Phenomenology of Z'-bosons at the LHC

Juri Fiaschi

<u>Accomando, Belyaev, Fiaschi, Mimasu, Moretti, Shepherd-Themistocleous,</u> JHEP, 01 (2016), 127

Accomando, Fiaschi, Moretti, Shepherd-Themistocleous, Phys. Rev. D 96, 075019 (2017)

Accomando, Barducci, De Curtis, Fiaschi, Moretti, Shepherd-Themistocleous, JHEP, 07 (2016), 068



School of Physics and Astronomy

06/03/2018





Motivations for BSM searches

1.0 0.8

Probability 0.4

0.2

We have evidences

for BSM physics:

- Neutrino masses
- Matter / antimatter asymmetry
- Dark matter / dark energy



Motivations for BSM searches

0.8

Probability 0.6

We have evidences

for BSM physics:

- Neutrino masses ≻
- Matter / antimatter asymmetry ≻
- Dark matter / dark energy

We have theoretical arguments

for BSM physics:

U בייים את הייים את הייים את הייים את הייים את הייים Families of flavour me∨ Me e< ke∖ Ge

neutrinos

- Hierarchy problem
- Higgs, a fundamental scalar that makes the most important job
- \rightarrow fine tuning $O(10^{34})$ ($M_{Planck} = 10^{19} \text{ GeV}, M_{Hiaas} = 10^2 \text{ GeV}$) Juri Fiaschi



THE HIGGS IS THE PARTICLE RESPONSIBLE

FOR GIVING MASS TO

OTHER PARTICLES

vou're fat



TeV

τ

Searches for BSM

Where shall we look for BSM physics?

 We want to probe physics at the SM energy scale:

• We want to probe physics at higher energy scale:

- Precision measurements can detect BSM effects in low energy observables
- Determination of the parameters of the Higgs potential to understand the dynamics of Symmetry Breaking
- New heavy particles can be directly produced, and their decay can be observed
- New signatures can be established as smoking gun of a particular BSM construction

Searches for BSM

Where shall we look for BSM physics?

- We want to probe physics at the SM energy scale:
- Precision measurements can detect BSM effects in low energy observables
- Determination of the parameters of the Higgs potential to understand the dynamics of Symmetry Breaking
- We want to probe physics at higher energy scale:

In this talk

New heavy particles can be directly produced, and their decay can be observed

New signatures can be established as smoking gun of a particular BSM construction

Analysis of two opposite sign leptons in the final state: $pp ightarrow \ell$

Observing leptons in the final state is a good idea!

- They can be generated only through Electro-Weak interactions (free of QCD background)
- They are easy to detect (efficient triggers and clean signature)
- Detectors are capable of very precise measurements of the kinematics

Topics of this talk

- Z'bosons: theory \rightarrow pheno \rightarrow experiment
- Z's from GUT
- Parametrising Z'interactions
- > LHC updates in the di-lepton channel

Experimental searches and their caveats

- Narrow resonances
- > Wide resonances
- > Multiple resonances

Conclusions

The Drell-Yan channel



Drell-Yan (DY) process, i.e. production of two leptons in the final state from the interactions of two quarks

The mediator of this interaction must be a **neutral** particle coupled to the **Electro-Weak (EW)** sector

In the SM the **photon** and the **Z-boson** do this job



Many BSM constructions predict an extra contribution to the DY due to <u>a new heavy mediator</u>, a **Z'-boson**

The *di-electron* and *di-muon* final states are the <u>golden channel</u> for the detection of **Z'** resonances

Z's at the GUT scale

From the theory point of view

 $U(1)' = U(1)_{\chi} \cos \theta + U(1)_{\psi} \sin \theta$

Generalised Left-Right class (GLR)

 $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_{B-L}$

 $\rightarrow SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y \times U(1)'$

 $U(1)' = U(1)_R \cos \phi + U(1)_{B-L} \sin \phi$

Generalised Standard Model class (GSM)

 $U(1)' = U(1)_L \cos \alpha + U(1)_Q \sin \alpha$

Juri Fiaschi

Just a heavier copy of the SM

Z's at low energy

We have an effective
description
$$SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_{em} \times U(1)_{Z'}$$

 $\mathcal{L} \supset g' Z'_{\mu} \bar{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} (a_V - a_A \gamma_5) \psi$

The structure of the interaction is fixed. The only the free parameters are:

- <u>Fermions' chiral couplings</u>
- <u>Mass</u> and <u>Width</u> of the **Z'**-boson

Juri Fiaschi

From the pheno

point of view

Parameters of the interaction

Single Z' benchmarks

From the pheno point of view

U(1)'	Parameter	a_V^u	a^u_A	a_V^d	a^d_A	a_V^e	a^e_A	a_V^{ν}	a_A^{ν}
E6(g' = 0.462)	θ								
χ	0	0	-0.316	-0.632	0.316	0.632	0.316	0.474	0.474
ψ	0.5π	0	0.408	0	0.408	0	0.408	0.204	0.204
η	-0.29π	0	-0.516	-0.388	-0.129	0.388	-0.129	0.129	0.129
S	0.129π	0	-0.130	-0.581	0.452	0.581	0.452	0.516	0.516
Ι	0.21π	0	0	-0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
Ν	0.42π	0	0.317	-0.157	0.474	0.157	0.474	0.316	0.316
GLR(g' = 0.592)	ϕ								
R	0	0.5	-0.5	-0.5	0.5	-0.5	0.5	0	0
B-L	0.5π	0.333	0	0.333	0	-1	0	-0.5	-0.5
LR	-0.130π	0.326	-0.459	-0.591	0.459	-0.06	0.459	0.199	0.199
Y	0.25π	0.589	-0.354	-0.118	0.354	-1.061	0.354	-0.354	-0.354
GSM(g'=0.762)	α								
SM	-0.072π	0.186	0.487	-0.336	-0.487	-0.035	-0.487	0.487	0.487
T3L	0	0.5	0.5	-0.5	-0.5	-0.5	-0.5	0.5	0.5
Q	0.5π	1.333	0	-0.667	0	-2	0	0	0
Juri Fiaschi	ıri Fiaschi						06/03/20	018 7	

Narrow Z's at the LHC

A "bump" in a smooth background



Narrow Z' discovery projections



LHC will be <u>sensitive</u> to narrow resonances with masses up to:

- > 3 TeV 4 TeV at the current luminosity ($\mathscr{L} = 30 \text{ fb}^{-1}$)
- > 4 TeV 5 TeV by the end of the Run-II stage ($\mathscr{L} = 300 \text{ fb}^{-1}$)
- > 5 TeV 6.5 TeV by the end of the High Luminosity stage (\mathscr{L} = 3000 fb⁻¹)

Accomando, Belyaev, Fiaschi, Mimasu, Moretti, Shepherd-Themistocleous, JHEP, 01 (2016), 127

Narrow Z' exclusion projections



LHC will be able to exclude narrow resonances with masses up to:

- > 4 TeV 5 TeV at the current luminosity (L = 30 fb⁻¹)
- > 5 TeV 6 TeV by the end of the Run-II stage ($\mathscr{L} = 300 \text{ fb}^{-1}$)
- > 6 TeV 6.5 TeV by the end of the High Luminosity stage (\mathscr{L} = 3000 fb⁻¹)

Accomando, Belyaev, Fiaschi, Mimasu, Moretti, Shepherd-Themistocleous, JHEP, 01 (2016), 127

Updates from the LHC



Updates from the LHC



Wide Z's at the LHC

A "shoulder" on an estimated background



Wide Z' <u>(Г/М > 5%)</u>

From the exp point of view

The experimental analysis is essentially a <u>counting experiment</u> where we seek for an excess of events above an estimated SM background

> Heavy relying on a good understanding and control of the SM background. Systematic uncertainties in the high invariant mass region (i.e. from PDFs) can spoil the extrapolation.

Other observables can help <u>disentangling</u> a Z' signal: The Forward-Backward Asymmetry (AFB) maintains a visible line-shape even for large values of the resonance width.

• The transverse momentum distribution (p_{τ}) can be used to extract information on the resonance width.

Limits on the XS sensitivity



The Forward-Backward Asymmetry

The Forward-Backward Asymmetry (AFB) is sensitive to different combination of the fermions chiral couplings

12



$$\sum_{spin,pol} \left| \sum_{i} \mathcal{M}_{i} \right| = \frac{\hat{s}^{2}}{3} \sum_{i,j} |P_{i}^{*}P_{j}| \left[(1 + \cos^{2}\theta)C_{S}^{i,j} + 2\cos\theta C_{A}^{i,j} \right]$$
Cross section term AFB term

Where the two coefficients depends on different combinations of the couplings:

$$C_{S}^{i,j} = (a_{V_{i}}a_{V_{j}} + a_{A_{i}}a_{A_{j}})_{L}(a_{V_{i}}a_{V_{j}} + a_{A_{i}}a_{A_{j}})_{Q}$$

$$C_{A}^{i,j} = (a_{V_{i}}a_{A_{j}} + a_{A_{i}}a_{V_{j}})_{L}(a_{V_{i}}a_{A_{j}} + a_{A_{i}}a_{V_{j}})_{Q}$$

 $\frac{\sigma_F - \sigma_B}{\sigma_F + \sigma_B}$ $A_{FB} =$

$$\sigma_F = \int_0^1 \frac{d\sigma}{d\cos\theta} d\cos\theta$$
$$\sigma_B = \int_{-1}^0 \frac{d\sigma}{d\cos\theta} d\cos\theta$$

The *θ* angle is defined in the partonic center of mass

In proton-proton collisions no access to c.o.m. frame:

The direction of the incoming quark is defined by the <u>boost of the di-lepton system</u>

At LHC we can observe the reconstructed AFB or AFB*



- also in case of wide resonances
- · Being a ratio of cross sections, part of systematics cancel out

CMS-SMP-14-004, Eur. Phys. J., C76 (2016) 6, 325

300

1000

2000

M [GeV]

200

Still few data points in the high invariant mass region. Statistical uncertainty dominates 06/03/2018 17

50

100



^{06/03/2018} 18



Juri Fiaschi

06/03/2018 **19**

Usage of the AFB*

Features:

Consequence:

AFB as <u>diagnostic</u> tool

- AFB depends on different combination of the couplings, with respect to the cross section
- The shape of the AFB is affected by strong <u>interference</u> effects

- Complementary information about the <u>chiral couplings</u>, with respect to the cross section Rizzo, JHEP 0908 082 (2009)
- The model dependent shape of the AFB can help in distinguish between different models

Usage of the AFB*

Features:

Consequence:

AFB as <u>diagnostic</u> tool

- AFB depends on different combination of the couplings, with respect to the cross section
- The shape of the AFB is affected by strong <u>interference</u> effects

- Complementary information about the <u>chiral couplings</u>, with respect to the cross section Rizzo, JHEP 0908 082 (2009)
- The model dependent shape of the AFB can help in distinguish between different models

AFB as <u>search</u> tool

- It comes from the <u>ratio</u> of cross sections
- For both <u>narrow & wide</u> <u>resonances</u> AFB can be used together with the bump search

- Systematic uncertainties cancel (<u>PDFs</u>, luminosity, etc.)
- <u>Off-peak</u> effects due to interference are sizeable and can be observed

Lepton transverse momentum distribution

One single **Z'** benchmark model for different values of its width



At tree-level the two leptons in the final state have the same transverse momentum, thus there is no ambiguity.



Consider an interval in the p_{τ} spectrum that we want to probe

Normalise the distributions in the selected interval

Accomando, Fiaschi, Moretti, Shepherd-Themistocleous, Phys. Rev. D 96, 075019 (2017)

Juri Fiaschi

06/03/2018 **21**

Normalise the curves

Normalised p_{τ} distributions in the interval defined by $p_{\tau,min}$



Define two integration regions

The **FP** divides the p_{τ} spectrum in two regions



(2)

For each curve calculate the <u>integral</u> in the two regions of the p_{τ} spectrum on the *left* and on the *right* side of the **FP**.

The results of the integrations will be called **L** and **R**, respectively.

Define the Asymmetry of the Focus Point (AFP)



Properties of the Focus Point



Properties of the Focus Point

0.4

0.1

0.0

0.35

1000

The FP depends on the collider

energy and on the Z' mass

1500

 p_T [GeV]

SM

E6-I

GLR-LR

LHC@8TeV

 $M_{7'} = 3 \text{ TeV}$

 $\Gamma_{Z'} / M_{Z'} = 1\%$

2000

 $M_{7'} = 2.5 \text{ TeV}$

2500

26

GSM-SSM



The \mathbf{p}_{τ} spectrum is marginally affected by the interference. Its model dependent effect on the curves is negligible.



The AFP

	$M_{Z'} = 4 \text{ TeV}$						
Predictions for the AFP	Model $\Gamma_{Z'}/M_{Z'} = 1\% \Gamma_{Z'}/M_{Z'} = 5\% \Gamma_{Z'}/M_{Z'} = 10\% \Gamma_{Z'}/M_{Z'} = 20\%$						
	$p_T^{\min} = 900 \text{ GeV}$						
	SM	SM 0.82±0.05					
Statistical error	E_{6}^{I}	0.44 ± 0.07	0.72 ± 0.06	0.77 ± 0.06	0.80 ± 0.06		
evaluated for M_{z} , = 4 TeV	LR	0.02 ± 0.07	0.55 ± 0.07	0.68 ± 0.07	0.76 ± 0.06		
$\mathscr{L} = 1 \text{ ab}^{-1}$	SSM	-0.29 ± 0.05	0.26 ± 0.08	0.50 ± 0.08	0.67 ± 0.07		
	$p_T^{\min} = 1000 \text{ GeV}$						
	SM	0.81 ± 0.08					
	$-E_{6}^{I}$	0.27 ± 0.10	0.65 ± 0.09	0.72 ± 0.09	0.77 ± 0.08		
	LR	-0.14 ± 0.07	$0.40 {\pm} 0.10$	0.58 ± 0.10	0.70 ± 0.09		
For some models we	SSM	-0.37 ± 0.05	0.06 ± 0.10	0.33 ± 0.12	0.56 ± 0.11		
can constrain Z' widths	$p_T^{\min} = 1100 \text{ GeV}$						
up to Γ/M ~ 20%	SM	0.79 ± 0.11					
	E_6^I	0.12 ± 0.12	0.57 ± 0.13	0.68 ± 0.12	0.74 ± 0.12		
	LR	-0.22 ± 0.08	0.25 ± 0.14	0.47 ± 0.14	0.64 ± 0.13		
	SSM	-0.38 ± 0.05	-0.08 ± 0.12	0.16 ± 0.15	0.43 ± 0.16		
1.0	1.0		1.0				



The AFP

	$M_{Z'} = 5 \text{ TeV}$							
Predictions for the AFP	Model $\Gamma_{Z'}/M_{Z'} = 1\% \Gamma_{Z'}/M_{Z'} = 5\% \Gamma_{Z'}/M_{Z'} = 10\% \Gamma_{Z'}/M_{Z'} = 20\%$							
	$p_T^{\min} = 1100 \text{ GeV}$							
	SM	0.88 ± 0.05						
Statistical error	E_6^I	0.71 ± 0.07	$0.84 {\pm} 0.06$	0.85 ± 0.05	0.87 ± 0.05			
evaluated for M_{z} , = 5 TeV	LR	0.40 ± 0.08	$0.76 {\pm} 0.07$	0.82 ± 0.06	$0.85 {\pm} 0.06$			
$\mathscr{L} = 3 \text{ ab}^{-1}$	SSM	0.04 ± 0.08	$0.60 {\pm} 0.08$	0.74 ± 0.07	0.82 ± 0.06			
		$p_T^{\min} = 1200 \text{ GeV}$						
	SM	0.87±0.07						
	E_6^I	0.62 ± 0.10	0.81 ± 0.08	$0.84 {\pm} 0.07$	0.85 ± 0.07			
	LR	0.22 ± 0.10	0.68 ± 0.10	0.77 ± 0.09	0.83 ± 0.08			
For some models we	SSM	-0.14 ± 0.09	0.44 ± 0.12	0.64 ± 0.11	0.77 ± 0.10			
can constrain Z' widths	$p_T^{\min} = 1300 \text{ GeV}$							
up to Γ/M ~ 20%	SM	0.86±0.09						
	E_6^I	0.50 ± 0.14	0.77 ± 0.11	0.81 ± 0.10	0.84 ± 0.10			
	LR	0.06 ± 0.12	0.58 ± 0.14	0.72 ± 0.13	$0.80 {\pm} 0.11$			
	SSM	-0.24 ± 0.09	0.27 ± 0.16	0.52 ± 0.16	0.70 ± 0.14			
1.0	1.0		1.0					
	1.0		1.0					



Other possibilities for BSM Z's?

Single Z'

- Extra U(1) (E6, Left-Right model, B-L motivated...)
- Extra SU(2) (2HDM, SSM...)

Multiple Z'

- Technicolor (Vanilla, Running, Custodial, Walking) Andersen, Frandsen, Hapola, Nardecchia, Sannino Eur. Phys. J. Plus, 126 (2011), 81
- Extra Dimensions (KK excitation)
- Composite Higgs

Other possibilities for BSM Z's?

Single Z'

- Extra U(1) (E6, Left-Right model, B-L motivated...)
- Extra SU(2) (2HDM, SSM...)

Multiple Z'

- Technicolor (Vanilla, Running, Custodial, Walking) <u>Andersen, Frandsen, Hapola,</u> <u>Nardecchia, Sannino</u> <u>Eur. Phys. J. Plus, 126 (2011), 81</u>
- Extra Dimensions (KK excitation)
- Composite Higgs
- <u>Non-Universal Large Extra Dimensions</u>
- <u>4-Dimensional Composite Higgs Model</u>

Accomando, Barducci, De Curtis, Fiaschi, Moretti, Shepherd-Themistocleous, JHEP, 07 (2016), 068

Juri Fiaschi

06/03/2018 **29**

The NUED model

- Minimal version of the large Extra Dimensions class of models.
 - \rightarrow Only the SM gauge bosons are allowed to propagate in the EDs.
- Two energy scales determine the phenomenology:
 - → $M_s = I_s^{-1}$ → string length related (very high energy ~ M_{Plank}).
 - → R^{-1} → related to the length of the extra dimensions compactified on a D-dimensional torus.
- We can decompose the higher-dimensional space as 3 + d $_{\parallel}$ + d $_{\perp}$
 - → 3 + d_{||} longitudinal dimension of the big brane that contains the 3D brane where the SM lives.
 - → d_{\perp} indicates the EDs which are felt by the gravity and are transverse to the big brane.
- The particle content of the model is:
 - → Gravitons: closed strings propagating in the whole space.
 - → SM fermions: <u>localized on the 3D brane</u>.
 - → SM gauge bosons: open strings propagating in the $(3 + d_{\parallel})$ brane.

Antoniadis, Benakli, Phys. Lett. B, 326 (1994) 69-78

The NUED model

• We consider the case of a 5D NUED model:

- \rightarrow D = d₁ = 1 and periodic boundary conditions on the compact direction.
- The states propagating in the (4+D)-dimensional space are seen from the 4D point of view as a tower of resonances with masses

$$M_{KK}^2 = m_0^2 + \frac{n^2}{R^2}$$

Antoniadis, Benakli, Quiros, Phys. Lett. B, 331 (1994) 313-320

- The localization of the fermions allows the direct production of KK resonances through $f\bar{f'} \rightarrow V^{(n)}_{\kappa\kappa}$ while VV $\rightarrow V^{(n)}_{\kappa\kappa}$ is forbidden.
 - → In the <u>NUED</u> all the SM gauge group can propagate in the 5D bulk space and therefore have KK excitations.
 - → In the <u>NUED(EW</u>) only the SU(2) ⊗U(1) EW gauge group can propagate in the compactified extra dimension and acquire KK excitations.
 - \rightarrow <u>The two scenarios do not differ</u> in the purpose of our analysis.

Bella, Etzion, Hod, Oz, Silver, Sutton, JHEP, 09 (2010), 025
The NUED model

- EWPT bounds from LEP data on the 5D NUED model:
 - → Most recent bounds can be found in:

Accomando, Mod. Phys. Lett. A30, 1540010 (2015)

→ Depending on the scalar sector realization they give:

 $R^{-1} \ge 3.8 - 5.4 \ TeV$

- LHC limits:
 - → LHC Run-I data at 20 fb⁻¹ integrated luminosity set comparable bounds

R⁻¹ ≥ 3.8 TeV

Phenomenology of NUED model



Phenomenology of NUED model





Juri Fiaschi

The 4DCHM

The Higgs boson is a bound state arising from a strong dynamics.
 The Higgs boson is a pseudo Nambu-Goldstone Boson





- Higgs from a spontaneous breaking of $G \rightarrow H$
 - → The most studied in the literature is SO(5) / SO(4)

Agashe, Contino, Pomarol, Nucl. Phys. B719, (2005), 183

• The SO(5) / SO(4) coset:

- → 4 Goldstone bosons.
- → Contains the SO(4) custodial symmetry to protect the parameter ρ .
- → $SO(5) \rightarrow SO(4)$ at the TeV scale.
- \rightarrow Minimum number of degrees of freedom that give a correct Higgs potential.

- The gauge sector of the 4DCHM is described by two non linear $\sigma\text{-models}.$

- → The introduction of the covariant derivative makes the two models interact: $SO(5)_{I} \otimes SO(5)_{R} \rightarrow SO(5)_{I+R} \rightarrow SO(4)$
- → In addition there is an extra U(1) which crosses the SO(5).

Son, Stephanov, Phys. Rev. D69 (2004), 065020

The 4DCHM

- We can define an unitary gauge. The degrees of freedom are:
 - → 10+1+4 scalars provided by the two σ -models.
 - \rightarrow 10+1 give mass to the 5 neutral and 6 charged spin 1 physical states.
 - → The 4 left are identified with the SM Higgs sector d.o.f..
- We need to introduce a new fermion sector to misalign the vacuum. The particle content of the model is:
 - → <u>5 Z'</u>
 - → 3 W'
 - → 2 T and 2 B quarks (with exotic charges)

Agashe et al, Nucl. Phys. B719, (2005), 165

- We will be interested in the phenomenology of the Z's. Brief recall of their properties:
 - → Only three of the five Z's interact with the SM fermions, thus they will be the only one producible at the LHC (Z_2 , Z_3 and Z_5).
 - → First approximation two of them have mass equal to $m_{\rho} = f g_{\rho}$, while the other has mass equal to $\sqrt{2}m_{\rho}$.
 - → After the symmetries breaking, fine corrections to those masses arise proportional to $\xi = v^2 / f^2$ (degree of compositness).

Barducci, Belyaev, Brown, De Curtis, Moretti, Pruna, JHEP, 09 (2013), 047

The 4DCHM

- EWPT bounds from LEP data on the 4DCHM model:
 - Extra gauge bosons give large corrections to the Peskin-Takeuchi S parameter:

f > 750 GeV with $M_{2'} > 2 \text{ TeV}$

Grojean, Matsedonskyi, Panico, JHEP 1310, 160, 2013

- → Corrections to the *T* parameter depend on the extra fermionic content. To be consistent with EWPT we need
 M_T > 800 GeV
- LHC constrains:
 - → Direct DY searches of SM-like neutral heavy resonance give:

M_{z'} > 2 TeV

 Direct searches for extra quarks (top partner pair production, exotic charges fermions, etc.)

M_T > 780 GeV

<u>CMS collaboration</u>, <u>Phys.Rev.Lett. 112 171801</u>, (2014) <u>CMS collaboration</u>, <u>Phys.Lett. B729,149</u>, (2014) <u>CMS-PAS-B2G-13-003</u>

Juri Fiaschi









Conclusions

- I gave you an overview of *Z'* physics, from the theory to the pheno to the experimental point of view.
- Various phenomenological situations have been tested against the experimental strategies adopted by the ATLAS and CMS collaborations.
- In particular we focused on the <u>narrow</u> resonances, <u>wide</u> resonances and <u>multiple</u> resonances scenarios.
- In the context of <u>narrow resonances</u> we have found a good agreement between the ATLAS and CMS exclusions and the theoretical projections.
- We discussed the issues relative to experimental searches of <u>wide resonances</u>.
 - We proposed the introduction of extra observables (AFB and AFP) in the analysis in order to improve the sensitivity.
- We explored the phenomenology of two <u>multiple</u> **Z'** models, the **NUED** and **4DCHM**.
 - The compressed spectrum and the interference effects can modify the simple Briet-Wigner shape.
 - The finite resolution of the detectors might lead to peculiar observations in the electron and muon final states.

Juri Fiaschi

Thank you!

Juri Fiaschi

Z'-bosons @ the LHC



Forward / Backward

Problem in the definition of "Forward" and "Backward":

In order to construct the asymmetry, we need to know which one is the forward direction, as in a Drell-Yan process we actually don't know from which proton the quark/antiquark comes from.

General rule:

In this case of neutral process, we expect that <u>the dilepton longitudinal</u> <u>momentum</u> marks the direction of the <u>quark</u>, as the latter is supposed to be <u>more energetic</u> than the antiquark (which comes from the sea).



Dittmar : Phys.Rev.D55:161-166 (1997)

Updates from the LHC



Effects of rapidity cuts



Juri Fiaschi

Effects of rapidity cuts

The rapidity cuts bring themselves a <u>model</u> <u>dependence</u> in our analysis.

With the convention adopted in the reconstruction procedure, the probability of choosing the right direction for the incoming quark is <u>flavour</u> <u>dependent</u>.



Effects of rapidity cuts

The rapidity cuts bring themselves a <u>model</u> <u>dependence</u> in our analysis.

With the convention adopted in the reconstruction procedure, the probability of choosing the right direction for the incoming quark is <u>flavour</u> <u>dependent</u>.



Models with <u>different couplings</u> to u and d quarks have a <u>different behaviour</u> under the application of rapidity cuts

PDF & statistic errors



Juri Fiaschi

PDF & statistic errors



Juri Fiaschi

Phenomenology of NUED model





The interference negative contribution is <u>larger</u> that in a singly resonant case





In the post discovery stage with High Luminosity (HL), we will <u>observe the **depletion of events**</u> produced by the interference effects

Phenomenology of 4DCHM



Juri Fiaschi

Phenomenology of 4DCHM



Juri Fiaschi

The di-lepton channel

This is to be added to the usual Drell-Yan (DY) process











QED PDF sets for LHC

Inelastic PDF sets:

MRST2004QED

- First QED set with QED corrections to DGLAP evolution equation (lead to isospin violation).
- Includes HERA data.
- No update available PDF uncertainties not available, LHC data not included.

Martin, Roberts, Stirling, Thorne Eur. Phys. J. C39, 155 (2005)

CT14QED

- Includes HERA and ZEUS (with isolated photons) data to fit the 'inelastic' photon PDF.
- Do not include LHC data.
- The fraction of momentum carried by the photon satisfying the momentum sum rule, is constrained through fitting procedure.

Schmidt, Pumplin, Stump, Yuan Phys. Rev. D93, 114015 (2016)

Inclusive PDF sets:

NNPDF3.0QED

- Includes HERA, ATLAS, CMS, LHCb data.
- Global fit using Neural network approach validated through a closure test.
- QED constrains on photon PDF are included through re-weighting procedure (small violation of momentum sum rule).
- Incorporates the 2.3QED photon contribution to the 3.0 global analysis using the APFEL code for the QED correct DGLAP equations.

Ball, Bertone, Carrazza, Deans, Del Debbio, Forte, Guffanti, Hartland, Latorre, Rojo, Ubiali, JHEP 1504 (2015) 040

CT14QED_inc

- Same as CT14.
- The elastic component is included through EPA calculations.

LUXqed

- Includes DIS data
- Do not include LHC data.
- Use a relation that connects proton structure functions to photon densities (DIS data directly constrains photon PDF). <u>Manohar, Nason, Salam, Zanderighi</u>

Phys. Rev. Lett. 117, 242002 (2016)

Double-Dissociative

$$\frac{d\sigma_{DD}}{dM_{\ell\ell}} = \iint dx_1 dx_2 \frac{1}{32\pi M_{\ell\ell}} \left| \mathcal{M}(\gamma\gamma \to l^+ l^-) \right|^2 f_\gamma(x_1, Q) f_\gamma(x_2, Q)$$



Juri Fiaschi

Double-Dissociative

CT14QED \rightarrow table of 31 PDF fitted imposing a progressive constrain on the relative momentum carried by the photon ($p_v = 0.00\% - 0.30\%$)



Equivalent Photon Approximation

Virtual photons spectrum is included through the "Equivalent Photon Approximation" (EPA) Budnev, Ginzburg, Meledin, Serbo, Phys. Rept. 15, 181 (1975)

Juri Fiaschi

Equivalent Photon Approximation

Virtual-virtual photon interaction \rightarrow **EPA**

$$\frac{d\sigma_{EPA}}{dM_{\ell\ell}} = \frac{dL_{\gamma\gamma}}{dM_{\ell\ell}}\sigma_{\gamma\gamma} = \int_{Q_{1,min}^2}^{Q_{1,max}^2} dQ_1^2 \int_{Q_{2,min}^2}^{Q_{2,max}^2} dQ_2^2 \iint dx_1 dx_2 \frac{|\mathcal{M}(\gamma\gamma \to l^+l^-)|^2}{32\pi M_{ll}} N(x_1, Q_1^2) N(x_2, Q_2^2)$$



Juri Fiaschi

Single-Dissociative

Real-virtual photon interaction - Single-Dissociative (SD)



Juri Fiaschi

Inclusive PDF sets



Results from QED PDFs



Inclusive PDF sets

As cross check we find good agreement between the three separate components **EPA+(DD+SD)** with **CT14QED** and the PI prediction obtained with **CT14QED_inc**





We find good agreement between the LUXqed and the CT14QED_inc predictions, consistent with the uncertainty of the latter (~20%)

Juri Fiaschi

Narrow Z' resonances



Juri Fiaschi

06/03/2018

Wide Z' resonances



Juri Fiaschi

Before LUX prescription



Juri Fiaschi
With LUX prescription



Juri Fiaschi

06/03/2018