# The Gamma-Ray Sky and Unresolved Sources

Alessandro Cuoco

Anisotropic Universe Med BAAM BAAM BAAM Baanolo Astroparticle Meeting 2-5 September 2018

Barolo Astroparticle meeting & Anisotropic Universe, Sept. 3<sup>rd</sup> 2018

# **GRAPPA** meets Barolo

8 80 E

Sam.

LESS.RETES

FILMIT



# The Gamma Sky

Fermi Gamma-Sky, P8, PSF3-only, >1 GeV (72 months(6 yrs), ~3.4M events)



# The Extra-Galactic Gamma-ray Background (EGB)



Fermi LAT collaboration, Astrophys.J. 799 (2015) 1,86

- Power Law for E < 100 GeV</p>
- Spectral softening at high energies

# The origin of the EGB

່ທ່

(keV cm<sup>-2</sup> ;

ω



- star-forming galaxies
- millisecond pulsars
- AGNs
- clusters of Galaxies
- clusters Shocks
- cascades from UHECRs

and...

- Dark matter(?)
- relatively featureless total EGB intensity spectrum → lack of spectral handles to ID individual components



### Resolved Sources - 3FGL catalogue

![](_page_5_Figure_1.jpeg)

# **IGRB Energy Sprectrum**

![](_page_6_Figure_1.jpeg)

The IGRB energy spectrum can be well fitted by a sum of different astro-physical components.

- No obvious need of Dark Matter
- However, an unsatisfactory point is that this result is based on extrapolations

#### The Gamma Sky

Fermi Gamma-Sky, P8, PSF3-only, >1 GeV (72 months(6 yrs), ~3.4M events)

![](_page_7_Picture_2.jpeg)

Beside the energy spectrum, much more information is contained in the whole map. Various techniques can be used to extract it.

## Auto-Correlation of the CMB

![](_page_8_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Figure_3.jpeg)

$$I(\psi) = \sum_{\ell,m} a_{\ell m} Y_{\ell m}(\psi)$$
$$C_{\ell} = \langle |a_{\ell m}|^2 \rangle$$

![](_page_9_Figure_1.jpeg)

 $I(\psi) = \sum a_{\ell m} Y_{\ell m}(\psi)$  $\ell,m$  $C_{\ell} = \langle |a_{\ell m}|^2 \rangle$ 

M.Fornasa, AC, et al. PRD 2016 See also talk of Michela Negro

![](_page_10_Figure_1.jpeg)

- An energy spectrum of the anisotropy can be build, which provides a new observable (beside the intensity energy spectrum) to be checked against models
- Further observable is the cross-correlation among e-bins (not shown)

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Using the anisotropy the result that blazars makes ~30% of the emission is confirmed, without the use of extrapolations
- Remaining ~70% must be produced by objects with a high number density (SFGs, MAGNs...)

#### Anisotropy Constraints on the Pulsar Contribution

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

J. M. Siegal-Gaskins, R. Reesman, V. Pavlidou, S. Profumo, T.P. Walker, Mon.Not.Roy.Astron.Soc. 415 (2011) 1074S

- Constraints on the parameter space of Pulsars are ~1 order of magnitude stronger using anisotropy
- Reference models should be detectable/testable with a slight improvement in the anisotropy measurement

Cross-check your EGB model against anisotropy data !

$$C_{\rm P} = \int_0^{S_{\rm t}} \frac{dN}{dS} S^2 dS$$

 Anisotropy poses strong constraints, especially on models involving blazars

 Constraints on objects with large number density (e.g., galaxies) might be weaker

# Pixel Counts statistics or 1pPDF

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Pixel Counts statistics or 1pPDF

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

Zechlin, AC, Donato, Fornengo, Regis ApJL 2016

This technique also explores the unresolved regime, and gives constraints which are not possible using only resolved sources

#### IGRB redshift distribution

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Besides the energy spectrum, the various components differ also by their distribution in z. In particular DM is expected to peak at low redshift.
- Need to isolate the IGRB emission coming from different redshifts!

# Tomography of the IGRB via x-correlation with LSS

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

See also: Xia et al. MNRAS 2011, Ando, JCAP 2014, Ando, Benoit-Levy, Komatsu PRD 2014

#### Xia et al. ApJS, 2015 Cuoco et al. ApJS, 2017

- The different z-coverage of each catalogue allows to isolate the IGRB at different z effectively performing a Tomography of the IGRB
- This provides a strong handle to better separate components and eventually DM

### cross-correlation with LSS: catalogues

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

- DM emission in the IGRB should trace the Large Scale Structures of the Universe.
- Galaxy Catalogues can be used as LSS template to cross-correlate with

Xia, Cuoco, Branchini, Viel, ApJS, 2015 Regis, Xia, Cuoco+ PRL 2015 Cuoco, Xia, Regis, + ApJS, 2015 Cuoco, Bilicki, Xia, Branchini, ApJS, 2017

#### Fermi-SDSS X-Correlation

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

Cross-correlation detected with a high significance (> 5 sigma)

#### Measured IGRB redshift distribution

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

 Exploiting the redshift information of the catalogs, a fine-binning tomography of the IGRB can be performed and the redshift distribution can be reconstructed

#### Measured IGRB redshift distribution

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

- The IGRB redshift distribution can be studied in energy bins.
- Indications that above 10 GeV the IGRB emission is much closer (z<0.3)</li>

#### x-correlation with Lensing Shear

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Vikram+, 2015 (DES)  $\kappa_E$ -45 -50DEC -55 -6065 75 60 70 80 85 RA
- Advantage: traces directly the total matter. No bias modeling required.
- Disadvantage: not ready yet. Best result at the moment a small patch of the sky from DES, KiDS, CFHLS, Subaru. Interesting results to come with full DES maps and in the future Euclid.

#### See also:

Shirasaki et al. PRD 2014, PRD 2018, Camera et al. ApJ 2013, JCAP 2015, Troster et al. MNRAS 2017

### x-correlation with CMB Lensing

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Planck Collaboration 2015, arXiv:1502.01591

![](_page_23_Figure_3.jpeg)

Fig. 2 Lensing potential estimated from the SMICA full-mission CMB maps using the MV estimator. The power spectrum of this map forms the basis of our lensing likelihood. The estimate has been Wiener filtered following Eq. (5), and band-limited to  $8 \le L \le 2048$ .

- A further possibility is to cross-correlate with the LSS gravitational potential estimated through its lensing effect on the CMB.
- Indeed, a ~3 sigma correlation is present (Fornengo+ ApJ, 2015). Interesting for the future if lensing maps will improve.

#### Dark Matter Constraints

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Limits on the DM contribution can be placed, although they depend on the DM Halo substructure modeling.
- They are, however, competitive even in the most conservative substructure boost scenario (i.e. no boost)

#### **Dark Matter Interpretation**

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

- A large DM contribution to the 2MASS correlation cannot be excluded, since, due to the peaking at low z, an high 2MASS correlation does not affect the correlations at higher z.
- A recent update, Ammazzalorso + 2018, finds that the 2MASS signal comes more likely from MAGNs, although a large DM component is still possible

# Summary and Conclusions

- There is much more information in the maps of the gamma-ray sky besides the intensity energy spectrum!
- Auto-correlation and pixel count statistics provide strong constraints on blazars models
- Anisotropy predictions are easy to derive and compare with data
- Cross correlation of the IGRB with LSSs provides a way to isolate the IGRB contribution in different redshift, i.e. to perform Tomography
- The methods provides strong constraints on the DM contribution to the IGRB
- The picture is evolving rapidly and soon more gamma-ray data, and more LSS catalogues and precise lensing shear maps will provide further insights and stronger sensitivities