3D diamond timing detectors- attività WP2

Timespot meeting- Cagliari-1 Dicembre 2017

Silvio Sciortino Dipartimento di Fisica e INFN di Firenze

1. Caratterizzazione preliminare di campioni monocristallini (E6, IIa) , policristallini (E6, II-VI), eteroepitassiali (Audiatec). Misura di Charge Collection Effiecncy con sorgente beta (90 Sr)

2. Update del sistema laser di fabbricazione degli elettrodi colonnari: ottimizzazione dell'aspect ratio, della resistività elettrica

3. Misure di spettrocopia Raman e di resistività sul materiale modificato (grafitico), a seguito dell'upgrade

4. Realizzazione di una procedura di connessione dei sensori con l'elettronica. Connessione di cammini grafitici superficiali tramite:
(i) wire bonding diretto su grafite;
(ii) metallizazione con scrittura laser di maschere;
(iii) saldatura metallo-diamante?

5. Fabbricazione di sensori con geometrie indicate dalle simulazioni (sezione di Perugia)

6. Misure preliminari di timing

7. Misure di radiation hardness (CCE dopo irraggiamento)

Laser fabrication of an all-carbon 3D sensor

columnar electrodes fabricated with a 800 nm Ti:Sa 40-60 fs mode-locked laser surface electrodes fabricated with a 1064 nm Nd:YAG Q-switched 8 ns laser







Camera for imaging the sample



Prepared sensors: 3D sensors monocrystalline plates (**Element Six**)

4.5x4.5x0.5 mm³ electronic grade samples



100, 70, 50 um

a reference sample and three different 3D detectors, connected with surface graphite paths (**columns connected in parallel**)

Charge collection efficiency measurements (CCE) with Minimum Ionizing Particles (MIP): electrons from a β source



3D sensors on polycrystalline samples

(E6, II-VI)





3 structures for each sample

2D





 $3D \ 125 \ col/mm^2$







Diamond (heteroepitaxially grown) on Iridium (DOI) at the University of Augsburg (spin-off Audiatec)



dislocation density inversely proportional to sample thickness

network of grain boundaries dissolves, leaving dislocations

grains merge

nucleation

Diamond Detectors Applications for H2020 (network infratructure) spokesperson Mladen Kis, GSI

GSI is a single fund receiver, but can support the activity of material development (possibly free test samples)

Scientific Reports | 7:44462 | DOI: 10.1038/srep44462



large area, quasi single-crystal

Characterization of samples: ⁹⁰Sr beta source

heteroepitaxial DOI from AUDIATEC and polycrystalline II-VI compared

DOI efficiency equal or higher than the best polyscrystalline samples The width of the pulse height spectrum distribution is narrower (higher homogeneity)

Pulse Height Spectrum.

DOI sample (n. 2 -3DOSE batch 2017) @ 650 V thickness (525±10) um, **efficiency** @ **1.25 V/um** (63±4)%



Pulse Height Spectrum. II-VI sample (3DOSE batch 2017) @ 500 V sample thickness (430 \pm 10) um efficiency @ 1.3 V/um <54 %



n. of electrons

Preliminary study: DOI sample are polished on the lateral side. This allows to observe the aspect ratio of the columnar electrodes and study them with Raman spectroscopy



buried columns _



lower resistivity achieved in DOI is about 1 Ohm cm, higher than in polycrystalline material

aspect ratio and resistivity to be improved with upgrade of the optical system

Modified material: a mixed sp²-sp³ phase



Pressure too high for a stable graphitic phase

Resistivity too high, up to 1 Ω cm. Only surface paths are purely graphitic

sample preparation
(two identical sensors prepared on a DOI and a II-VI poly sample)
Connection with surface conductive paths are in progress
Two arrays of columnar electrodes starting from each side

DOI FRONT SURFACE



electrode starting form the back side, ending at tens of micrometers form the front surface

electrode starting from the front side

DOI sample tilted



First pixel detector in preparation





DOI front surface



50 um below

electrodes are continuous with a maximum diameter below about 20 um

optimize the parameter to decrease the electrodes diameter



200 um below

Necessary to correct for spherical aberration

Abnormal resistivity $\leq 1 \Omega$ cm of the electrodes mainly due to spherical aberration



Consequently **the resolution of our first batch was limited to 280 ps** (CERN-THESIS-2016-016 Development of a timing detector for the TOTEM experiment at the LHC, Minafra, Nicola (INFN, Bari)2016 https://cds.cern.ch/record/2139815?ln=it)

Possibility of lowering the resistivity of about two orders of magnitude ($\rho = 22 \text{ m}\Omega \text{ cm}$) has been demonstrated by correcting the wavefront of the laser:

B. Sun et al. Appl. Phys. Lett. 105, 231105 (2014)

Upgrade

1. Utilizzo di uno specchio deformabile per la correzione delle aberrazioni (già acquistato)

2. Passaggio da un obbiettivo 10 X a un 20 X (maggior definizione del fascio, minor danno locale del reticolo).

DMP40-P01 Integrated Into an Adaptive Optics System





We used an experimental setup used is a slight modification of a reported TCT system: J. Fink, H. Kruger, P. Lodomez, N. Wermes, Nucl. Instr. Meth. A 560 (2006) 435–443.





CHARACTERIZATION BOX



LASER SPOT ON THE SAMPLE'S SURFACE



bonding grafite diamnte dimostrato nell'ambito dell'esperimento 3DOSE



alternativa: scrittura di maschere (incisione su nastro adesivo ultrasottile) e metallizzazione per sputtering su piste grafitiche, con successivo bonding sulla metallizzazione Alternativa: metal-diamond bonding (richiede ulteriore manpower)



Diamante su Al

Alluminio staccato

diamante staccato

Bonding silicio-diamante



Parametri che si sono usati dal 2013 800 atm, 355 nm, 20 ps, 0.4 J/cm²

Si possono variare tutti i parametri

Il cambiamento dei parametri è legato al miglioramento delle condizioni di saldatura (*ad esempio: superfici perfettamente lisce implicano pressione zero*)



Sistema di saldatura laser silicio-diamnte / metallo-diamante

Irradiation plan extended to monocrystalline and DOI, up to 1.2 n/cm² (1 MeV equivalent)

Φ fluence (<i>n</i> cm ⁻² 1 MeV)			
lycrystalline	Monocrystalline	DOI	
$2.6 imes 10^{14}$			
	$1.25 imes 10^{15}$		
3×10^{15}	$2.5~ imes~10^{15}$	2.5×10^{15}	
6×10^{15}	5×10^{15}	5×10^{15}	
1.2×10^{16}	1×10^{16}	1×10^{16}	
	Φ fluence ycrystalline 2.6×10^{14} 3×10^{15} 6×10^{15} 1.2×10^{16}	$\begin{array}{c c} \Phi \ fluence (n \ cm^{-2} \ 1 \ M \\ \hline \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	

 $k = 0.65 - 0.7 \times 10^{-18} \mu \text{ m}^{-1} \text{ cm}^2$ for 24 GeV protons H Kagan, Diamond Detectors, INFN Summer School, Florence, Italy, June 5, 2012 $k = 5 - 8 \times 10^{-18} \mu \text{ m}^{-1} \text{ cm}^2$ for 1 MeV neutrons our measurements **7-12 times more effective**

Results of irradiations

Monocrystalline sample, 3D , 500 col/mm^2



Leakage currents



Defect-induced currents currents tend to appear at high voltages, more pronounced with shorter inter-electrode distances

For this reason **it was not possible to apply voltages higher than 70 V to unirradiated 3D detectors**

After the highest irradiations currents lower than 1 nA (for ~500 electrodes in parallel) @ 650 V bias

Mechanism of reduction of defect concentration by neutron irradiation have been reported in the past

L. Allers, et al. Diamond Relat. Mater. 6, 353 (1997). Bruzzi, et al. Applied Phys. Lett. 81.2 (2002): 298-300.



 $\frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{1}{\tau_0} + K \Phi = K(\Phi_0 + \Phi) \quad \text{Inefficiency of poly and DOI to be taken into account with} \\ \frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{1}{\tau_0} + K \Phi = K(\Phi_0 + \Phi) \quad \text{Inefficiency of poly and DOI to be taken into account with} \\ \frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{1}{\tau_0} + K \Phi = K(\Phi_0 + \Phi) \quad \text{Inefficiency of poly and DOI to be taken into account with} \\ \frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{1}{\tau_0} + K \Phi = K(\Phi_0 + \Phi) \quad \text{Inefficiency of poly and DOI to be taken into account with} \\ \frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{1}{\tau_0} + K \Phi = K(\Phi_0 + \Phi) \quad \text{Inefficiency of poly and DOI to be taken into account with} \\ \frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{1}{\tau_0} + K \Phi = K(\Phi_0 + \Phi) \quad \text{Inefficiency of poly and DOI to be taken into account with} \\ \frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{1}{\tau_0} + K \Phi = K(\Phi_0 + \Phi) \quad \text{Inefficiency of poly and DOI to be taken into account with} \\ \frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{1}{\tau_0} + K \Phi = K(\Phi_0 + \Phi) \quad \text{Inefficiency of poly and DOI to be taken into account with} \\ \frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{1}{\tau_0} + K \Phi = K(\Phi_0 + \Phi) \quad \text{Inefficiency of poly and DOI to be taken into account with} \\ \frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{1}{\tau_0} + K \Phi = K(\Phi_0 + \Phi) \quad \text{Inefficiency of poly and DOI to be taken into account with} \\ \frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{1}{\tau_0} + K \Phi = K(\Phi_0 + \Phi) \quad \text{Inefficiency of poly and DOI to be taken into account with} \\ \frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{1}{\tau} + K \Phi = K(\Phi_0 + \Phi) \quad \text{Inefficiency of poly and DOI to be taken into account with} \\ \frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{1}{\tau} + K \Phi = K(\Phi_0 + \Phi) \quad \text{Inefficiency of poly and DOI to be taken into account with} \\ \frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{1}{\tau} + K \Phi = K(\Phi_0 + \Phi) \quad \text{Inefficiency of poly and DOI to be taken into account with} \\ \frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{1}{\tau} + K \Phi = K(\Phi_0 + \Phi) \quad \text{Inefficiency of poly and DOI to be taken into account with} \\ \frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{1}{\tau}$

Data Analysis: 3D detectors





 $\frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{1}{\tau_0} + K \Phi = K(\Phi_0 + \Phi) \quad \text{Inefficiency of poly and DOI to be taken into account with} \\ \frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{1}{\tau_0} + K \Phi = K(\Phi_0 + \Phi) \quad \text{Inefficiency of poly and DOI to be taken into account with} \\ \frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{1}{\tau_0} + K \Phi = K(\Phi_0 + \Phi) \quad \text{Inefficiency of poly and DOI to be taken into account with} \\ \frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{1}{\tau_0} + K \Phi = K(\Phi_0 + \Phi) \quad \text{Inefficiency of poly and DOI to be taken into account with} \\ \frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{1}{\tau_0} + K \Phi = K(\Phi_0 + \Phi) \quad \text{Inefficiency of poly and DOI to be taken into account with} \\ \frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{1}{\tau_0} + K \Phi = K(\Phi_0 + \Phi) \quad \text{Inefficiency of poly and DOI to be taken into account with} \\ \frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{1}{\tau_0} + K \Phi = K(\Phi_0 + \Phi) \quad \text{Inefficiency of poly and DOI to be taken into account with} \\ \frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{1}{\tau_0} + K \Phi = K(\Phi_0 + \Phi) \quad \text{Inefficiency of poly and DOI to be taken into account with} \\ \frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{1}{\tau_0} + K \Phi = K(\Phi_0 + \Phi) \quad \text{Inefficiency of poly and DOI to be taken into account with} \\ \frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{1}{\tau_0} + K \Phi = K(\Phi_0 + \Phi) \quad \text{Inefficiency of poly and DOI to be taken into account with} \\ \frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{1}{\tau_0} + K \Phi = K(\Phi_0 + \Phi) \quad \text{Inefficiency of poly and DOI to be taken into account with} \\ \frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{1}{\tau} + K \Phi = K(\Phi_0 + \Phi) \quad \text{Inefficiency of poly and DOI to be taken into account with} \\ \frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{1}{\tau} + K \Phi = K(\Phi_0 + \Phi) \quad \text{Inefficiency of poly and DOI to be taken into account with} \\ \frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{1}{\tau} + K \Phi = K(\Phi_0 + \Phi) \quad \text{Inefficiency of poly and DOI to be taken into account with} \\ \frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{1}{\tau} + K \Phi = K(\Phi_0 + \Phi) \quad \text{Inefficiency of poly and DOI to be taken into account with} \\ \frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{1}{\tau}$

Data and simulations @ 70 V bias (without 3D DOI)



Data and simulations @ 70 V bias (without 3D DOI)



damage constant of poly and mono $K = (1.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$

Data and simulations for the highest density of electrodes (smaller pitch) @ different bias voltages



By increasing the voltage bias, the sensor is operative at higher fluences

Data and simulations (3D DOI)



Fluence $n/cm^2(1 \text{ MeV})$

 $\frac{1}{\tau} = K(\Phi_0 + \Phi)$

Higher radiation damage for the 3D structure (to be verified with new generation samples)

Misure preliminari di timing, utillizzando il laser a fs



Abbiamo un fotodiodo Thorlabs InGaAs Pin 750 - 1650 nm





stadio amplificazione Kansas Univ tramite Nicola Minafra TOTEM (Kansas University)

N. Minafra, Test of Ultra Fast Silicon Detectors for Picosecond Time Measurements with a New Multipurpose Read-Out Board https://arxiv.org/abs/1704.05298

Precision Electron Polarimetry at EIC, Nicola Minafra, KU



Signal from a 500 um pCVD diamond





Link Engineering srl Via Parini, 1 - 40033 Casalecchio di Reno (BO) Tel. +39 051 6414051

Uffici Milano: Uffici Genova: Via IV Novembre, 92 Via Fiumara 3/2 Torre mare 20021 Bollate (MI) 16149 Genova

Edificio C

costo realizzazione

Cliente	Offerta	Oggetto	
CERN - SITE DE MEYRIN	N° JC16-507	Board Kuamplifier_v2	
CH-1211 Geneve 23 Switzerland	28/11/2016	Production 6pz / 10pz / 20pz	
	Validità 30 gg	Att.ne Mr. Nicola Minafra	

Pos.	Q.tà	Descrizione	Prezzo Unitario	Prezzo Totale	Consegna
1	1	Production 6pz Supply PCB. Supply of all components listed in the BOM parts in our possession. Setup P / P. Complete assembly and Analysis AOI / X-Ray Visual inspection and packing mat. antistatic.		€1.950,00	T0 + 4 wks
2	1	Production 10pz Supply PCB. Supply of all components listed in the BOM parts in our possession. Setup P / P. Complete assembly and Analysis AOI / X-Ray Visual inspection and packing mat. antistatic.		€2.280,00	T0 + 4 wks
3	1	Production 20pz Supply PCB. Supply of all components listed in the BOM parts in our possession. Setup P / P. Complete assembly and Analysis AOI / X-Ray Visual inspection and packing mat. antistatic.		€2.720,00	T0 + 4 wks

Delivery to CERN (IVA free)

Condizioni Generali di Fornitura

Consegne: As reported in the individual positions Pagamenti: B.B. 30 gg F.M. Resa: Transport and packaging included Garanzia Prototipi o Produzione: 12 months Garanzia Ingegneria Industriale: The provision is in compliance with applicable laws in terms of safety.

Link Engineering S.r.l. Roberto Baldazzi

Waveform digitizer SAMPIC (circa 3000 euro)



Measurements of timing resolution of ultra-fast silicon detectors with the SAMPIC waveform digitizer arXiv:1604.02385

a series of delay lines control a network of capacitors (64 per channel) that continuously samples the input signal with a frequency up to 10 GSa/s



Spare slides

Charge Collection Efficiency (CCE) Measurement



PDA8GS

The PDA8GS is a versatile, high-speed, amplified photodetector designed to perform in a wide range of test and measurement applications involving fast optical signals. The unit incorporates a high-performance InGaAs PIN photodiode coupled with a transimpedance amplifier that has a gain of 460 V/A into 50 Ω with data rates up to 12.5 Gb/s. The wide bandwidth makes it ideal for evaluating pulsed laser and high-frequency modulation applications. Communication applications include 10 Gb Ethernet, OC192, and analog satellite microwave systems. This model exhibits linear performance across the input range, yielding low analog distortion. A 12 VDC, 750 mA power adapter is included with the PDA8GS. The housing features an FC bulkhead connector, which is compatible with both FC/PC and FC/APC connectors. We can control the pulse compression (i.e. Pulse duration) by varying the position of a couple of prisms (P1-P2), and we can measure the pulse lenght τ by means of a Michelson correlator.



The setup of an interferometric autocorrelator (Figure) contains a Michelson interferometer with a variable arm length difference. The superimposed copies of the pulse are collinearly propagating into the nonlinear crystal (after focusing with a lens or curved laser mirror) and have the same polarization.

An interferometric autocorrelation is obtained by recording the average power of the frequency-doubled signal:

```
\int (E(t) + E(t + \tau))^4 dt
```



This kind of autocorrelation trace exhibits a fast oscillation with a period of half the optical wavelength. The maximum signal is obtained when the two pulses after the beam splitter undergo perfect constructive interference, leading to twice the amplitude compared with a single pulse, and thus four times the intensity, and after frequency doubling 16 times the intensity. For a large arm length difference, the pulses do not overlap in the nonlinear crystal, and the intensity is only twice that generated by a single pulse. Hence the peak signal is always eight times higher than the background, provided that the interferometer is properly aligned.

We also take into account the pattern of the RAPS electronics Using a gold buffer to make the pressure more uniform



dispositivo integrato silicio-diamnte



Chip-On-Diamond Da esperimento CHIPSODIA (2010-2013)