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Motivations
for anisotropy search

AMS-02 observes structures in the spectra of e+, e−, p, He that cannot be fully explained within the current physical knowledge. These features may be connected to new phenomena which could induce some degree of anisotropy in their fluxes:

• Local environment (e.g., galactic magnetic field, effects due to the solar activity at low rigidity)
• Local sources (e.g., pulsars for e+ and e−, local SNRs)

BEING IN SPACE:
Pro:
• A long term, (nearly) full-sky observation and a three-dimensional measurement of the tiny CR anisotropy signals;
• Primary CR detected before interacting with the atmosphere;

Con:
• In LEO’s, the presence of the magnetosphere: CR directions after trajectory reconstruction in magnetosphere, rigidity cut-off;
• Not uniform exposure.
Reference maps: best guess for an image of an isotropic sky measured by AMS-02 in the respective data taking period. Any deviation from this reference map might be detected as a signal.

Choices for reference maps:
I) other cosmic ray species (e.g. protons used for leptons)
II) same cosmic ray species (at different energy)
III) simulation of an isotropic sky from data

Absolute Anisotropy
Topic of the analysis efforts at the moment

Relative Anisotropy
No significant deviation from isotropy is found!
A **likelihood fit** procedure has been set up to compare the species under study to the reference sky map. It takes into account the differences in the exposure for different rigidities. A spherical harmonics expansion of the relative anisotropy is obtained:

\[
\Phi(\theta, \varphi) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} a_{l,m} Y_{l,m}(\theta, \varphi)
\]

For the **three dipole components** \((l=1)\):

- **North-South**
  \[
  \rho_{NS} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{4\pi}} a_{10}
  \]

- **Forward-Backward**
  \[
  \rho_{FB} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{4\pi}} a_{11}
  \]

- **East-West**
  \[
  \rho_{EW} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{4\pi}} a_{1-1}
  \]

**Dipole amplitude**:
\[
\delta = \sqrt{\rho_{NS}^2 + \rho_{FB}^2 + \rho_{EW}^2}
\]
Relative anisotropy

Results based on 5-year sample, up to November 2016: 10^6 electrons, 80000 positrons, 6x10^6 protons (>150GV)

Other CR species as reference for detector exposure:

- **other cosmic ray species**: e+/e- and e+/p, e-/p also at magnetosphere border. Protons to normalize for exposure.

  In galactic coordinate, for [16,350]GeV:
  \[
  \delta_{e^+/p} \left(95\% \text{ C.L.}\right) < 2\% \quad \delta_{e^+/e^-} \left(95\% \text{ C.L.}\right) < 2\%
  \]
  \[
  \delta_{e^-/p} \left(95\% \text{ C.L.}\right) < 0.6\%
  \]

  PAMELA published:
  \[\delta_{e^+/e^-}(95\% \text{ C.L.}) < 17\%\]

- **same cosmic ray species at different energy**: high energy p vs. low energy p. Low energy (before break) protons to normalize for exposure.

  In galactic coordinate, for R>150GV (full span)
  \[
  \delta_{pH/pL} \left(95\% \text{ C.L.}\right) < 0.2\%
  \]
Positrons vs. protons
Dipole components in galactic coordinates

No significant deviation from isotropy is found
Electrons vs. protons*

Dipole components in galactic coordinates

*Protons back-traced with negative charge

No significant deviation from isotropy is found
Protons High vs. Protons Low
Dipole components in galactic coordinates

No significant deviation from isotropy is found
Reference maps: an accurate MC generation of the isotropic sky is needed. The usual method consists in generation of events proportionally to the exposure time and to the detector acceptance.

Efficiency corrections as a function of detector position are required to prevent non-physical signals, with a precision better than $10^{-4}$ (expected signal), but:
- limited in statistics
- statistics different for each detector position

In galactic coordinate, for $R>16\text{GeV}$:

\[
\begin{align*}
\delta_e^{+}(95\%\text{ C.L.}) &< 2\% \\
\delta_p(95\%\text{ C.L.}) &< 0.1\% \\
\delta_e^{-}(95\%\text{ C.L.}) &< 0.5\%
\end{align*}
\]
The case of GSE “signal”

X axis pointing from Earth to Sun
Y axis in ecliptic plane opposing Earth motion
Z axis perpendicular to Ecliptic plane

This coordinate system allows investigating night-day effects which can be averaged out over a complete year revolution in inertial systems, e.g. galactic
A significant **EW component** (but also a smaller FB component) appears in proton and electron anisotropy, after efficiency corrections, already present in relative anisotropy studies...
Proton relative anisotropy
Harmonic analysis of the diff. rigidity scale effect

In GSE, a differential rigidity scale with an amplitude below 1/25 TV^{-1}
induces a modulation of the H/L ratio in the ecliptic plane

The effect of this differential rigidity scale can be seen as a correction
we need to take into account in our analysis
The case of GSE “signal”

M. Velasco, AMS General Meeting 31.05.2017

Proton relative anisotropy
Dipole components: GSE coordinates

Full Span protons

East-West

North-South

No significant deviation found after taking into account rigidity scale correction
Before CNAF flooding:

After Summer 2017, analysis efforts were mainly directed to the systematic study of the **absolute anisotropy**:  
- New procedure for exposure time and counting maps  
- New procedure for trigger efficiency maps  
- New procedure for rate maps  
  in differential rigidity bins  
  with monthly time binning  
  for different coordinate systems (GAL, GTOD, ECI, GSE, GeoMag)

**Codes local backup**

**Data completely lost** (except few periods at CERN)

Time to CNAF problem resolution apparently too short for scheduling a new complete data selection
After CNAF restoring:

- Complete the absolute anisotropy analysis:
  - compare with the most updated data selection available
  - full efficiency correction studies

- Perform the analysis at the magnetosphere border:
  - determine the exposure outside magnetosphere
  - optimize the FoV determination with back-tracing
  - compute efficiency corrections at the magnetosphere border
  - determine systematics due to back-tracing