

Response of TESs to charged particle impacts and analysis technique for exotic atom X-ray spectroscopy

<u>Hideyuki Tatsuno</u>¹, D.A. Bennett², W.B. Doriese², M.S. Durkin², J.W. Fowler², J.D. Gard², T. Hashimoto³,
R. Hayakawa¹, T. Hayashi⁴, G.C. Hilton², Y. Ichinohe⁵, H. Noda⁶, G.C. O'Neil², S. Okada⁷, C.D. Reintsema²,
D.R. Schmidt², D.S. Swetz², J.N. Ullom², S. Yamada¹, for the J-PARC E62 Collaboration

1. Tokyo Metropolitan Univ., 2. NIST, 3. JAEA, 4. ISAS/JAXA, 5. Rikkyo Univ., 6. Osaka Univ., 7. RIKEN

1. Identification of charged particle events

Charged X-ray particle

The application of TESs at the chargedparticle-beam environment requires nontrivial analysis techniques. Investigating the charged particle impacts on the TES array is important to perform precision X-ray spectroscopy. The energy deposits of charged particles on the array, especially on its silicon substrate, can cause small thermal crosstalk pulses in all TESs. The pileup of the thermal crosstalk and normal X-ray pulses degrades the energy resolution due to poor pulse-height estimation via optimal filtering, moreover the additional low-energy and high-energy tail components are needed to fit the X-ray peaks.





Left: The 240-pixel Mo-Cu bilayer TES array, each pixel is covered with the $4-\mu$ m thick Bi absorber. The total active area is 23 mm² with the gold-coated silicon collimator. *Right*. Timedivision-multiplexing (TDM) readout system through 8 SQUID columns.

The group trigger is used to aid in the identification of thermal crosstalk. A TES pulse triggers the recording of that TES (primary records) and the four adjacent TESs (secondary records).

2. Thermal crosstalk effects in pulse peak region

Thermal crosstalk in the pulse peak region is identified using secondary event records from the four adjacent TES pixels. We define the pulse peak region as 256 samples from the primary trigger (1 sample is 7.2 μ s) and can evaluate the peak-region-mean value (PRMV) for each TES. Abnormally high PRMV indicated that an X-ray event is affected by the pulse-peak-region thermal crosstalk.

The high-energy component of the X-ray peak is excluded by the PRMV cut. There is no need for the empirical high-energy tail function to fit the X-ray peaks.



Beam-on and off PRMV distributions of the adjacent TESs (secondary records). Events affected by the thermal crosstalk are identified by the condition $|PRMV| \ge 10$ (19 % of all pulse records).

The beam-on energy spectra of Co Ka X-rays summed over 221 TES pixels. *Left*, the energy spectra of all events (black), events affected by the thermal crosstalk in the pulse peak region (orange), and clean events not affected by the thermal crosstalk in the pulse peak region (blue). *Right*, the normalized spectra of events not affected by thermal crosstalk (blue) and affected (orange) in the pulse peak region. The orange histogram has a visible high-energy component.

3. Short record length analysis and the piled-up events in the post peak region



4. Baseline shift, gain drift, and drift correction



The baseline is slightly changing when beam is on in addition to the gain drift, because the heat from the charged particles energy deposits heats the TES pixels. The beam-on and beam-off correlation between baseline and pulse height could be slightly off. If the energy calibration curve is shared, the beam-on X-ray peak position may shift by 0.2 eV.

5. Summary

- Developed the analysis techniques to identify the piled-up events with the thermal crosstalk and to reduce the pileup effects.
- The high-energy and low-energy components of the X-ray spectra are excluded by using the pulse-peak-region analysis with the group trigger and the shorter record-length analysis to discard the piled-up record timing, respectively.
- These methods improve the energy resolution from 6.6 eV (FWHM) to 5.7 eV at 6.9 keV and enable simpler fits of X-ray spectra, which leads to precise and accurate energy calibration.

Acknowledgements: This work was partly supported by the Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (KAK- ENHI) from MEXT and JSPS (Nos. 16H02190, 15H05438, 18H03714, and 18H05458). We thank the members in the NIST Quantum Sensors Project. We appreciate the significant contributions by J-PARC, RIKEN, and those who kindly have backed up the J-PARC E62 experiment.