

Dual-Readout Calorimetry Simulations Roberto Ferrari INFN – Sezione di Pavia CepC Workshop May 25th, 2018



dual-readout calorimetry

What?

Don't spoil em resolution to get e/h = 1 (i.e. keep e/h > 1) BUTmeasure f_{em} event-by-event

 \implies correct energy measurements for f_{em} fluctuations

How?

Exploit the fact that (e/h) values for scintillation light (S) and Čerenkov light (Č) production processes are (very) different

Why?

Charged hadrons contribute to S but very marginally to Č



the principles

$$S = E \cdot [f_{em} + s \cdot (1 - f_{em})] \qquad s = (h/e)_{s}$$

$$C = E \cdot [f_{em} + c \cdot (1 - f_{em})] \qquad c = (h/e)_{c}$$

$$\rightarrow \qquad E = (S - \chi C) / (1 - \chi)$$

$$\chi = (1 - s) / (1 - c) = (E - S) / (E - C)$$

$$\rightarrow \qquad \chi \ can \ be \ evaluated \ from \ calibration \ data$$

$$\chi, s, c \rightarrow detector-specific \ parameters$$



Geant4 simulations

Elementary unit: brass (Cu260) matrix

~ 1 cm × 1 cm & 32 (S) + 32 (Č) fibres & SiPM readout

 $R_{M} \sim 31 \ mm \ \& \ X_{o} \sim 29 \ mm$





Electromagnetic performance

sampling fraction (Cu)



45

28



combined S+C resolution

80 GeV electrons





signal fluctuations

energy deposition and p.e. number fluctuations



S: ~5500 p.e. / GeV \rightarrow add filter ?

 $\rightarrow \sigma/E$ driven by en. depositions

Č: ~110 p.e. / GeV \rightarrow add mirror ?

 $\rightarrow \sigma/E$ driven by p.e. number



e.m. resolution(s)





lateral shower profiles





radial shower profiles



10% of shower energy deposited within 1 mm from shower axis, i.e. in a single fibre

shower profiles





e/π^0 spatial separation



100 GeV π^{o} decaying 2 m before the calorimeter

100 GeV π⁰

50 GeV e⁻



Dimensions: 71×71 units

> 1 unit: $1.014 \times 1.014 \times 250 \text{ cm}^3$ copper module $32 (S) + 32 (\check{C})$ fibres SiPM readout

Containment: ~99%

Calibration of both S and Č w/ 40 GeV e⁻



hadronic performance





Cu hadronic performance



 $\check{C}: \sim 73/\sqrt{E} + 6.6 \ (\%)$ $S: \sim 30/\sqrt{E} + 2.4 \ (\%)$

DR: $\sim 34/\sqrt{E}$ (%)

High-energy single-\pi resolutions:

 $\sigma/E(100 \text{ GeV}) \sim 3.5\%$ $\sigma/E(300 \text{ GeV}) \sim 2.3\%$ $\sigma/E(1000 \text{ GeV}) \sim 1.7\%$



single hadron & jet profiles

$80~GeV~\pi$

100 GeV jet







 $f_{em} = MC \text{ truth (total energy deposited by e⁺ and e⁻)}$ E = average contained energy C, S = signals

either:

$$f_{em} \rightarrow 0 : C/E, S/E \rightarrow (h/e)$$

or:

$$(h/e)_{\check{C}} = (C/E - f_{em}) / (1 - f_{em})$$

 $(h/e)_{\check{S}} = (S/E - f_{em}) / (1 - f_{em})$

while:

$$\chi = (1 - (h/e)_S) / (1 - (h/e)_{\check{C}}) = (E - S) / (E - C)$$



Copper	
density = 8.96 gr/cm³	
pion i.l. = 18.51 cm	
radiation I. = 1.436 cm	
<i>Molière radius = 1.568 cm</i>	

Lead density = 11.35 gr/cm³ pion i.I. = 19.93 cm radiation I. = 0.5612 cm Molière radius = 1.602 cm

volume ratio = $(19.93/18.51)^3 = 1.08^3 = 1.25$

mass ratio = 1.25*(11.35/8.96) = 1.58



h/e factors for Copper



CepC Workshop, Rome, May 25, 2018



h/e factors for Lead



CepC Workshop, Rome, May 25, 2018



(h/e) and χ factors



 $Copper \rightarrow$

80 GeV protons in Copper ↑ & Lead ↓



Low-energy performance - Copper vs. Lead

Energy deposited in scintillating fibres



Invisible Energy (50 GeV π^-) - correlations



CepC Workshop, Rome, May 25, 2018

24



C/S ratio for 80 GeV e⁻ and p

Multiple hadrons, 81 & 91 GeV





4π Simulations

Dual-readout calorimeter description for CepC/FCCee simulation sw:





Wedge Geometry





Čerenkov light yield set to 30 p.e./GeV Calibrated w/ 20 GeV e⁻ beam @ [1°, 1.5°]



em Performance





had Performance





many issues still open/pending:

terminate Cu & Pb characterisation resolution for jet jet (τ →had) em/had component separation

+ impact of finite att. length \rightarrow move from ideal detector

+ integrate in realistic 4π detector \rightarrow physics performance (W, Z, H, ...)!

+ VALIDATION w/ RD52 lead prototype



address longitudinal segmentation issues ... 3 possible ways:

a) a real segmentation (em and had compartiments)

b) dual (displaced) fibre arrangement

c) timing (ToT, starting and falling time, peaking time)

+ implement particle flow algorithms



Geant4 simulations seem to prove that a dual-readout fibre-sampling calorimeter may provide, at the same time:

- e.m. resolution close to $10\%/\sqrt{E}$
- jet energy resolution ~ few % at ~100 GeV
- high performance in standalone e/h separation

for a cost effective solution for calorimetry at future e+ecolliders