# **Exotic Hadron Spectroscopy**

J. Brodzicka (Krakow) PIC08, Perugia

- What is exotic, what can be exotic?
- Spectroscopic opportunities of B-Factory
- Recently observed cc-like XYZ states
- New observations of (explicitly) exotic states
- Possible analogs of XYZ states in ss and bb systems
- Summary

**NA** 

- States beyond qq are not forbidden by QCD
- Some of them can have explicitly exotic properties:
  - J<sup>PC</sup> forbidden for quarkonia: 0<sup>+-</sup>, 1<sup>-+</sup>, 2<sup>+-</sup>...
  - Decay channels that cannot be constructed from initial qq quarks
- The others reveal unnatural properties (like small width)

### **Phenomenology menu of exotics:**

- Hybrids: c<u>c</u>+ constituent gluons
  - Can bear exotic J<sup>PC</sup>
  - D<u>D</u>\*\* final states dominate over D<u>D</u>, D<u>D</u>\*
  - Possible large partial widths for hadronic transitions (ψππ, ψω...)
  - Lowest cc-hybrids predicted by lattice QCD @4.2GeV
- Tetraquarks: diquark-antidiquark [cq][cq]
  - tightly bound by gluon exchange
  - decays: quark rearrangements followed by dissociation (hadronic transitions or open charm decays)
- Molecules: M(c<u>q</u>)M(<u>c</u>q)
  - loosely bound meson-antimeson state
  - bind through pion exchange
  - decays: dissociation into constituent mesons



Q

**Exotic states** 



## Many c<u>c</u>-like states reported so far...

State	EXP	M + i Γ (MeV)	$\mathbf{J}^{PC}$	Decay Modes Observed	Production Modes Observed
X(3872)	Belle,CDF, DO, Cleo, BaBar	3871.2 <u>±</u> 0.5 + i(<2.3)	1++	π⁺π⁻J/Ψ, π⁺π⁻π⁰J/Ψ, ƳJ/Ψ	B decays, ppbar
	Belle BaBar	3875.4 <u>+</u> 0.7 <sup>+1.2</sup> <sub>-2.0</sub> 3875.6 <u>+</u> 0.7 <sup>+1.4</sup> -1.5		D <sup>o</sup> D <sup>o</sup> n <sup>o</sup>	B decays
Z(3930)	Belle	3929±5±2 + i(29±10±2)	2++	D <sup>0</sup> D <sup>0</sup> , D⁺D⁻	۲۲
Y(3940)	Belle BaBar	3943 <u>±11±13</u> + i(87±22±26) 3914.3 <sup>+3.8</sup> - <sub>3.4</sub> ±1.6+ i(33 <sup>+12</sup> - <sub>8</sub> ±0.60)	J++	ωJ/ψ	B decays
X(3940)	Belle	3942 <sup>+7</sup> -6±6 + i(37 <sup>+26</sup> -15±8)	J <sup>₽</sup>	DD*	e⁺e⁻ (recoil against J/ψ)
Y(4008)	Belle	4008±40 <sup>+72</sup> -28 + i(226±44 <sup>+87</sup> -79)	1	π⁺π⁻Ј/ψ	e⁺e⁻ (ISR)
X(4160)	Belle	4156 <sup>+25</sup> - <sub>20</sub> ±15+ i(139 <sup>+111</sup> - <sub>61</sub> ±21)	J <sup>₽</sup>	D*D*	e⁺e⁻ (recoil against J/ψ)
Y(4260)	BaBar Cleo Belle	$\begin{array}{l} 4259 \pm 8^{+8} _{-6} + i(88 \pm 23^{+6} _{-4}) \\ 4284^{+17} _{-16} \pm 4 + i(73^{+39} _{-25} \pm 5) \\ 4247 \pm 12^{+17} _{-32} + i(108 \pm 19 \pm 10) \end{array}$	1	π⁺π⁻J/ψ, π⁰π⁰J/ψ, Κ⁺Κ⁻J/ψ	e⁺e⁻ (ISR), e⁺e⁻
Y(4350)	BaBar Belle	4324±24 + i(172±33) 4361±9±9 + i(74±15±10)	1	π⁺π⁻ψ(2S)	e⁺e⁻ (ISR)
Z⁺(4430)	Belle	4433±4±1+ i(44 <sup>+17</sup> -13 <sup>+30</sup> -11)	٦P	π⁺ψ(2S)	B decays
Y(4620)	Belle	4664±11±5 + i(48±15±3)	1	π⁺π⁻ψ(2S)	e⁺e⁻ (ISR)

E. Eichten QWG -- 5th International Workshop on Heavy Quarkonia DESY October 17-20, 2007

## Many c<u>c</u>-like states reported so far...

State	EXP	М + і Г (MeV)	J <sup>PC</sup>	Decay Modes Observed	Production Modes Observed	
X(3872)	Belle,CDF, DO, Cleo, BaBar	3871.2 <u>±</u> 0.5 + i(<2.3)	1++	π⁺π⁻ፓ/ψ, π⁺π⁻π⁰ፓ/ψ, Υፓ/ψ	B decays, ppbar	
	Belle BaBar	3875.4 <u>+</u> 0.7 <sup>+1.2</sup> <sub>-2.0</sub> 3875.6 <u>+</u> 0.7 <sup>+1.4</sup> -1.5		D <sup>o</sup> D <sup>o</sup> n <sup>o</sup>	B decays	
Z(3930)	Belle	3929 <u>±</u> 5±2 + i(29±10±2)	2**	D <sup>0</sup> D <sup>0</sup> , D⁺D⁻	ΥΥ	
Y <b>(3940)</b>	В	re they ordinary	I N <b>r</b>	exotic states	•	B decays
X(3940)		<b>_</b> _	· (recoil against J/ψ)			
Y(4008)	but their properties are unusual					e⁺e⁻ (ISR)
X(4160)						· (recoil against J/ψ)
Y(4260)	B <mark>aban</mark> Cleo Belle	$4284^{17}_{-16} \pm 4 + i(73^{+39}_{-25}\pm 5)$ $4247\pm 12^{+17}_{-32} + i(108\pm 19\pm 10)$	1	π⁺π⁻J/ψ, π⁰π⁰J/ψ, Κ⁺Κ⁻J/ψ		e⁺e⁻ (ISR), e⁺e⁻
Y(4350)	BaBar Belle	4324±24 + i(172±33) 4361±9±9 + i(74±15±10)	1	π⁺π⁻ψ(2S)	e⁺e⁻ (ISR)	
Z⁺(4430)	Belle	4433±4±1+ i(44 <sup>+17</sup> -13 <sup>+30</sup> -11)	٦Þ	π⁺ψ(2S)	B decays	
Y(4620)	Belle	4664 <u>±</u> 11±5 + i(48 <u>±</u> 15±3)	1	π⁺π⁻ψ(2S)	e⁺e⁻ (ISR)	

E. Eichten QWG -- 5th International Workshop on Heavy Quarkonia DESY October 17-20, 2007

### **Experiments**

#### • Collaborative efforts of:









• I will focus on the most fertile: B-Factories

### J. Brodzicka @ PICO8 **Production of cc** (-like) states in B-Factories

 B meson decays: B→Xcc K<sup>(\*)</sup> (BF~10<sup>-3</sup>)



•  $e^+e^-$  annihilation: double c<u>c</u> production  $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi Xcc$ 



e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> radiative return (ISR) e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> $\rightarrow\gamma_{ISR}X_{c\underline{c}}\rightarrow\gamma_{ISR}\psi\pi\pi$  $\downarrow^{\gamma_{ISR}}\pi^{\pi}\pi^{\pi}\psi^{\gamma^{*}}\psi^{\gamma^{$ 

•  $\gamma\gamma$  collision e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> $\rightarrow\gamma\gamma\rightarrow$ Xc<u>c</u> $\rightarrow$ D<u>D</u>



Clean environments to search for new states and study properties of known states

### PRL100, 142001 (2008) **Observation of Z<sup>+</sup>(4430)** $\rightarrow \psi$ 'T<sup>+</sup>

- $B \rightarrow \psi' \pi^+ K$  (K=K<sup>-</sup>,K<sup>0</sup><sub>s</sub>) studied with 657M B<u>B</u>
- $\psi' \rightarrow l^+l^-$ ,  $J/\psi \pi^+\pi^- J/\psi \rightarrow l^+l^-$  where  $l=e,\mu$
- Clear signals in  $M_{bc}$  and  $\Delta E$
- K\* regions excluded to study  $\psi' \pi^+$
- M(ψ'π<sup>+</sup>) fit: Breit-Wigner + Phase Space like function





Z(4430) signal is robust:



- Z(4430) is not a reflection, parameters of Z stable
- too narrow to be produced by interferences between Кп partial waves



 $\begin{array}{ll} \mathsf{M} = 4433 \pm 4 \pm 2 \ \mathsf{MeV} & \Gamma = 45 \begin{array}{c} +18 \\ -13 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} +30 \\ -13 \end{array} \ \mathsf{MeV} \end{array} \\ \mathsf{BF}(\mathsf{B} \rightarrow \mathsf{KZ}) \ast \mathsf{BF}(\mathsf{Z} \rightarrow \psi' \pi^+) = (4.1 \pm 1.0 \pm 1.4 \ ) \ast 10^{-5} \end{array} \\ \mathsf{Statistics too low to determine J^P} \\ \overline{\mathsf{First candidate for a charged cc-like state!}} \\ \underline{\mathsf{Must be exotic!}} \end{array} \\ \end{array}$ 

#### **Proposed interpretations:**

- [cu][<u>cd</u>] tetraquark with  $J^{P}=1^{+}$ (radial excitation of X(3872)) neutral partner expected in  $\psi'\pi^{0}/\eta$ ,  $\eta_{c}'\rho^{0}/\omega$
- D\*<u>D</u>1(2420) threshold effect
- D\*<u>D</u><sub>1</sub>(2420) molecule with J<sup>P</sup>=0<sup>-</sup>, 1<sup>-</sup>, 2<sup>-</sup> decay to D\*<u>D</u>\*п expected

Maiani, Polosa hep-ph/0708.3997

Rosner PRD 76, 114002(2007)

Meng, Chao hep-ph/0708.4222

Z(4430) signal is robust:



- Z(4430) is not a reflection, parameters of Z stable
- too narrow to be produced by interferences between Кп partial waves



#### $M = 4433 \pm 4 \pm 2 \text{ MeV} \quad \Gamma = 45 \begin{array}{c} +18 \\ -13 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} +30 \\ -13 \end{array} \text{MeV}$

 $\begin{array}{l} BF(B \rightarrow KZ)^*BF(Z \rightarrow \psi'\pi^+) = (4.1 \pm 1.0 \pm 1.4 \ )^*10^{-5} \\ Statistics too low to determine J^P \\ \hline First candidate for a charged cc-like state! \end{array}$ 

Must be exotic!

Will trigger studies of other  $B \rightarrow (c\underline{c})\pi^+K$  decays to search for similar exotics!

**Proposed interpretations:** 

- [cu][<u>cd</u>] tetraquark with  $J^{P}=1^{+}$ (radial excitation of X(3872)) neutral partner expected in  $\psi'\pi^{0}/\eta$ ,  $\eta_{c}'\rho^{0}/\omega$
- D\*<u>D</u>1(2420) threshold effect
- D\*<u>D</u><sub>1</sub>(2420) molecule with J<sup>P</sup>=0<sup>-</sup>, 1<sup>-</sup>, 2<sup>-</sup> decay to D\*<u>D</u>\*п expected

Maiani, Polosa hep-ph/0708.3997

Rosner PRD 76, 114002(2007)

Meng, Chao hep-ph/0708.4222



hep-ex/0806.4098 to be submitted to PRD

# $\underline{B}^{0} \longrightarrow X_{C1} \Pi^{+} K^{-} study. More Z's?$

- $\underline{B}^0 \rightarrow \chi_{c1} \pi^+ K^-$  studied with 657M B<u>B</u>
- $\chi_{c1} \rightarrow J/\psi\gamma J/\psi \rightarrow e^+e^-, \mu^+\mu^-$
- Signal identified using  $M_{bc,} \Delta E$  and  $M(J/\psi \gamma)$
- Dalitz-plot analysis of  $\underline{B}^0 \rightarrow \chi_{c1} \pi^+ K^-$





- $\underline{B}^0 \rightarrow \chi_{c1} \pi^+ K^-$  amplitude: coherent sum of Breit-Wigner contributions
- M( $\chi_{c1}\pi$ ), M(K $\pi$ ) used to describe the reaction angular variables:  $\theta\chi_{c1}$ ,  $\theta_{J/\psi}$ ,  $\varphi\chi_{c1}$ ,  $\varphi_{J/\psi}$ integrated out in the analysis
- Binned maximum likelihood fit performed
- Models tried: known  $K^* \rightarrow K\pi$  only;

K\*'s + one  $Z \rightarrow \chi_{c1} \pi^+$ ; K\*'s + two Z states

### <u>B</u><sup>0</sup> $\rightarrow$ X<sub>c1</sub>π<sup>+</sup>K<sup>-</sup> Dalitz plot analysis: no Z

Null model: all known low-lying K<sup>-</sup>π<sup>+</sup> resonances only:
 κ, K\*(892), K\*(1410), K<sub>0</sub>\*(1430), K<sub>2</sub>\*(1430), K\*(1680), K<sub>3</sub>\*(1780)



### <u>B</u><sup>0</sup> $\longrightarrow$ X<sub>c1</sub>π<sup>+</sup>K<sup>-</sup> Dalitz plot analysis: one Z

• Single Z model: all known low-lying K<sup>-</sup>π<sup>+</sup> resonances and one  $Z \rightarrow \chi_{c1}\pi^+$  exotic resonance ( $J_Z=0$ )



M<sub>Z</sub>= 4150+31-16 MeV Γ<sub>Z</sub>= 352+99-43 MeV f<sub>Z</sub>= 33.1+8.7-5.8%

Significance: 10.7σ (difference of -2InL for null and single Z models)

Fit CL: 0.1% only (from Toy MC study)



### <u>B</u><sup>0</sup> $\rightarrow$ X<sub>c1</sub>π<sup>+</sup>K<sup>-</sup> Dalitz plot analysis: two Z's

 Double Z model: all known low-lying K<sup>-</sup>π<sup>+</sup> resonances and two Z<sub>1,2</sub>→χ<sub>c1</sub>π<sup>+</sup> exotic resonances (J<sub>1,2</sub>=0) M<sub>1</sub> =



$$\begin{split} M_1 &= (4051 \pm 14^{+20}_{-41}) \,\mathrm{MeV}/c^2, \\ \Gamma_1 &= (82^{+21+47}_{-17-22}) \,\mathrm{MeV}, \\ M_2 &= (4248^{+44+180}_{-29-35}) \,\mathrm{MeV}/c^2, \\ \Gamma_2 &= (177^{+54+316}_{-39-61}) \,\mathrm{MeV}, \end{split}$$

$$f_1 = (8.0^{+3.8+9.5}_{-2.2-4.2})\%$$
  
$$f_2 = (10.4^{+6.1+51.5}_{-2.3-0.7})\%$$

Significance: 5.7σ (difference of -2InL for double and single Z models) Fit CL: 40% (from Toy MC study)



(Х<sub>с1</sub>П<sup>+</sup>





## $\underline{B}^{0} \longrightarrow X_{c1}\Pi^{+}K^{-}: other models$

	Model	Significance	One $Z^+$ vs.	Significance
		of one $Z^+$	two $Z^+$	of two $Z^+$
1	default (see text)	$10.7 \sigma$	$5.7 \sigma$	$13.2 \sigma$
2	no $\kappa$	$15.6 \sigma$	$5.0\sigma$	$16.6 \sigma$
3	no $K^*(1410)$	$13.4 \sigma$	$5.4 \sigma$	$14.8 \sigma$
4	no $K_0^*(1430)$	$10.4 \sigma$	$5.2 \sigma$	$14.4 \sigma$
<b>5</b>	no $K^*(1680)$	$13.3 \sigma$	$5.6 \sigma$	$14.8\sigma$
6	no $K_3^*(1780)$	$12.9\sigma$	$5.6 \sigma$	$14.4 \sigma$
7	add non-res. contribution	$9.0 \sigma$	$5.3\sigma$	$10.3 \sigma$
8	add non-res. contribution, no $K^*(1410)$	$11.3 \sigma$	$5.1 \sigma$	$13.5 \sigma$
9	add non-res. contribution, no $K^*(1680)$	$11.4 \sigma$	$5.3\sigma$	$13.7 \sigma$
10	add non-res. contribution, no $K_3^*(1780)$	$10.8 \sigma$	$5.4 \sigma$	$13.2 \sigma$
11	add non-res. contribution, release constraints on $\kappa$ mass & width	$9.5 \sigma$	$5.3\sigma$	$10.7 \sigma$
12	add non-res. contribution, new $K^*$ (J=1)	$7.7 \sigma$	$5.4\sigma$	$9.2\sigma$
13	add non-res. contribution, new $K^*$ (J=2)	$6.2\sigma$	$5.6\sigma$	$8.1\sigma$
14	LASS parametrization of S-wave component	$13.1 \sigma$	$5.7 \sigma$	$14.6 \sigma$

- Including new K\* doesn't give good description. The Z contribution(s) still necessary/significant
- Fit results taken into account in systematic errors and Z significance



## $Z^+_{1,2} \rightarrow X_{C1}\Pi^+$ exotic states

- Model with two Z's significantly favored by data
- Spin of Z<sub>1,2</sub> not determined: J=0 and J=1 hypotheses result with comparable fit qualities
- Non-zero charge suggests multiquark interpretation



**M(χ**<sub>c1</sub>Π<sup>+</sup>) for 1<M<sup>2</sup>(K<sup>-</sup>Π<sup>+</sup>)<1.75GeV

- fit for null model
- —— fit for double Z model
- **Z**<sub>1</sub> contribution
- ----- Z<sub>2</sub> contribution

$$M_{1} = (4051 \pm 14^{+20}_{-41}) \text{ MeV}/c^{2},$$

$$\Gamma_{1} = (82^{+21+47}_{-17-22}) \text{ MeV},$$

$$M_{2} = (4248^{+44+180}_{-29-35}) \text{ MeV}/c^{2},$$

$$\Gamma_{2} = (177^{+54+316}_{-39-61}) \text{ MeV},$$

$$\phi_{Z_{2}^{+}} - \phi_{Z_{1}^{+}} = 1.7^{+0.2}_{-0.3},$$

$$f_{1} = (8.0^{+3.8+9.5}_{-2.2-4.2})\%$$

$$f_{2} = (10.4^{+6.1+51.5}_{-2.3-0.7})\%$$

$$\mathcal{B}(\overline{B}^{0} \to K^{-}Z_{1}^{+}) \times \mathcal{B}(Z_{1}^{+} \to \pi^{+}\chi)$$

$$(2.4^{+1.5+3.7})$$

$$\mathcal{B}(\overline{B}^0 \to K^- Z_2^+) \times \mathcal{B}(Z_2^+ \to \pi^+ \chi_{c1}) =$$

$$(4.0^{+2.3+19.7}_{-0.9-0.5}) \times 10^{-5}.$$

# cc-like example: X(3872)

X(3872)→J/ $\psi$ n<sup>+</sup>n<sup>-</sup> observed in B<sup>+</sup>→X(3872)K<sup>+</sup> by Belle

Confirmed by BaBar, CDF, D0

20/NeV/c 2  $N = 35.7 \pm 6.8$ DØ Run II Preliminary 110 pb<sup>-1</sup> M(ππ) > 500 MeV/c 678 ± 99 y(2S) X(3872) Mass: 3685.67 ± 0.08 (stat) MeV/c ψ(2S) 152M BB o: 3.41 ± 0.09 (stat) MeV/d 2 2000 704 ± 67 Candidates Candidates Mass: 3871.4 ± 0.7 (stat) MeV/ (Eivacl) 4.3 MaV/ 800 1500 600 1000 of Number 400 500 0.7 0.8 3.86 3.92 \* Candidates [GeV/c<sup>2</sup>] M(.I/ w ππ) (GeV) 3.5 3.75 4.25 4.5 4.75  $M(J/\psi \pi^*\pi^-) - M(J/\psi)$  [GeV/c<sup>2</sup>] M(J/ψπ<sup>+</sup>π<sup>-</sup>

- $m_X = 3871.2 \pm 0.5 \text{ MeV} m_X (m_{D^{*0}} + m_{D^0}) = -0.6 \pm 0.6 \text{MeV} \Gamma < 2.3 \text{MeV}$
- $M(\pi^+\pi^-)$  suggests  $X(3872) \rightarrow J/\psi \rho$  (S- or P-wave)
- Other decay modes:  $J/\psi\gamma$ ,  $J/\psi\omega$ ,  $D\underline{D}\Pi$ no  $X \rightarrow D\underline{D}$  found
- J<sup>PC</sup>= 1<sup>++</sup>, 2<sup>-+</sup> favored (from angular analysis by Belle/CDF, M(π<sup>+</sup>π<sup>-</sup>), decay modes)



PRL91, 262001 (2003)

### What is X(3872) **P**

#### cc? No obvious assignment

#### D<sup>0</sup><u>D</u>\*0 molecule?

#### Braaten et al. hep-ph/0710.5482

m<sub>x</sub>≈m<sub>D\*0</sub>+m<sub>D0</sub> not accidental Favors DDn over J/ψnn **Non-trivial line shape Production in B<sup>o</sup> suppressed** in regard to B<sup>+</sup>



Maiani, Polosa et al. 4-quark? PRD 71, 014028 (2005) X<sub>u</sub> [uc][<u>uc</u>] X<sub>d</sub> [dc][<u>dc</u>] **Different mass of X produced** in B<sup>0</sup> and B<sup>+</sup> Finding charged X is critical (no evidence so far)



#### PRD71, 031501 (2005)



#### M(J/ψπ⁻π⁰)

BELLE-CONF-0711 PRD77, 111101(2008)

### X(3872) in B<sup>+</sup> and B<sup>0</sup> decays

- Study of X(3872) $\rightarrow$ J/ $\psi \pi^+\pi^-$  in B<sup>+</sup> $\rightarrow$ XK<sup>+</sup> and B<sup>0</sup> $\rightarrow$ XK<sup>0</sup><sub>s</sub>
- After M<sub>bc</sub> and ΔE selection:



 $\delta M_X = M(X \text{ from } B^+) - M(X \text{ from } B^0) = 0.22 \pm 0.90 \pm 0.27 \text{ MeV}$ 



 $\delta M_X = (2.7 \pm 1.6 \pm 0.4) \,\mathrm{MeV}/c^2$ 

 $R^{0/+} = 0.41 \pm 0.24 \pm 0.05$ 

• Similar properties of X(3872) from B<sup>+</sup> and B<sup>0</sup> decays

# $X(3872) \rightarrow D^0 D^{*0} / D^0 D^0 T (?)$

• Belle:  $B^+ \rightarrow \underline{D}^0 D^0 \pi^0 K$  (447M B<u>B</u>) BaBar:  $B^+ \rightarrow \underline{D}^{*0} D^0 K$  (383MB<u>B</u>)



 $M(X) = 3875.4 \pm 0.7^{+0.4}_{-1.7} \pm 0.9 MeV$ 

M(X)=3875.1<sup>+0.7</sup>-0.5 ±0.5MeV Γ=3.0<sup>+1.9</sup>-1.4 ± 0.9 MeV BR(X→D<sup>0</sup>D<sup>0</sup>π<sup>0</sup>)

- Mass ~4 $\sigma$  above M(X) for X $\rightarrow$ J/ $\psi$ пп
- Is this X(3872) or are there two states X(3872) and X(3875)?
- More precise measurement of mass/width/line shape needed

Maiani, Polosa et al. hep-ph/0707.3354  $X_u$  [uc][<u>uc</u>]→ <u>D</u><sup>0</sup>D<sup>0</sup>π<sup>0</sup> = X(3875) X<sub>d</sub> [dc][<u>dc</u>]→ J/Ψπ<sup>+</sup>π<sup>-</sup> = X(3872)  $BR(X \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+ \pi$ 

Belle PRL 94, 182002 (2005)

Babar hep-ex/0711.2047 submitted to PRL

- Study of  $B \rightarrow KJ/\psi \omega \omega \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$
- M<sub>bc</sub>, ΔE and M(π<sup>+</sup>π<sup>-</sup>π<sup>0</sup>) selection







 $BF(B \rightarrow KY) * BF(Y \rightarrow J/\psi\omega) =$   $^{Belle} (7.1 \pm 1.3 \pm 3.1) * 10^{-5}$   $^{Babar} (4.9 \pm 1.0 \pm 0.5) * 10^{-5}$ 

mass/width discrepancy needs further study

- Y(3940) above D<u>D</u> threshold but has large c<u>c</u> transition
- Candidate for c<u>c</u>-gluon hybrid? (but hybrids predicted >4GeV)
- Re-scattering  $D\underline{D}^* \rightarrow J/\psi \omega$ ?

### J. Brodzicka @ PIC08 Double cc production: $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi X_{cc}$

PRL 98, 082001 (2007)

- Factory of 0<sup>++</sup> and 0<sup>-+</sup> charmonia
- Method: reconstruct  $J/\psi$ , study recoil mass igodot $M_{\text{recoil}}(J/\psi) = \sqrt{(E_{\text{cm}}-E_{J/\psi})^2 - p^2_{J/\psi}}$
- **Surprises:**

below DD: cc states with large x-sections O(10-20fb) above DD: new state X(3940)



 $N = 266 \pm 63 (5\sigma)$ M=3936±14 MeV **Γ=39±26 MeV** one state or more?

e

e

BF(X(3940)→J/ψω)<26% @90%CL <u>nlikely that X(3940) is Y(3940)</u> but not excluded

Method has limitation:  $\sigma \sim 30$  MeV; recoil system not reconstructed

J/ψ

### J. Brodzicka @ PIC08 PRL100, 202001 (2008) $X(3940) \rightarrow D\underline{D}^* and X(4160) \rightarrow D^*\underline{D}^*$

Reconstruct  $J/\psi$  and one  $D^{(*)}$ , associated  $D^{(*)}$  seen as peak in  $M_{recoil}(J/\psi D^{(*)})$ 



 Possible assignments: η<sub>c</sub>(3S) η<sub>c</sub>(4S) (but X masses ~100-150MeV above predictions for η<sub>c</sub>'s)

PRL 95, 142001 (2005) for 232fb-1

PRL 98, 212001 (2007) for 298fb-1

- ISR gives access to J<sup>PC</sup>=1<sup>--</sup> states
- Hard photon emission suppressed, 'compensated' by high luminosity of B-factory





Y(4260)→J/ψππ M=4259 ± 8<sup>+2</sup><sub>-6</sub> MeV Γ=88 ± 23 <sup>+6</sup><sub>-4</sub> MeV

Y(4360)→ψ′ππ M=4324 ± 24 MeV Γ =172 ± 33 MeV

### Y family through ISR





PRD74, 091104 (2006) PRL 96, 162003 (2006) for 13pb<sup>-1</sup>@4.26GeV

PRL 99, 182004 (2007)

- Study of  $e^+e^- \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+\pi^- \gamma_{ISR}$  (548 fb<sup>-1</sup>)
- $J/\psi \rightarrow ee$ ,  $\mu\mu + \pi\pi$ ; no extra tracks
- ISR photon is not detected
- Missing mass used to identify process
- Fit to M(J/ψππ) with two coherent Breit-Wigners
- Y(4260) confirmed
- Y(4008) resonance? Re-scattering from DD\*? Coupled-channel effect?



Parameters	Solution I	Solution II		
M(R1)	$4008 \pm 40^{+114}_{-28}$			
$\Gamma_{\rm tot}(R1)$	$226 \pm 44 \pm 87$			
$\mathcal{B}\cdot \Gamma_{e^+e^-}(R1)$	$5.0 \pm 1.4^{+6.1}_{-0.9}$	$12.4 \pm 2.4^{+14.8}_{-1.1}$		
M(R2)	$4247 \pm 12^{+17}_{-32}$			
$\Gamma_{\rm tot}(R2)$	$108 \pm$	$19 \pm 10$		
$\mathcal{B}\cdot \Gamma_{e^+e^-}(R2)$	$6.0\pm1.2^{+4.7}_{-0.5}$	$20.6 \pm 2.3^{+9.1}_{-1.7}$		
$\phi$	$12\pm29^{+7}_{-98}$	$-111\pm7^{+28}_{-31}$		



## $Y \rightarrow J/\psi \pi \pi V i a ISR$

## $Y \rightarrow \psi' \pi \pi via ISR$

#### PRL 99, 142002 (2007)

- Study of e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>→ψ'π<sup>+</sup>π<sup>-</sup> γ<sub>ISR</sub> (673 fb<sup>-1</sup>)
- ψ'→J/ψпп, J/ψ→ee, μμ + пп
- no additional tracks allowed
- γ<sub>ISR</sub> not detected
- Two significant peaks in M(ψ'ππ) : one close to Babar's Y(4360) but narrower



•  $M(\psi'\pi\pi)$  fitted with two coherent Breit-Wigners



### 1<sup>--</sup>Y states via ISR



- Y states above D<u>D</u> threshold but don't match well the peaks in D<sup>(\*)</sup>D<sup>(\*)</sup> x-sections
- Large widths for ψππ transition: unlike for conventional cc
- No c<u>c</u> assignments available in this mass region (too many 1<sup>-</sup> states observed)

#### **Other options:**

- Charm-meson threshold effects
- D<u>D</u><sub>1</sub> or D<sup>\*</sup><u>D</u><sub>0</sub> molecules
- cq<u>cq</u> tetraquarks
- ccg hybrid
   DD<sub>1</sub> mode should dominate
- Coupled-channel effects

## Exclusive D<sup>(\*)</sup>D<sup>(\*)</sup> x-sections with ISR



PRD 77, 011103 (2008) for 673fb<sup>-1</sup>

PRL 98, 092001 (2007) for 548fb<sup>-1</sup>

PRL 100, 062001 (2008) for 673fb<sup>-1</sup>

- D<u>D</u>\*, D\*<u>D</u>\* using partial reconstruction; D<u>D</u>, D<u>D</u>π: fully recon.
- Difficult interpretation in terms of resonances (many maxima/minima, model dependent coupled-channel and threshold effects...)



### Hadronic x-sections

- From CLEO: scan at 3.97-4.26GeV in 12 points
- Total hadronic x-section above DD from BES



### cc (-like) state of art

- We have added a few new states...
- Are they conventional cc? Do we understand them?





## X(2175) strange analog of Y(4260)P

X(2175)→ φ f<sub>0</sub>(980), φη (confirmed by BESII)



### Is there any <u>bb</u> analog of Y(4260)?

PRL100, 112001(2008)

Hou, PRD74, 017504 (2006)

- If bb follows the pattern in cc ,  $Y_b$  should exist:  $Y_b \rightarrow Y(nS) \pi$
- Use Y(5S) data: 21.7 fb<sup>-1</sup> collected at  $\sqrt{s}$ =10869MeV
- Study of dipion transitions:  $Y(mS) \rightarrow Y(nS)\pi^{+}\pi^{-}m > n Y(nS) \rightarrow \mu^{+}\mu^{-}n = 1,2,3$ Identified using:  $\Delta M = M(Y(mS)) - M(Y(nS)) = M(\mu\mu\pi\pi) - M(\mu\mu)$



### Large Y(5S) $\rightarrow$ Y(nS) TT Do we see Y<sub>b</sub>?

Process	Xsec(pb)	BF(%)	Г (MeV) 🖌
"Y(5S)"→Y(1S)ππ	1.61±0.10±0.12	0.53±0.03±0.05	0.59±0.04±0.09
"Y(5S)"→Y(2S)ππ	2.35±0.19±0.32	0.78±0.06±0.11	0.85±0.07±0.16
"Y(5S)"→Y(3S)ππ	1.44 <sup>+0.55</sup> <sub>-0.45</sub> ±0.19	$0.48^{+0.18}_{-0.15}\pm 0.07$	0.52 <sup>+0.20</sup> <sub>-0.17</sub> ±0.10

assuming Y(5S)@10.87GeV  $\sigma$ =0.302±0.015nb

Large Y(5S)→Y(nS)пп partial widths! For other bb: O(keV)
 Do not agree with hypothesis for pure bb state

bb	Γ(total)	<i>Γ(</i> Y(1S)ππ)	CC	Γ(total)	<b>Γ(</b> J/ψππ)
Y(2S)	32 KeV	6.0 KeV	ψ(2S)	337 KeV	107 KeV
Y(3S)	20 KeV	0.9 KeV	$\psi(3770)$	23 MeV	44 KeV
Y(4S)	20.5 MeV	1.8 KeV	$\psi(4040)$	80 MeV	<320 KeV @90%
"Y(5S)"	110 MeV	~0.5 MeV!!	$\psi(4160)$	103 MeV	<309 KeV @90%
			Y(4260)	83 MeV	<i>O</i> (>MeV)

- Is it Y<sub>b</sub>? Mixture of Y(5S) and Y<sub>b</sub>?
- Energy scan around Y(5S) (December 2007)
   ~7.9fb<sup>-1</sup> at 6 energy points. Results coming soon!

## Summary

- New charmonium spectroscopy @4GeV
- Candidates for exotic hadrons observed:  $Z^+(4430) \rightarrow \psi' \Pi^+ \quad Z_{1,2} \rightarrow \chi_{c1} \Pi^+$
- Many other states await understanding X(3872) Y(3940) Y-family...
- XYZ spectroscopy also in s and b quark sectors?