



The Science Case for a Southern Wide field of view detector

G. Di Sciascio

INFN - Roma Tor Vergata disciascio@roma2.infn.it

RICAP 2018 - 7th Roma International Conference on Astroparticle Physics Rome, September 04-07, 2018

The currently standard model

- CRs below 10¹⁷ eV are predominantly Galactic.
- Standard paradigm: Galactic CRs accelerated in SuperNova Remnants
 - → But smoking gun still missing !!!
- Galactic CRs via *diffusive* shock acceleration ? $n_{CR} \propto E^{-\gamma}$ (at source), $\gamma \approx 2.1$
- Energy-dependent *diffusion* through Galaxy $n_{CR} \propto E^{-\gamma-\delta}$ (observed), $\delta \approx 0.6$



- Galactic CRs are scrambled by galactic magnetic field over very long time
 arrival direction mostly isotropic
- Transition to extragalactic CRs occurs somewhere between 10¹⁷ and 10¹⁹ eV

The key questions

Origin of Cosmic Rays: what are the sites that can accelerate particles up to > 10²⁰ eV ? How many classes of sources at work ? Which cosmic accelerators dominate the CR flux in which energy range ?

- which *acceleration* mechanism? → injection spectrum
- total energy in CRs
- maximum energy of accelerated particles: the <u>'proton knee'</u>

The description of how particles escape from a SNR shock has not been completely understood yet, the reason being the uncertainties related to *how particles reach the maximum energies*.

Cosmic Ray propagation: How do CRs propagate ?

- injected → observed spectrum
- Diffusion coefficients
- Why are CR confined in the Galaxy ? → magnetic field in the Galaxy
- spatial distribution of sources
- spatial distribution of CRs → anisotropy

♦ What is the *elemental composition* of the radiation as a function of the energy ?

Knee as end of Galactic population ?



Structure generated by propagation: → we should observe a knee that is potentially dependent on location, because the propagation properties depend on position in the Galaxy
 → the (main) Galactic CR accelerators must be capable to accelerate to much higher energy
 → the Galaxy contains "super-PeVatrons" ! → Gamma-Ray Astronomy above 100 TeV

If the mass of the knee is *light* according to the standard model

→ Galactic CR spectrum is expected to end around 10¹⁷ eV

If the composition at the knee is *heavier* due to CNO / MgSi → we have a problem !

Knee as end of Galactic population ?



→ we have a problem !

Ground-based gamma-ray detectors

Detecting Extensive Air Showers

Air Shower Arrays ($\approx 100 \text{ GeV} \rightarrow 1 \text{ PeV}$)

High duty-cycle ($\approx 100\%$) Large field of view ($\approx 2 \text{ sr}$) Large energy range ($\approx \text{PeV}$) Higher energy threshold (ARGO $\approx 300 \text{ GeV}$), Very strong zenith angle dependent ($\approx \cos \theta^{-(6-7)}$) Good bkg rejection (>80%) Good angular resolution (0.2-0.8 deg) Modest energy resolution ($\approx 50\%$) Good Sensitivity (5-10% Crab flux) Effective area shrinks with large zenith angle



Cherenkov Telescopes ($\approx 10 \text{ GeV} \rightarrow 100 \text{ TeV}$)

Very low energy threshold (\approx 10 GeV) Excellent bkg rejection (>99%) Excellent angular resolution (\approx 0.05 deg) Very good energy resolution (\approx 15%) High Sensitivity (< % Crab flux) Effective area increase with zenith angle Small zenith angle dependent (\approx cos θ -2.7) Low duty-cycle (\approx 10-15%) Small field of view (\approx 4-5 deg) Reduced maximum energy (\approx 100 TeV) detection of the Cherenkov light from charged particles in the EAS



RICAP 2018, Rome Sept. 04-07, 2018

Wide field of view detectors



Wide field of view detectors



Why are Wide FoV instruments so cool?

- Origin of Cosmic Rays: what are the sites that can accelerate particles up to > 10²⁰ eV ? How many classes of sources at work ? Which cosmic accelerators dominate the CR flux in which energy range ?
 - which *acceleration* mechanism? → injection spectrum
 - total energy in CRs
 - maximum energy of accelerated particles: the 'proton knee'

The description of how particles escape from a SNR shock has not been completely understood yet, the reason being the uncertainties related to *how particles reach the maximum energies*.

Cosmic Ray propagation: How do CRs propagate ?

- injected → observed spectrum
- Diffusion coefficients
- Why are CR confined in the Galaxy ? → magnetic field in the Galaxy
- spatial distribution of sources
- spatial distribution of CRs → anisotropy

What is the *elemental composition* of the radiation ?

Why are Wide FoV instruments so cool ?

Origin of Cosmic Rays what are **the sites** that can accelerate particles up to > 10²⁰ eV ? How many classes of sources at work ? Which cosmic accelerators dominate the CR flux in which energy range ?

- which *acceleration* mechanism? → injection spectrum
- total energy in CRs
- maximum energy of accelerated particles: the <u>'proton knee'</u>

The description of how particles escape from a SNR shock has not been completely understood yet, the reason being the uncertainties related to *how particles reach the maximum energies.*

Cosmic Ray propagation: How do CRs propagate ?

- injected → observed spectrum
- Diffusion coefficients
- Why are CR confined in the Galaxy ? → magnetic field in the Galaxy
- spatial distribution of sources
- spatial distribution of CRs → anisotropy

What is the elemental composition of the radiation ?

Why are Wide FoV instruments so cool?

Origin of Cosmic Rays what are **the sites** that can accelerate particles up to > 10²⁰ eV ? How many classes of sources at work ? Which cosmic accelerators dominate the CR flux in which energy range?

- which *acceleration* mechanism? -> injection spectrum
- total energy in CRs
- maximum energy of accelerated particles the <u>'proton knee'</u>

Town uti-Messenger Instruments (by definition robustenger Instruments (by definition robustenger Instruments) robustenger Instruments robusten The description of how particles escape from a SNR sho yet, the reason being the uncertainties related to be

Cosmic Ray propagation: How

- injected -> observed s
- Diffusion coeffi
 - Why 7 in the Galaxy ? \rightarrow magnetic field in the Galaxy
 - noution of sources

Wide Fol atial distribution of CRs -> anisotropy

What is the *elemental composition* of the radiation ?

Why a new Wide FoV detector in the CTA era?

- Galactic/Extragalactic unbiased survey: detection of unexpected sources
- "Finder" telescope for CTA: provides targets for in-depth observations
- Extended objects (PWN, diffuse gamma-ray emission)
- High exposure for *flaring activity* (AGN, GRBs, solar flares): transient factory
- Fundamental physics (high mass dark matter > 10 TeV)
- "Classical" Cosmic Ray Physics (energy spectrum, elemental composition, anisotropy, hadronic interactions)
- Multi-Messenger Instrument (by definition)

No Wide FoV experiment to:

- Explore the 100 GeV energy region
- Survey the Inner Galaxy and the Galactic Center



The fascinating TeV γ-sky



How complete is the Survey ?

If there is a (bright) PeVatron out there, would we have detected it already?

• Wide Field of View: all-sky survey provides un unbiased map of the sky

- Survey of the Southern sky
- High Energy Survey (100 TeV range)

HESS Galactic Plane Survey



RICAP 2018, Rome Sept. 04-07, 2018

HESS Galactic Plane Survey

2700 hours observation from 2004 to 2013 at longitudes from $l=250^{\circ}$ to 65° and latitudes $|b| \le 3^{\circ}$, angular resolution $\approx 0.08^{\circ}$ (≈ 5 arcmin), <u>sensitivity $\le 1.5\%$ Crab</u>, energy range 0.2 TeV \rightarrow 100 TeV.

Table 3. Table of 31 firmly-identified objects among the HGPS sources. The object classes are γ -ray binary, shell-type supernova remnant (SNR), pulsar wind nebula (PWN), and composite SNR (in cases where it is not possible to distinguish between the shell and interior nebula). The evidence used to identify the VHE γ -ray emission include position, morphology, variability, and energy-dependent morphology (ED Morph.).

Source name	Identified object	Class	Evidence	Reference	
HESS J1018-589 A	1FGL J1018.6–5856	Binary	Variability	H.E.S.S. Collaboration et al. (2015e)	
HESS J1302-638	PSR B1259-63	Binary	Variability	Aharonian et al. (2005c)	
HESS J1826-148	LS 5039	Binary	Variability	Aharonian et al. (2006e)	
HESS J0852-463	Vela Junior	SNR	Morphology	Aharonian et al. (2005e)	
HESS J1442-624	RCW 86	SNR	Morphology	H.E.S.S. Collaboration et al. (2016e)	
HESS J1534-571	G323.7-1.0	SNR	Morphology	H.E.S.S. Collaboration et al. (2017a)	8
HESS J1713-397	RX J1713.7-3946	SNR	Morphology	Aharonian et al. (2004b)	Composite 12
HESS J1718-374	G349.7+0.2	SNR	Position	H.E.S.S. Collaboration et al. (2015b)	
HESS J1731-347	G353.6-0.7	SNR	Morphology	H.E.S.S. Collaboration et al. (2011a)	
HESS J1801-233	W 28	SNR	Position	Aharonian et al. (2008d)	
HESS J1911+090	W 49B	SNR	Position	H.E.S.S. Collaboration et al. (2016b)	8
HESS J0835-455	Vela X	PWN	Morphology	Aharonian et al. (2006c)	SNR SNR
HESS J1303-631	G304.10-0.24	PWN	ED Morph.	H.E.S.S. Collaboration et al. (2012)	
HESS J1356-645	G309.92-2.51	PWN	Position	H.E.S.S. Collaboration et al. (2011b)	
HESS J1418-609	G313.32+0.13	PWN	Position	Aharonian et al. (2006f)	Binary Not associated
HESS J1420-607	G313.54+0.23	PWN	Position	Aharonian et al. (2006f)	
HESS J1514-591	MSH 15-52	PWN	Morphology	Aharonian et al. (2005d)	
HESS J1554-550	G327.15-1.04	PWN	Morphology	Section 5.6.5	
HESS J1747-281	G0.87+0.08	PWN	Morphology	Aharonian et al. (2005a)	
HESS J1818-154	G15.4+0.1	PWN	Morphology	H.E.S.S. Collaboration et al. (2014a)	
HESS J1825-137	G18.00-0.69	PWN	ED Morph.	Aharonian et al. (2006g)	
HESS J1837-069	G25.24-0.19	PWN	Morphology	Marandon et al. (2008)	
HESS J1849-000	G32.64+0.53	PWN	Position	Section 5.6.15	36
HESS J1119-614	G292.2-0.5	Composite	Position	Section 5.6.1	Not firmly identified
HESS J1640-465	G338.3-0.0	Composite	Position	Abramowski et al. (2014b), Gotthelf et al. (2014)	
HESS J1714-385	CTB 37A	Composite	Position	Aharonian et al. (2008c)	
HESS J1813-178	G12.8-0.0	Composite	Position	Funk et al. (2007), Gotthelf & Halpern (2009)	
HESS J1833-105	G21.5-0.9	Composite	Position	Section 5.6.10	
HESS J1834-087	W 41	Composite	Morphology	H.E.S.S. Collaboration et al. (2015a)	
HESS J1846-029	G29.7-0.3	Composite	Position	Section 5.6.13	
HESS J1930+188	G54.1+0.3	Composite	Position	Acciari et al. (2010), Sect. 5.4	

arXiv:1804.02432

Important finding by HESS in the GC

A proton PeVatron: a machine accelerating particles up to 10^{15} eV and beyond presently operates in R<10 pc region of the Galactic Center with acceleration

rate of protons above energy 10 TeV at level 1037-38 erg/s

This conclusion is based on *spectroscopic and morphological studies* of *diffuse VHE gamma-ray component* in so-called ~200 pc radius Central Molecular Zone (CMZ) of the GC

- ✓ for the first time, a gamma-ray spectrum is registered that continues without a cutoff or a break up to 20-30 TeV (most likely, 50 TeV)
- ✓ for the first time, the density profile of parent protons is derived based on analysis of spatial distributions of VHE gamma-rays and the gas in GC



VHE γ -ray spectra of the diffuse emission



Fig. 17 VHE γ -ray image of the Galactic Centre region (from Ref. [55]). *Left panel* The *black lines* outline the regions used to extract the energy density of CRs. White contours indicate the density distribution of molecular gas. *Right panel* Zoomed view of the inner \sim 70 pc and the contour of the region used to extract the spectrum of the diffuse emission

RICAP 2018, Rome Sept. 04-07, 2018

Diffuse γ -rays from the Galactic Plane

Diffuse γ -rays are produced by relativistic electrons by bremsstrahlung or inverse Compton scattering on bkg radiation fields, or by protons and nuclei via the decay of π° produced in *hadronic interactions* with interstellar gas.



are favoured in the extended source studies.

Expected Galactic diffuse γ-ray flux

Is the knee a source property, in which case we should see a corresponding spectral feature in the gamma-ray spectra of CR sources, or the result of propagation, so we should observe *a knee that is potentially dependent on location*, because the propagation properties depend on position in the Galaxy?



by S. Vernetto & P. Lipari: ICRC 2017

10⁻⁷

10⁻¹⁰ 10⁻⁵

 10^{-4}

 10^{-3}

 10^{-2}

10⁻¹

Energy(TeV)

Diffuse Gamma Emission

Diffuse gamma-ray emission from the Galactic plane for $|b| < 5^{\circ}$

	<u> </u>		1	
l Intervals	Significance	Spectral index	Energy(GeV)	Flux ^a
$25^{\circ} < l < 100^{\circ}$	6.9 s.d.	-2.80 ± 0.26	390	8.06 ± 1.49
			750	1.64 ± 0.43
			1640	0.13 ± 0.05
			1000^{b}	0.60 ± 0.13
$40^{\circ} < l < 100^{\circ}$	6.1 s.d.	-2.90 ± 0.31	350	10.94 ± 2.23
			680	2.00 ± 0.60
			1470	0.14 ± 0.08
		$\langle \rangle$	1000^{b}	0.52 ± 0.15
$\boxed{65^\circ < l < 85^\circ}$	4.1 s.d.	-2.65 ± 0.44	440	5.38 ± 1.70
			780	1.13 ± 0.60
			1730	0.15 ± 0.07
			1000^{b}	0.62 ± 0.18
$25^{\circ} < l < 65^{\circ} \&$	5.6 s.d.	-2.89 ± 0.33	380	9.57 ± 2.18
$85^{\circ} < l < 100^{\circ}$			730	1.96 ± 0.59
			1600	0.12 ± 0.07
			1000^{b}	0.60 ± 0.17
$130^{\circ} < l < 200^{\circ}$	-0.5 s.d.	_	_	$< 5.7^{c}$
^{<i>a</i>} In units of 10^{-9} T	${\rm FeV^{-1}\ cm^{-2}\ s^{-1}}$	ApJ 806 (2	2015) 20	



Interestingly, the energy spectrum of the light component (p+He) up to 700 TeV measured by ARGO-YBJ follows the same spectral shape as that found in the Cygnus region.

A precise comparison of the spectrum of young CRs, as those supposed in the Cygnus region, with the spectrum of old CRs resident in other places of the Galactic plane, could help to determine the *distribution of the sources of CRs*.

10²

10

1

The flaring γ-ray sky: Mrk421



One-zone Synchrotron Self-Compton model

Consider a population of relativistic electrons in a magnetized region. They will produce *synchrotron radiation*, and therefore they will fill the region with photons. These synchrotron photons will have some probability to interact again with the electrons, by the *Inverse Compton* process.

Since the *electron "work twice*" (first making synchrotron radiation, then scattering it at higher energies) this particular kind of process is called *synchrotron self–Compton*, or SSC for short.

The *one-zone model* assumes that nonthermal radiations are produced in a single, homogeneous and spherical region in the jet.

The emission region moves relativistically toward us, and consequently the intrinsic radiation is strongly amplified due to the Doppler boosting.

Three parameters are needed to characterise the emission region: the comoving magnetic field, the Doppler factor and the comoving radius of the emission region.



ApJ Supplement, 222 (2016) 6



RICAP 2018, Rome Sept. 04-07, 2018

CR energy spectrum: the overall picture



Experimental results in the knee region still conflicting:

ARGO-YBJ reports evidence for a proton knee starting at about 700 TeV

The proton knee is connected to the maximum energy of accelerated particles in CR sources !

Full-Sky Cosmic Ray Anisotropy

HAWC



Credit: P. Desiati & J.C. Diaz Velez

IceCube

RICAP 2018, Rome Sept. 04-07, 2018

Cosmic Ray mass dependency ?

Energy dependency (< knee)

Anisotropy depends on primary energy

CR composition changes as well with energy

After IceCube/IceTop observations we know very well the anisotropy in the Southern Hemisphere at different angular scales but...

...we need anisotropy observations vs CR particle rigidity !

A combined measurement of CR energy spectrum, mass composition and anisotropy inevitably probes the properties and spatial distribution of their sources as well as of the long propagation journey through the magnetized medium.



CTA and a new Wide FoV observatory





The key parameters to improve the sensitivity are

- The energy threshold
- R, the signal/background relative trigger efficiency
- The angular resolution
- Q-factor, the background rejection capability

Milagro vs ARGO-YBJ

2 different approaches in the last decade for ground-based survey instruments

Milagro

Water Cherenkov Technology



- operated from 2000 to 2008
- 2600 m above sea level
- angular resolution ≈0.5°
- 1700 Hz trigger rate
- Median Energy at the threshold: ≈ 2 TeV
- Energy range: 2 40 TeV
- poor background rejection via muons
- conversion of secondary photons in water

Widely used technology in cosmic ray physics

ARGO-YBJ

Resistive Plate Chamber Technology



- operated from 2007 to 2012 (final configuration)
- 4300 m above sea level
- angular resolution ≈0.5°
- 3500 Hz trigger rate
- high granularity of the readout
- Median Energy at the threshold: ≈360 GeV
- Energy Range: 360 GeV 10 PeV
- NO background rejection (no muons identification)
- NO conversion of secondary photons (no lead)

Widely used technology in accelerator physics



Wilagro Water Cherenkov Tech



Central 80 m x 60 m x 8 m water reservoir, containing two layers of PMTs

- 450 PMTs at 1.4 m below the surface (top layer)
- 273 PMTs at 6 m below the surface (bottom layer)

Outrigger Array, consisting of 175 tanks filled with water and containing one PMT, distributed on an area of 200 m x 200 m around the central water reservoir.

HAWC and LHAASO

ARGO-YBJ Resistive Plate Chamber Technology



Single layer of Resistive Plate Chambers (RPCs) with a full coverage (92% active surface) of a large area (5600 m²) + sampling guard ring (6700 m² in total)

> Space pixels: *146,880 strips* (7×62 cm²) Time pixels: *18,360 pads* (56×62 cm²)

2 read-outs:

 $\rho_{max-strip} \approx 20 \text{ particles/m}^2$

 $\rho_{max-analog} \approx 10^4 \ particles/m^2$



MATHUSLA proposal, CR and hadronic physics at CERN (RPC carpet above ATLAS)

Shower detection by ARGO-YBJ



Scientific results

Milagro

Water Cherenkov Technology

- Gamma-ray Astronomy
- CR anisotropy
- No results on selection of different primary masses and spectra of different elements

HAWC

Water Cherenkov Technology

- Gamma-ray Astronomy
- CR anisotropy
- All-particle energy spectrum
- Still no results on the selection of different primary masses



The capability of Water Cherenkov facilities in extending the energy range to PeV and in selecting primary masses at the knee must be investigated

ARGO-YBJ

Resistive Plate Chamber Technology

- Gamma-ray Astronomy
- CR anisotropy
- All-particle energy spectrum up to the knee
- Study of the shower core region
- Selection of light component (p+He) and observation of the proton knee

Lowering the energy threshold: extreme altitude



This imply that the effective areas of EAS detectors increases at low energies.

- Trigger logic
- Detection of secondary photons

ARGO-YBJ is a high altitude full coverage EAS-array optimized for the detection of small size air showers.

ARGO-YBJ central carpet



a continuous carpet of detectors coverage factor ≈ 0.92

sparse array



coverage factor $\approx 10^{-3}$ - 10^{-2}

G. Di Sciascio - INFN

ARGO-YBJ is a high altitude full coverage EAS-array optimized for the detection of small size air showers.





a continuous carpet of detectors coverage factor ≈ 0.92



coverage factor $\approx 10^{\text{-3}}$ - $10^{\text{-2}}$

G. Di Sciascio - INFN

ARGO-YBJ is a high altitude full coverage EAS-array optimized for the detection of small size air showers.



sparse array low energy shower = small shower → NO trigger

→ trigger

high energy shower = big shower

a continuous carpet of detectors coverage factor ≈ 0.92



coverage factor $\approx 10^{-3} - 10^{-2}$

ARGO-YBJ is a high altitude full coverage EAS-array optimized for the detection of small size air showers.



Energy threshold



Extreme Altitude

- 1. All nuclei produce showers with similar size
- 2. Unbiased trigger threshold for all nuclei
- 3. Primary energy reconstruction mass-independent
- 4. Small fluctuations: shower maximum
- 5. *Low energy threshold:* absolute energy scale calibration with the Moon Shadow technique and overposition with direct measurements
- 6. Trigger probability larger for γ -showers than for p-showers





Fluctuations smaller but *reduced sensitivity of* the N_e/N_μ technique in selecting primary masses

Different technique to select primary masses: ARGO-YBJ, Tibet ASγ, BASJE-MAS exploited *characteristics of the shower core region.*

No muons ? → results nearly independent on hadronic interaction models !

 $N_{e,\max}^A \approx N_{e,\max}^p$

 $Ne(E_{\theta}, A) = \alpha(A) \cdot E^{\beta(A)}$

ticle Physics 6 (1997) 313–322 315 gamma rays dominate the particles on ground (\approx 7:1 for 100 GeV γ -showers at 4300 m asl)

10³

102



0 electrons

31

γ/p detection efficiency

High altitude \rightarrow rejection of the background 'for free'!



10³

10

0

100 GeV

Protons

 \cap

0

RICAP 2018, Rome Sept. 04-07, 2018

1000 2000 3000 4000 5000 6000 7000 8000 Altitude [m]

Southern Gamma-Ray Survey Observatory

SOUTHERN

Who are we?...

GAMMA-RAY SURVEY OBSERVATORY

H. Schoorlemmer Recontres du Vietnam 2018

The alliance

- Advancement of this effort in the Southern-Hemisphere
- Organizing the writing of a white-paper on the science case
- Documentation on site-candidates
- No decision on technical design (for now)
- Currently 75 members from 11 countries
- Next meeting 8-9 October Heidelberg,

Germany

www.sgso-alliance.org

Science Case White Paper by SGSO

SCIENCE CASE FOR A WIDE FIELD-OF-VIEW VERY-HIGH-ENERGY GAMMA-RAY OBSERVATORY IN THE SOUTHERN HEMISPHERE

ANDREA ALBERT, R. ARCEO, SEGEV BENZVI, THOMAS BRETZ, ALBERTO CARRAMIÑANA, SABRINA CASANOVA, PAOLO DESIATI, DANIELA DORNER, JUAN CARLOS DÍAZ-VÉLEZ, GIUSEPPE DI SCIASCIO, NISSIM FRAIJA, JAVIER GONZALEZ, H. MARTÍNEZ-HUERTA, MIGUEL MOSTAFA, MAGDALENA GONZALEZ, JIM HINTON, JEAN-PHILIPPE LENAIN, R. LÓPEZ-COTO, FILIPE DE O. SALLES, ANA PICHEL, ELISA PRANDINI, ANDREAS REISENEGGER, JÉRÔME RODRIGUEZ, ADRIAN C. ROVERO, MARCOS SANTANDER, HARM SCHOORLEMMER, FABIAN SCHÜSSLER, ANDRES SANDOVAL, MONICA SEGLAR-ARROYO, AION VIANA, THOMAS WEISGARBER, FELIX WERNER, AND YOUR NAME CAN BE HERE...

Contents

1. Introduction and Goal of this document	2		
2. Gamma ray astronomy and current instrumentation			
2.1. Pointing instruments	3		
2.2. Wide Field-of-View instruments	3		
2.3. Complementarity of ground-based detection techniques			
3. Unveiling Galactic Particle Accelerators			
3.1. Pulsars to constrain local positron flux and diffusion coefficients			
3.2. Fermi bubbles	7		
3.3. PeVatrons	8		
3.4. Gamma Rays from Molecular Clouds in the Gould Belt	13		
4. Monitoring the Transient Sky	13		
4.1. Active Galactic Nuclei	13		
4.2. Galactic monitor	25		
4.3. Gamma-Ray Bursts and Gravitational Waves	28		
4.4. Exploratory searches for new transient phenomena	31		
4.5. High-energy neutrinos	32		
4.6. Multi-messenger correlations	34		
5. Probing Physics Beyond the Standard Model	34		
5.1. Dark Matter	34		
5.2. Axions, Primordial Black Holes, Lorentz Invariance Violation, etc.	37		
5.3. Primordial Black Holes	38		
5.4. Testing Lorentz invariance with SGSO	39		
6. Cosmic-ray observations			
6.1. Spectrum and composition	40		
1			

- Community wide contributions
- · Focus on how the science will drive detector requirements
- First version ready this Fall
- · Public tools for writing and calculations
- Regular calls for coordination

H. Schoorlemmer Recontres du Vietnam 2018

6.2. Anisotropy	43
6.3. Electron spectrum and anisotropy	45
6.4. Space weather	46
7. Design Considerations for a Southern Hemisphere VHE γ -ray	
Observatory	46
7.1. Detector Unit Design	46
7.2. Straw man Performance	46
7.3. Beyond the straw man performance	48
7.4. Site Considerations: Latitude and Altitude	48
References	49

A straw mans design: point source sensitivity



Conclusions

Open problems in cosmic ray physics push the construction of new generation Wide FoV experiments.

In the next decade CTA-North and LHAASO are expected to be the most sensitive instruments to study γ -ray astronomy in the Northern hemisphere from \approx 20 GeV up to PeV.

- An all-sky detector in the Southern Hemisphere should be a high priority to face a broad range of topics.
- Extragalactic transient detection requires *low threshold*, ≈100 GeV.
- *Extreme altitude* (≈5000 m asl), *high coverage* and *high granularity of the read-out* are key.
- Background rejection below TeV challenging → RPCs + Water Cherenkov ?
- Selection of primary masses crucial → RPCs + Water Cherenkov ?
- Capability of Water Cherenkov Facilities in selecting primary masses at the knee must be investigated.
- Different groups are studying different experimental solutions (ALPACA, ALTO, LATTES, STACEX)
- High energy gamma-ray astronomy (>10 TeV) and CR physics covered by ALPACA ?

Hybrid detector: LATTES

Astroparticle Physics 99 (2018) 34-42	Design and expected performance of a novel hybrid detector for very-high-energy gamma-ray astrophysics
	P. Assis ^{a,b} , U. Barres de Almeida ^c , A. Blanco ^d , R. Conceição ^{a,b,*} , B. D'Ettorre Piazzoli ^e , A. De Angelis ^{f,g,b,a} , M. Doro ^{h,f} , P. Fonte ^d , L. Lopes ^d , G. Matthiae ⁱ , M. Pimenta ^{b,a} , R. Shellard ^c , B. Tomé ^{a,b}

An array of hybrid detectors constituted by

- 1. one Water Cherenkov Detector (WCD) with a rectangular horizontal surface of 3 m × 1.5 m and a depth of 0.5 m, with signals read by PMTs at both ends of the smallest vertical face of the block.
- 2. On top of the WCD there are two MARTA RPCs, each with a surface of (1.5×1.5) m² and with 16 charge collecting pads. Each RPC is covered with a thin (5.6 mm) layer of lead.





RICAP 2018, Rome Sept. 04-07, 2018

Southern Hemisphere: ALPACA

Andes

Large area

PArticle detector for Cosmic ray physics and Astronomy

Location: 4,740 m above sea level (16°23'S, 68°08'W)

of scintillation detectors $1 m^2 x 401$ detectorsEffective area of
modal energy
angular resolution
energy resolution $\sim 83,000 m^2$
 $\sim 5 TeV$
 $\sim 0.2 @ 100 TeV$
 $\sim 30\% @ 100 TeV$
 $\sim 2 sr$

CR rejection power>99.9%@100 TeV $(\gamma ray efficiency ~ 90 \%)$

(~ <u>30</u> /8)

MD Array 56m² x 96 detectors

- Effective area for muons ~5400m²
- CR rejection power >99.9% @100TeV (gamma ray efficiency ~90%)

Similar to the Tibet AS γ experiment operated in Tibet

RICAP 2018, Rome Sept. 04-07, 2018





ALPACA layout



ALPAQUITA (1/10 scale ALPACA AS, in 2017)



Intrinsic linearity: test at the BTF facility

Linearity of the RPC @ BTF in INFN Frascati Lab:

- electrons (or positrons)
- *E* = 25-750 *MeV* (0.5% resolution)
- <N>=1÷10⁸particles/pulse
- 10 ns pulses, 1-49 Hz
- beam spot uniform on 3×5 cm

Good overlap between 4 scales with the maximum density of the showers spanning over three decades





The RPC signal vs the calorimeter signal



→ Linearity up to $\approx 2 \cdot 10^4$ particle/m²

The RPC charge readout: the core region



Strip read-out





Data



Charge read-out

The RPC charge readout: the core region



Sensitivity



Effect of a lead converter above a detector

The consequences of placing a thin sheet of dense, high-Z material, above detectors are, qualitatively:

(1) low-energy electrons are absorbed and no longer contribute to the signal (low-energy photons are also absorbed),

(2) high-energy electrons produce an enhanced signal size through multiplication,

(3) high-energy photons materialise, producing additional signal contributions similar in size to those produced by (2).

The number of particles gained from processes (2) and (3) exceeds that lost through (1) and hence the *Rossi transition effect* is observed.



 $(\chi^2)^{1/2}$ represents (approximately) the average time spread

The enhanced signal alone, arising from this, will reduce the timing fluctuations.

In addition, the contributions gained are concentrated near the ideal time because the higher energy electrons and photons travel near the front of the particle swarm (they suffer from smaller time delays) while those lost tend to lag far behind.



Measurement with ARGO at YBJ

(p+He) spectrum (2 - 700) TeV

Calibration of the energy scale

ARGO-YBJ: Moon shadow tool



The energy scale uncertainty is estimated at 10% level in the energy range 1 - 30 (TeV/Z).





- CREAM: $1.09 \times 1.95 \times 10^{-11} (E/400 \text{ TeV})^{-2.62}$
- ARGO-YBJ: 1.95 × 10⁻¹¹ (E/400 TeV)^{-2.61}
- Hybrid: $0.92 \times 1.95 \times 10^{-11} (E/400 \text{ TeV})^{-2.63}$

Single power-law: 2.62 ± 0.01

Flux at 400 TeV:

 $1.95 \times 10^{-11} \pm 9\% (\text{GeV}^{-1} \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ sr}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1})$

The 9% difference in flux corresponds to a difference of \pm 4% in energy scale between different experiments.

Gamma/Hadron discrimination

Very difficult at low energy (< 1 TeV)

Muon size very small

HAWC/LHAASO approach requires large area: discrimination based on topological cut in the pattern of energy deposition far from the core (>40 m).

Requires sufficient number of triggered channels (>70 - 100) \rightarrow minimum energy required E > 0.5 TeV

 $\mathbf{D}_{O}^{\text{NE}} = \mathbf{D}_{O}^{\text{NE}} + \mathbf{D}_{O}^{\text$

LHAASO Q-factor: 3 at 500 GeV, 7 at 1 TeV, 22 at 5 TeV.



Discrimination capability depends on detector area

→ according to HAWC/LHAASO calculations sensitivity $\approx A_{eff}^{0.8}$ and not $A_{eff}^{0.5}$ up to $\approx 300 \times 300 \text{ m}^2$ at TeV energies

New ideas ?

Extended sources

