

M. Mastromarco on behalf of the n\_TOF Collaboration



06/09/2018



Agenzia nazionale per le nuove tecnologie, l'energia e lo sviluppo economico sostenibile



Mario Mastromarco @ EuNPC 2018, Bologna, Italy

#### **Main motivation**

✓ FAs of current Light Water Reactor make extensive use of the socalled "burnable neutron poisons" characterized by a neutron capture cross section comparable or higher than <sup>235</sup>U(n, f);

✓ Among these isotopes the most common is Gadolinium, taking advantage of the very large capture cross section at neutron energies below 1 eV of the two odd isotopes: <sup>155</sup>Gd and <sup>157</sup>Gd;

 Accurate predictions about their burning rate are fundamental for safety reasons and predicting the appearance of FAs reactivity peak and its intensity;

#### State of the Art: <sup>155</sup>Gd

#### 155Gd neutron capture cross-section



#### State of the Art: <sup>157</sup>Gd

#### 157Gd neutron capture cross-section











Google



Google



#### n\_TOF facility @ CERN: neutron flux characteristics







06/09/2018

Mario Mastromarco @ EuNPC 2018, Bologna, Italy







#### **Experimental setup**

4 deuterated benzene  $C_6D_6$  liquid scintillator detectors placed at 90° with respect to each other and in front of the sample.

The total energy detection principle was used by combining the detection system described above with the so-called **Pulse Height Weighting Technique (PHWT)** (see Ref. [1] and [2])

#### • Two sample of <sup>157</sup>Gd:

thin sample of 4.7 mg thick sample of 191.6 mg

• Two sample of <sup>155</sup>Gd:

thin sample of 10 mg thick sample of 100.6 mg

- Empty and natPb: background
- Gold: normalization

P. Schillebeeckx, et al., Nucl. Data Sheets 113 (2012) 3054
A. Borella, et al., Nucl. Instrum. & Methods A 577 (2007) 626



#### **Yield calculation**

$$Y(E_n) = \frac{N}{S_n + E_n \frac{A}{A+1}} \frac{C_w(E_n) - B_w(E_n)}{\varphi_n(E_n) f_{BIF}(E_n)}$$

N

#### Normalization factor

- $C_w(E_n) B_w(E_n)$
- Sample counts background subtracted
- $S_n + E_n \frac{A}{A+1}$
- Energy of the compound nucleus

 $\varphi_n(E_n) f_{BIF}(E_n)$ 

Flux fraction intercepted by the sample

#### Background



#### Quality check: Calibrations + WF

- Calibrations:
  - 1. Linear
  - 2. Quadratic
- Weighting Functions:
  - 1. Exponential emission (7 cases) and omogeneous, threshold 150, 175 and 200 keV

#### Yields in agreement within 1.5 %

#### Yield: Gold Normalization @ 4.9 eV



18

#### **Yield: thermal region**



19

#### Yield: thermal region, the expected yield



#### Yield: thermal region, the expected yield



#### **Yield: BIF correction**



06/09/2018

#### **Yield: BIF correction**



#### **Yield: BIF correction**



#### **Systematic uncertainties**

Source of	$^{155}\mathrm{Gd}(\mathrm{n},\gamma)$		$^{157}\mathrm{Gd}(\mathrm{n},\gamma)$	
uncertainty	near thermal	resonance region	near thermal	resonance region
PHWT	1.5%	1.5%	1.5%	1.5%
Normalization	1.5%	1.5%	1.5%	1.5%
Background	1.4%	$\approx 1\%$	1.0%	$\approx 1\%$
Sample mass	1.0%	< 0.1%	2.1%	< 0.1%
BIF	2.0%		2.0%	
Flux	1.0%	1.0%	1.0%	1.0%
Total	3.5%	2.5%	3.9%	2.5%

## <sup>155, 157</sup>Gd thick: resolved region (ENDF Upper Limit)



28

## <sup>155, 157</sup>Gd thick: resolved region (ENDF Upper Limit)



#### <sup>155</sup>Gd: RSA by SAMMY code



#### <sup>155</sup>Gd: RSA by SAMMY code



#### <sup>155</sup>Gd: RSA by SAMMY code



#### <sup>155</sup>Gd: Resonance kernels



#### <sup>157</sup>Gd: thermal region



### <sup>157</sup>Gd: RSA by SAMMY code



#### <sup>157</sup>Gd: RSA by SAMMY code



#### Mario Mastromarco @ EuNPC 2018, Bologna,

#### <sup>157</sup>Gd: RSA by SAMMY code



#### <sup>157</sup>Gd: Resonance kernels



#### **RSA: Above the upper limit**



#### **RSA: Above the upper limit**



#### Conclusions

- The <sup>155,157</sup>Gd(n,y) reaction has been analyzed up to 1 keV; from RSA by R-matrix code the cross-section has been extracted from thermal to about 1 keV;
- These data sets can be used for future evaluations combining with the results of a new transmission measurement;
- In the RRR the comparisons with ENDF/B-VIII.0 and JEFF-3.3 data libraries show a fair agreement whereas sizable differences are present with Leinweber et al. data and with JENDL-4.0 evalutation;
- The thermal cross-sections in this work are about 2% higher for <sup>155</sup>Gd and 6% smaller for <sup>157</sup>Gd than those reported in nuclear data libraries;
- Paper submitted (https://arxiv.org/abs/1805.04149v1).

06/09/2018