rev. D73 (2006) 094022, arXiv:hep-ph/0601032.
- [5] A. Valcarce, H. Garcilazo, and J. Vijande, *Towards an understanding of heavy baryon spectroscopy*, Eur. Phys. J. A37 (2008) 217, arXiv:0807.2973.
- [6] J.-R. Zhang and M.-Q. Huang, *Doubly heavy baryons in QCD sum rules*, Phys. Rev. **D78** (2008) 094007, arXiv:0810.5396.
- [7] C.-H. Chang, T. Li, X.-Q. Li, and Y.-M. Wang, Lifetime of doubly charmed baryons, Commun. Theor. Phys. 49 (2008) 993, arXiv:0704.0016.

## **Reference II**

- [8] D. Ebert, R. N. Faustov, V. O. Galkin, and A. P. Martynenko, Mass spectra of doubly heavy baryons in the relativistic quark model, Phys. Rev. D66 (2002) 014008, arXiv:hep-ph/0201217.
- [9] B. Guberina, B. Melic, and H. Stefancic, Inclusive decays and lifetimes of doubly charmed baryons, Eur. Phys. J. C9 (1999) 213, arXiv:hep-ph/9901323.
- [10] A. V. Berezhnoy, A. K. Likhoded, and A. V. Luchinsky, *Doubly heavy baryons at the LHC*, Phys. Rev. D98 (2018) 113004, arXiv:1809.10058.
- [11] LHCb, R. Aaij et al., Measurement of the  $J/\psi$  pair production cross-section in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV, JHEP **06** (2017) 047, arXiv:1612.07451, [Erratum: JHEP10,068(2017)].
- [12] C.-H. Chang, J.-X. Wang, and X.-G. Wu, GENXICC2.0: An upgraded version of the generator for hadronic production of double heavy baryons Ξ<sub>cc</sub>, Ξ<sub>bc</sub> and Ξ<sub>bb</sub>, Comput. Phys. Commun. **181** (2010) 1144, arXiv:0910.4462.
- [13] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, Observation of the doubly charmed baryon  $\Xi_{cc}^{++}$ , Phys. Rev. Lett. **119** (2017) 112001, arXiv:1707.01621.

- [14] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij et al., Measurement of the lifetime of the doubly charmed baryon \(\mathcal{E}\_{cc}^{++}\), Phys. Rev. Lett. **121** (2018) 052002, arXiv:1806.02744.
- [15] F.-S. Yu et al., Discovery Potentials of Doubly Charmed Baryons, Chin. Phys. C42 (2018) 051001, arXiv:1703.09086.
- [16] LHCb collaboration, R. Aaij *et al.*, *First observation of the doubly charmed baryon decay*  $\Xi_{cc}^{++} \rightarrow \Xi_{c}^{+} \pi^{+}$  *decay*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **121** (2018) 162002, arXiv:1807.01919.
- [17] SELEX collaboration, M. Mattson *et al.*, *First observation of the doubly charmed baryon* \(\frac{\pi\_c}{cc}\), Phys. Rev. Lett. **89** (2002) 112001, arXiv:hep-ex/0208014.
- [18] M. Karliner and J. L. Rosner, Isospin splittings in baryons with two heavy quarks, Phys. Rev. D96 (2017) 033004, arXiv:1706.06961.