Broadband Modeling of Blazar Spectra with a Turbulent Acceleration Model

Katsuaki Asano (ICRR, U. Tokyo)

Open Problems in Blazar Emission

1. Index harder than 2 for the electron injection spectrum.



FIG. 4.—One-zone SSC model spectra for the steady state emission of Mrk 421. The thick solid line shows the best-fit spectrum where the adopted parameters are $\delta = 12$, $R = 2.8 \times 10^{16}$ cm, B = 0.12 G, $\gamma_{max} = 1.5 \times 10^5$, $q_e = 9.6 \times 10^{-6}$ cm⁻³ s⁻¹, <u>s = 1.6</u>, and $u_e/u_B = 5$. The dotted line shows the spectrum obtained using the analytic estimates for Mrk 421. The thin solid and dashed lines show the spectra of low and high injection models, respectively, to indicate the uncertainty range of the spectral fitting.

2. Lower maximum energy

Mrk 421:

B=38mG If $\eta = 1$, even for $\beta_{sh} = 0.1$, $E_{max} = 7$ TeV. But actual Max. Energy 50 GeV

The Bohm factor should be $\sim 10^4$

Inoue & Takahara 2002

Supernova remnants and pulsar wind nebulae indicate $\eta = 1$.

3. Too sharp break in the electron spectrum.

Compared to the cooling break, the difference in the indices seems large.

Electron Index in Fermi BL Lacs



Yan+

MNRAS 439, 2933-2942 (2014)



Name	<i>B</i> (0.01 G)	δ _D (10)	$t_{\rm v, min}$ (10 ⁵ s)	$\gamma'_{\rm max}$ (10 ⁷)	$\frac{\gamma_b'}{(10^4)}$	$\frac{K'_{e}}{(10^{55})}$	<i>p</i> 1	<i>p</i> ₂	χ^2_{red}
0033-1921	4.06 ± 1.24	2.43 ± 0.17	2.48 ± 1.21	0.07 ± 0.01	1.62 ± 0.20	0.12 ± 0.01	1.83 ± 0.08	3.29 ± 0.05	1.14
0414+009	1.30 ± 0.58	2.96 ± 1.36	3.54 ± 4.31	1.49 ± 2.70	12.67 ± 1.36	0.04 ± 0.02	1.88 ± 0.10	3.82 ± 0.07	3.96
0447-439	5.47 ± 1.38	3.63 ± 0.08	0.43 ± 0.11	0.052 ± 0.002	3.18 ± 0.29	0.05 ± 0.02	2.07 ± 0.03	3.96 ± 0.17	0.70
1013+489	5.72 ± 0.75	2.75 ± 0.47	0.55 ± 0.22	0.08 ± 0.04	6.82 ± 0.74	0.03 ± 0.01	2.03 ± 0.04	4.06 ± 0.19	2.11
2155-304	4.89 ± 0.66	1.97 ± 0.06	3.47 ± 0.52	0.087 ± 0.004	3.57 ± 0.20	0.011 ± 0.002	1.68 ± 0.02	3.79 ± 0.08	2.48
Mrk 421	4.23 ± 0.41	2.71 ± 0.27	0.42 ± 0.10	3.73 ± 0.81	18.43 ± 0.79	0.012 ± 0.002	2.13 ± 0.02	5.04 ± 0.18	1.39
Mrk 501	2.77 ± 0.63	2.99 ± 0.70	0.16 ± 0.11	0.16 ± 0.03	15.81 ± 3.10	0.007 ± 0.006	2.19 ± 0.09	3.12 ± 0.04	1.29
RBS 0413	5.48 ± 1.57	2.60 ± 0.55	0.23 ± 0.11	1.29 ± 0.42	9.97 ± 1.26	0.0014 ± 0.0006	1.93 ± 0.07	3.52 ± 0.34	1.91
1215+303	3.49 ± 0.17	3.58 ± 0.10	0.22 ± 0.02	0.27 ± 0.01	1.13 ± 0.04	0.0031 ± 0.0001	1.78 ± 0.01	3.61 ± 0.04	1.99
2247+381	5.45 ± 1.64	3.62 ± 0.05	0.14 ± 0.05	0.10 ± 0.06	8.87 ± 1.96	0.0004 ± 0.0002	1.96 ± 0.06	4.58 ± 0.42	0.54
0048-09	6.50 ± 5.84	2.50 ± 0.28	2.19 ± 1.74	0.10 ± 0.02	0.52 ± 0.04	0.015 ± 0.002	1.42 ± 0.18	3.72 ± 0.08	2.90
0716+714	5.90 ± 1.23	2.71 ± 0.47	3.51 ± 1.21	0.04 ± 0.01	0.92 ± 0.10	0.010 ± 0.002	1.49 ± 0.04	3.88 ± 0.07	1.98
0851+202	4.05 ± 2.41	2.40 ± 1.10	2.43 ± 3.34	0.14 ± 0.45	0.26 ± 0.10	0.13 ± 0.12	1.46 ± 0.40	4.65 ± 0.16	1.49
1058+5628	2.20 ± 1.14	2.40 ± 0.73	1.29 ± 0.72	0.06 ± 0.03	2.61 ± 0.30	0.06 ± 0.03	1.93 ± 0.05	3.59 ± 0.07	1.36
1246+586	8.82 ± 1.89	2.34 ± 0.34	3.06 ± 0.96	0.40 ± 0.02	0.89 ± 0.08	0.006 ± 0.006	1.43 ± 0.03	4.08 ± 0.08	1.52
W Comae	4.91 ± 0.12	2.70 ± 0.13	0.32 ± 0.04	0.06 ± 0.01	1.94 ± 0.09	0.046 ± 0.002	2.09 ± 0.02	3.65 ± 0.04	1.75
0426-380	1.08 ± 2.42	3.53 ± 4.01	0.93 ± 1.31	0.47 ± 0.02	1.77 ± 0.51	0.36 ± 0.78	1.78 ± 0.51	3.58 ± 0.93	2.41
0537-441	2.12 ± 1.55	3.62 ± 1.54	1.51 ± 1.38	0.38 ± 0.40	0.54 ± 0.09	0.20 ± 0.07	1.56 ± 0.13	3.96 ± 0.06	5.64
1717+177	1.79 ± 0.20	3.52 ± 0.18	0.036 ± 0.005	0.013 ± 0.003	1.79 ± 0.17	0.020 ± 0.001	2.12 ± 0.04	3.53 ± 0.19	3.97
BL Lac	1.86 ± 1.89	3.23 ± 1.70	0.95 ± 0.90	0.11 ± 0.09	0.29 ± 0.06	0.24 ± 0.04	1.84 ± 0.18	3.87 ± 0.04	4.10
OT 081	9.82 ± 9.80	2.31 ± 5.16	0.12 ± 0.55	2.00 ± 2.10	0.52 ± 0.58	0.007 ± 0.022	1.75 ± 0.66	3.76 ± 0.59	1.69
4C 01.28	10.56 ± 19.20	2.47 ± 3.42	0.66 ± 6.02	0.12 ± 0.43	0.30 ± 0.18	0.06 ± 0.13	1.69 ± 0.64	3.70 ± 0.32	0.96

Model with many parameters.

Mrk 421 Spectral Fit



Phenomenological model requires many parameters.

Difficulties in Shock Acceleration



Alternative: Magnetic Recconection

Sironi+ 2015



But in Mrk 421, Magnetic Energy is 3–10% of Electrons' In 1ES 0229+200, only 0.3%.

Very Hard Spectrum in 3C 279



Broken power-law Parameters

B1 B2 0.03 0.12 20 30 0.610.34 0.31 0.3 1 1 3700 28007 7

Very hard electron spectrum, but very low magnetic field.

Alternative: stochastic acceleration by turbulece



Outer: larger volume \rightarrow Higher acceleration

$$\frac{\partial N_{e}(\varepsilon,t)}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial E} \left[D_{EE} \frac{\partial N_{e}(E,t)}{\partial E} \right] - \frac{\partial}{\partial E} \left[\left(\frac{2D_{EE}}{E} - \left\langle \dot{E}_{cool} \right\rangle \right) N_{e}(E,t) \right] + \dot{N}_{e,inj}(E,t)$$
Diffusion Acceleration Cooling Injection

Excitation of Turbulence





Kelvin-Helmholtz (Beckwith+ 2011)

Kink (Bromberg & Tchekhovskoy) 2016

Instability 2

Reconfinement induced one.

Star-jet interaction



Gourgouliatos & Komissarov 2018

Perucho+ 2017

Our Model

- Steady outflow
- Continuous shell ejection with a width of $R_0/\,\Gamma\,$ in commoving frame
- Electron injection from $R=R_0$ to $2R_0$ with stochastic acceleration
- Both injection and acceleration stop at R=2R₀

Physical Processes

- Electron injection
- Stochastic acceleration
- Synchrotron emission and cooling
- Inverse Compton emission and cooling
- Adiabatic cooling $(V \propto R^2)$
- Photon escape
- No electron escape!

Main Parameters:

 R_0, Γ, B_0, K

The others are q, γ_{inj} , and injection rate.

Mrk421+Kolmogorov

$$t_{\text{coll}}^{-1} = \nu \equiv \frac{\pi}{4} \frac{k |\delta B^2|_k}{B^2} \Omega \qquad \delta B^2(k) \propto k^{-q} \to \nu \propto k^{2-q} \propto E^{q-2}$$

 $D_{EE} \propto \nu E^2 \propto E^q$

Kolmogorov case q = 5/3

The spectrum becomes too hard.

Hard-sphere

Scattering frequency is independent of energy.

$$D(\varepsilon) = K\varepsilon^2$$

 $t_{\rm acc} \propto \varepsilon^0$

Typical eddy size may correspond to the sphere radius.

Mrk421+Hard Sphere

 $\Gamma = 15, B_0 = 0.16$ G, $W' = \frac{R_0}{\Gamma} = 10^{16}$ cm, $K = 3.7 \times 10^{-6}$ s⁻¹, $\dot{N} = 9.8 \times 10^{46}$ s⁻¹

 $t_{\rm coll} \propto E^0$, $D_{EE} = KE^2$

3C 279 + Hard Sphere

External photons: $T'_{\rm UV} = 10\Gamma {\rm eV}, U'_{\rm UV} = 8\left(\frac{\Gamma}{15}\right)^2 {\rm erg \ cm^{-3}}$

Other Blazars

Evolution of Energy Densities

Flare Model

The same radius, Lorentz factor, and UV field as those in the steady model.

Light curve

Diffusion Coefficient

Mrk 421

 $B_0 = 0.16G \rightarrow r_L = 2.1 \times 10^{10} \text{ cm} @\text{TeV}$ $D_{EE} = KE^2, \qquad K = 3.7 \times 10^{-6} \text{s}^{-1}$ $\text{For } \nu = 5/3$ $K = \left(\frac{\delta \nu}{c}\right)^2 c k_{\max} \frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{k_{\max}}{k_{\min}}\right)^{-2/3}$

we need a k_{\min}^{-1} shorter than $R_0/\Gamma = 10^{16}$ cm. Those conditions imply $k_{\max}/k_{\min} < 4.8 \times 10^5$. With a conservative assumption of $k_{\min} = \Gamma/R_0$, $ck_{\max}(k_{\max}/k_{\min})^{-2/3} < 2.3 \times 10^{-4}$ s⁻¹. The turbulence velocity at the injection would be slower then the sound speed in relativistic plasma: $(v_0/c)^2 <$ 1/3. Finally, the maximum value of $t_{\rm acc}^{-1}$ is estimated as 7.8×10^{-5} s⁻¹, which is much larger than $K \sim 10^{-6}$ s⁻¹ required in the model.

- The turbulence acceleration is an alternative model for the electron acceleration in blazars.
- However, the gyro-resonant-like acceleration in Kolmogorov turbulence seems not adequate.
- Particles interacting compressible waves are accelerated via TTD resonance.
- Hard-sphere-like acceleration in this case agree with the observed spectra of blazars.

Energy Density

Alfven wave seems not responsible for the acceleration. Fast wave (Kinetic>>magnetic) can be the energy source. There may be the shortest scale of turbulence, which is the dominant scatterer.

Suppresion of Turbulence in the upstream

Turbulence is required to scatter particles.

Only for low magnetized case, the particle acceleration is possible.

Hard-Sphere Model

Supposing the compressional waves are responsible, we model as following.

$$D_{\varepsilon\varepsilon} = K\varepsilon^2$$

Cho & Vishniac 2000

Test particle simulation in pure linear Waves

Enrgy diffusion

Energy gain by the TTD resonance

Dependence on Parameters

(b) Maximum wavenumber dependence: k_{\min}/k_{\max} is fixed.

Energy Diffusion

Significant fraction of particles diffuses in the energy space.

Diffusion coefficient

 $\delta B(k) \equiv \sqrt{k_n P_{\rm B}(k_n)}$

Diffusion by mirror force

$$\frac{\Delta p}{\delta t} \sim \frac{p_{\perp} v_{\perp}}{2B} \nabla_{\parallel} |B_{\parallel}| \sim p v_{\perp} k_{n,\parallel} \frac{\delta B(k_n)}{B_0}$$

$$\delta t \sim \frac{1}{v_{\parallel} k_{n,\parallel}} \qquad D_{\gamma\gamma} \sim \sum_n \frac{(\Delta \gamma)^2}{\delta t} \sim \sum_n \gamma^2 \left(\frac{\mathcal{V}_{\rm ph}}{c}\right)^2 c k_n \left(\frac{k_n P_B(k_n)}{B_0^2}\right)$$

$$(\mathcal{V}_{\perp})^2 = (k_n - D_{\perp}(k_n))$$

$$\Delta \mu \sim \frac{\Delta p}{p} \sim \frac{v_{\perp}}{v_{\parallel}} \frac{\delta B(k_n)}{B_0} \qquad \qquad D_{\gamma\gamma,\text{fast}} \sim \gamma^2 \left(\frac{\nu_{\text{ph}}}{c}\right) \ ck_{\text{max}} \left(\frac{k_{\text{max}} P_B(k_{\text{max}})}{B_0^2}\right)$$

$$D_{\mu\mu} = \frac{(\Delta\mu)^2}{\delta t} \sim \sum_{n} v_{\perp}^2 k_{\parallel}^2 \left(\frac{k_n P_B(k_n)}{B_0^2}\right) \cdot \delta t \qquad P_B \propto k^{-\nu}$$

$$\Delta \gamma \sim \frac{\mathcal{V}_{\rm ph}}{c} \gamma \Delta \mu \qquad \qquad D_{\gamma\gamma, \rm fast} \sim \gamma^2 \left(\frac{V}{c}\right)^2 c k_{\rm max} (\nu - 1) \left(\frac{k_{\rm max}}{k_{\rm min}}\right)^{1-\nu} \epsilon_{\rm res, fast}$$

Hard Sphere-like diffusion

