Nuclear Astrophysics at LUNA: Status and Perspectives







Marialuisa Aliotta

School of Physics and Astronomy - University of Edinburgh, UK Scottish Universities Physics Alliance



European Nuclear Physics Conference, Bologna 3-7 September 2018

Nuclei in the Cosmos I, 1990 – Baden/Vienna, Austria



Our Sun has been shining at a constant rate for 5 billion years converting 700 million tonnes of H into He each second



According to the Standard Solar Model...



No way of "seeing" what happens in the core of the Sun except if we... detect neutrinos

Solar Neutrino Detection at Homestake in 1960s

FIRST DIRECT EVIDENCE FOR NUCLEAR REACTIONS IN OUR SUN



Ray Davis Jr. 2002 Nobel Prize





1965: Ray Davis inside chlorine tank used for solar neutrino detection Credit: Anna Davis

for 30 years all neutrino detection efforts consistently measured **1/3 of expected neutrinos flux** based on **Standard Solar Model**

Solar Neutrino Problem

- wrong assumptions of SSM?
- poor understanding of neutrinos properties?
- unclear nuclear inputs?



a direct measurement of its cross section was necessary

M. Aliotta

low cross sections \rightarrow low yields \rightarrow poor signal-to-noise ratio



M. Aliotta

How to improve the signal-to-noise ratio?

Why don't you do your

measurements underground? This is such a great idea, it could have been mine!

Claus Rolfs

Gianni Fiorentini &



Note manoscritte di A. Zichichi presentate nella Seduta della Commissione Lavori Pubblici del Senato convocata con urgenza dal Presidente del Senato per discutere la proposta del Progetto Gran Sasso (1979).

To sumarize, the scientific ains of the "Gran Sasso" laboratory are the study of: 1) nuclear stability; 2) neutrino astrophysics; 3) new cosmic phenomenology; 4) neutrino oscillations; 5) biologically active matter;

6) ground stability.



courtesy: C Brpggini

M Aliotta

1.4 km rock overburden: million-fold reduction in cosmic background



M Aliotta

1.4 km rock overburden: million-fold reduction in cosmic background



LUNA Phase I (1992-2001): 50 kV accelerator first *underground accelerator* in the world



LUNA Phase I (1992-2001): 50 kV accelerator first *underground accelerator* in the world



entirely built by students!

³He + ³He at LUNA

First measurement at Gamow peak energies – No resonance found!



VOLUME 82, NUMBER 26

First Measurement of the ³He(³He, 2*p*)⁴He Cross Section down to the Lower Edge of the Solar Gamow Peak

R. Bonetti,¹ C. Broggini,^{2,*} L. Campajola,³ P. Corvisiero,⁴ A. D'Alessandro,⁵ M. Dessalvi,⁴ A. D'Onofrio,⁶ A. Fubini,⁷ G. Gervino,⁸ L. Gialanella,⁹ U. Greife,⁹ A. Guglielmetti,¹ C. Gustavino,⁵ G. Imbriani,³ M. Junker,⁵ P. Prati,⁴ V. Roca,³ C. Rolfs,⁹ M. Romano,³ F. Schuemann,⁹ F. Strieder,⁹ F. Terrasi,³ H.P. Trautvetter,⁹ and S. Zavatarelli⁴ (LUNA Collaboration)

excluded a "nuclear solution" to the missing neutrino problem



T. Kajita



A. McDonald

2015 Nobel Prize in Physics Discovery of Neutrinos Oscillations



photo: A. Mahmoud

photo: A. Mahmoud

THE INSTITU

PRI

E-mail:

SCHOOL OF NATURAL SCIENCES

THE INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY

PRINCETON, NEW JERSEY 08540

E-mail: jnb@sns.ias.edu FAX: (609)924-7592

SCHOOL OF NATURAL SCIENCES

Professor P. Corvisiero Professor C. Rolfs Spokesmen for the LUNA-Collal

Dear Professors Corvisiero and 1

I am writing to you about a his recent meeting on Solar Fusion R University. At this meeting, I ha the LUNA measurements of the a significant part of the Gamow had never believed possible. The nuclear astrophysics in three dec

With the LUNA results, debates energy that were ignited by the tions of solar neutrinos can now ${}^{3}He({}^{3}He,2p){}^{4}He$ reaction, it is tributed to our nuclear physics in order to clarify some systema energy part of the Gamow peak.

There are a number of other r lar neutrino experiments and fo ${}^{3}He(\alpha, \gamma){}^{7}Be$, ${}^{7}Be(p, \gamma){}^{8}B$, and tions at or near the energies at stars.

The LUNA collaboration is supe an improved facility, a 200 kV h ment of the Gran Sasso Undergr Professor P. Corvisiero Professor C. Rolfs Spokesmen for the LUNA-Collaboration

Dear Professors Corvisiero and Rolfs:

I am writing to you about a historic opportunity of which I first became aware at the recent meeting on Solar Fusion Reactions at the Institute of Nuclear Theory, Washington University. At this meeting, I had the opportunity to see for the first time the results of the LUNA measurements of the important 3He - 3He reaction in a region that covers a significant part of the Gamow energy peak for solar fusion. This was a thrill that I had never believed possible. These measurements signal the most important advance in nuclear astrophysics in three decades.

I have had some experience in helping to set priorities for research in physics and in astronomy, most recently as Chair of the Decade Survey for Astronomy and Astrophysics of the National Academy of the United States and as President (now emeritus) of the American Astronomical Society. I can say, with the perspective provided by these previous assignments, that the work of the LUNA collaboration is unique and essential for further progress in solar neutrino studies and for understanding how main sequence stars evolve. I personally would rank the LUNA project among the highest priorities internationally for research in nuclear astrophysics, in stellar evolution, in solar neutrinos, and in particle phenomenology.

Sincerely yours.

po Julia

John N. Bahcall Professor of Natural Science

JNB:jnb

JOHN N. BAHCALL

28 May 1997

M. Aliotta LUNA: 400 kV accelerator









25 year of Nuclear Astrophysics at LUNA (LNGS, INFN)

• solar fusion reactions

 3 He(3 He,2p) 4 He 2 H(p, γ) 3 He 3 He(α , γ) 7 Be

- electron screening and stopping power
 ²H(³He,p)⁴He
 ³He(²H,p)⁴He
- CNO, Ne-Na and Mg-Al cycles
 ¹⁴N(p,γ)¹⁵O ¹⁵N(p,γ)¹⁶O ²²Ne(p,γ)²³Na ²²Ne(α,γ)²⁶Mg ²³Na(p,γ)²⁴Mg ²⁵Mg(p,γ)²⁶Al
- (explosive) hydrogen burning in novae and AGB stars ${}^{17}O(p,\gamma){}^{18}F {}^{17}O(p,\alpha){}^{14}N {}^{18}O(p,\gamma){}^{19}F {}^{18}O(p,\alpha){}^{15}N$
- Big Bang nucleosynthesis ${}^{2}H(\alpha,\gamma){}^{6}Li$ ${}^{2}H(p,\gamma){}^{3}He$ ${}^{6}Li(p,\gamma){}^{7}Be$
- neutron capture nucleosynthesis
 ¹³C(α,n)¹⁶O

some of the lowest cross sections ever measured (few counts/month)

18 reactions / 25 year ~ 20 months data taking per reaction!

Puzzling Facts and Open Questions

- Big Bang Nucleosynthesis: Li problem(s) and the D abundance
- Core metallicity of the Sun
- Fate of massive stars
- Explosive scenarios: X-ray bursts, novae, SN type Ia
- Pre-solar grains composition/Anomalous abundances
- Origin of heavy elements
- Astrophysical site(s) for the r-process

Big Bang Nucleosynthesis

BBN is only handle to probe state of early universe

Primordial Nucleosynthesis (BBN): 3 minutes after Big Bang



NASA/WMAP Science Team

WMAP101087

stringent tests of Big Bang theory

Element Abundance graphs: Steigman, Encyclopedia of Astronomy and Astrophysics (Institute of Physics) December, 2000



Primordial Deuterium Abundance: The d(p,γ)³He Reaction

Observed abundance:

about 5% lower than

Predicted abundance:

 $[D/H] = (2.53 \pm 0.04) \times 10^{-5}$

Cooke et al, APJ 781 (2014) 31

 $[D/H] = (2.65 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-5}$

Di Valentino et al, PRD 90 (2014) 023543

main uncertainty in BBN prediction due to d(p,γ)³He cross section



high precision data at BBN energies required

M. Aliotta



Measurements at LUNA

E_{beam} = 50 – 300 keV (full BBN range)



BGO Phase: high efficiency HPGe Phase: high precision



Courtesy: V Mossa

Measurements at LUNA



Courtesy: V Mossa

Lithium Problem(s)

a success story:

discrepancy revealed thanks to close interplay among

theory, observation, and experiment

Primordial Lithium Abundances



first Lithium Problem

observed ⁷Li

- ~ 3x lower than predicted
- no nuclear solution
- new (astro)physics?
- physics beyond Standard Model?

second Lithium Problem

observed ⁶Li $\sim 10^2 - 10^3$ higher than predicted

poor nuclear physics inputs or challenges with observation?



The Second Lithium Problem

Production and destruction processes affecting ⁶Li abundance



⁶Li production: The d(α , γ)⁶Li Reaction

First direct measurement of $d(\alpha,\gamma)^6$ Li cross section at BBN energies

CrossMark

week ending

25 JULY 2014





Big Bang ⁶Li nucleosynthesis studied deep underground (LUNA collaboration)

D. Trezzi^a, M. Anders^{b,c,1}, M. Aliotta^d, A. Bellini^e, D. Bemmerer^b, A. Boeltzig^{f,g}, C. Broggini^h, C.G. Bruno^d, A. Caciolli^{h,j}, F. Cavanna^e, P. Corvisiero^e, H. Costantini^{e,2}, T. Davinson^d, R. Depalo^{h,j}, Z. Elekes^b, M. Erhard^h, F. Ferraro^e, A. Formicola^f, Zs. Fülop^j, G. Gervino^k, A. Guglielmetti^a, C. Gustavino^{1,*}, Gy. Gyürky^j, M. Junker^f, A. Lemut^{e,3}, M. Marta^{b,4}, C. Mazzocchi^{a,5}, R. Menegazzo^h, V. Mossa^m, F. Pantaleo^m, P. Prati^e, C. Rossi Alvarez^h, D.A. Scott^d, E. Somorjai^j, O. Straniero^{n,0}, T. Szücs^j, M. Takacs^b

PRL 113, 042501 (2014) PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

First Direct Measurement of the ${}^{2}H(\alpha,\gamma){}^{6}Li$ Cross Section at Big Bang Energies and the Primordial Lithium Problem

M. Anders,^{1,2,†} D. Trezzi,³ R. Menegazzo,⁴ M. Aliotta,⁵ A. Bellini,⁶ D. Bemmerer,¹ C. Broggini,⁴ A. Caciolli,⁴ P. Corvisiero,⁶ H. Costantini,^{6,‡} T. Davinson,⁵ Z. Elekes,¹ M. Erhard,^{4,§} A. Formicola,⁷ Zs. Fülöp,⁸ G. Gervino,⁹ A. Guglielmetti,³ C. Gustavino,^{10,||} Gy. Gyürky,⁸ M. Junker,⁷ A. Lemut,^{6,*} M. Marta,^{1,¶} C. Mazzocchi,^{3,**} P. Prati,⁶ C. Rossi Alvarez,⁴ D. A. Scott,⁵ E. Somorjai,⁸ O. Straniero,^{11,12} and T. Szücs⁸ (LUNA Collaboration)



 ${}^{6}\text{Li}/{}^{7}\text{Li} = (1.6 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-5}$ ${}^{6}\text{Li}/\text{H} = (0.8 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-14}$ (27% lower than previous BBN values)

No nuclear physics solution to second Lithium problem





⁶Li destruction: The ⁶Li(p, γ)⁷Be and ⁶Li(p, α)³He Reactions



Thomas Chillery's PhD project J. He et al, Physics Letters B, 725 (2013) 287

resonance(-like) structure recently reported but never confirmed so far proposed resonance may also impact angular distribution observed in $^{6}\text{Li}(\text{p},\alpha)^{3}\text{He}$



⁶Li(p,γ)⁷Be reaction involved in BBN as well as in ⁶Li depletion in early stages of stellar evolution

- $E_{cm} = 30 340 \text{ keV}$
- evaporated ⁶Li solid targets (95% enrichment)
- ⁶Li₂O, ⁶Li₂WO₄ and ⁶LiCl
- HPGe in close geometry
- silicon detector for ⁶Li(p, α)³He





target

HPGe

Si

- $E_{cm} = 30 340 \text{ keV}$
- evaporated ⁶Li solid targets (95% enrichment)
- ⁶Li₂O, ⁶Li₂WO₄ and ⁶LiCl
- HPGe in close geometry

10

silicon detector for ⁶Li(p, α)³Li

2000

4000

6000



8000

10000

12000

14000

16000

18000

E [keV]

Pre-Solar Grains Composition

Rocks from Space: the Importance of Meteorites

fragment of Allende Meteorite (named after nearest post office) 8 February 1969 – Mexico



 best known and most studied meteorite in history Carbon-Aluminum inclusions



isotopic composition different from solar

anomalies pinpoint to extra-solar origins

http://www.marmet-meteorites.com/id46.html

Pre-solar grains in meteorites

- Carbon-rich (diamond, graphite, silicon carbide)
- Oxygen-rich (silicates, Al-rich oxides, ...)

Group I (about 75%): show excess in ¹⁷O compared to solar values; origin well-understood: red giants (1-3 M_{\odot})

Group II (about 10%): excess in ¹⁷O, but depleted in ¹⁸O (up to 2 o.o.m. less than in solar system)

origin highly debated!







¹⁷O(p, α)¹⁴N reaction

hydrogen burning in various stars + composition of pre-solar grains



PhD project Carlo Bruno

Purpose-built scattering chamber to host array of 8 silicon detectors





Bruno et al EJPA 51 (2015) 94

- protective aluminized Mylar foils before each detector
- expected alpha particle energy E ~ 200 keV (from 70 keV resonance in $^{17}O(p,\alpha)^{14}N$)

Background Suppression



CG Bruno et al. EPJA 51 (2015) 94



The ²²Ne(p,γ)²³Na Reaction

M. Aliotta

22 Ne(p, γ)²³Na: The Most Uncertain Reaction in the NeNa-cycle



M. Aliotta

22 Ne(p, γ) 23 Na: The Most Uncertain Reaction in the NeNa-cycle



The Creation of Heavy Elements



Neutron capture reactions: the s(low) and the r(apid) processes



Neutron capture reactions: the s(low) and the r(apid) processes

M. Aliotta

¹³C(α,n)¹⁶O

importance:





Gamow region: 130 - 250 keV

280 keV

s-process in AGB stars

mainly hampered by cosmic background \rightarrow excellent case for underground study

LUNA: an ideal environment for neutron detection



¹³C(a,n)¹⁶O data taking campaign on going at LUNA 400kV



Future Opportunities

Η



 1 H⁺ (TV: 0.3 – 0.5 MV): 500 μA 1 H⁺ (TV: 0.5 – 3.5 MV): 1000 μA

He

⁴He⁺ (TV: 0.3 – 0.5 MV): 300 μA ⁴He⁺ (TV: 0.5 – 3.5 MV): 500 μA



 $^{12}C^+$ (TV: 0.3 – 0.5 MV): 100 μA $^{12}C^+$ (TV: 0.5 – 3.5 MV): 150 μA $^{12}C^{++}$ (TV: 0.5 – 3.5 MV): 100 μA

THE LUNA Collaboration



Gran

Sasso

400

Gran Sasso

Laboratory

National

- LUNA 50 kV (1992-2001) Solar Phase
- LUNA 400 kV (2000-2018) CNO, Mg-Al and Ne-Na cycles, BBN
- **LUNA-MV** (from 2019) Helium burning, Carbon burning

- ${}^{12}C({}^{12}C,p){}^{23}Na \text{ and } {}^{12}C({}^{12}C,\alpha){}^{20}Ne$
- ¹³C(α,n)¹⁶O
- ²²Ne(α,n)²⁵Mg
- ¹²C(α,γ)¹⁶O





- Accelerator ready at High Voltage Engineering
- Tests in progress
- Installation at LNGS: Fall 2018
- Commissioning: late 2018 early 2019





CASPAR: Compact Accelerator Systems for Performing Astrophysical Research

SURF: Sanford Underground Laboratory at Homestake (4300 mwe)

Collaboration between:

- University of Notre Dame
- Colorado School of Mines
- South Dakota School of Mines and Technology



1 MV Accelerator Inaugurated July 2017



M Aliotta



Jinping Underground lab for Nuclear Astrophysics 锦屏深地核天体物理实验室

China Institute of Atomic Energy



2,400 meters deep in a mountain in Sichuan Province

Planned for 2019







Nuclear Physics

experimental and theoretical inputs stable and exotic nuclei

Astrophysics

Stellar evolutionary codes nucleosynthesis calculations astronomical observations





Plasma Physics

degenerate matter electron screening equation of state



Atomic Physics

radiation-matter interaction energy losses, stopping powers spectral lines materials and detectors







THE LUNA COLLABORATION



http://luna.lngs.infn.it



- F. Amodio, G. Ciani, L. Csedreki, L. Di Paolo, A. Formicola, M. Junker Laboratori Nazionali del Gran Sasso/GSSI, Italy
- D. Bemmerer, K. Stoeckel, M. Takacs | HZDR, Germany
- M. Lugaro Konkoly Observatory, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Debrecen, Hungary
- Z. Elekes, Zs. Fülöp, Gy. Gyurky, T. Szuecs | INR MTA-ATOMKI Debrecen, Hungary
- O. Straniero | Osservatorio Astronomico di Collurania, Teramo, Italy
- F. Barile, G. D'Erasmo, E. Fiore, V. Mossa, F. Pantaleo, V. Paticchio, L. Schiavulli | Università di Bari and INFN Bari, Italy
- R. Perrino | INFN Lecce, Italy
- M. Aliotta, C.G. Bruno, T. Chillery, T. Davinson | University of Edinburgh
- F. Cavanna, P. Corvisiero, F. Ferraro, P. Prati, S. Zavatarelli | Università di Genova and INFN Genova, Italy
- A. Guglielmetti | Università di Milano and INFN Milano, Italy
- J. Balibrea, A. Best, A. Di Leva, G. Imbriani | Università di Napoli "Federico II" and INFN Napoli, Italy
- G. Gervino | Università di Torino and INFN Torino, Italy
- C. Broggini, A. Caciolli, R. Depalo, P. Marigo, R. Menegazzo, D. Piatti | Università di Padova and INFN Padova, Italy
- C. Gustavino | INFN Roma1, Italy