

Two-body description for the radiative capture reaction ${}^6\text{Li}(p,\gamma){}^7\text{Be}$

Tuesday, 26 June 2018 19:00 (1h 30m)

The ${}^6\text{Li}$ abundance measured in the atmosphere of metal-poor stars is three orders of magnitude larger than predicted by the theory of Standard Big Bang Nucleosynthesis (SBBN) predictions [1]. Even if the results of the astronomical measurements are still under debate [2-4], the knowledge of the cross section (or astrophysical S-factor) of the reactions that contribute to determine the ${}^6\text{Li}$ abundance is fundamental in order to distinguish between different explanations. Among these reactions, the radiative capture ${}^6\text{Li}(p,\gamma){}^7\text{Be}$ plays an important role.

Although this reaction was extensively studied experimentally [5-8], very large uncertainties in the S-factor in the BBN energies (50-400 keV) are still present. A recent work [9] pointed out the presence of a possible resonance in the BBN energy window, that reduces the S-factor at zero energy. In order to solve this puzzle, a new campaign of measurement was performed by the LUNA collaboration. From the theoretical side many studies were performed using different approaches and inputs, like a two-body a phenomenological potential [10], an optical potential [11], a cluster model [12] and the Gamow shell model [13].

In this work, we try to improve the model used in Ref. [10].

We treat the problem as a two-body problem, using phenomenological potentials to predict the S-factor. We fit the parameters of our potentials in order to reproduce the elastic scattering data of ${}^6\text{Li}(p,p){}^6\text{Li}$ and the bound states properties of the ${}^7\text{Be}$. Solving the two-body Schroedinger equation, we evaluate the wave functions for the scattering and the bound states, which we use to predict the S-factor of the radiative capture reaction. The calculated S-factor can reproduce the energy behavior, but in order to agree with the experimental data we need to multiply the results by a spectroscopic factor. This is not surprisingly, since in this simple study we have neglected the internal structure of both ${}^6\text{Li}$ and ${}^7\text{Be}$. The final results are in nice agreement with the available experimental data at stellar energies.

Bibliography

- [1] M. Asplund et al., *Astrophys. J.* **644**, 229 (2006).
- [2] R. Cayrel et al., *Astron. Astrophys.* **473**, L37 (2007).
- [3] A. E. Garcia Perez et al., *Astron. Astrophys.* **504**, 213 (2009).
- [4] K. Lind et al., *Astron. Astrophys.* **554**, A96 (2013).
- [5] S. Bashkin and R. R. Carlson, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97**, 5 (1995).
- [6] Z. E. Switkowski et al., *Nucl. Phys. A* **331**, 50 (1979).
- [7] F. E. Cecil et al., *Nucl. Phys. A* **539**, 75 (1992).
- [8] R. M. Prior et al., *Phys. Rev. C* **70**, 055801 (2004).
- [9] J.J. He et al., *Phys. Lett. B* **725**, 287 (2013).
- [10] S. B. Dubovichenko et al., *Phys. Atom. Nucl.* **74**, 1013 (2011).
- [11] F. C. Barker, *Aust. J. Phys.* **33**, 159 (1980).
- [12] K. Arai, D. Baye, and P. Descouvemont, *Nucl. Phys. A* **699**, 963(2002).
- [13] G. X. Dong et al., *J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys.* **44**, 045201 (2017).

Primary authors: GNECH, Alex (Gran Sasso Science Institute); MARCUCCI, Laura Elisa (Pisa University)

Presenter: GNECH, Alex (Gran Sasso Science Institute)

Session Classification: Poster session