



### **Radiative and EWP B decays at B-factories**



#### Saurabh Sandilya

**University of Cincinnati** (On Behalf of the Belle/BaBar Collaboration)

#### **B**-factories



(Belle and BaBar) had a successful operational period with a total recorded sample over 1.5 ab<sup>-1</sup> (1.25 x 10<sup>9</sup> B-meson pairs).

- Observation of CPV in B meson system and confirmation of CKM picture.
- Still room for NP searches.

#### **Advantages**

- Very clean sample of quantum correlated B-meson pairs.
- Low background environment  $\rightarrow$  efficient reconstruction of neutrals ( $\pi^0$ ,  $\eta$ , ...)
- Dalitz plot analyses, missing mass analyses straight-forward.

#### Beauty 2018 | S. Sandilya

ntegrated Luminosity

1400

1200

1000

800

600

400

200

1999

2000

2001

Observation of

CP violation in

B-meson system

Observation of direct

CP violation in B  $\rightarrow \rho^+ \rho^-$ 

Observation of

 $b \rightarrow d\gamma$ 

Observation of

 $B \rightarrow K(*)II$ 

2002

2003

2004

Excess in

 $B \rightarrow D(^{*}) \tau$ 

Evidence for

D<sup>o</sup> mixing

Evidence for B→τν

Evidence for direct

CP violation in B  $\rightarrow$  K+ $\pi$ 

Measurements of mixing-induced

CP violation in B  $\rightarrow \varphi K_s, \eta' K_s, ...$ 

2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010

## Outline

#### Measurement of $B \rightarrow K^* \gamma$

- Isospin asymmetry,  $A_{CP}$  and BF measurements . Ο
- Phys. Rev. Lett. 119, 191802 (2017)



#### Obtained CP Violation parameters. Ο arXiv 1803.07774 (accepted in PRD)

#### Angular Analysis of $B \rightarrow K^* \ell^+ \ell^-$

Lepton Flavor dependent angular analysis. Phys. Rev. Lett. 118, 111801 (2017)

#### Search for the $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \tau^+ \tau^-$

Based on 471M  $B\overline{B}$  pairs from BaBar.

Phys. Rev. Lett. 118, 031802 (2017)

#### Search for $B \rightarrow h^{(*)}vv$

- Searched with Semi-leptonic tagging method.
- Phys. Rev. D 96, 091101(R) (2017)

#### Beauty 2018 | S. Sandilya

250

200

150

0.4 0.6 Е<sub>ЕСL</sub> (GeV)

0.8

0.2

 $B \rightarrow K^* \gamma$ 

• The decay  $B \rightarrow K^* \gamma$  proceeds dominantly via one loop electromagnetic penguin diagram so-called radiative penguin (b  $\rightarrow s\gamma$ ) transition.



- These diagrams are sensitive to the particles from the NP models, that can also enter in to the loop.
- Among  $b \rightarrow s\gamma$  transitions,  $B \rightarrow K^*\gamma$  is one of the most important channel:
  - Cleanest exclusive decay among  $B \rightarrow X_s \gamma$
  - Large BF: ~  $4 \times 10^{-5} \rightarrow$  about 12% of inclusive B  $\rightarrow X_s \gamma$
- The BFs give weak constraints on the NP as the SM predictions suffer from large uncertainties (~30 %) in the form factor.

#### Ratios with $B \rightarrow K^* \gamma$

- Ratios of BF cancels important uncertainties (including the form-factor related).
- CP asymmetry (A<sub>CP</sub>):

$$A_{CP} = \frac{\Gamma(\bar{B} \to \bar{K}^* \gamma) - \Gamma(B \to K^* \gamma))}{\Gamma(\bar{B} \to \bar{K}^* \gamma) + \Gamma(B \to K^* \gamma))}$$

• Isospin asymmetry ( $\Delta_{0+}$ ):

$$\Delta_{0+} = \frac{\Gamma(B^0 \to K^{*0}\gamma) - \Gamma(B^+ \to K^{*+}\gamma))}{\Gamma(B^0 \to K^{*0}\gamma) + \Gamma(B^+ \to K^{*+}\gamma))}$$

• Difference of CP asymmetry between charged and neutral B ( $\Delta A_{CP}$ ):

$$\Delta A_{CP} = A_{CP}(B^+ o K^{*+}\gamma) - A_{CP}(B^0 o K^{*0}\gamma)$$

( $\Delta A_{CP}$  will be useful to identify NP once  $A_{CP}$  is observed)

• Ratio of the B.Fs. :  $BF(B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}\gamma)/BF(B_s \rightarrow \phi\gamma)$ 

## Reconstruction of ${\rm B} \to {\rm K}^* \gamma$

- Four sub-decay modes:
  - $K^{*0}: K_s^0 \pi^0 K^+ \pi^-$
  - $K^{*+}: K_s^0 \pi^+ K^+ \pi^0$
- Self-tagging
- Signal Selection:
  - -0.2 GeV < ∆E < 0.1 GeV
  - $5.20 \text{ GeV/c}^2 < M_{bc} < 5.29 \text{ GeV/c}^2$
  - $|M_{K\pi} M_{K^*}| < 75 \text{ MeV/c}^2$
- Background Suppresion:
  - Continuum: Neural network with event shape variables
  - photon selection with  $\pi^0$  and  $\eta$  veto.
- Best candidate selection:
  - Number of candidates per event is
     1.16 with MC.
  - Random candidate selection.





 $M_{\rm bc}$  distribution summed four channels "with"  $M(K\pi)$  selection after  $\pi^0\eta$  veto

## Extraction of BF, $A_{CP}$ , $\Delta_{0+}$ , $\Delta A_{CP}$

- Unbinned maximum likelihood fit to M<sub>bc</sub> distributions:
  - Signal w/o  $\pi^0$  (w/  $\pi^0$ ) : Gaussian (Crystal Ball)
  - Cross-feed : ARGUS + Bifurcated Gaussian (the yield is proportional to signal yield)
  - Continuum bkg : ARGUS
  - BB bkg : ARGUS + Bifurcated Gaussian
- BF,  $A_{CP}$ ,  $\Delta_{0+}$  and  $\Delta A_{CP}$  is extracted in simultaneous fit performed to seven  $M_{bc}$  distributions with the likelihood:

$$\mathcal{L}(M_{\rm bc}|\mathcal{B}^{N}, \mathcal{B}^{C}, A_{CP}^{N}, A_{CP}^{C})$$

$$= \Pi \mathcal{L}^{K_{S}^{0}\pi^{0}}(M_{\rm bc}|\mathcal{B}^{N})$$

$$\times \Pi \mathcal{L}^{K^{-}\pi^{+}}(M_{\rm bc}|\mathcal{B}^{N}, A_{CP}^{N}) \times \Pi \mathcal{L}^{K^{+}\pi^{-}}(M_{\rm bc}|\mathcal{B}^{N}, A_{CP}^{N})$$

$$\times \Pi \mathcal{L}^{K^{-}\pi^{0}}(M_{\rm bc}|\mathcal{B}^{C}, A_{CP}^{C}) \times \Pi \mathcal{L}^{K^{+}\pi^{0}}(M_{\rm bc}|\mathcal{B}^{C}, A_{CP}^{C})$$

$$\times \Pi \mathcal{L}^{K_{S}^{0}\pi^{-}}(M_{\rm bc}|\mathcal{B}^{C}, A_{CP}^{C}) \times \Pi \mathcal{L}^{K_{S}^{0}\pi^{+}}(M_{\rm bc}|\mathcal{B}^{C}, A_{CP}^{C}),$$



## Result : BF (B $\rightarrow$ K\* $\gamma$ )

- New **Belle** result is consistent with the previous measurements
  - smaller (~10%) than BaBar [Phys. Rev. Lett.
     103, 211802 (2009)] result which dominated the PDG average.
- Also consistent with the theoretical predictions by Bharucha, Starub and Zwicky. [J. High Energy Phys. 08 (2016) 098.]
- Most precise measurement of the BF(B → K\*γ) and splits the difference between theory and experiment.

Belle measurement from 121 fb<sup>-1</sup> of data at  $\Upsilon(5S)$  for BF (B<sub>s</sub>  $\rightarrow \phi \gamma$ ) is used for the: BF(B<sup>0</sup>  $\rightarrow K^{*0}\gamma)/BF(B_s \rightarrow \phi \gamma) = 1.10 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.18$ 

**Belle** result is consistent with **LHCb** [Nucl. Phys. B 867, 1 (2013)], and theoretical predictions [Eur. Phys. J. C 55, 577 (2008)] and [Phys. Rev. D 88, 094004 (2013)]



## Result: $\Delta_{0+}$

• First evidence of isospin violation in  $b \rightarrow s$ transition with  $3.1\sigma$  significance.

 $\Delta_{0+} = (+6.2 \pm 1.5(\text{stat}) \pm 0.6(\text{sys}) \pm 1.2(f_{+-}/f_{00}))\%$ 

- Dominant uncertainties are statistical one and due to f<sub>+</sub>\_/f<sub>00</sub>.
- New Belle result is consistent with BaBar [Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 211802 (2009)], and also theoretical predictions within the SM by Kagan and Neubert [Phys. Lett. B 539, 227 (2002)] and Lyon and Zwicky [Phys. Rev. D 88, 094004 (2013)].



### Result: A<sub>CP</sub>

- New **Belle** results are most precise to date.
- Consistent with zero and previous measurements by BaBar [Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 211802 (2009)] and LHCb [Nucl. Phys. B 867, 1 (2013)].
- Consistent with theoretical predictions within the SM by Matsumori et al [Phys. Rev. D 72, 014013 (2005)] and Paul and Straub [arXiv:1608.02556].
- $\Delta A_{CP} = (+2.4 \pm 2.8 \pm 0.5)\%$  consistent with zero.



## Measurement of time-dep. CP asymmetries $B^0 \rightarrow K_S^0 \eta \gamma$

- Mixing-induced CP asymmetry in an exclusive  $b \rightarrow s\gamma$  CP eigenstate mode such as  $B^0 \rightarrow K^0_S \pi^0 \gamma$ ,  $B^0 \rightarrow K^0_S \eta \gamma$ ,  $B^0 \rightarrow K^0_S \rho^0 \gamma$  and  $B^0 \rightarrow K^0_S \phi \gamma$  is an excellent probe for particular class of NP scenario.
- In the SM, expected asymmetry  $|S_{CP}| \approx \frac{2m_s}{m_b} \sin(2\phi_1) \sim a$  few %.
- New physics with right handed current increases the fraction of right handed photon.
  - Interfere with the SM occurs and large TDCPV possible



#### Angular Analysis of $B \rightarrow K^* \ell^+ \ell^-$



- LHCb reported  $3.4\sigma$  deviation from a SM prediction in  $P_5'$  for  $4 < q^2 < 8 \text{ GeV}^2/c^2$ which was obtained from full angular analysis of  $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}\mu^+\mu^-$ .
- Global fit to radiative and EW penguin B decays gives Wilson coefficient C<sub>9</sub><sup>NP</sup> deviated about -1 from SM values
- Motivates to check lepton flavor dependence in angular analysis.



Descotes-Genon, Matias, and Virto <sup>C</sup><sup>№</sup> PRD 88 074002 (2013)

## **Result P**<sub>5</sub>': for Combined Data



- Measurements are compatible with the SM.
- Similar central values for the  $P_5'$  anomaly with 2.5 $\sigma$  tension.

#### Result - Separate Lepton Flavor!



- The Largest deviation in the muon mode with  $2.6\sigma$ .
- Electron mode is deviating with  $1.1\sigma$ .

#### Result - Separate Lepton Flavor!

- Test lepton flavor universality.
- Observables  $Q_i = P'_i^{\mu} P'_i^{e}$ . [JHEP 10, 075 (2016)]
- Deviation from zero very sensitive to NP.



- No significant deviation from zero is discerned.
- Q<sub>4</sub> and Q<sub>5</sub> observables in agreement with SM and favoring NP scenario.

#### Belle [Phys. Rev. Lett. 118, 111801 (2017)]

#### Global fits to $b \rightarrow s$

3

LFU observables  $b \rightarrow s \mu \mu$  global fit

1.0

all

0.5

Including  $P_i'$ ,  $Q_i$ ,  $b \rightarrow s\gamma$ ,  $R[K^{(*)}]$ ,  $B_s \rightarrow \mu\mu$ 



Capdevila, Crivellin, Descotes-Genon, Matias, and Virto JHEP 1801 (2018) 093

suggests  $C_{9\mu}^{NP} \approx -1.1$ 

Including  $R[K^{(*)}]$  and Belle's  $P_i'$ ,  $Q_i$ 



Altmannshofer, Stangl, and Straub Phys. Rev. D 96, 055008 (2017)

A combined fit singles out NP in the Wilson coefficient  $C^{\mu}_{q}$  as a possible explanation.

-0.5

 $\operatorname{Re} C^{\mu}_{\mathbf{o}}$ 

0.0

## Search for the ${\rm B^+}\!\rightarrow{\rm K^+}\;\tau^+\;\tau^-$

- The decay  $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \tau^+ \tau^-$  is the third family equivalent of  $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \ell^+ \ell^-$ ; may provide additional sensitivity to NP.
- An important potential contribution to this decay is from neutral Higgs boson couplings, where the lepton-lepton-Higgs vertices are proportional to the mass squared of the lepton.
- Analysis based on the 424 fb<sup>-1</sup> (471M  $B\overline{B}$  pairs) data sample at BaBar.
- Hadronic B-tagging technique is employed.  $(\tau^+ \to \mu^+ \nu_\mu \overline{\nu_\tau} \text{ and } \tau^+ \to e^+ \nu_e \overline{\nu_\tau})$



## Search for $B \to h^{(*)} \nu \nu$

- Search for  $\mathbf{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{h}^{(*)} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}$  at Belle, where  $\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{K}^+, \mathbf{K}^0_{s}, \mathbf{K}^{*+}, \mathbf{K}^{*0}, \pi^+, \pi^0 \rho^+, \rho^0$
- Proceeds via penguin or box diagrams:



- SM prediction for the BF ranges from  $1.2 \times 10^{-7}$  (B $\rightarrow \pi^0 \nu \nu$ ) to  $9.2 \times 10^{-6}$  (B $\rightarrow K^{*+}\nu \nu$ ). [A. Buras *et al.* J. High Energy Phys. 02 (2015) 184; C. Hambrock *et al.* Phys. Rev. D 92, 074020 (2015).]
- Experimentally challenging, tagging of companion B meson needed.
  - measured at **Belle** using Hadronic tagging [Phys.Rev.D87 111103 (2013)].
  - measured at BaBar utilizing both hadronic [Phys. Rev. D 87, 112005 (2013)] and semileptonic tagging [Phys. Rev. D 82, 112002 (2010)]
  - Semileptonic tagging in this analysis.

#### Belle [Phys. Rev. D 96, 091101(R) (2017)]

## Reconstruction of $B \rightarrow h^{(*)}vv$

- Semileptonic tagging for companion B (B<sub>tag</sub>):
  - Hierarchical reconstruction of  $B \rightarrow D^{(*)}\ell v$  using Neural Network.
  - 2 3 times efficient than hadronic tagging.
- B<sub>tag</sub> candidate is combined with the reconstructed signal (B<sub>tag</sub>) decay product to form an Υ(4S) candidate.
- No additional charged track,  $\pi^0$  or  $K_L^0$ .
- Continuum events are suppressed with the event shape variables.
- Signal extracted in extra (additional) energy in the calorimeter





## Results

- Signal Extraction:
  - Fit with template histograms.
  - Signal and Background (b→c, continuum, light quark pairs).
  - Relative fractions are fixed to MC values.
- No statistically significant signal yield observed.

Observed signal yield	Significance
$17.7 \pm 9.1 \pm 3.4$	$1.9\sigma$
$0.6\pm4.2\pm1.4$	$0.0\sigma$
$16.2 \pm 7.4 \pm 1.8$	$2.3\sigma$
$-2.0 \pm 3.6 \pm 1.8$	$0.0\sigma$
$5.6 \pm 15.1 \pm 5.9$	$0.0\sigma$
$0.2\pm5.6\pm1.6$	$0.0\sigma$
$6.2 \pm 12.3 \pm 2.4$	$0.3\sigma$
$11.9 \pm 9.0 \pm 3.6$	$1.2\sigma$
	17.7 $\pm$ 9.1 $\pm$ 3.4         0.6 $\pm$ 4.2 $\pm$ 1.4         16.2 $\pm$ 7.4 $\pm$ 1.8         -2.0 $\pm$ 3.6 $\pm$ 1.8         5.6 $\pm$ 15.1 $\pm$ 5.9         0.2 $\pm$ 5.6 $\pm$ 1.6         6.2 $\pm$ 12.3 $\pm$ 2.4         11.9 $\pm$ 9.0 $\pm$ 3.6

Belle [Phys. Rev. D 96, 091101(R) (2017)]



## **Upper Limits**

- Most stringent upper limits on BF[B  $\rightarrow$  h<sup>(\*)</sup>vv], where h<sup>(\*)</sup> = K<sup>+</sup>, K<sub>s</sub><sup>0</sup>, K<sup>\*0</sup>,  $\pi^+$ ,  $\pi^0$ ,  $\rho^+$ and  $\rho^0$ .
- Golden channel for Belle II.



#### Summary

- New measurement of  $B \rightarrow K^* \gamma$  performed.
  - First evidence for Isospin Violation in b  $\rightarrow$  s transition with  $3.1\sigma$  significance.
  - All the measurements are most precise to date.
  - Used to constrain new physics
- First Lepton Flavor dependent angular analysis of  $B \rightarrow K^* \ell^+ \ell^-$  performed.
  - Consistent with both SM and NP with  $C_{9u}^{NP} \approx -1.1$ .
- $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ \tau^- r^-$  is searched for the first time, using full dataset collected at BaBar. No significant signal is observed and UL is derived <  $2.25 \times 10^{-3}$  (90% CL).
- Search for  $B \rightarrow h^{(*)}vv$ 
  - Most stringent limits till date in most channels.
  - Close to SM prediction in K<sup>(\*)</sup> mode.
  - Golden channel for Belle II.

# Extra Slides

#### Full Angular Analysis





The observables are depended on  $q^2 = M_{\ell^+\ell^-}^2$ 

,

The differential decay rate for  $B \to K^* \ell^+ \ell^-$  can be written as

$$\frac{1}{\mathrm{d}\Gamma/\mathrm{d}q^2} \frac{\mathrm{d}^4\Gamma}{\mathrm{d}\cos\theta_L \,\mathrm{d}\cos\theta_K \,\mathrm{d}\phi \,\mathrm{d}q^2} = \frac{9}{32\pi} \left[ \frac{3}{4} (1 - F_L) \sin^2\theta_K + F_L \cos^2\theta_K \right] \\ + \frac{1}{4} (1 - F_L) \sin^2\theta_K \cos 2\theta_L \\ - F_L \cos^2\theta_K \cos 2\theta_L + S_3 \sin^2\theta_K \sin^2\theta_L \cos 2\phi \\ + S_4 \sin 2\theta_K \sin 2\theta_L \cos\phi + S_5 \sin 2\theta_K \sin\theta_L \cos\phi \\ + S_6 \sin^2\theta_K \cos\theta_L + S_7 \sin 2\theta_K \sin\theta_L \sin\phi \\ + S_8 \sin 2\theta_K \sin 2\theta_L \sin\phi + S_9 \sin^2\theta_K \sin^2\theta_L \sin 2\phi \right]$$

### Folding Procedure

$$P'_{4}, S_{4}: \begin{cases} \phi \to -\phi & \text{for } \phi < 0\\ \phi \to \pi - \phi & \text{for } \theta_{L} > \pi/2\\ \theta_{L} \to \pi - \theta_{L} & \text{for } \theta_{L} > \pi/2, \end{cases}$$

$$P'_{5}, S_{5}: \begin{cases} \phi \to -\phi & \text{for } \phi < 0\\ \theta_{L} \to \pi - \theta_{L} & \text{for } \theta_{L} > \pi/2, \end{cases}$$

- With a transformation of the angles, the dimension is reduced to three free parameters
- Each transformation remains three observables S<sub>j</sub>, F<sub>L</sub> and S<sub>3</sub>
- The observables

$$P_{i=4,5,6,8}' = \frac{S_{j=4,5,7,8}}{\sqrt{F_L(1-F_L)}},$$

are considered to be largely free from form-factor uncertainties (J. High Energy Phys. 05 (2013) 137).

Transverse polarization asymmetry

$$A_{T}^{(2)} = \frac{2S_{3}}{(1 - F_{L})}$$

## Result : $BF(B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}\gamma)/BF(B_s \rightarrow \phi\gamma)$

- Belle measurement from 121 fb<sup>-1</sup> of data at  $\Upsilon(5S)$  for BF (B<sub>s</sub>  $\rightarrow \phi \gamma$ ) is used. [Phys. Rev. D 91, 011101 (2015)]
- Only  $K^{*0} \rightarrow K^{+}\pi^{-}$  mode is used to cancel common systematics
- BF(B<sup>0</sup>  $\rightarrow$  K\*<sup>0</sup> $\gamma$ )/BF(B<sub>s</sub>  $\rightarrow$   $\varphi\gamma$ ): 1.10  $\pm$  0.16  $\pm$  0.09  $\pm$  0.18
- Belle result is consistent with LHCb [Nucl. Phys. B 867, 1 (2013)], and theoretical predictions by Ali, Pecjak and Greub [Eur. Phys. J. C 55, 577 (2008)] and Lyon and Zwicky [Phys. Rev. D 88, 094004 (2013)]



#### Result - Separate Lepton Flavor!

- Test lepton flavor universality.
- Observables  $Q_i = P'_i^{\mu} P'_i^{e}$ . [JHEP 10, 075 (2016)]
- Deviation from zero very sensitive to NP.



#### Time dependent CPV

- At Belle II, significant improvement in the determination of  $A_{CP}(t)$  in  $K_s \pi^0 \gamma$  is expected.
  - $\rightarrow$  Belle II vertex detector is larger than Belle (6cm  $\rightarrow$  11.5cm).
  - ightarrow 30% more Ks with vertex hits available.
  - $\rightarrow$  Effective tagging efficiency is 13% better (conservative estimation).
- Expected errors for **S** measurements of  $K_s \pi^0 \gamma$  and  $\rho^0 \gamma$ .

