Axion-like-particle at LHC and Kaon factories

FP Capri 2018, June 9

Kohsaku Tobioka (Tobi) YITP, Stony Brook University



based on 1710.01743 and preliminary works with Alberto Mariotti, Diego Redigolo, Filippo Sala +Matt Low Stefania Gori, Gilad Perez

Resonance search: strong discovery method at collider



Success: J/ψ , Y, Z, h.., and toward BSM

Typically prove beyond 100GeV





Resonance search: strong discovery method at collider



Success: J/ψ , Y, Z, h.., and toward BSM

Typically prove beyond 100GeV



- 1. Theoretical bias/motivation to high mass (W', Z', Heavy higgs..)
- 2. Common belief, low mass resonance is constrained by previous colliders or precision measurements
- 3. For LHC, low mass is difficult due to p_T cuts



However, poorly constrained mass range exists



Theory perspectives & Search Framework

Theory perspective

pNGB: pseudo Nambu Goldstone bosons

common among BSM models, mass can be arbitrary light,

e.g. π

Focus: Axion-like-particles(ALPs) e.g.

• R-axion from low-scale SUSY m

Bellazzini, Mariotti, Redigolo, Sala, Serra(1702.02152)

pNGB from composite Higgs

Barnard, Gherghetta, Ray('13), Ferretti('16)...

New pion from TeV QCD' Kilic, Okui, Sundrum('09), Nakai, Sato, KT ('16) ...

Heavy Axion/Visible Axion Rubakov{'97}, Fukuda, Harigaya, Ibe, Yanagida ('15) $m_{a^R}^2 \sim \mathcal{E}_R F / f_a$ ~ $(1 \text{MeV})^2 \cdot \frac{M_*}{\text{TeV}} \cdot \frac{M_{3/2}}{0.01 \text{eV}}$

$$m_{\pi'} = m_{q'} \times f_a$$

$$m_{avis}=m_{\pi'}f_{\pi'}/f_a$$

Unlike QCD axion case, $m_a \sim m_\pi f_\pi/f_a$ mass and coupling (1/ f_a) are separated

such ALP/pNGB can be the first signal of BSM

Theory perspective



ALP Effective Lagrangian

Consider only anomaly (WZW) terms

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = \frac{a}{4\pi f_a} \left[\alpha_s c_3 G \tilde{G} + \alpha_2 c_2 W \tilde{W} + \alpha_1 c_1 B \tilde{B} \right]$$

 $\alpha_1 = 5/3\alpha'$

Broad class of models

$$f_a \sim 0.1 - 10$$
 TeV and $c_3 \neq 0$

irreducible contributions from loops of gluinos, tops



- production@LHC is gluon fusion,
- prompt decay to dijet or diphoton due to (m_a<m_z)

ALP Effective Lagrangian

Consider only anomaly (WZW) terms

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = \frac{a}{4\pi f_a} \left[\alpha_s c_3 G \tilde{G} + \alpha_2 c_2 W \tilde{W} + \alpha_1 c_1 B \tilde{B} \right]$$

 $\alpha_1 = 5/3\alpha'$

Broad class of models

$$f_a \sim 0.1 - 10$$
 TeV and $c_3 \neq 0$

irreducible contributions from loops of gluinos, tops



many previous studies for ALPs:

Photonphilic ALP: LEP[Jaeckel, Spannowsky('15)] Heavy-ion[Knapen et al('16)] Sub 10GeV, ALP-W int. induces FCNC(B->Ka) [Izaguirre, Lin, Shuve('16)], Higgs decay [Bauer, Tamm, Neubert ('17)] etc.

Existing constraints &New LHC bound

Existing constraints for diphoton/dijet

Experiment	Process	Lumi	\sqrt{s}	low mass reach	ref.
LEPI	$e^+e^- \to Z \to \gamma a \to \gamma j j$	12 pb^{-1}	Z-pole	$10 { m GeV}$	[29]
LEPI	$e^+e^- \to Z \to \gamma a \to \gamma \gamma \gamma$	$78 \mathrm{~pb}^{-1}$	Z-pole	$3~{ m GeV}$	[30]
LEPII	$e^+e^- \to Z^*, \gamma^* \to \gamma a \to \gamma j j$	$9.7,10.1,47.7 \text{ pb}^{-1}$	$161,\!172,\!183~{\rm GeV}$	$60~{ m GeV}$	[31]
LEPII	$e^+e^- \to Z^*, \gamma^* \to \gamma a \to \gamma \gamma \gamma$	$9.7,10.1,47.7 \text{ pb}^{-1}$	$161,\!172,\!183~{\rm GeV}$	$60 {\rm GeV}$	[31, 32]
LEPII	$ e^+e^- \to Z^*, \gamma^* \to Za \to jj\gamma\gamma $	$9.7,10.1,47.7 \text{ pb}^{-1}$	$161,\!172,\!183~{\rm GeV}$	$60 {\rm GeV}$	[31]
D0/CDF	$p\bar{p} ightarrow a ightarrow \gamma \gamma$	$7/8.2 { m ~fb}^{-1}$	$1.96 { m TeV}$	$100 { m ~GeV}$	[33]
ATLAS	$pp ightarrow a ightarrow \gamma \gamma$	20.3 fb^{-1}	$8 { m TeV}$	$65~{ m GeV}$	[34]
CMS	$pp ightarrow a ightarrow \gamma \gamma$	$19.7 { m ~fb}^{-1}$	$8 { m TeV}$	$80 {\rm GeV}$	[35]
CMS	$pp \rightarrow a \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$	$19.7 { m ~fb}^{-1}$	$8 { m TeV}$	$150 { m ~GeV}$	[36]
CMS	$pp \rightarrow a \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$	35.9 fb^{-1}	$13 { m TeV}$	$70 {\rm GeV}$	[37]
CMS	$pp \rightarrow a \rightarrow jj$	$18.8 { m ~fb}^{-1}$	8 TeV	$500 { m ~GeV}$	[38]
ATLAS	pp ightarrow a ightarrow jj	$20.3 {\rm ~fb^{-1}}$	$8 { m TeV}$	$350~{\rm GeV}$	[39]
CMS	pp ightarrow a ightarrow jj	$12.9 {\rm ~fb}^{-1}$	$13 { m TeV}$	$600 {\rm GeV}$	[40]
ATLAS	pp ightarrow a ightarrow jj	$3.4 {\rm ~fb}^{-1}$	$13 { m TeV}$	$450 { m ~GeV}$	[41]
CMS	$pp \rightarrow ja \rightarrow jjj$	35.9 fb^{-1}	$13 { m TeV}$	$50 \mathrm{GeV}$	[42]

11

Below lowest mass, smooth background structure is lost



CMS [arXiv:1710.00159]

CMS Boosted dijet

Trigger ISR
 Jet substructure

Diphoton x-section measurements

D0 $(\sigma_{\gamma\gamma})$	$p \overline{p} ightarrow a ightarrow \gamma \gamma$	4.2 fb^{-1}	1.96 TeV	$p_{T_1,T_2} > 21, 20 \text{ GeV}$	$m_a > 8.2 \text{GeV}$
CDF $(\sigma_{\gamma\gamma})$	$p \bar{p} ightarrow a ightarrow \gamma \gamma$	$5.36 \ {\rm fb}^{-1}$	$1.96 { m ~TeV}$	$p_{T_1,T_2} > 17, 15 \text{ GeV}$	$(m_a > 6.4 \text{ GeV})$
ATLAS	$pp ightarrow a ightarrow \gamma \gamma$	$4.9 { m fb}^{-1}$	$7 { m TeV}$	$ p_{T_1,T_2} > 25, 22 \text{ GeV} $	$m_a > 9.4 \text{ GeV}$
ATLAS	$pp ightarrow a ightarrow \gamma \gamma$	20.2 fb^{-1}	8 TeV	$ p_{T_1,T_2} > 40, 30 \text{ GeV} $	<i>m</i> _{<i>a</i>} >13.9 GeV
CMS	$pp \rightarrow a \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$	$5.0 {\rm ~fb}^{-1}$	$7 { m TeV}$	$ p_{T_1,T_2} > 40, 25 \text{ GeV} $	<i>m</i> _a >14.2 GeV



report lower mass



Diphoton x-section measurements



Bound/sensitivity on cross section



Possible Improvement

Strategy with Other Triggers



Strategy with Other Triggers



Strategy with Other Triggers

Modified Isolation works equally good to reject BG





Study monojet(>500GeV)+Boosted Diphoton w/ mod Iso

LHC bound +Projections

KSVZ ALP



ALP at Kaon Factory

Preliminary work with Gilad Perez, Stefania Gori



Two Active Kaon factories



1. # of Kaon will be ~ 10^{14} to reach SM prediction!

2. can be used for new particle hunt (peak)



Comparison

	ΚΟΤΟ	NA62
Main Target	$K_L \rightarrow \pi^0(\gamma\gamma) vv$	K+→π+vv
BG	K _L →3π, 2π	$K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0$, $\mu^+ v$
Signal	Photon, invisible	Charged particle, invisible
VETO	Charged particle	Photon
# of K now	~10^13	~10^12
Size& pBeam	30GeV,~30m	400GeV, 250m
BSM opportunity	ALP, Vector Portal	ALP, Vector Portal, Z' _{µ-т} Neutrino Portal

Physics target: $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 a \rightarrow 4\gamma$ and $m_a < m_{\pi}$

Rate:
$$\operatorname{Br}(K \to \pi^0 a) \simeq \left(\frac{f_{\pi}}{f_a}\right)^2 \operatorname{Br}(K \to \pi^0 \pi^0)$$

CPV ~10⁻³

Background: $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \rightarrow 4\gamma$

Need assumption for reconstruction



Setup MC simulation (Evt generation+Detector+Recon.)





Validation

arbitrary normalization



Reconstructed K_L mass (line from Fig.11,1509.03386)





Recon NP: assume $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 a$

- jet distance: $E_i E_j \Delta r_{ij}^2 \sim \text{mass}^2$, a→closest 2photons(1,2)
- the other two photons(3,4) from π^0 , reconstruct vtx assuming m_{π}



 $m_{\gamma 1 \gamma 2, NP}$



Recon NP: assume $K_L \rightarrow \pi^0 a$

- jet distance: $E_i E_j \Delta r_{ij}^2 \sim \text{mass}^2$, a→closest 2photons(1,2)
- the other two photons(3,4) from π^0 , reconstruct vtx assuming m_{π}





Need to consider $3\pi BG$, study $m_a > m_{\pi}$, 6γ , inv decay, Beam dump

- ALP(f_a~TeV) predicted by BSM models, can be first signal as a resonance
- Gap: 10-100GeV can be covered by x-sec measurement
- Mono triggers [boosted obj] will improve 10-50GeV
- KOTO is sensitive to ALP diphoton! Need to explore more.





pic from http://us.france.fr/en/discover/alps-0

Thank you!

Backupl

Experiment	Process	Lumi	\sqrt{s}	low mass reach	ref.
LEPI	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z \rightarrow \gamma a \rightarrow \gamma j j$	12 pb^{-1}	Z-pole	$10 { m GeV}$	[29]
LEPI	$e^+e^- \rightarrow Z \rightarrow \gamma a \rightarrow \gamma \gamma \gamma$	$78 \mathrm{~pb}^{-1}$	Z-pole	$3 { m GeV}$	[30]
LEPII	$e^+e^- \to Z^*, \gamma^* \to \gamma a \to \gamma j j$	$9.7,10.1,47.7 \text{ pb}^{-1}$	161,172,183 GeV	$60 \mathrm{GeV}$	[31]
LEPII	$e^+e^- \to Z^*, \gamma^* \to \gamma a \to \gamma \gamma \gamma$	$9.7,10.1,47.7 \text{ pb}^{-1}$	$161,172,183 { m ~GeV}$	$60 \mathrm{GeV}$	[31, 32]
LEPII	$ e^+e^- \to Z^*, \gamma^* \to Za \to jj\gamma\gamma$	$9.7,10.1,47.7 \text{ pb}^{-1}$	$161,172,183 { m ~GeV}$	$60 {\rm GeV}$	[31]
D0/CDF	$p \bar{p} ightarrow a ightarrow \gamma \gamma$	$7/8.2 { m ~fb^{-1}}$	$1.96 { m ~TeV}$	$100 { m ~GeV}$	[33]
ATLAS	$pp ightarrow a ightarrow \gamma \gamma$	$20.3 {\rm ~fb}^{-1}$	$8 { m TeV}$	$65 {\rm GeV}$	[34]
CMS	$pp ightarrow a ightarrow \gamma \gamma$	$19.7 { m ~fb}^{-1}$	$8 { m TeV}$	$80 { m GeV}$	[35]
CMS	$pp ightarrow a ightarrow \gamma \gamma$	$19.7 { m ~fb}^{-1}$	$8 { m TeV}$	$150 { m ~GeV}$	[36]
CMS	$pp \rightarrow a \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$	35.9 fb^{-1}	$13 { m TeV}$	$70 {\rm GeV}$	[37]
CMS	pp ightarrow a ightarrow jj	$18.8 { m ~fb}^{-1}$	8 TeV	$500 { m GeV}$	[38]
ATLAS	pp ightarrow a ightarrow jj	20.3 fb^{-1}	$8 { m TeV}$	$350~{\rm GeV}$	[39]
CMS	pp ightarrow a ightarrow jj	$12.9 { m ~fb}^{-1}$	$13 { m TeV}$	$600 {\rm GeV}$	[40]
ATLAS	pp ightarrow a ightarrow jj	$3.4 {\rm ~fb}^{-1}$	$13 { m TeV}$	$450 { m ~GeV}$	[41]
CMS	$pp \rightarrow ja \rightarrow jjj$	35.9 fb^{-1}	$13 { m TeV}$	$50 { m GeV}$	[42]
UA2	$p\bar{p} \rightarrow a \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$	13.2 pb^{-1}	$0.63 { m TeV}$	$17.9 \mathrm{GeV}$	[43]
D0	$p \bar{p} ightarrow a ightarrow \gamma \gamma$	$4.2 {\rm ~fb}^{-1}$	$1.96 { m ~TeV}$	$8.2 {\rm GeV}$	[44]
CDF	$p \bar{p} ightarrow a ightarrow \gamma \gamma$	$5.36 { m ~fb}^{-1}$	$1.96 { m ~TeV}$	$6.4 \mathrm{GeV}$	[45, 46]
ATLAS	$pp ightarrow a ightarrow \gamma \gamma$	$4.9 {\rm ~fb}^{-1}$	$7 { m TeV}$	$9.4 \mathrm{GeV}$	[8]
CMS	$pp ightarrow a ightarrow \gamma \gamma$	$5.0 {\rm ~fb}^{-1}$	$7 { m TeV}$	$14.2 \mathrm{GeV}$	[10]
ATLAS	$pp ightarrow a ightarrow \gamma \gamma$	20.2 fb^{-1}	$8 { m TeV}$	$13.9 \mathrm{GeV}$	[9]

TABLE I: In the top of the Table we list the relevant searches involving at least a photon in the final state at different colliders, and lowest value of invariant mass that they reach. In the middle we also include the most recent LHC dijet searches (see Ref. [28] for a list of older searches). On the lower part of the Table we summarize the available diphoton cross section measurements with their minimal invariant mass reach, which we estimate via Eq. (8) from the minimal p_T cuts on the leading and subleading photon and the isolation cuts of the diphoton pair (see Appendix C for more details on the cross section measurements at UA2, at the Tevatron and at the LHC).

Diphoton x-section measurements

D0 $(\sigma_{\gamma\gamma})$	$p \overline{p} ightarrow a ightarrow \gamma \gamma$	$4.2 {\rm ~fb}^{-1}$	1.96 TeV	$p_{T_1,T_2} > 21, 20 \text{ GeV}$	$m_a > 8.2 \text{GeV}$
$CDF(\sigma_{\gamma\gamma})$	$p \bar{p} ightarrow a ightarrow \gamma \gamma$	$5.36 \ {\rm fb}^{-1}$	$1.96 { m ~TeV}$	$p_{T_1,T_2} > 17,15 \text{ GeV}$	$(m_a > 6.4 \text{ GeV})$
ATLAS	$pp ightarrow a ightarrow \gamma \gamma$	$4.9 { m fb}^{-1}$	$7 { m TeV}$	$ p_{T_1,T_2} > 25, 22 \text{ GeV} $	$m_a > 9.4 \text{ GeV}$
ATLAS	$pp ightarrow a ightarrow \gamma \gamma$	20.2 fb^{-1}	8 TeV	$ p_{T_1,T_2} > 40, 30 \text{ GeV} $	<i>m</i> _{<i>a</i>} >13.9 GeV
CMS	$pp \rightarrow a \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$	$5.0 {\rm ~fb}^{-1}$	$7 { m TeV}$	$ p_{T_1,T_2} > 40, 25 \text{ GeV} $	<i>m</i> _a >14.2 GeV



report lower mass



Bound from Diphoton x-section measurement

