# **First Results and Impact on Blazar Science**

# Iván Agudo, IAA-CSIC

Instituto de Astrofísica de Andalucía-CSIC

Granada (Spain)





# **First Results and Impact on Blazar Science**

# **Acknowledgements to entire POLAMI Team:**

Sol Molina **Antonio Fuentes** José L. Gómez

CSIC

**Alessia Ritacco Gabriel Paubert David Morris Albrecht Sievers Carsten Kramer** 



**Carolina** Casadio **Ioannis Myserlis Jae-Young Kim Thalia Traianou Clemens Thum Emmanouil Angelakis Nick MacDonald Helmut Wiesemeyer Thomas Krichbaum Eduardo Ros Anton Zensus** 

> Max-Planck-Institut tur Radioastronomie

Venkatessh Ramakrishnan



UNIVERSIDAD **DE CONCEPCION** 

**Alan Marscher Svetlana Jorstad** 



**Valeri Larionov** Daria Morozova Sergey Savchencko



Saint-Petersburg State-University



### **Description of the POLAMI program**

POLAMI is a long-term program to monitor the polarimetric properties (Stokes I, Q, U, and V) of a sample of around 40 bright active galactic nuclei (AGN) at 3.5 and 1.3 millimeter wavelengths with the IRAM 30m Telescope near Granada, Spain. The program has been kept running since October 2006 and it currently time samples with a goal cadence of ~2 weeks. The XPOL polarimetric observing setup has been routinely used as described in Thum et al. (2008) since the start of the program.

Data obtained by the POLAMI collaboration are combined with other IRAM 30m projects approved by the IRAM program committee as well as other survey and target-of-opportunity projects, and the results are presented here. Therefore, the POLAMI database includes measurements of over 200 AGN. Most of these sources were observed in the single-epoch surveys published in Agudo et al. (2010; 2014).

Mon, 01/01/2018 - 13:14

### **First series of POLAMI papers**

A series of 3 papers have just been published in MNRAS. In the first paper of this series (POLAMI Paper I) we present the results of the first 8 years of POLAMI observations, we provide detailed information about the observing program, the most intensively monitored source sample of ~40 sources, the data reduction and calibration, and we demonstrate the quality of our data by showing the results obtained for the main calibrators. The data obtained from the science targets, as well as the analysis and astrophysical implications of their circular polarisation properties and of their total flux and linear

• Long term monitoring of the 4 Stokes parameters @ IRAM 30m Telescope (XPOL, Thum et al. 2008, Wiesemeyer et al. 2010) IRAM 30m Millimeter Telescope Sierra Nevada, 2850m (Granada, Spain)

• Long term monitoring of the 4 Stokes parameters @ IRAM 30m Telescope (XPOL, Thum et al. 2008, Wiesemeyer et al. 2010) IRAM 30m Millimeter Telescope Sierra Nevada, 2850m (Granada, Spain)

• In principle no huge Faraday rotation of linear polarization emission from the jet at mm wavelengths

• Long term monitoring of the 4 Stokes parameters @ IRAM 30m Telescope (XPOL, Thum et al. 2008, Wiesemeyer et al. 2010) IRAM 30m Millimeter Telescope Sierra Nevada, 2850m (Granada, Spain)

 In principle no huge Faraday rotation of linear polarization emission from the jet at mm wavelengths

No huge Faraday depolarization

• Long term monitoring of the 4 Stokes parameters @ IRAM 30m Telescope (XPOL, Thum et al. 2008, Wiesemeyer et al. 2010) IRAM 30m Millimeter Telescope Sierra Nevada, 2850m (Granada, Spain)

 In principle no huge Faraday rotation of linear polarization emission from the jet at mm wavelengths

No huge Faraday depolarization

Essentially no opacity effects

• Long term monitoring of the 4 Stokes parameters @ IRAM 30m Telescope (XPOL, Thum et al. 2008, Wiesemeyer et al. 2010) IRAM 30m Millimeter Telescope Sierra Nevada, 2850m (Granada, Spain)

 In principle no huge Faraday rotation of linear polarization emission from the jet at mm wavelengths

- No huge Faraday depolarization
- Essentially no opacity effects

 mm emission is compact and represents well the inner regions of jets imaged by mm VLBI

- 3C 66A
- AO 0235+16
- 3C 84
- CTA 26
- 3C 111
- PKS 0420-01
- 3C120
- PKS 0528+134
- S5 0716+71
- PKS 0735+17
- OJ 248
- OJ 49
- 4C 71.07
- OJ 287
- S4 0954+65
- PKS 1055+01
- MRK 421
- PKS B1127-145
- 4C 29.45
- ON 231
- PG 1222+216
- 3C 273
- M 87
- 3C 279
- B2 1308+30
- PKS 1406-076
- PKS 1510-08
- DA 406
- PKS 1622-29
- 4C 38.41
- 3C 345
- NRAO 530
- OT +081
- BL Lacertae
- 3C 446
- CTA 102
- 3C 454.3

 ~40 γ-ray bright sources, most of them on list of Boston University VLBA monitoring program.



 ~40 γ-ray bright sources, most of them on list of Boston University VLBA monitoring program.

I, m<sub>L</sub>, χ, m<sub>C</sub> @ 3.5 &
1.3mm simultaneous
observations (1σ sensitivity
5%, 0.5%, 5°, 0.3%, and
5%, 1.7%, 10°,0.5%,
respectively)

Time sampling ~2 weeks



 ~40 γ-ray bright sources, most of them on list of Boston University VLBA monitoring program.

• I, m<sub>L</sub>,  $\chi$ , m<sub>C</sub> @ 3.5 & 1.3mm simultaneous observations (1 $\sigma$  sensitivity 5%, 0.5%, 5°, 0.3%, and 5%, 1.7%, 10°,0.5%, respectively)

Time sampling ~2 weeks

~mid 2006 to ~mid 2014

POLAMI Papers I, II, and III: Agudo et al. (2018, MNRAS, 474, 1427) Thum et al. (2018, MNRAS, 473, 2506) Agudo et al. (2018, MNRAS, 473, 1850)



 ~40 γ-ray bright sources, most of them on list of Boston University VLBA monitoring program.

I, m<sub>L</sub>, χ, m<sub>C</sub> @ 3.5 &
1.3mm simultaneous
observations (1σ sensitivity
5%, 0.5%, 5°, 0.3%, and
5%, 1.7%, 10°,0.5%,
respectively)

Time sampling ~2 weeks

• ~mid 2006 to ~mid 2014

POLAMI Papers I, II, and III: Agudo et al. (2018, MNRAS, 474, 1427) Thum et al. (2018, MNRAS, 473, 2506) Agudo et al. (2018, MNRAS, 473, 1850)

We still keep monitoring!

### Increase of linear polarization degree with $v_{obs}$

 Significantly larger fractional linear polarization at 1mm than at 3mm by median factor ~2.6 (over > 2000 measurements)



#### **POLAMI** Paper III

### Increase of linear polarization degree with $v_{obs}$

 Significantly larger fractional linear polarization at 1mm than at 3mm by median factor ~2.6 (over > 2000 measurements)



POLAMI Paper III

### Increase of linear polarization degree with $v_{obs}$

 Significantly larger fractional linear polarization at 1mm than at 3mm by median factor ~2.6 (over > 2000 measurements)

Since we rule out strong opacity effects:

1) Average B is better ordered on the shorter  $\lambda$  regions as compared to the longer  $\lambda$  ones



POLAMI Paper III

- $m_L$  also highly variable
- Range from ~ 0% to ~ 15%



#### POLAMI Paper III

- $m_L$  also highly variable
- Range from ~ 0% to ~ 15%



POLAMI Paper III

- m<sub>L</sub> also highly variable
- Range from ~ 0% to ~ 15%
- More rapid variability is observed in m<sub>L</sub>
   than in total flux
- Total flux emission not affected by emission cancelation of orthogonal polarisation



#### POLAMI Paper III

- $m_L$  also highly variable
- Range from ~ 0% to ~ 15%
- More rapid variability is observed in m<sub>L</sub>
   than in total flux
- Total flux emission not affected by emission cancelation of orthogonal polarisation
- Time scale of variability also significantly shorter at 1mm than at 3mm

*2)* Consistent with shorter wavelength emission coming from smaller regions



POLAMI Paper III

- $\bullet \, \chi$  at 3 and 1mm also highly variable
- 21/36 sources at least a >  $180^{\circ}$  rotation
- Time scales from a few weeks to a year (typical 3-5 weeks)



#### **POLAMI** Paper III

- $\bullet\,\chi$  at 3 and 1mm also highly variable
- 21/36 sources at least a >  $180^{\circ}$  rotation
- Time scales from a few weeks to a year (typical 3-5 weeks)
- $\chi$  in general not correlated with S, m<sub>L</sub>, (also not correlated among each other)
- Variability of the linear polarization cannot be explained by the time evolution of a single emission region



#### **POLAMI Paper III**

- $\bullet\,\chi$  at 3 and 1mm also highly variable
- 21/36 sources at least a > 180° rotation
- Time scales from a few weeks to a year (typical 3-5 weeks)
- $\chi$  in general not correlated with S, m<sub>L</sub>, (also not correlated among each other)
- Variability of the linear polarization cannot be explained by the time evolution of a single emission region

3) Excludes 1-zone models. Number of emission zones should probably be larger than two in some cases)



#### **POLAMI** Paper III

### Linear polarization angle vs. jet position angle



• In general, very weak trend to align  $\chi$  almost parallel to the jet axis (for ~19% of sources)

• Similar results found in Agudo et al. (2010, 2014), and Lister & Homan (2005)

### Linear polarization angle vs. jet position angle



• In general, very weak trend to align  $\chi$  almost parallel to the jet axis (for ~19% of sources)

• Similar results found in Agudo et al. (2010, 2014), and Lister & Homan (2005)

 For purely axisymmetric jets, χ has to be observed either parallel or perpendicular to the jet axis owing to cancellation of orthogonal polarization components (e.g, Lyutikov et al. 2005; Cawthorne 2006)

• What we get for most of the sources is the other way round!

• Although BL Lacs seem to tend to align their  $\chi$  with the jet position angle

### Linear polarization angle vs. jet position angle



• In general, very weak trend to align  $\chi$  almost parallel to the jet axis (for ~19% of sources)

• Similar results found in Agudo et al. (2010, 2014), and Lister & Homan (2005)

 For purely axisymmetric jets, χ has to be observed either parallel or perpendicular to the jet axis owing to cancellation of orthogonal polarization components (e.g, Lyutikov et al. 2005; Cawthorne 2006)

• What we get for most of the sources is the other way round!

 Although BL Lacs seem to tend to align their χ with the jet position angle

*4) Blazar jets are not axisimmetric, at least on which regards to their polarization emission* 

**Circular polarization** 

• Mars & Uranus (unpolarized), shows Gaussian profile with  $\sigma$ ~0.3% ( $\sigma$ ~0.5% at 1mm, all measurements together) and <m<sub>C</sub>>=0.0%



### **Circular polarization**

• Mars & Uranus (unpolarized), shows Gaussian profile with  $\sigma$ ~0.3% ( $\sigma$ ~0.5% at 1mm, all measurements together) and <m<sub>C</sub>>=0.0%

- Blazars show different distributions (>99.7% conf):
  - Broader m<sub>C</sub> distributions, even double-peaked
  - Sometimes significantly shifted from 0.0%
  - Several detections >5 $\sigma$  up to ~1% (even ~2%)
  - CP is detected in all but one source, often more than once
  - A number of sources have CP detected always of the same sign



### **Circular polarization**

Mars & Uranus (unpolarized), shows • Gaussian profile with  $\sigma_{-0.3\%}$  ( $\sigma_{-0.5\%}$  at 1mm, all measurements together) and  $<m_{C}>=0.0\%$ 

- Blazars show different distributions (>99.7% conf):
  - Broader m<sub>C</sub> distributions, even double-peaked
  - Sometimes significantly shifted from 0.0%
  - Several detections  $>5\sigma$  up to  $\sim1\%$  (even  $\sim2\%$ )
  - CP is detected in all but one source, often more than once
  - A number of sources have CP detected always of the same sign

Circular polarization routinely detected at 5) *mm-λλ* and as large as those reported at cm- $\lambda\lambda$ !



POLAMI: First results and impact on blazar science. Iván Agudo, IAA-CSIC, Half a Century of Blazars and Beyond, Torino, 2018-06-13

observations

of

number

### **Circular polarization variability**



- CP time evolution show hints of:
- Faster than LP and total flux
- Time scales of months
- Perhaps even much shorter time scales (~weeks)
- Frequent sign changes

### **Circular polarization variability**



- CP time evolution show hints of:
- Faster than LP and total flux
- Time scales of months
- Perhaps even much shorter time scales (~weeks)
- Frequent sign changes

6) Time variability and CP sign changes point to some level of small scale of inhomogeneities allowing for variability

POLAMI Paper II

### **Circular polarization variability**



- CP time evolution show hints of:
- Faster than LP and total flux
- Time scales of months
- Perhaps even much shorter time scales (~weeks)
- Frequent sign changes

6) Time variability and CP sign changes point to some level of small scale of inhomogeneities allowing for variability

Data is compatible with Faraday conversion, e.g. in the presence of helical B field, but also production of intrinsic synchrotron CP

POLAMI: First results and impact on blazar science, lyán Aguda, IAA CSIC



Shorter mm emission comes from smaller regions with progressively better B
order

Shorter mm emission comes from smaller regions with progressively better B order

One zone models excluded by general properties of mm polarization of blazars

- Shorter mm emission comes from smaller regions with progressively better B order
- One zone models excluded by general properties of mm polarization of blazars
- Blazar Jets not axisimmetric in general, regards to their polarization emission

- Shorter mm emission comes from smaller regions with progressively better B order
- One zone models excluded by general properties of mm polarization of blazars
- Blazar Jets not axisimmetric in general, regards to their polarization emission
- Hints of fast CP variability and frequent sign changes

- Shorter mm emission comes from smaller regions with progressively better B order
- One zone models excluded by general properties of mm polarization of blazars
- Blazar Jets not axisimmetric in general, regards to their polarization emission
- Hints of fast CP variability and frequent sign changes
- Circular polarization seems to be present in blazars at mm wavelengths in general at levels ≤2%

- Shorter mm emission comes from smaller regions with progressively better B order
- One zone models excluded by general properties of mm polarization of blazars
- Blazar Jets not axisimmetric in general, regards to their polarization emission
- Hints of fast CP variability and frequent sign changes
- Circular polarization seems to be present in blazars at mm wavelengths in general at levels ≤2%
- Faraday conversion of LP into CP from helical B field, inhomogeneous dynamic processes, and intrinsic CP production can explain our CP data









