Weightfield2

Available at:

http://personalpages.to.infn.it/~cartigli/Weightfield2/Main.html

It requires Root build from source, it is for Linux and Mac.

It will not replace TCAD, but it helps in understanding the sensors response



Why did we design WF2?

From Angelo's keynote talk:

- Electronics for ps timing is (in principle) already there!
- But what about sensors?
- Sensor and front-end codesign essential to achieve best possible timing



Weightfield2

Highlights:

- It is completely open source
- it's fast
- It generates the signal from several sources (MIP, alpha, lasers..)
- Runs in batch mode writing output files
- It loads/save configurations
- It has basics electronics simulation

It crashes occasionally

How to use it:

Obtain the last version from

http://personalpages.to.infn.it/~cartigli/Weightfield2/Main.html

1)From the <u>download</u> page, get the latest version
2)Unzip it and then type:
3)Make or 3-bis) make -f Makefile_MacOS10.10_root6
4)./weightfield

WF2 layout



icolo Cartiglia, INFN, Torine

Step 1: select your sensor

	Weightfield2 Build 4.64	
Drift Potential Weighting Potential Currents and Oscilloscope Electronics I Electronics I	Done: Current = 0 at Time = 0.9954 ns	Files in sensors/data && sensors/graph
	- Run	Save Load FBK/W6.dat Save graph
300	Set Potentials Currents Stop Exit	Detector Broportion
	Bracision	
	eh pairs followed (1= Most precise, 100 = Fastest):	
40	Time Step [ps]: 0.3 ▲ Step x,y [micron]: 0.59 ▲ 0.05 ▲	Doping type
	Output files for signals	
		Dimensions
	Batch Mode	# of strips (1,3,5): 3 🛓
	ON Number of events:	Thickness[um]:
		Width[um), Pitch[um)]: 50 100
	Select Particles	
	MIP Landau	Gain
	#eh/um Range [um] Duration [ns] E [keV]	No gain layer implant
0 50 100 150 200 250 300	X[um],Angle[D] Y[um]: 152 10 27 Rnd	
x [um]	Number of Particles:	Massey model
		Gain Layer peak doping [10×16/cm/3] 0
Plotting at: On Strips Between Strips 152 🔷 Draw Field: Ey Ex	Irradiation	Gain recess (um):
	Fluence [10^14 neq /cm^2]: 30 - Fluence [10^14 neq /cm^2]: 30	0 루
	CCE beta electron, holes:	Bias [V], Depletion [V]: 300 ↓ 20 ↓
	□ Acceptor creation □ Init. dop. removal; Fluence:	
	□ DLON	Baad Out
	N_A/N_D: 0.5	Top Strip O Backplane
		Floatenia
	Plot Settings	
		Detector Cap[pF] Ind InH]:
		Scope (50 [Ohm]) BW[GHz]:
		CSA:Imp[Ohm] Tr Imp[mV/fC]:
	Current Settings	CSA(Cdot=0)T_r f(10.00%)[no]:
	B-Field on. Tesla (Positive = entering the plot) = 0	
		CSA:Noise,Vth[mV,CFD if<1]: 0.9 0.3
	Charge Cloud Dispersion (no Alpha)	BB:Imp[Ohm],BW[GHz],Gain: 25 0.7 320
	Temperature [K]:	BB:Noise,Vth[mV,CFD if<1]:

Nicolo Cartiglia, INFN, Torino

5

Fields: under the hood

- The program loads your geometry
- Compute the silicon resistivity from the depletion voltage
- It uses an iterative method to compute:
 - The electric field
 - The weighting field

Step 1: E field



-

Step 1: W field



Select your sensor: does it have gain?

- The program implements a gain layer

Torino

N FZ

artiglia,

000

 It computes the contribution from the additional doping to the electric field



Step 2: select the particle



0

Landau: under the hood

The program uses GEANT4 with the photo-absorption ionization (PAI) model to generate non uniform charge depositions



Results cross-checked with several publications, for example: **The Impact of Incorporating Shell-corrections to Energy Loss in Silicon** Fuyue Wang, Dong Su, Benjamin Nachman, Maurice Garcia-Sciveres, and Qi Zeng arXiv:1711.05465v2 [physics.ins-det]

" The ionization energy loss fluctuation in very thin silicon sensors significantly deviates from the Landau distribution. Therefore, we have developed a charge deposition setup that implements the Bichsel straggling function, which accounts for shell-effects. "

Landau: under the hood



Following Meroli et al (Jinst 6 P06013), these are the parameterizations of the MPV and FWHM as a function of the sensor thickness d for the Landau distribution in silicon

Step 3: charge carriers drift



drift: under the hood

Current is generated using Ramo's theorem: $i(t) = qv(t)E_w$

$$I_{tot}(t_j) = \sum_{k=1}^n I_k(t_j) = -q \sum_{k=1}^n \overrightarrow{v_k(t_j, x_k)} \cdot \overrightarrow{E_w}(x_k)$$

	Electrons	Holes
$\mu(T) \left[\frac{m^2}{Vs} \right]$	$0.1414 \left(\frac{T}{300K}\right)^{-2.5}$	$0.0470 \left(\frac{T}{300K}\right)^{-2.2}$
$\beta(T)$	1.09 $\left(\frac{T}{300K}\right)^{0.66}$	$1.213 \left(\frac{T}{300K}\right)^{0.17}$
$v_{Sat}(T) [m/s]$	$1.07e5 \left(\frac{300K}{T}\right)^{0.87}$	$8.35e4 \left(\frac{300K}{T}\right)^{0.52}$
<i>v</i> (x, T) [^{<i>m</i>} / _{<i>S</i>}]	$\frac{\mu_e(T)E_d(x)}{\sqrt{1 + (\frac{\mu_e(T)E_d(T)}{v_{e,Sat}(T)})^{\beta_e(T)}}}$	$\frac{\mu_h(T)E_d(x)}{\sqrt{1+(\frac{\mu_h(T)E_d(x)}{v_{h,Sat}(T)})^{\beta_h(T)}}}$

WF2 – Data: current in PiN



INEN

gain: under the hood

If the electric field is high enough, carriers multiply

$$N_e(x) = N_e e^{\beta x}; \qquad N_h(x) = N_h e^{\alpha x}$$

$$\alpha = A_n \exp\left\{-\frac{B_n}{E}\right\} ;$$

$$\beta = A_p \exp\left\{-\frac{B_p}{E}\right\} ,$$

$$B_{n,p}(T) = C_{n,p} + D_{n,p} T$$

Currents



Electronics



Step 4: radiation damage



Torino

Step 4: under the hood

Charge trapping with fluence phi:

 $i(t) = i(t)_{new} e^{-t/\tau}$ $\tau = \beta \emptyset \leftarrow \text{model under discussion}$

Acceptor removal:

 $N(\emptyset) = N(\mathbf{0}) * e^{-c\emptyset}$

Acceptor creation:

 $N(\emptyset) = \beta \emptyset$



WF2: predictions

 $\sigma_{t} = (\frac{N}{dV/dt})^{2} + (Landau Shape)^{2} + TDC$

Usual "Jitter" term Here enters everything that is "Noise" and the steepness of the signal

 σ_n

Need large dV/dt

 σ_t

 $\sigma_t = \frac{\sigma_n}{\left|\frac{dV}{dt}\right|}$

Time walk: Amplitude variation, corrected in electronics

Shape variations: non homogeneous energy



Nicolo Cartiglia, INFN, Torino

Non uniform charge deposition along the track

This is a physical limit to time resolution: Need to use thin detectors and low comparator threshold.



INFN

Batch mode: deposited & collected charges



0

Batch mode: time resolution



Compensation with Vbias

The necessary field can be recovered by increasing the external Vbias: proven to work up to $5 \ 10^{15} \ n^{eq}/cm^2$



Time resolution vs thickness









Pulse shape in irradiated UFSD

Comparison measured - WF2 pulse of HPK 50D 50micron thick sensors



With irradiation the signal changes: it becomes shorter and steeper

How to use UFSD up to $5\sim 10^{15} n_{eq}/cm^2$

As the gain layer density decreases, we need to increase the external voltages to create the Efield needed for multiplications. In so doing, the gain moves from the gain layer to the bulk

Bias voltage to obtain Gain ~ 10 as a function of fluence



CNM W5 - 50 micron



Conclusion

Weightfield2 is a rather easy to use simulator for silicon sensors

It can help the user's intuition in deciding the best solutions

It is fully configurable by the user



Acknowledgement

This research was carried out with the contribution of the Ministero degli Affari Esteri, "Direzione Generale per la Promozione del Sistema Paese" of Italy.



Ministere degli Affari Esteri e della Cooperazione Internazionale

DIREZIONE GENERALE PER LA PROMOZIONE DEL SISTEMA PAESE Unità per la cooperazione scientifica <u>e</u> tecnologica bilaterale e multilaterale

The work is supported by HORIZON2020 Grants UFSD ERC grant UFSD669529