Intro 0000	Background	SMEFT 0000	From EW to EM	UV 000	Conclusion

Lepton Dipole Moments

Giovanni Marco Pruna

Laboratori Nazionali Frascati & Roma Tre Frascati & Roma, Italia

LNF, XIX Spring School "Bruno Touschek", 7 May 2018

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶ □ のQ@

Intro	Background	SMEFT	From EW to EM	UV	Conclusion
0000	00	0000	00	000	00

Lepton dipole moments: a conceptual challenge

The Dim-4 SM provides an accidental flavour symmetry:

- it holds in QCD and EM interactions;
- in the quark sector, it's broken by EW interactions.

The lepton sector strictly conserves flavour and CP.

At the same time, we have remarkable phenomenological evidences of FV in the neutrino sector, but...

... No evidence of lepton CP violation and of:

• $l_h^{\pm} \rightarrow \gamma + l_i^{\pm}$	where	$h,i=e,\mu, au$,
• $l_h^{\pm} \rightarrow l_i^{\pm} l_j^{\pm} l_k^{\mp}$	where	$h, i, j, k = e, \mu, \tau,$
• $Z \rightarrow l_h^{\pm} l_i^{\mp}$	where	$h,i=e,\mu, au$,
• $H \rightarrow l_h^{\pm} l_i^{\mp}$	where	$h, i = e, \mu, \tau.$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Intro	Background	SMEFT	From EW to EM	UV	Conclusion
0000	00	0000	00	000	00

Lepton flavour and CP violation are new physics

Leptons come in three generations and mix: CPV is expected.

Neutral sector: neutrino mass generation mechanism ν oscillation *is* a BSM signal, but what is the underlying picture? Charged sector: lepton flavour and CP puzzle cLFV & CPV are severely constrained, why BSM is so elusive?

The handhold: leptonic electric dipole moment

"The KM phase in the quark sector can induce a lepton EDM via a diagram with a closed quark loop, but a non-vanishing result appears first at the four-loop level and therefore is even more suppressed, below the level of

$$d_e^{\rm CKM} \le 10^{-38} e \text{ cm},$$

and so small that the EDMs of paramagnetic atoms and molecules would be induced more efficiently by e.g. Schiff moments and other CP-odd nuclear momenta. [...] The electron EDM is not the best way to probe CP violation in the lepton sector.

M. Pospelov and A. Ritz, Annals Phys. 318 (2005) 119

Intro	Background	SMEFT	From EW to EM	UV	Conclusion
0000	00	0000	00	000	00

A selection of limits on leptonic observables

Lepton EDMs:

- d_e < 0.87 × 10⁻²⁸ ecm at the 90% C.L.
 ACME Collaboration, Science 343 (2014) 269;
- *d*_μ < (−0.1 ± 0.9) × 10⁻¹⁹ ecm at the 90% C.L. Muon (*g* − 2) Collaboration, Phys. Rev. D 80 (2009) 052008;
- $-0.22 \times 10^{-16} ecm < d_{\tau} < 0.45 \times 10^{-16} ecm$ at the 95% C.L. Belle Collaboration, Phys. Lett. B **551** (2003) 16.

cLFV in the muon sector, the "golden" channels:

- BR($\mu \rightarrow 3e$)< 1.0 × 10⁻¹² at the 90% C.L. SINDRUM collaboration, Nucl. Phys. B **299** (1988) 1;
- $\sigma(\mu^- \rightarrow e^-)/\sigma(capt.)|_{Au} < 7.0 \times 10^{-13}$ at the 90% C.L. SINDRUM II collaboration, Eur. Phys. J. C **47** (2006) 337;
- BR(μ → γ + e) < 4.2 × 10⁻¹³ at the 90% C.L. MEG collaboration, Eur. Phys. J. C 76 (2016) 434;



Recent developments

One can contribute in two ways:

- 1 performing precise calculations for backgrounds;
- 2 interpreting properly the current absence of signals.
- 1) Typical low-energy cLFV background computations:
 - radiative decays, $l_1 \rightarrow l_2 + \gamma + 2\nu$;
 - rare decays, $l_1 \to 3l_2 + 2\nu$, $l_1 \to 2l_2 + l_3 + 2\nu$.
- 2) Typical interpretive approaches:
 - bottom-up, effective field theoretical formulations;

A D F A 同 F A E F A E F A Q A

• top-down, UV-complete extensions of the SM.

Intro	Background	SMEFT	From EW to EM	UV	Conclusion
0000	•0	0000	00	000	00

Precise calculations for cLFV backgrounds

Leptonic radiative and rare decays <u>are known</u> at the Next-to-leading order in the Fermi Theory.

• $l_1 \rightarrow l_2 + \gamma + 2\nu$

M. Fael, L. Mercolli and M. Passera, JHEP **07** (2015) 153 GMP, A. Signer and Y. Ulrich, Phys. Lett. B **772** (2017) 452

•
$$l_1 \rightarrow 3l_2 + 2\nu$$

M. Fael, C. Greub, JHEP 1701, 084 (2017)

GMP, A. Signer and Y. Ulrich, Phys. Lett. B 765 (2017) 280

Fully differential NLO Monte Carlo for the radiative/rare decays of a polarised lepton is now available.

Predictions can be tailored on future experiments, arbitrary kinematic cuts can be implemented!



Rare decay at the NLO: the outcome



The differential decay distribution w.r.t. the invisible $\not\!\!E$ at NLO in blue, orange, green and red (see text) and the K factor NLO/LO in black. To emphasize the low energy tail, the scaling is broken at $\not\!\!E = 20$ MeV. The error bars indicate the numerical error of the Monte Carlo integration.

There are substantially fewer background events than expected from the naive tree-level simulations.



Standard Model Effective Field Theory

Assumptions: SM is merely an effective theory, valid up to some scale Λ . It can be extended to a field theory that satisfies the following requirements:

- its gauge group should contain $SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$;
- all the SM degrees of freedom must be incorporated;
- at low energies (i.e. when $\Lambda \to \infty$), it should reduce to SM.

Assuming that such reduction proceeds via decoupling of New Physics (NP), the Appelquist-Carazzone theorem allows us to write such theory in the form:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\rm SM} + \frac{1}{\Lambda} \sum_{k} C_{k}^{(5)} Q_{k}^{(5)} + \frac{1}{\Lambda^{2}} \sum_{k} C_{k}^{(6)} Q_{k}^{(6)} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\Lambda^{3}}\right).$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

	Ba
0	00

Conclusion

Dimension-six operators

	2	2-leptons	
Q_{eW}	=	$(\bar{l}_p \sigma^{\mu\nu} e_r) \tau^I \varphi W^I_{\mu\nu};$	
Q_{eB}	=	$(\bar{l}_p \sigma^{\mu\nu} e_r) \varphi B_{\mu\nu}.$	
$Q_{\varphi l}^{(1)}$	=	$(\varphi^{\dagger}i \overset{\leftrightarrow}{D_{\mu}} \varphi)(\bar{l}_{p}\gamma^{\mu}l_{r})$	
$Q^{(3)}_{arphi l}$	=	$(\varphi^{\dagger}i D^{I}_{\mu} \varphi) (\bar{l}_{p} \tau^{I} \gamma^{\mu} l$	$_{r})$
$Q_{\varphi e}$	=	$(\varphi^{\dagger}i \overset{\leftrightarrow}{D_{\mu}} \varphi)(\bar{e}_p \gamma^{\mu} e_r)$)
$Q_{e\varphi}$	=	$(\varphi^{\dagger}\varphi)(\bar{l}_{p}e_{r}\varphi)$	
	[4-leptons	
Q_{ll}	=	$(ar{l}_p \gamma_\mu l_r) (ar{l}_s \gamma^\mu l_t)$	
Q_{ee}	=	$(\bar{e}_p \gamma_\mu e_r) (\bar{e}_s \gamma^\mu e_t)$	
Q_{le}	=	$(\bar{l}_p \gamma_\mu l_r) (\bar{e}_s \gamma^\mu e_t)$	

4-fermions $Q_{la}^{(1)} = (\bar{l}_p \gamma_\mu l_r) (\bar{q}_s \gamma^\mu q_t)$ $Q_{lq}^{(3)} = (\bar{l}_p \gamma_\mu \tau^I l_r) (\bar{q}_s \gamma^\mu \tau^I q_t)$ $Q_{eu} = (\bar{e}_p \gamma_\mu e_r) (\bar{u}_s \gamma^\mu u_t)$ $Q_{ed} = (\bar{e}_p \gamma_\mu e_r) (\bar{d}_s \gamma^\mu d_t)$ $Q_{lu} = (\bar{l}_n \gamma_\mu l_r) (\bar{u}_s \gamma^\mu u_t)$ $Q_{ld} = (\bar{l}_p \gamma_\mu l_r) (\bar{d}_s \gamma^\mu d_t)$ Q_{qe} $= (\bar{q}_p \gamma_\mu q_r) (\bar{e}_s \gamma^\mu e_t)$ $= (\bar{l}_n^j e_r)(\bar{d}_s q_t^j)$ Qleda $Q_{leau}^{(1)}$ $= (\bar{l}_{p}^{j}e_{r})\varepsilon_{ik}(\bar{q}_{s}^{k}u_{t})$ $Q_{lequ}^{(3)}$ $= (\bar{l}_{n}^{j}\sigma_{\mu\nu}e_{r})\varepsilon_{jk}(\bar{q}_{s}^{k}\sigma^{\mu\nu}u_{t})$

・ロト・日本・日本・日本・日本・日本

Intro	Background	SMEFT	From EW to EM	UV	Conclusion
0000	00	0000	00	000	00

Dimension-six operators: lepton current at one loop

From a point-like interaction...



... to quantum fluctuations!



Intro	Background	SMEFT	From EW to EM	UV	Conclusion
0000	00	0000	00	000	00

Experimental limits "reinterpreted" at the EW scale



590



Interplay between $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ and $\mu \rightarrow 3e$

A. Crivellin, S. Davidson, GMP and A. Signer, arXiv:1611.03409 [hep-ph].

Below the EW scale, four-fermion vs dipole:



Intro	Background	SMEFT	From EW to EM	UV	Conclusion
0000	00	0000	0•	000	00

COMET/Mu2e money plot

A. Crivellin, S. Davidson, GMP and A. Signer, JHEP 1705 (2017) 117



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

Intro	Background	SMEFT	From EW to EM	UV	Conclusion
0000	00	0000	00	•00	00

The doubly Charged $SU(2)_L$ -singlet scalar

Minimal model for neutrino mass generation

SM + 1 $SU(2)_L$ -singlet doubly charged scalar: $S_R^{\pm\pm}$ It couples only with right-handed charged leptons:

$$\Delta \mathcal{L} = (D_{\mu}S^{++})^{\dagger} (D^{\mu}S^{++}) + \left(\lambda_{ab} \overline{(\ell_R)^c_a} \ell_{Rb} S^{++} + \text{h.c.}\right)$$
$$+ \lambda_2 \left(H^{\dagger}H\right) (S^{--}S^{++}) + \lambda_4 \left(S^{--}S^{++}\right)^2 + [\text{inv.}]$$

 λ_{ab} consists of 6 independent complex parameters. Lepton Flavour Violation

S. F. King, A. Merle and L. Panizzi, JHEP 1411 (2014) 124

Intro	Background	SMEFT	From EW to EM	UV	Conclusion
0000	00	0000	00	000	00

Limits from low energy and discovery power of LC



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲豆▶ ▲豆▶ □ ○ ○ ○



Direct production at CLIC (3rd stage)



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ



 \checkmark CPV and LFV phenomena are forbidden in the minimal SM

- Neutrino sector seems to ignore this fact, calling for something more than the minimal theoretical setup
- Charged sector seems to take the job seriously
- ✓ If NP lives at very high energy, then consistent EFT techniques can be adopted to extract information of new physics at high scale from low-energy observables
- $\checkmark\,$ Precise background calculations are important to improve the experimental limits
- $\checkmark\,$ From limits on leptonic FV and EDM one can gain information on the parameter space of possible UV-complete BSM theories



- Doubly charged scalars arise in many BSM models, in triplets or singlets under $SU(2)_L$, often in connection with the neutrino masses;
- LFV low energy processes set strong limits on combination of the DCS couplings to leptons;
- future e^+e^- colliders can provide complementary bounds;
- due to the production of the DCS in the t-channel, e⁺e⁻ colliders can be sensitive to mass scales of several TeV;
- further investigations of the DCS phenomenology are ongoing and the results will be published soon.