# The Lesson of PAMELA

Piergiorgio Picozza INFN and University of Rome Tor Vergata

RICAP 2018

Rome, September 5-7, 2018

# PAMELA

History and Results

# The first historical measurements of the p/p - ratio and various Ideas of theoretical Interpretations

#### The Beginning





### **Balloon data : Positron fraction before 1990**



![](_page_4_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **Towards PAMELA**

![](_page_4_Picture_2.jpeg)

# MASS 2 - 1991

Matter Antimatter Space Spectrometer

![](_page_5_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Picture_4.jpeg)

Aldo Morselli, INFN, Sezione di Roma 2 & Università di Roma Tor Vergata, aldo.morselli@roma2.infn.it

![](_page_5_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Picture_0.jpeg)

### RIM Program May 1993

![](_page_6_Figure_2.jpeg)

tantes -

25 basis

# The Observatory PAMELA

![](_page_7_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_2.jpeg)

Precise measurements of protons, electrons, their antiparticles and light nuclei in the cosmic radiation

- Search for Dark Matter indirect signatures
- Search for antihelium (primordial antimatter) and new form of matter in the Universe (Strangelets?)
- Investigation of the cosmic-ray origin and propagation mechanisms in the Galaxy, the heliosphere and the terrestrial magnetosphere
- Detailed measurement of the high energy particle populations (galactic, solar, geomagnetically trapped and albedo) in the near-Earth radiation environment

![](_page_7_Picture_8.jpeg)

# **PAMELA History**

- December 1998: MoU INFN and Russian Space Agency
- March 2001: Satellite Russian Decision Operative
- April 2005: Flight Model Delivery
- June 15<sup>th</sup>, 2006: Flight
- Ten Years of Data Taking

![](_page_8_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_0.jpeg)

Launch 15/06/06

Low-earth elliptical orbit 350 – 610 km Quasi-polar (70° inclination) SAA crossed

![](_page_9_Picture_3.jpeg)

### Nature

#### June 16, 2006

Home > News

#### NEWS

Published online: 16 June 2006; | doi:10.1038/news060612-15

#### PAMELA, or virtue rewarded

(from Samuel Richardson novel, 1740)

After a decade's work, physicists are flying an antimatter observatory.

#### Mark Peplow

The first satellite built to detect antimatter in space launched safely yesterday, boosting the chances of identifying the mysterious 'dark matter' that makes up more than 80% of the stuff in the Universe.

The PAMELA probe (Payload for Antimatter Matter Exploration and Light-nuclei Astrophysics) took off from the Baikonur Cosmodrome in Kazakhstan on 15 June, carrying instruments that will catch antiprotons and positrons, the mirror particles of protons and electrons.

![](_page_10_Picture_11.jpeg)

The PAMELA satellite: <u>click here</u> to see detailed diagram.

![](_page_10_Picture_13.jpeg)

# **PAMELA Instrument**

![](_page_11_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_2.jpeg)

ToF (S1)

ToF (S2)

spectromete tracking

ToF (S3) -

system

(6 planes)

calorimet

scintill. S4

geometric acceptance

CAT

CAS

OB

neutron detector CARD

anti-

coinci-

dence

CAS

Ø → X

antiproton

![](_page_11_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_7.jpeg)

Физический G HRCTHTYT DE LO A SETT Ioffe Physico-Technical Institute MAN Moscow St. Petersburg

Russia:

Permittent Internet Sept.

(IFAC)

CNR. Florence

![](_page_11_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_10.jpeg)

proton

![](_page_11_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_13.jpeg)

## **CR** Antimatter

#### Status at the time of PAMELA launch

![](_page_12_Figure_2.jpeg)

## **Cosmic Rays and Antiparticles**

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

### **PAMELA Positron Fraction**

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

# **DM** annihilations

DM particles are stable. They can annihilate in pairs.

![](_page_15_Figure_2.jpeg)

### **PAMELA Results: Antiprotons**

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_2.jpeg)

Referees

Search

kinetic energy [GeV]

Press About

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

Highlights Recent Accepted Collections Authors

## Example: Dark Matter

 $m_{B^{40}}$ =600 GeV, BF=415,  $\chi^2/dof=0.97$  $m_{B^{(0)}}$ =800 GeV, BF=1100,  $\chi^2/dof=1.29$ 0.20  $\Phi_{e^*}/(\Phi_{e^*}+\Phi_{e^-})$ 0.10 0.05 Propagation Model A 0.02 0.01 20 50 100 5 10 E. (GeV)  $m_{\rm B}\omega$ =600 GeV. BF=700,  $\chi^2/dof=0.86$  $m_{\rm p}$  = 800 GeV. BF=1800,  $\chi^2/dof=0.80$ 0.20  $\Phi_{e^*}/(\Phi_{e^*}+\Phi_{e^-})$ 0.10

![](_page_17_Figure_2.jpeg)

Majorana DM with new internal bremsstrahlung correction. NB: requires annihilation crosssection to be 'boosted' by >1000.

Kaluza-Klein dark matter

20

10

0.05

0.02

0.01

5

arXiv:0902.0593v1

Propagation Model B

50

E. (GeV)

100

Hooper and Zurek

200

200

![](_page_18_Picture_0.jpeg)

Astrophysical Explanation Pulsars

S. Profumo Astro-ph 0812-4457

 Mechanism: the spinning B of the pulsar strips e<sup>-</sup> that accelerated at the polar cap or at the outer gap emit γ that make production of e<sup>±</sup> that are trapped in the cloud, further accelerated and later released at τ ~ 10<sup>5</sup> years.

 $E_{tot} \simeq 10^{46} \,\mathrm{erg}$ 

- Young (T ~10<sup>5</sup> years) and nearby (< 1kpc)
- If not: too much diffusion, low energy, too low flux.
- Geminga: 157 parsecs from Earth and 370,000 years old
- B0656+14: 290 parsecs from Earth and 110,000 years old
- Many others after Fermi/GLAST
- Diffuse mature pulsars

# Example: pulsars

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

PaMéL

![](_page_19_Picture_2.jpeg)

### Only secondaries? P. Serpico hep-ph 0810.4846

- Anomalous primary electron source spectrum
- Spectral feature in the proton flux responsible for secondaries
- Role of Helium nuclei in secondary production
- Difference between local and ISM spectrum of protons
- Anomalous energy-dependent behaviour of the diffusion coefficient
- Rising cross section at high energies
- High energy beaviour of the e<sup>+</sup>/e<sup>-</sup>

### PAMELA Results: Positrons

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

The positron Anomaly

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_3.jpeg)

### PAMELA & BESS Polar & AMS-02

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### PAMELA H, He spectra

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_2.jpeg)

PAMELA Measurements of Cosmic-Ray Proton and Helium Spectra O. Adriani et al. Science 322, 69 (2011); DOI: 10.1126/science.1199172

#### REPORTS

PAMELA Measurements of Cosmic-Ray Proton and Helium Spectra

O. Adriani,<sup>1,2</sup> G. C. Barbarino,<sup>3,4</sup> G. A. Bazilevskaya,<sup>5</sup> R. Bellotti,<sup>6,7</sup> M. Boezio,<sup>8</sup>
E. A. Bogomolov,<sup>9</sup> L. Bonechi,<sup>1,2</sup> M. Bongi,<sup>7</sup> V. Bonvicni,<sup>8</sup> S. Borisov,<sup>10,11,12</sup> S. Bottaj,<sup>2</sup>
A. Bruno,<sup>6,7</sup> F. Cafagna,<sup>3</sup> D. Campana,<sup>4</sup> R. Carbone,<sup>4,3,1</sup> P. Carison,<sup>3,13</sup> M. Casolinn,<sup>30</sup>
G. Castellini,<sup>14</sup> L. Consiglio,<sup>4</sup> M. P. De Paccale,<sup>10,3,1</sup> C. De Santis,<sup>10,11</sup> N. De Simone,<sup>10,11</sup> V. Di Felice,<sup>10</sup> A. M. Galper,<sup>27</sup> W. Gillard,<sup>11</sup> L. Grishnatseva,<sup>12</sup> G. Jerse,<sup>13</sup> A. V. Kandyarkov,<sup>2</sup> V. Malvetzu,<sup>21</sup> R. Morino,<sup>42</sup> N. Nikonov,<sup>41</sup> B. G. Osteria, <sup>4</sup> F. Patana,<sup>31,12</sup> P. Paini,<sup>21</sup> M. Penret,<sup>31</sup> R. W. Kronov,<sup>41</sup> G. G. Steria,<sup>4</sup> F. Patana,<sup>31,12</sup> P. Pinni,<sup>41</sup> M. Penret,<sup>31</sup> R. Sarkar,<sup>6</sup> M. Simon,<sup>46</sup> R. Sparvoli,<sup>30,11</sup> P. Spillantini,<sup>12</sup> V. I. Stozkov,<sup>2</sup> N. Vacchi,<sup>4</sup> E. Vannuccini,<sup>2</sup>
G. Vasilyev,<sup>9</sup> S. A. Voronov,<sup>12</sup> Y. I. Yurkin,<sup>12</sup> J. Wu,<sup>31</sup> H. Zampa,<sup>6</sup> N. Zampa,<sup>6</sup> V. G. Zverev<sup>12</sup>

the Russian Resurs-DK1 spacecraft (14). Our results are consistent with those of other experiments (Fig. 1), considering the statistical and systematic uncertainties of the various experiments. There are differences at low energies (< 30 GeV) caused by solar-modulation effects [PAMELA was operating during a period of minimum solar activity with a solar-modulation parameter (Φ) of 450 to 550 MV in the spherical force-field approximation (15)]. PAMELA results overlap with Advanced Thin Ionization Calorimeter (ATIC)-2 data (16) between ~200 and ~1200 GV, but differ both in shape and absolute normalization at lower energies. The extrapolation to higher energy of the PAMELA fluxes suggests a broad agreement with the results of CREAM (Cosmic Ray Energetics and Mass Experiment) (17)

350- to 610-km, 70°-inclination orbit as part of

> 450 citations

![](_page_26_Figure_9.jpeg)

# Proton to Helium ratio

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_2.jpeg)

O. Adriani et al. , Science 332 (2011)6025

![](_page_27_Picture_4.jpeg)

### Protons: PAMELA and AMS-02 same period 2011-2013

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_2.jpeg)

### PAMELA Results: Electrons

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

O. Adriani et al., ApJ 810 (2015) 142 O. Adriani et al., Rivista Nuovo Cimento 40 (2017) N. 10

![](_page_30_Figure_0.jpeg)

### Secondary cosmic rays

Secondaries from homogeneously distributed interstellar matter (light nuclei)

### Boron and carbon fluxes

![](_page_31_Figure_1.jpeg)

O. Adriani et al., ApJ 791 (2014), 93

### Boron-to-Carbon ratio

B/C is very sensitive to propagation effects

![](_page_32_Figure_2.jpeg)

O. Adriani et al., ApJ 791 (2014), 93

## Hydrogen and Helium Isotopes

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_2.jpeg)

Adriani et al. APJ 818,1,68 (2016)

![](_page_33_Figure_4.jpeg)

## Lithium and Beryllium Isotopes

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

W. Menn et al. APJ 862, 141 (2018)

![](_page_35_Figure_0.jpeg)

## **Cosmic rays in the heliosphere**

![](_page_36_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_2.jpeg)

### PAMELA observations (2006-2016)

![](_page_37_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Propagation in the Heliosphere

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

APJL, 854, 1, 2018 O. Adriani et al., Rivista Nuovo Cimento 40 (2017) N. 10

![](_page_38_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_5.jpeg)

#### Time Dependance of the $e^+/e^-$ flux

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **Mid-term variations in PAMELA data**

![](_page_40_Figure_1.jpeg)

Rigidity (GV)	solar phase	excess(%)	SNR
0.4 - 0.65	$\operatorname{total}$	4.3	11.7
0.4 - 0.65	ascending	2.6	6.9 9.6
0.4 - 0.65	descending	7.4	
0.65 - 15	total	2.5	9.9
0.65 - 15	ascending	0.72	2.8
0.65 - 15	$\operatorname{descendingm}$	4.8	10.2
15-50	total	0.96	4.2
15-50	ascending	0.74	3.3
15 - 50	descending	1.2	2.9

- A signal with periodicity of ~400 days is observed in the proton flux
- excess of ~4% in the 0.4-0.65 GV rigidity interval
  - known variation in solar activity (Quasi-Biennial Oscillations)
  - consistent with <u>Jupiter periodicity (398</u> <u>days)</u>

#### O. Adriani et al., ApJL 852, L28 (2018)

# Solar energetic particles (SEPs)

![](_page_41_Picture_1.jpeg)

SEP observation on Earth:

- Propagation of SEPs along IMF lines
   ⇒ Earth must be magnetically connected
- Anisotropic emission

 $\Rightarrow$  flux observed on Earth depends on geomagnetic location

### Sun can accelerate particles up to relativistic energies

- Magnetic reconnections
- CME-driven shock

SEPs can be observed in the interplanetary space

Often associated to other solar phenomena, eg:

- ➤ X and gamma-ray flares
- Coronal-mass ejections (CMEs)

![](_page_41_Picture_13.jpeg)

 $\succ$ 

![](_page_41_Picture_14.jpeg)

### **PAMELA SEP list**

	SEP Event	Flare		CME			m-type II	DH-type II		
#	Date	Onset time	Class	Location	$1^{st}$ -app. time	$V_{app}$	$V_{spa}$	Width	Onset time	Onset time
1	2006 12/13, 02:55	12/13, 02:14	X3.4	S06W23	12/13, 02:54	1774	2184	H	12/13, 02:26	12/13, 02:45
2	2006 12/14, 22:55	12/14, 21:58	X1.5	S06W46	12/14, 22:30	1042	1139	н	12/14, 22:09	12/14, 22:30
3	2011 03/21, 04:10	03/21,02:00		N23W129	$03/21,02{:}24$	1341	1430	н	2.21	
4	2011 06/07, 07:20	06/07, 06:16	M2.5	S21W54	06/07, 06:49	1255	1321	н	06/07, 06:25	06/07, 06:45
5	2011 09/06, 02:20	09/06, 01:35	M5.3	N14W07	09/06, 02:24	782	1232	н		09/06, 02:00
6	2011 09/06, 23:00	09/06, 22:12	X2.1	N14W18	09/06, 23:05	575	830	Н		09/06, 22:30
7	2011 11/03, 23:00	11/03, 22:00		N09E154	11/03, 23:30	<mark>991</mark>	1188	Н		
8	2012 01/23, 04:45	01/23,03:38	M8.7	N28W21	01/23, 04:00	2175	2511	Н		01/23, 04:00
9	2012 01/27, 18:55	01/27, 18:03	X1.7	N27W71	01/27, 18:27	2508	2541	н	01/27, 18:10	01/27, 18:30
10	2012 03/07, 02:50	03/07, 00:13	X5.4	N17E27	03/07, 00:24	2684	3146	н	03/07, 00:17	03/07, 01:00
11	2012 03/13, 18:05	03/13, 17:12	M7.9	N17W66	03/13, 17:36	1884	1931	Н	03/13, 17:15	03/13, 17:35
12	2012 05/17, 01:55	$05/17,01{:}25$	M5.1	N11W76	05/17, 01:48	1582	1596	Н	05/17, 01:31	05/17, 01:40
13	2012 07/06, 23:30	07/06, 23:01	X1.1	S13W59	07/06, 23:24	1828	1907	н	07/06, 23:09	07/06, 23:10
14	2012 07/08, 18:10	07/08, 16:23	M6.9	S17W74	07/08, 16:54	1497		157	07/08, 16:30	07/08, 16:35
15	2012 07/19, 06:40	07/19, 04:17	M7.7	S13W88	$07/19,05{:}24$	1631	1631	н	$07/19,05{:}24$	07/19,05:30
16	2012 07/23, 08:00	07/23, 01:50		S17W132	07/23, 02:36	2003	2156	Н		$07/23,02{:}30$
17	$2013 \ 04/11, \ 08:25$	04/11, 06:56	M6.5	N09E12	04/11, 07:24	861	1369	Η	04/11, 07:02	04/11, 07:10
18	2013 05/22, 14:20	05/22, 13:08	M5.0	N15W70	05/22,13:25	1466	1491	Η	05/22, 12:59	05/22, 13:10
19	2013 10/28, 16:30	10/28, 04:32	M4.4	S06E28	10/28,15:36	<mark>81</mark> 2	1098	н		10/28, 15:24
20	2013 11/02, 07:00	11/02, 04:00		N03W139	11/02, 04:48	828	998	Н		
21	2014 01/06, 08:15	01/06, 07:30	X3.5	S15W112	$01/06,08{:}00$	1402	1431	Н	01/06, 07:45	$01/06,07{:}58$
22	2014 01/07, 19:55	01/07, 18:04	X1.2	S15W11	01/07, 18:24	1830	2246	н	01/07, 18:17	01/07, 18:27
23	$2014 \ 02/25, \ 03:50$	02/25,00:39	X4.9	S12E82	02/25, 01:25	2147	2153	Η	02/25,00.56	02/25, 00:56
<b>24</b>	2014 04/18, 13:40	04/18, 12:31	M7.3	S20W34	04/18, 13:25	1203	<b>1359</b>	Η	04/18, 12:55	04/18, 13:06
25	2014 09/01, 17:20	09/01, 10:58	X2.4	N14E127	09/01, 11:12	1901	2017	Η		09/01, 11:12
26	2014 09/10, 21:35	09/10, 17:21	X1.6	N14E02	09/10, 18:00	1267	1652	Н		09/10, 17:45

#### **PAMELA SEP spectra**

![](_page_43_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Bruno, A et al, APJ, 862, 97 (2018)

Consistent with diffusive shock acceleration theories, the measured SEP spectra are well reproduced by a power-law modulated by an exponential cutoff attributed to particles escaping the CME-driven shock during acceleration

Cutoff energies fall above and below the GLE threshold (~1 GV). Three GLEs are among the group, but also some events falling above 1 GV that were not registered as GLEs, but might have.

From the spectrum perspective, we see *no qualitative distinction* between those events that are GLEs, those that could be, or those that are not.

![](_page_44_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_2.jpeg)

### **PAMELA Overall Results**

The PAMELA Mission: Heralding a new era in precision cosmic ray physics

The PAMELA Mission: Heralding a new era in precision cosmic ray physics

- Results span 4 decades in energy and 13 in fluxes
- The PAMELA collaboration published more than 80 papers on international journals such as: Nature, Science, Physics Reports, Physical Review Letters, Astrophysical Journal, etc..

![](_page_44_Picture_8.jpeg)

#### TEN YEARS OF COSMIC RAYS IN SPACE

A new issue of La Rivista del Nuovo Cimento on the role of a satellite-borne detector uncovering the mysteries of cosmic rays

La Rivista del Nuovo Cimento Vol. 40 N. 10: online in OPEN ACCESS for 30 days

![](_page_44_Figure_12.jpeg)

PAMELA Collaboration

.

![](_page_44_Figure_15.jpeg)