## Probing Light Hidden Sectors with Pulsar Timing Arrays

7<sup>th</sup> Workshop on Theory, Phenomenology and Experiments in Flavour Physics - Capri

### Toby Opferkuch

in collaboration with with Moritz Breitbach, Joachim Kopp, Eric Madge & Pedro Schwaller

JOHANNES GUTENBERG UNIVERSITÄT MAINZ



**Cluster of Excellence** Precision Physics, Fundamental Interactions

and Structure of Matter

## In this talk:

#### Probing hidden sectors:

Gravitational waves from first-order phase transitions

# Cosmological constraints

Experimental sensitivity to GW spectra

Example: Higgsed darkphoton model

## Talking to the Hidden Sector The fourth portal

The usual portal suspects:

- Vector: (kinetic mixing, weakly coupled gauge bosons, ...)
- □ Scalar: (Higgs portals, ...)
- □ Fermion: (neutrino portal, ...)
- Any combination of the above

## Talking to the Hidden Sector The fourth portal

The usual portal suspects:

- Vector: (kinetic mixing, weakly coupled gauge bosons, ...)
- □ Scalar: (Higgs portals, ...)
- □ Fermion: (neutrino portal, ...)
- Any combination of the above

Typically we forget about gravity!

The upsides:

- Cannot be switched off
- Gravitational waves may provide brand new insights

## Thermalised Hidden Sectors

Phase transition must occur in a thermalised sector to produce observable GW signal

Temperature in hidden sector typically deviates from temperature of the SM thermal bath:

$$\xi_h \equiv \frac{T_h}{T_\gamma} = \left(\frac{g_{*s,\gamma}g_{*s,h}^{\mathrm{dec}}}{g_{*s,\gamma}^{\mathrm{dec}}g_{*s,h}}\right)^{1/3}$$

## Thermalised Hidden Sectors

Phase transition must occur in a thermalised sector to produce observable GW signal

Temperature in hidden sector typically deviates from temperature of the SM thermal bath:

$$\xi_h \equiv \frac{T_h}{T_\gamma} = \left(\frac{g_{*s,\gamma}g_{*s,h}^{\mathrm{dec}}}{g_{*s,\gamma}g_{*s,h}^{\mathrm{dec}}}\right)^{1/3}$$

Temperature ratio strongly affects GW spectrum

two effects

Stringent constraints on new thermalised keV/MeV relativistic degrees of freedom

## Gravitational waves from first-order phase transitions



Power-law integrated sensitivity derived from experimental noise curves [Thrane & Romano - 1310.5300]



Power-law integrated sensitivity derived from experimental noise curves [Thrane & Romano - 1310.5300]

## GW Stochastic Background First-order phase transitions

Phase transition controlled by effective potential:

 $V_{\text{eff}}(\phi, T) = V_{\text{tree}}(\phi) + V_{\text{loop}}(\phi) + V_{\text{thermal}}(\phi, T) + V_{\text{daisy-res.}}(\phi, T)$ 

## GW Stochastic Background First-order phase transitions

Phase transition controlled by effective potential:

 $V_{\text{eff}}(\phi, T) = V_{\text{tree}}(\phi) + V_{\text{loop}}(\phi) + V_{\text{thermal}}(\phi, T) + V_{\text{daisy-res.}}(\phi, T)$ 



Sourcing gravitational waves



#### Sourcing gravitational waves

### Nucleation temperature:

### $\Gamma(T_n) \sim H(T_n)$



#### Sourcing gravitational waves



#### Sourcing gravitational waves



 $\Omega_{\rm turb}$ : Plasma turbulence

## GW Stochastic Background Contributions to the spectrum

Runaway bubbles

Non-runaway bubbles



- 1.  $\Omega_{\phi}$ : Initial collision of bubble walls
- 2.  $\Omega_{sw}$ : Collision of sound-waves in the plasma
- 3.  $\Omega_{turb}$ : Plasma turbulence after sound wave collision

[Hindmarsh et al. - 1504.03291]

## GW Stochastic Background Contributions to the spectrum

Runaway bubbles

Non-runaway bubbles



#### Parametrising the spectrum



- **D** Broken-power law spectra  $\Omega_{GW}(f)$  from fitting simulations [Huber et al. - 0806.1828, Hindmarsh et al. - 1504.03291, Caprini et al. - 0909.0622]
- Assuming  $v_w \simeq 1$ : valid for strong transitions

#### Parametrising the spectrum



- **D** Broken-power law spectra  $\Omega_{GW}(f)$  from fitting simulations [Huber et al. - 0806.1828, Hindmarsh et al. - 1504.03291, Caprini et al. - 0909.0622]
- Assuming  $v_w \simeq 1$ : valid for strong transitions

### Scaling behaviour







## Cosmological Constraints



#### Three possibilities:

- Hidden sector remains coupled to photons or neutrinos
- Hidden sector decouples at early times
- Hidden sector decouples at early times but reequilibrates with neutrinos below  $T_{\nu}^{\text{dec}}$

# Cosmological Constraints $N_{\text{eff}}$ constraints

### Hidden sector thermalised with neutrinos:



# Cosmological Constraints $N_{\rm eff}$ constraints

#### Decoupled hidden sector:



# Cosmological Constraints $N_{\text{eff}}$ constraints

#### **Re-equilibration** with neutrinos:



# Cosmological Constraints $N_{\rm eff}$ constraints

#### **Re-equilibration** with neutrinos:



# Experimental Sensitivity to gravitational wave spectra









## Higgsed Dark-Photon Model

# Dark-Photon

### First-order phase transition induced by $U(1)_D$ gauge boson

[for space-based experimental sensitivity see Addazi & Marcianò - 1703.03248, Hashino et. al - 1802.02947]



Sensitivity to scenarios where:  $m_{A'} > m_{h_D}$ 



## Outlook

This talk

Temperature ratio and thermal history play important roles determining both cosmology constraints and GW signal

Sensitivity to models that are strongly constrained from cosmology

Work in progress Additional models with fewer DOFs

Automation of GW spectrum calculation through extensions of existing tools

## Additional Material

## Detectability Runaway bubbles





