



### Plan

Intoduction. The need of quantum theory of incoherent scattering in crystals

Wigner function description of both quantum and classical effects

- classical motion description by eikonal method
- quantum scattering description in Born approximation

Wigner function of incoherent scattering

- small angle scattering description by averaged angle
- large angle scattering description by Moss cross-section modification

Incoherent scattering contribution to radiation and pair production

**Observed** effects predicted by Prof. V.G. Baryshevsky and his School Parametric radiation **Channeling radiation** Spin rotation in crystals Radiative cooling Synchrotron-type e<sup>+</sup> e<sup>-</sup> pair production Multiple volume reflection in one crystal Quasichanneling oscillations



The main thing still ubiquitously missed is quantum incoherent scattering theory

Det Kgl. Danske Videnskabernes Selskab.

Mathematisk-fysiske Meddelelser XVIII, 8.

### THE PENETRATION OF ATOMIC PARTICLES THROUGH MATTER

BT

#### NIELS BOHR



#### KØBENHAVN I KOMMISSION HOS EJNAR MUNKSGAARD

# Bohr condition $Z\alpha/\beta >>1$

18 Nr. 8. NIELS BOHR: §1.3.

or, according to (1.1.4) and (1.3.2),

$$\varkappa = \frac{2|e_1e_2|}{\hbar v}, \qquad (1.3.8)$$

.

we thus have

$$\varkappa \rangle\rangle 1$$
 (1.3.9)

as the necessary and sufficient <u>condition</u> for the justification of the <u>classical</u> considerations

### Landau and Lifshitz about quantum nature of particle scattering by atoms

#### Quantum Electrodynamics

Landau and Lifshitz Course of Theoretical Physics Volume 4 2nd Edition

V B Borostetskii, E M Lifshitz and L P Pitaevskii Institute of Physical Problems, USSR Academy of Sciences, Moscow



QUANTUM ELECTRODYNAMICS

by

V. B. BERESTETSKII, E. M. LIFSHITZ

and

L. P. PITAEVSKII Institute of Physical Problems, U.S.S.R. Academy of Sciences

> Volume 4 of Course of Theoretical Physics Second edition

> > Translated from the Russian by J. B. SYKES and J. S. BELL



name and Distance

#### §126. The quasi-classical case

It is of interest to investigate the manner in which the passage occurs from the quantum-mechanical theory of scattering to the limit of the classical theory.

If we can speak of <u>classical</u> scattering through an angle  $\theta$  when the particle is incident at an impact parameter  $\rho$ , it is necessary that the <u>quantum-mechanical</u> indeterminacies of these two quantities should be relatively small:  $\Delta \rho \ll \rho$ ,  $\Delta \theta \ll \theta$ . The indeterminacy in the scattering angle is of the order of magnitude  $\Delta \theta \sim \Delta p/p$ , where p is the momentum of the particle and  $\Delta p$  is the indeterminacy in its transverse component. Since  $\Delta p \sim \hbar/\Delta \rho \gg \hbar/\rho$ , we have  $\Delta \theta \gg \hbar/p\rho$ , and thus

$$\theta \gg \hbar/\rho m v.$$
 (126.6)

The classical angle of deviation of the particle can be estimated as the ratio of the transverse momentum increment  $\Delta p$  during the "collision time"  $\tau \sim \rho/v$  and the original momentum mv. The force acting on the particle at a distance  $\rho$  is  $U'(\rho)$ ; hence  $\Delta p \sim |U'(\rho)|\rho/v$ , so that  $\theta \sim |U'(\rho)|\rho/mv^2$ .

Substitution in (126.6) gives the condition for quasi-classical scattering in the form

 $|U'(\rho)|\rho^2 \gg \hbar v. \tag{126.7}$ 

For a Coulomb field,  $U = \alpha/r$ , the condition (126.7) is satisfied if  $\alpha \ge \hbar v$ . This is the opposite condition to that for which the Coulomb field can be regarded as a perturbation. We shall see, however, that the quantum theory of scattering in a Coulomb field leads to a result which, as it happens, is always in agreement with the classical result.

**Since** 
$$\frac{Z\alpha}{\beta} \approx \frac{14}{137} \ll 1$$
 **the single-atom scattering**

is "quantum" and can be treated as a perturbation

Matematisk-fysiske Meddelelser

udgiret af Det Kongelige Danske Videnskabernes Selskab Bind 34, nr. 14

Mat. Fys. Medd. Dan. Vid. Selsk, 34, no. 14 (1965)

### INFLUENCE OF CRYSTAL LATTICE ON MOTION OF ENERGETIC CHARGED PARTICLES

 $8^{\circ}$ 

JENS LINDHARD



København 1965 N Kommissioner: Ejnar Menksgaard

#### Appendix B

#### Quantal Corrections to Classical Description

#### Single Collision

The total uncertainty,  $\delta \vartheta$ , in scattering angle can be obtained in a way analogous to that used by BOHR<sup>12</sup>).

With a wave packet of width  $\delta r$  there are two contributions to  $\delta \vartheta$ , one from diffraction and one from classical uncertainty in position, i.e.

$$(\delta\vartheta)^2 = \frac{\dot{\lambda}^2}{4(\delta r)^2} + (\delta r)^2 \cdot (\vartheta'(p))^2.$$
(B.1)

In order to obtain a well-defined orbit, we may demand  $(\delta\vartheta)^2 < \vartheta^2$ , or

$$\lambda \frac{d}{dp} \left( \frac{1}{\vartheta(p)} \right) < 1, \tag{B.3}$$

which formula in the case of Rutherford scattering,  $\vartheta = b/p$ , leads to the inequality of BOHR<sup>12</sup>

$$\varkappa = \frac{2Z_1 Z_2 e^2}{\hbar v} > 1.$$
(B.4)

**3.C:6.B** Nuclear Physics A96 (1967) 481—504; C North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam Not to be reproduced by photoprint or microfilm without written permission from the publisher

### QUANTAL TREATMENT OF DIRECTIONAL EFFECTS FOR ENERGETIC CHARGED PARTICLES IN CRYSTAL LATTICES

PHILIP LERVIG, JENS LINDHARD and VIBEKE NIELSEN

Institute of Physics, University of Aarhus, Denmark

in continuation of previous work <sup>1,2)</sup>
1) J. Lindhard, Phys. Lett. 12 (1964) 126
2) J. Lindhard, Mat. Fys. Medd. Dan. Vid. Selsk. 34, No. 14 (1965).

**single** scattering of a charged particle by an atom is to be described by **quantal** perturbation methods when the **velocity** is sufficiently **high**, in particular at small angles of deflection 3).

3) N. Bohr, Mat. Fys. Medd. Dan. Vid. Selsk. 18, No. 8 (1948).

### **Xavier ARTRU**

This conference talk:

Quantum Versus Classical Approach of Dechanneling and Other Incoherent Processes at High Energy in Aligned Crystals

We discuss whether it can be treated classically, like in the binary collision model, or if it should be treated **quantummechanically. We give arguments for the latter** opinion. We show that the quantum approach predicts a slower dechanneling than the classical one.

# classical vs quantum scattering



### Quantum vs classical cross sections

#### Relativistic analog to

C. LEHMANN and G. LEIBFRIED. Higher order momentum approximations in classical collision theory. Zeitschrift fiir Physik 172, 465--487 (1963).

$$\theta(\rho) \Box \frac{2Z\alpha}{\varepsilon a\rho} \int_{\rho}^{\infty} \frac{\exp(-r/a)}{\sqrt{r^2 - \rho^2}} r dr = \frac{2Z\alpha}{\varepsilon a} K_1(\rho/a)$$

$$\frac{d\sigma_{Class}}{d\Omega} \Box \frac{\rho(\theta)}{\theta} \left| \frac{d\theta(\rho)}{d\rho} \right|^{-1} = \frac{4Z^2 \alpha^2}{\varepsilon^2 \theta^4} \left[ \frac{b}{K_1'(b)K_1^3(b)} \right], \qquad b = \rho/a$$

$$\frac{d\sigma_{Quant}}{d\Omega} \Box \frac{4Z^2\alpha^2}{\varepsilon^2\theta^4} \frac{1}{\left(1+\hbar^2/\theta^2p^2a^2\right)^2} = \frac{4Z^2\alpha^2}{\varepsilon^2\theta^4} \left(1+\hbar^2/\theta^2p^2a^2\right)^{-2}$$

### Indeed, classical approach overestimates scattering



## Typical scattering angles $\theta_{\min}$ and $\theta_{u}$

Momentum transfer  $q_{\perp} = \hbar Z^{1/3} / a_0$ 

and scattering angle  $\theta_{\min} = \hbar Z^{1/3} / a_0 p$ 

are determined by the **atom screening** radius  $a_0 / Z^{1/3}$ 

Momentum transfer  $q_{\perp} = \hbar / u_1$ and scattering angle  $\theta_u = \hbar / u_1 p \Box (2 \div 3) \theta_{\min}$ are determined by atomic vibration amplitude  $u_1$ which determines **additional effective screening** (scattering suppression by correlations)

#### Modified Dechanneling Theory and Diffusion Coefficients

M. Kitagawa and Y. H. Ohtsuki

Department of Physics, Waseda University, Nishi-Ohkubo 4, Shinjuku, Tokyo, Japan (Received 20 February 1973)

A new type of dechanneling theory is presented by constructing a Fokker-Planck equation. The damping term, which is not renormalized to the diffusion coefficient, and a new term in addition to the usual diffusion equation appear in the Fokker-Planck equation. Making use of the general expression of the diffusion coefficient given by Ohtsuki, some simple analytic expressions for diffusion coefficients due to the many-phonon excitations, the one-electron excitation, and the plasmon excitation are presented.

$$\left\langle \frac{\Delta p_{\perp}^2}{\Delta z} \right\rangle \cong \left\langle \frac{\Delta p_{\perp}^2}{\Delta z} \right\rangle_{random} P(r_{\perp}),$$
 (3.8)

where  $\langle \Delta p_{\rm L}^2 / \Delta z \rangle_{\rm random}$  is the diffusion coefficient in random case given by Bohr,<sup>21</sup>

$$\left\langle \frac{\Delta p_1^2}{\Delta z} \right\rangle_{\text{random}} = \frac{8\pi Z_1^2 Z_2^2 e^4 N}{v_z^2} L_n , \qquad (3.9)$$

and  $P(r_1)$  is the distribution function of the atom in thermal motion at the (x, y) plane,

$$P(r_{1}) = \sum_{h_{1}} e^{-M(\vec{h}_{1})} e^{i\vec{h}_{1}\cdot\vec{r}_{1}} = \frac{r_{0}^{2}}{\rho_{1}^{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{r_{1}^{2}}{\rho_{1}^{2}}\right).$$
(3.10)

Diffusion coefficients for the planar case are also derived from Eq. (3.1) with same assumptions taking into account  $\vec{h}_1 = (0, h_y)$ ,

$$\langle \Delta p_y^2 / \Delta z \rangle = \langle \Delta p_y^2 / \Delta z \rangle_{\text{random}} P(y),$$
 (3.11)

where P(y) is the distribution function of the atom at y from the channeling plane,

$$P(y) = \frac{d_y}{(2\pi)^{1/2} \rho_y} \exp\left(-\frac{y^2}{2\rho_y^2}\right) , \qquad (3.12)$$

# Presently used: Kitagawa-Ohtsuki approximation

$$\left\langle \frac{\Delta p_{\perp}^2}{\Delta z} \right\rangle \cong P(r_{\perp}) \times \left\langle \frac{\Delta p_{\perp}^2}{\Delta z} \right\rangle_{\text{random}}$$

$$P(r_{1}) = \frac{r_{0}^{2}}{\rho_{1}^{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{r_{1}^{2}}{\rho_{1}^{2}}\right), \qquad P(y) = \frac{d_{y}}{(2\pi)^{1/2}\rho_{y}} \exp\left(-\frac{y^{2}}{2\rho_{y}^{2}}\right).$$

**we:** + *suppression by coherent scattering* 

 $\langle d\vartheta_s^2(z)/dz\rangle = n\int_0^{\vartheta_2} \int_0^{2\pi} \vartheta^2 \frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} [1 - \exp(-p^2 \vartheta^2 u_1^2)] d\varphi \vartheta d\vartheta$ 

# Kitagawa-Ohtsuki approximation

$$\frac{d\Sigma_{KO} \equiv n(\rho) \cdot d\sigma_{Mott}(\vec{q}_{\perp}) =}{\frac{\exp\left(-\rho^2 / 2u_1^2\right)}{2\pi u_1^2} \times \frac{4\alpha^2 Z^2 d\vec{q}_{\perp}}{\left(q_{\perp}^2 + \hbar^2 / a_F^2\right)^2}}$$

- is, in fact, **refined** in the present talk

- is used everywhere for **normalization** 

Single-atom scattering modification in crystals (M. L. Ter-Mikaelian)

$$\varphi = \sum_{i} \frac{Ze}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_{i}|} \exp\left(\frac{-|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_{i}|}{R}\right).$$

$$d\sigma = d\sigma_{\rm BH} \left| \sum_{i} \exp\left(\frac{i\mathbf{qr}_{i}}{\hbar}\right) \right|^{2}, \quad q = \mathbf{p}_{1} - \mathbf{p}_{2} - \hbar \mathbf{k}$$

averaging over thermal vibrations:

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\sum_{i} \exp\left(\frac{iq\mathbf{r}_{i}}{\hbar}\right)\right|^{2} &= N \left[1 - \exp\left(\frac{-q^{2}\bar{u}^{2}}{\hbar^{2}}\right)\right] \\ &+ \exp\left(\frac{-q^{2}\bar{u}^{2}}{\hbar^{2}}\right) \left|\sum_{i} \exp\left(\frac{iq\mathbf{r}_{i0}}{\hbar}\right)\right|^{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Using this equality, we obtain for bremsstrahlung cross section in a crystal the expression [see formulas (3) and (12) in Ref. 4]

$$d\sigma = d\sigma_{\rm BH} \left\{ N \left[ 1 - \exp\left(\frac{-q^2 \overline{u^2}}{\hbar^2}\right) \right] + \exp\left(\frac{-q^2 \overline{u^2}}{\hbar^2}\right) \left| \sum_i \exp\left(\frac{i \mathbf{q} \mathbf{r}_{i0}}{\hbar} \right) \right|^2$$
(7.4)

M. L. Ter-Mikaelian approach is equivalent to the plane wave approximation and does not take into consideration both **particle and nuclei** transverse distribution nonuniformity Essential points for KO refining:

- Strong particle *transverse* localization
   (trajectories)
- Strong nonuniformity of transverse distribution of the scatterers

**Our approach** is founded on Wigner function (WF) as well as both eikonal and Born approximations and *quantum-mechanically* describes *incoherent particle scattering* in non-uniform media of atomic strings and planes

This new approach:

- refines Kitagawa-Ohtsuki approximation

Uses ideas/works of W.R. Hamilton, N. Bohr,E. Wigner, J. Lindhard , M. L. Ter-Mikeilian

Has something **common** with works of A.I. Akhiezer & **N.F. Shul'ga** and **X. Artru** 

# Primary formulae

$$P(z, z_0, \vec{\rho}, \vec{q}_\perp) = \pi^{-2} \int \Psi^*(z, z_0, \vec{\rho} + \vec{\chi}) \Psi(z, z_0, \vec{\rho} - \vec{\chi}) \exp(2i\vec{q}_\perp \vec{\chi}) d^2 \chi$$

$$\Psi \approx \Psi_{eik}(\vec{r}) \left[ 1 - i \int \delta U_{at}(\vec{\rho}' - \vec{\rho}_{at}, z') \frac{dz'}{\upsilon} \right]$$

Eikonal ( $\approx$  "classical") wave function and Wigner function Dirac equation solution by optic eikonal method

$$\left[\Delta + p^2 - 2\varepsilon U(\vec{r})\right]\psi(\vec{r}) = \left[\Delta + p^2 n^2\right]\psi(\vec{r}) = 0$$

$$\psi(\vec{r}) = f(\vec{r}) \exp(ip\Im(\vec{r}))$$



 $n^2 = 1 - 2\varepsilon U / p^2$ 

 $\left(\vec{\nabla}\mathfrak{I}\right)^2 = n^2$ 



### Light rays vs particle trajectories

$$\left(\vec{\nabla}\mathfrak{T}\right)^2 = n^2 \qquad n^2 = 1 - 2\varepsilon U / p^2$$
$$\vec{s} = \frac{d\vec{r}}{ds} = \frac{\vec{\nabla}\mathfrak{T}}{\left|\vec{\nabla}\mathfrak{T}\right|}$$



$$\varepsilon \frac{d^2 \vec{r}}{dt^2} = -\vec{\nabla}U + \left(\vec{v} \,\vec{\nabla}U\right)\vec{v} \quad \rightarrow \qquad \varepsilon \frac{d^2 \vec{r}}{dt^2} = -\vec{\nabla}U + \left(\vec{s} \,\vec{\nabla}U\right)\vec{s},$$

$$\Im\left(\vec{r}\right) = \Im\left(\vec{r}(s,s_0)\right) = \int_{s_0}^s n\left(\vec{r}(s')\right) ds' \approx -\int_{s_0}^s U\left(\vec{r}(s')\right) ds' / \upsilon$$

Pre-exponential factor in  $\psi(\vec{r}) = f(\vec{r}) \exp(ip\Im(\vec{r}))$ 

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial s} = \frac{1}{n} \left( \vec{\nabla} f \right) \vec{\nabla} \mathfrak{I} = \left( \vec{\nabla} f \right) \frac{d\vec{r}}{ds} = -\frac{1}{2n} f \Delta \mathfrak{I} + \frac{i\Delta}{2n} f$$

$$f(\vec{\rho},s) = \frac{p}{2\pi i s} \int \exp\left(-\frac{p}{2i s} \left(\vec{\rho} - \vec{\rho}(s)\right)^2\right) f\left(\vec{\rho}_0\right) d\vec{\rho}_0 \quad \text{diffraction}$$

$$f = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\int_{0}^{s} \nabla^{2} \Im \, ds\right) \quad \text{focusing / defocusing}$$

Eikonal WF asymptotically (only!) reduces to a delta-function corresponding to the classical limit

$$1D: \int \exp\left(i\int [U(x+\chi,z)-U(x-\chi,z)]\frac{dz}{v}\right)\exp(2iq_{x}\chi)d\chi =$$

$$2\pi\left(\int U^{"}dz/v\right)^{-1/3}Ai\left(2\left(q+\int U'dz/v\right)\left(\int U^{"}dz/v\right)^{-1/3}\right)\approx\pi\delta\left(q+\int U'dz/v\right)$$

$$P_{eik}(\vec{\rho},\vec{q}_{\perp},z,z_{0})\approx\frac{d}{d\vec{q}_{\perp}}n\left(\vec{q}_{\perp}+\int_{z_{0}}^{z}\vec{\nabla}U(\vec{\rho},z')dz'\right)\neq n\delta\left(\vec{q}_{\perp}+\int_{z_{0}}^{z}\vec{\nabla}U(\vec{\rho},z')dz'\right).$$

where  $\vec{q}_{\perp} = -\int_{z_0}^{z_0} \vec{\nabla} U(\vec{\rho}, z') dz'$  corresponds to classical motion

however  $\vec{q}_{\perp}$  uncertainty is not zero !



making it possible to introduce local WF of incoherent scattering :

## Plan

Intoduction. The need of quantum theory of incoherent scattering in crystals

- Wigner function description of both quantum and classical effects
- classical motion description by eikonal method
- quantum scattering description in Born approximation

### Wigner function of incoherent scattering

- small angle scattering description by averaged angle
- large angle scattering description by Moss cross-section modification

Incoherent scattering contribution to radiation and pair production

### Wigner function of incoherent scattering

$$P_{at}(\vec{\rho} - \vec{\rho}_{at}(z'), \vec{q}_{\perp}) \Box P_{Born}(\vec{\rho} - \vec{\rho}_{at}(z'), \vec{q}_{\perp}) = \int \left[1 + i \int_{z'=0}^{z'+0} \delta U_{at}(\vec{\rho} + \vec{\chi} - \vec{\rho}_{at}(z'), z'') \frac{dz''}{\upsilon}\right]$$

$$\times \left[1 - i \int_{z'=0}^{z'+0} \delta U_{at}(\vec{\rho} - \vec{\chi} - \vec{\rho}_{at}(z'), z'') \frac{dz''}{\upsilon}\right] \exp(2i\vec{q}_{\perp}\vec{\chi}) \frac{d^2\chi}{\pi^2}$$

$$\frac{d\Sigma(\vec{\rho})}{d\vec{q}_{\perp}} = n_{nucl}(\vec{\rho}) \frac{d\sigma(\vec{\rho})}{d\vec{q}_{\perp}} = \frac{1}{n_{p}} \frac{d}{dz} \frac{dn_{p}(\vec{\rho})}{d\vec{q}_{\perp}} = \int \left[ P_{at}(z',\vec{\rho}-\vec{\rho}_{at},\vec{q}_{\perp}) - 1 \right] \exp\left(-\frac{\rho_{at}^{2}}{2u_{1}^{2}}\right) \frac{d^{2}\rho_{at}}{2\pi u_{1}^{2}d}$$
$$= \left(\frac{2Z\alpha}{\pi v}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{d} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cos(2\vec{\kappa}\vec{\rho}) \frac{\exp(-2\kappa^{2}u_{1}^{2}) - \exp[-(q^{2}+\kappa^{2})u_{1}^{2}]}{[(\vec{q}+\vec{\kappa})^{2}+\kappa_{s}^{2}][(\vec{q}-\vec{\kappa})^{2}+\kappa_{s}^{2}]} d\vec{\kappa}$$

### Single nucleus limit $q_{\perp} \gg \hbar/u_1$

$$\frac{d\Sigma(\vec{\rho})}{d\vec{q}_{\perp}} \rightarrow n_{nucl}(\vec{\rho}) \frac{d\sigma_{Mott}(\mathbf{q}_{\perp})}{d\vec{q}_{\perp}} = \left(\frac{2Z\alpha}{vq^2}\right)^2 \frac{1}{2\pi u_1^2 d_{inat}} \exp\left(-\frac{\rho^2}{2u_1^2}\right)$$

Essential expression of the presentation

$$\frac{d\Sigma(\vec{\rho})}{d\vec{q}_{\perp}} \equiv \frac{d}{dz} \frac{dn(\vec{\rho})}{n d\vec{q}_{\perp}} = \left(\frac{2Z\alpha}{\pi \upsilon}\right)^2 \frac{1}{d} \times \int \cos(2\vec{\kappa}\vec{\rho}) \frac{\exp(-2\kappa^2 u_1^2) - \exp[-(q^2 + \kappa^2)u_1^2]}{(q^2 + \kappa^2)u_1^2}$$

$$\int \cos(2\vec{\kappa}\vec{\rho}) \frac{\exp(-2\kappa u_1) - \exp[-(q + \kappa)u_1]}{[(\vec{q} + \vec{\kappa})^2 + \kappa_s^2][(\vec{q} - \vec{\kappa})^2 + \kappa_s^2]} d\vec{\kappa}$$

describes Coulomb scattering by nonuniformly distributed screened nuclei

$$\frac{d\Sigma(\vec{\rho})}{d\vec{q}_{\perp}} \equiv \frac{d}{dz} \frac{dn(\vec{\rho})}{n \, d\vec{q}_{\perp}} = \left(\frac{2Z\alpha}{\pi \upsilon}\right)^2 \frac{1}{d} \times \int \cos(2\vec{\kappa}\vec{\rho}) \frac{\exp(-2\kappa^2 u_1^2) - \exp[-(q^2 + \kappa^2)u_1^2]}{[(\vec{q} + \vec{\kappa})^2 + \kappa_s^2][(\vec{q} - \vec{\kappa})^2 + \kappa_s^2]} d\vec{\kappa}$$

### versus

$$\frac{d\Sigma_{KO} \equiv n(\rho) \cdot d\sigma(\vec{q}_{\perp})}{\exp\left(-\rho^2/2u_1^2\right)} \times \frac{4\alpha^2 Z^2 d\vec{q}_{\perp}}{\left(q_{\perp}^2 + \hbar^2/a_F^2\right)^2}$$

# New Wigner function greatly differs from KO at $q_{\perp} = u_1$



## WF can be negative 1



## WF can be negative 2



WF completely looses probabilistic interpretation at  $q_{\perp} \sim u_1$ ,  $\rho > 2.5 u_1$  (< 5 % of particles)

All the effects of small angle incoherent scattering boils down to the average square of the scattering angle

 $\frac{d\theta_{\rho,\varphi}^2(\vec{\rho})}{dz} = \int_{q < q_{\text{max}}} \frac{d\Sigma(\vec{\rho})}{d\vec{q}_{\perp}} \frac{q_{\rho,\varphi}^2}{p^2} d\vec{q}$ 

### .. which WF allows one to readily evaluate



Average scattering angle **square** becomes **positively** determined at small momentum transfer



### Average scattering angle square considerable exceeds KO limit at large q and ρ



# WF high $q_{\perp}$ Mott limit:



$$\equiv n_{nucl}(\rho) \cdot d\sigma(\vec{q}_{\perp}) = \frac{\exp(-\rho^2 / 2u_1^2)}{2\pi u_1^2} \times \frac{4\alpha^2 Z^2 d\,\vec{q}_{\perp}}{\left(q_{\perp}^2 + \hbar^2 / a_F^2\right)^2}$$

## Mott cross section modification by nonuniformity of string atom distribution

$$d\sigma_{\rm mod} = d\sigma_{\rm Mott} \left\{ 1 - \frac{\rho^2}{2q^2 u_1^4} \exp\left(-\frac{\rho^2}{2u_1^2}\right) \left[ 2(\vec{q}\,\vec{\rho})^2 / \rho^2 p^2 - 1 \right] + .. \right\}$$



# Plan

Intoduction. The need of quantum theory of incoherent scattering in crystals

Wigner function description of both quantum and classical effects

- classical motion description by eikonal method
- quantum scattering description in Born approximation

Wigner function of incoherent scattering

- small angle scattering description by averaged angle
- large angle scattering description by Moss cross-section modification

**Incoherent scattering contribution to radiation and pair production** 

Development of the consistent **theory** of relativistic particle incoherent scattering in crystals will mean the same for **radiation** and pair production processes

Key points

Radiation (pair production),

accompanying single scattering:

- has to be simulated separately
- is suppressed by coherent scattering;

coherent scattering also suppresses

Landau-Pomeranchuk effect

# Key simulation points:

# Trajectory simulations in most **realistic potentials**

Simulation of **incoherent scattering** on both nuclei and electrons

## Separate simulation of **single** and **multiple** scattering

# Direct integration of **Baier-Katkov formula**

Infinite trajectories, density effect...

# Radiation process simulations from the *"First Principles"*

The general expression for radiation intensity

$$\frac{d^2 I}{d\omega d^2 \theta} = \frac{\alpha \omega^2 d\omega}{8\pi^2 \varepsilon'^2} \times \int \int dt_1 dt_2 \left[ (\varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon'^2) (\mathbf{v}_\perp(t_1) - \boldsymbol{\theta}) (\mathbf{v}_\perp(t_2) - \boldsymbol{\theta}) + \omega^2 / \gamma^2 \right]$$
$$\exp\left\{ i \frac{\omega \varepsilon}{2\varepsilon'} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{t_1} \left( \gamma^{-2} + (\mathbf{v}_\perp(t') - \boldsymbol{\theta})^2 \right) dt' + \int_{-\infty}^{t_2} \left( \gamma^{-2} + (\mathbf{v}_\perp(t'') - \boldsymbol{\theta})^2 \right) dt'' \right] \right\}$$

contains two integrals

$$A = \int \exp\left\{i\frac{\omega\varepsilon}{2\varepsilon'}\int_{-\infty}^{t} \left[\gamma^{-2} + (\mathbf{v}_{\perp}(t') - \boldsymbol{\theta})^{2}\right]dt'\right\}dt,$$

$$\mathbf{B} = \int \left( \mathbf{v}_{\perp}(t) - \boldsymbol{\theta} \right) \exp \left\{ i \frac{\omega \varepsilon}{2\varepsilon'} \int_{-\infty}^{t} \left[ \gamma^{-2} + \left( \mathbf{v}_{\perp}(t') - \boldsymbol{\theta} \right)^{2} \right] dt' \right\} dt$$

and slowly decreases with radiation angle  $\theta$ , complicating its numerical integration.

### Radiation at sharp change of particle trajectory



$$I pprox rac{ic}{\omega} \left( rac{v'}{c - n \cdot v'} - rac{v}{c - n \cdot v} 
ight),$$

$$\frac{d\mathcal{E}}{d\omega} = \frac{2e^2}{\pi c} \left( \frac{2\xi^2 + 1}{\xi\sqrt{\xi^2 + 1}} \ln\left(\xi + \sqrt{\xi^2 + 1}\right) - 1 \right).$$

### Single scattering effects are treated separately

$$\begin{split} A &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\{i\varphi(t)\}dt = \frac{i}{\dot{\varphi}(+0)} - \frac{i}{\dot{\varphi}(-0)} + \\ &i \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \left[ \frac{1}{\dot{\varphi}(t_i+0)} - \frac{1}{\dot{\varphi}(t_i-0)} \right] \exp i\varphi(t_i) - \frac{2\ddot{\varphi}(\bar{t}_i)}{\dot{\varphi}^3(\bar{t}_i)} \sin \left[ \frac{\varphi(t_i-0)-\varphi(t_{i-1}+0)}{2} \right] \exp i\varphi(\bar{t}_i) \right\}, \\ \vec{B} &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \vec{v}_{\perp}(t) - \vec{\theta} \right] \exp\{i\varphi(t)\}dt = \left[ \frac{i}{\dot{\varphi}(+0)} - \frac{i}{\dot{\varphi}(-0)} \right] \left( \vec{v}_{\perp}(0) - \vec{\theta} \right) + \\ &i \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\vec{v}_{\perp}(t_i) + \vec{\vartheta}_i - \vec{\theta}}{\dot{\varphi}(t_i+0)} - \frac{\vec{v}_{\perp}(t_i) - \vec{\theta}}{\dot{\varphi}(t_i-0)} \end{bmatrix} \exp i\varphi(t_i) - \\ & \frac{2}{\dot{\varphi}^2(\bar{t}_i)} \left[ \dot{\vec{v}}_{\perp}(\bar{t}_i) - \left( \vec{v}_{\perp}(\bar{t}_i) - \vec{\theta} \right) \frac{\ddot{\varphi}(\bar{t}_i)}{\dot{\varphi}(\bar{t}_i)} \right] \sin \left[ \frac{\varphi(t_i-0) - \varphi(t_{i-1}+0)}{2} \right] \exp i\varphi(\bar{t}_i) \\ & \text{where } \omega' = \varepsilon/(\varepsilon - \omega), \ \ddot{\varphi}(t) = \omega' \left( \vec{v}_{\perp}(t_i) - \vec{\theta} \right) \dot{\vec{v}}_{\perp}(t) \text{ and } \ \bar{t}_i = (t_i + t_{i-1})/2. \end{split}$$

# Both surface and refraction effects can be easily included

derivatives of the phase  $\varphi(t)$  on the left and on the right of the entrance crystal surface

$$\dot{\varphi}(-0) = \frac{\omega'}{2} \left[ \gamma^{-2} + \left( \vec{v}_{\perp}(0) - \vec{\theta} \right)^2 \right],$$
  
$$\dot{\varphi}(+0) = \frac{\omega'}{2} \left[ \gamma^{-2} + \frac{\omega_p^2}{\omega^2} + \left( \vec{v}_{\perp}(0) - \vec{\theta} \right)^2 \right];$$

on the left and on the right of each inter-step border

$$\dot{\varphi}(t_i - 0) = \frac{\omega'}{2} \left[ \gamma^{-2} + \omega_p^2 / \omega^2 + \left( \vec{v}_\perp(t_i) - \vec{\theta} \right)^2 \right],$$

$$\dot{\varphi}(t_i+0) = \frac{\omega'}{2} \left[ \gamma^{-2} + \frac{\omega_p^2}{\omega^2} + \left( \vec{v}_\perp(t_i) + \vec{\vartheta}_i - \vec{\theta} \right)^2 \right];$$

and on the right from the exit surface

$$\dot{\varphi}(t_N+0) = \frac{\omega'}{2} \left[ \gamma^{-2} + \left( \vec{v}_{\perp}(T) + \vec{\vartheta}_N - \vec{\theta} \right)^2 \right].$$

# Local approximation, axial case with incoherent scattering for $\mathcal{G}_{s}(l_{coh}) < m/\varepsilon$

J. Physique 48 (1987) 1009-1016

JUIN 1987, PAGE 1009

Classification Physics Abstracts 12.20 — 41.70 — 61.80

#### On the theory of electron-positron pair production in crystals

V. V. Tikhomirov

Department of Physics, V. I. Lenin Byelorussian State University, Minsk 220080, U.S.S.R.

(Reçu le 30 octobre 1986, accepté le 16 janvier 1986)

Abstract. — The theory describing both coherent and incoherent radiational processes when energetic  $\gamma$ -quanta, electrons or positrons move through crystals in directions nearly parallel to the crystal axes or planes is presented. It is shown that, within the logarithmic approximation, the local probabilities of incoherent radiation processes are proportional to the cross-sections of the same processes pertaining to a separate nucleus placed in a uniform field. When the coherent pair production rate increases, the total probability of incoherent pair production starts to decrease proportionally to the  $\gamma$ -quantum energy to the -2/3 power.

### Single vs multiple scattering

$$Y(x) = \int_0^\infty \sin(ux + u^3/3) \,\mathrm{d} u \,, \qquad x = (m^3 \,\omega/e \delta \varepsilon_+ \varepsilon_-)^{2/3} \,, \quad \kappa = e \delta \omega/m^3 \,, \qquad Y'' - xY = -1 \,.$$

## Pair production probability in crystals

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbf{\rho})}{\mathrm{d}\varepsilon_{+}} = \frac{\mathrm{d}W_{\varepsilon,s}}{\mathrm{d}\varepsilon_{+}} + \frac{\mathrm{d}W_{Z,\varepsilon}}{\mathrm{d}\varepsilon_{+}} = \frac{\mathrm{d}W_{\varepsilon}}{\mathrm{d}\varepsilon_{+}} + \sigma \frac{\alpha \varepsilon_{+}^{2} \,\mathrm{d}\varepsilon_{+}}{30 \,\pi m^{2} \,\omega} F(x, \,\varepsilon_{+}/\omega) \,,$$

$$\sigma = \sigma(\mathbf{\rho}) = \sigma_{s} + \sigma_{Z} = 8 \pi n(\mathbf{\rho}) \left(\frac{Z\alpha}{\varepsilon_{+}}\right)^{2} \ln \left(\vartheta_{\varepsilon}/\vartheta_{\min}\right).$$
  
low energy limit:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}W_{\mathrm{am}}}{\mathrm{d}\varepsilon_{+}} = \frac{\ln (183 \ Z^{-1/3}) - B}{\ln (183 \ Z^{-1/3})} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{d}W_{\mathrm{BH}}}{\mathrm{d}\varepsilon_{+}}, \quad 2 \ B = (1 + y) \exp(y) \int_{y}^{\infty} \exp(-t) \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t} - 1, \quad y = u^{2}/a_{\mathrm{F}}^{2}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\rho)}{\mathrm{d}\varepsilon_{+}} = \frac{\mathrm{d}W_{\varepsilon}(\varepsilon(\rho))}{\mathrm{d}\varepsilon_{+}} + \frac{2\alpha^{3}Z^{2}n(\rho)}{15m^{2}\omega} \left[ 2\ln(183Z^{-1/3}) - \theta(1-x)\ln x + 1 - (1+y) \times \exp(y) \int_{y}^{\infty} \exp(-t)\frac{\mathrm{d}t}{t} \right] \left[ (x^{4}Y - 3x^{2}Y' - x^{3}) + (x^{4}Y + 3xY - 5x^{2}Y' - x^{3}) (\varepsilon_{+}^{2} + \varepsilon_{-}^{2})/\omega^{2} \right],$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}W}{\mathrm{d}\varepsilon_+} = n_0 d \int \frac{\mathrm{d}W_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathcal{E}(\rho), n(\rho))}{\mathrm{d}\varepsilon_+} \,\mathrm{d}^2\rho$$

# Local approximation, axial case with incoherent scattering:



### Coherent and incoherent PP in Ge<110>100K

Experiment on PP in Ge<110>100K

Landau-Pomeranchuk effect suppression by strong crystalline field  $\mathcal{G}_{s}(l_{coh}) > m/\varepsilon$ 

$$\frac{dW}{d\omega} = \frac{ie^{2}}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{m^{2}}{e^{2}} + \frac{(e^{2} + e^{\prime 2})}{4ee^{\prime}} \theta^{2}(\tau) \right] \exp(-ia\tau)$$

$$\times \prod_{i=x,y} \exp\left\{ \frac{ib}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{\tau} \left( \int_{0}^{\tau} dt \, \vartheta_{i}(t) \right)^{2} - \int_{0}^{\tau} dt \, \vartheta_{i}^{2}(t) \right] \right\} \frac{d\tau}{\tau},$$

$$a = m^{2} \omega / 2ee^{\prime}, \quad b = \omega e/e^{\prime}.$$

$$\left\{ \frac{dW}{d\omega} \right\} = -\frac{e^{2}}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{m^{2}}{e^{2}} + \frac{(e^{2} + e^{\prime 2})}{2ee^{\prime}} \left[ \frac{2w^{2}}{r_{x}^{2}} \operatorname{th}^{2} \left( \frac{r_{x}z}{2} \right) \right] \right\}$$

$$d^{2} \mathcal{P}_{N} = \frac{d\vartheta_{1} \dots d\vartheta_{N}}{(2\pi \Delta (\sigma_{x}\sigma_{y})^{u})^{N}} \exp\left\{ -\left[ \frac{(\vartheta_{1x} - w\Delta)^{2}}{2\sigma_{x}\Delta} + \frac{\vartheta_{1y}^{2}}{2\sigma_{y}\Delta} \right] \right\}. \quad (9)$$

$$\times \left( \frac{r_{x}r_{y}}{\operatorname{sh} r_{x}z \operatorname{sh} r_{yz}} \right)^{u} \exp\left\{ -iaz - \frac{w^{2}z}{2\sigma_{x}} + \frac{w^{2}}{r_{x}\sigma_{x}} \operatorname{th} \left( \frac{r_{x}z}{2} \right) \right\} dz. \quad (16)$$

# Landau-Pomeranchuk effect suppression by strong crystalline field

generalizes the expression obtained by Migdal for description of the Landau-Pomeranchuk effect for the probability of emission of a  $\gamma$  ray by  $e^{\pm}$  moving in an amorphous beam, and the Klepikov-Nikishov-Ritus expression for the probability of emission of a  $\gamma$  ray by  $e^{\pm}$  moving in a uniform electromagnetic field.

$$\left\langle \frac{dW}{d\omega} \right\rangle = -\frac{e^2}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} \int_{0}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{m^2}{\varepsilon^2} + \frac{(\varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon'^2)}{2\varepsilon\varepsilon'} \left[ \frac{2w^2}{r_x^2} \operatorname{th}^2 \left( \frac{r_x z}{2} \right) \right] \right\}$$

$$+ \left\{ \sum_{i=x,y} \frac{\sigma_i}{r_i} \operatorname{th} \left( \frac{r_i z}{2} \right) \right\}$$

$$\times \left( \frac{r_x r_y}{\operatorname{sh} r_x z \operatorname{sh} r_y z} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left\{ -iaz - \frac{w^2 z}{2\sigma_x} + \frac{w^2}{r_x \sigma_x} \operatorname{th} \left( \frac{r_x z}{2} \right) \right\} dz.$$
(16)

1118 Sov. Phys. JETP 63 (6), June 1986

### The role of incoherent scattering in radiation processes at small angles of incidence of particles on crystallographic axes or planes

V. G. Baryshevskiĭ and V. V. Tikhomirov

Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 90, 1908–1921 (June 1986)



FIG. 2. Pair-production probability obtained after transition to the cross channel and integration over the energy of the  $e^+(e^-)$  in the expressions (18), (22), and (33). The approach of the probability  $W(\rho_1)$  to the probability  $W_E(\rho_1)$  (and not to the probability  $W_s(n(\rho_1)) \approx W_s(n(0))$  (see Eq. (24)) illustrates the absence of the Landua-Pomeranchuk effect.

A consistent theory of relativistic particle incoherent scattering in crystals is suggested Thank you for your attention!