#### Charm decays

#### Stefan de Boer



#### BEAUTY 2018 La Biodola, Isola d'Elba, Italy, 11.05.2018

#### From beauty to charm

ullet From Elba island to Novosibirsk:  $\sim$  6.000 km, two weeks

- ullet From Elba island to Novosibirsk:  $\sim$  6.000 km, two weeks
- Experimentally, *b*-machines are also charm-machines, see next talks
- Theoretically, adopt results from *b*-physics

   <u>but</u>, decays into *τ*-leptons suppressed/forbidden,
   1/m<sub>c</sub>-counting questionable, short-long distance behavior challenging, ...
- Presently, charm is little sister of beauty in the flavor family: Both can learn from each other, *e.g.*, complementary in BSM searches, charm represents uniquely up-type sector, insights into QCD from charm

Selection/recent developments

- Leptonic and semileptonic decays
- 2 Hadronic two-body decays
- 3 Rare decays
  - back to beauty

"determine SM parameters"

CKM matrix 
$$\begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{pmatrix}$$
,  
decay constants  $f_D$ , form factors  $f_+$  and  $f_0$ 

$$\mathsf{CKM} \text{ matrix } \begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{pmatrix},$$

decay constants  $f_D$ , form factors  $f_+$  and  $f_0$ 

• Singly-Cabibbo-suppressed decays: GIM-cancellation  $(V_{ud}V_{cd}^* + V_{us}V_{cs}^*) \ll 1$  and weak phases  $|V_{ub}V_{cb}^*| \ll 1$ 

$$\mathsf{CKM matrix} \begin{pmatrix} V_{ud} & V_{us} & V_{ub} \\ V_{cd} & V_{cs} & V_{cb} \\ V_{td} & V_{ts} & V_{tb} \end{pmatrix},$$

decay constants  $f_D$ , form factors  $f_+$  and  $f_0$ 

• 
$$\mathcal{A}(D \to I\nu) \sim V_{cq}^* \langle 0 | \bar{q} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_5 c | D(p') \rangle = V_{cq}^* [i p'_{\mu} f_D]$$
  
•  $\mathcal{A}(D \to P I \nu) \sim V_{cq}^* \langle P(p) | q \gamma_{\mu} c | D(p') \rangle =$   
 $V_{cq}^* \left[ f_+(q^2) \left( (p'+p)_{\mu} - \frac{m_D^2 - m_P^2}{q^2} q_{\mu} \right) + f_0(q^2) \frac{m_D^2 - m_P^2}{q^2} q_{\mu} \right],$   
 $q^2 = (p'-p)^2$ 

•  $f_0(q^2)$  suppressed in charm decays

## Form factors

#### Interplay of experiments and lattice QCD.



precise, in agreement with lattice QCD, recently,  $(N_f=2+1+1)$  [ETM: 1706.03017].

 $f_0$  only from lattice QCD.

	$f_D$ [MeV]	$f_{D_s}$ [MeV]
HFLAV 2016 (exp)	203.7(4.9)	257.1(4.6)
FLAG 2016 $(N_f = 2 + 1 + 1)$	212.15(1.45)	248.83(1.27)

Experiments (assuming CKM unitarity) and lattice QCD compatible at  $2\sigma$ .

Recent  $(N_f = 2 + 1)$  [RBC/UKQCD: 1701.02644],  $(N_f = 2 + 1 + 1)$ [Fermilab Lattice/MILC: 1712.09262] computations with individual uncertainties similar to 2016 averaged ones.

QCD sum rule calculations compatible, with larger uncertainties, e.g.,  $f_D = (208 \pm 10) \text{ MeV}$ ,  $f_D = (240 \pm 10) \text{ MeV}$ [Wang: 1506.01993]. "test the SM"

with  $D \rightarrow P_1 P_2$ ,  $P_{1,2} = \pi$ , K. For singly-Cabibbo-suppressed decays:  $\mathcal{A} = \lambda_{sd} A_{sd} - \frac{\lambda_b}{2} A_b$ ,  $\lambda_q = V_{cq}^* V_{uq}$ ,  $\lambda_{sd} = \frac{\lambda_s - \lambda_d}{2}$  $SU(3)_F$ -symmetry relates different decay modes. with  $D \rightarrow P_1 P_2$ ,  $P_{1,2} = \pi, K$ .

For singly-Cabibbo-suppressed decays:  $\mathcal{A} = \lambda_{sd} A_{sd} - \frac{\lambda_b}{2} A_{b}, \qquad \lambda_q = V_{cq}^* V_{uq}, \ \lambda_{sd} = \frac{\lambda_s - \lambda_d}{2}$  $SU(3)_F$ -symmetry relates different decay modes.

Branching ratios, *here*, [Nierste et al: 1503.06759, 1508.00074, 1506.04121, 1708.03572]:

- Topological amplitudes + diagrammatic SU(3)<sub>F</sub>-breaking
- Fit to branching ratio data  $+ 1/N_c$  input
- Results: SU(3)<sub>F</sub>-limit excluded by more than 5σ. Around 30% SU(3)<sub>F</sub>-breaking in decay amplitudes sufficient.

## Hadronic two-body decays

**CP** asymmetries:  $a_{CP}^{dir} = \operatorname{Im} \frac{\lambda_b}{\lambda_{sd}} \operatorname{Im} \frac{A_b}{A_{sd}} \qquad (\operatorname{Im} \frac{\lambda_b}{\lambda_{sd}} \simeq -6 \times 10^{-4})$ 

- $|A_{sd}|$  from branching ratio fit
- CP asymmetries require additional combinations of amplitudes, not provided by branching ratio fit
   but, sum rules correlate different CP asymmetries eliminating these combinations
- Two strategies:
  - Falsify SM with sum rules, or clean predictions
  - Discover CP-violation in charm: large SM predictions favored

Also,  $A_{CP}(D^+ \to \pi^+\pi^0) \simeq 0$  from isospin sum rules, *e.g.*, [Grossmann et al: 1204.3557], compatible with  $a_{CP}^{dir} = +0.0231 \pm 0.0124 \pm 0.0023$  [Belle: 1712.00619]

### Hadronic two-body decays

#### Discover CP-violation in charm:

• 
$$D^0 \rightarrow K_s K_s$$
:  $|a_{CP}^{dir}| \leq 1.1\%$  [Nierste et al: 1508.00074]  
from sizable tree level exchange, and since  $A_{sd} = 0$  in  
 $SU(3)_F$ -limit while  $A_b \neq 0$ .  
Experimentally,  $A_{CP} = -0.0002 \pm 0.0154$ , statistical  
uncertainty dominant [Belle: 1705.05966], see Giulia's poster for  
LHCb measurement.

• 
$$D \rightarrow K_s K^{*0}$$
:  $|a_{CP}^{dir}| \leq 0.3\%$  [Nierste et al: 1708.03572]  
Experimentally favored (charged tracks from prompt  $K_s K^{*0}$  decay, Dalitz plot analysis, no flavor tagging required), first study [LHCb: 1509.06628].

Many more works, *e.g.*, [Brod et al: 1203.6659, Hiller et al: 1211.3734, Khodjamirian et al: 1706.07780]. Progress in lattice QCD [Hansen et al: 1204.0826].

- Decay constants and D → P form factors precisely known from experiments and (recent) lattice QCD computations with competing uncertainties.
- Sizable SU(3)<sub>F</sub>-breaking in two-body hadronic decays from branching ratio fit.
- SM CP asymmetries can be  $\sim 1\%$  in  $D^0 \to K_s K_s$  and  $D \to K_s K^{*0}$ .

#### "search BSM physics"

SM anatomy - perturbative:

• Lagrangian  $\mathcal{L}_{eff}^{weak} \sim \sum_i C_i P_i$  and operators, *e.g.*,

$$\begin{split} P_{2(1)} &\sim (\bar{u}_L \gamma_\mu (T^a) q_L) (\bar{q}_L \gamma^\mu (T^a) c_L) \,, \\ P_7^{(\prime)} &\sim (\bar{u}_{L(R)} \sigma^{\mu\nu} c_{R(L)}) F_{\mu\nu} \,, \\ P_{9(10)} &\sim (\bar{u}_L \gamma_\mu c_L) (\bar{\ell} \gamma^\mu (\gamma_5) \ell) \,. \end{split}$$

Two-step matching  $(m_W, m_b)$ , light quarks (b, s, d) in loops.

(Effective) Wilson coefficients C<sub>i</sub><sup>(eff)</sup> known at same order as in *b*-physics [Greub et al: 9603417, Fajfer et al: 0209250, SdB et al: 1606.05521, 1707.00988].

SM anatomy -  $c \rightarrow u$  characteristics:

•  $C_{10}\simeq 0$  (broken by, e.g., electromagnetic effects)

SM anatomy -  $c \rightarrow u$  characteristics:

- $C_{10} \simeq 0$  (broken by, e.g., electromagnetic effects)
- Largest contribution to  $C_{7,9}^{\text{eff}}$  [SdB: 1707.00988]:



Figure: Diagrams for heavy to light quark transitions at two loop QCD. The boxes denote operator insertions of  $P_{1/2}$ . The crosses indicate the emission of a photon, which may then couple to a lepton pair.

Calculation valid for arbitrary momentum transfer and also for *b*-decays.

Stefan de Boer

Charm decays

SM anatomy - from partons to hadrons:



Resonances evade GIM-mechanism, dominate branching ratios, uncertain, *e.g.*, [Feldmann et al: 1705.05891].

#### SM anatomy - from partons to hadrons:



Resonances evade GIM-mechanism, dominate branching ratios, uncertain, *e.g.*, [Feldmann et al: 1705.05891].

But, SM features of rare charm decays:

- "Resonance-catalyzed" observables [Fajfer et al: 1208.0759]
- SM weak phases are small
- Symmetries of QCD, QED

Where to search for (heavy) BSM physics:

- Windows in branching ratios, e.g., at high  $q^2$
- Null tests based on (approximate) symmetries
- Extract SM contribution from SM-dominated modes and use SU(3)<sub>F</sub>

Where to search for (heavy) BSM physics:

- Windows in branching ratios, e.g., at high  $q^2$
- Null tests based on (approximate) symmetries
- Extract SM contribution from SM-dominated modes and use SU(3)<sub>F</sub>

with different decays/observables to probe SM, sort  $\mathsf{BSM}$  models

Figure: Comparison of short-distance spectrum sensitivities to different Wilson coefficient in  $D^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$ 



 $D^0 
ightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$  :

- Strongest constraints on difference of (pseudo)scalar Wilson coefficients from  $\mathcal{B}_{exp} < 6.2 \times 10^{-9}$  [LHCb: 1305.5059]
- SM branching ratio commonly estimated orders of magnitude below  $\mathcal{B}_{exp}$  [Burdman et al: 0112235, Fajfer et al: 0104236, Paul et al: 1008.3141]
- $\mathcal{B}_{BSM} \lesssim \mathcal{B}_{exp}$ , e.g., two Higgs doublet and leptoquark models [Burdman et al: 0112235, Golowich et al: 0903.2830, Paul et al: 1008.3141, 1212.4849, Wang et al: 1409.0181, SdB et al: 1510.00311, Fajfer et al: 1510.00965]

 $e^+e^- \to D^{0^*:}$  Probes (axial)vector Wilson coefficients,  $\mathcal{B}_{\rm SM} \sim 10^{-18}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}_{Z'} < 2.5 \times 10^{-11}$  [Khodjamirian et al: 1509.07123]

## SM null tests

for  $c \to u\ell\ell^{(\prime)}$  induced decays, e.g.,  $D \to P\ell\ell$ ,  $D \to PP\ell\ell$ :

- CP-asymmetries  $A_{CP}^{SM} \sim \frac{\mathrm{Im}\lambda_b}{\lambda_s} \sim 10^{-3}$
- Angular distributions, *e.g.*, dilepton forward-backward asymmetry; involve C<sub>10</sub>, (pseudo)scalar and tensor operators, all suppressed in SM

## SM null tests

for  $c \to u\ell\ell^{(\prime)}$  induced decays, e.g.,  $D \to P\ell\ell$ ,  $D \to PP\ell\ell$ :

- CP-asymmetries.
- Angular distributions.
- Lepton-universality, ratios muons/electrons equal one
   + percent [Fajfer et al: 1510.00965]; experimentally, same cuts
   required, electrons less constrained, e.g., [BESIII: 1802.09752]
- Lepton-flavor-violation, also quarkonium decays; absent in SM [Burdman et al: 0112235, SdB et al: 1510.00311, Hazard et al: 1607.00815, 1711.05314].
- Decays into neutrinos vanish in SM; also probe dark matter [Burdman et al: 0112235, Badin et al: 1005.1277, Paul et al: 1212.4849, SdB et al: 1510.00311, Belle: 1611.09455].

#### .. within BSM models

model	A <sub>CP</sub>	A <sub>FB</sub>	
Leptoquark models	$\gtrsim {\cal A}_{CP}^{ m SM}$	$\lesssim 8  imes 10^{-1}$	
Little Higgs model	$\lesssim \mathcal{O}(10^{-3})$	$\lesssim \mathcal{O}(5 imes 10^{-3})$	
Minimal SUSY SM	$\lesssim \mathcal{O}(10^{-3})$	$\lesssim \mathcal{O}(10^{-1})$	
Up vector-like quark singlet	-	$\lesssim 10^{-3}$	
Warped extra dimension	$\lesssim \mathcal{O}(10^{-2})$	$\lesssim \mathcal{O}(5 imes 10^{-2})$	
Z' boson	-	$\sim$ 0	
SM	$< \mathcal{O}(10^{-3})$	$\sim 0$	

[Fajter et al: 9805461, 0106333, 0511048, 0610032, 0706.1133, 0810.4858, 1510.00965, Burdman et al: 0112235, Paul et al: 1101.6053, 1212.4849, Bigi et al: 1110.2862, Delaunay et al: 1207.0474, Cappiello et al: 1209.4235, Wang et al: 1409.0181, SdB et al: 1510.00311, Guo et al: 1703.08799, Sahoo et al: 1705.02251]

#### Example: "Resonance-catalyzed" CP asymmetry

Scalar leptoquark (3,3,-1/3) + flavor pattern (inspired by *b*-decays [de Medeiros Varzielas et al: 1503.01084]) + constraints from Kaon decays  $(SU(2)_L)$ 



probes  $C_9^{\text{BSM}}$  independent of strong phases  $(\pi/2, \pi, 0, 3/2\pi)$  around  $\phi$ 

- $c 
  ightarrow u \gamma$  induced decays:
  - Branching ratios dominated by long-distance effects, uncertain [Burdman et al: 9502329, Khodjamirian et al: 9506242, Fajfer et al: 9705327, 9801279, 0012116, 0209250, Dimou et al: 1212.2242, SdB et al: 1701.06392, Biswas et al: 1702.05059, Dias et al: 1711.09924].

 $B_c \to B_u^* \gamma$  and  $\Gamma(D^0 \to \rho^0 \gamma) / \Gamma(D^0 \to \omega \gamma)$  could be modes to search for BSM physics [Fajfer et al: 9901252, 0006054].

• CP-asymmetries [Isidori et al: 1205.3164, Lyon et al: 1210.6546, SdB et al: 1701.06392].

$$A_{CP}^{
m SM} < \mathcal{O}(10^{-3})$$
,  $A_{CP}^{
m BSM} \lesssim 10\%$ ,

 $A_{CP}^{\exp}(D^0 \to \rho^0 \gamma) = 0.056 \pm 0.152$ , statistical uncertainty dominant [Belle: 1603.03257].

Photon polarization,  $C'_7/C_7$  (following *b*-analyses [Gronau et al:

0107254, 0205065, 1704.05280, Hiller et al: 0108074, Müheim et al: 0802.0876, Kou et al: 1011.6593, 1604.07708, 1802.09443, LHCb: 1402.6852]).

Photon polarization,  $C_7'/C_7$  (following *b*-analyses [Gronau et al: 0107254, 0205065, 1704.05280, Hiller et al: 0108074, Müheim et al: 0802.0876, Kou et al: 1011.6593, 1604.07708, 1802.09443, LHCb: 1402.6852]):

• Time-dependent analysis: Relate SM-dominated  $\bar{D}^0 \rightarrow \bar{K^*}^0 \gamma$  to  $\bar{D}^0 \rightarrow (\rho^0/\omega, \phi) \gamma$  using data +  $SU(3)_F$ and extract BSM contribution [Lyon et al: 1210.6546, SdB et al: 1802.02769]

Photon polarization,  $C'_{7}/C_{7}$  (following *b*-analyses [Gronau et al: 0107254, 0205065, 1704.05280, Hiller et al: 0108074, Müheim et al: 0802.0876, Kou et al: 1011.6593, 1604.07708, 1802.09443, LHCb: 1402.6852]):

- Time-dependent analysis: Relate SM-dominated  $\overline{D}^0 \rightarrow \overline{K^*}^0 \gamma$  to  $\overline{D}^0 \rightarrow (\rho^0/\omega, \phi)\gamma$  using data +  $SU(3)_F$ and extract BSM contribution [Lyon et al: 1210.6546, SdB et al: 1802.02769]
- Up-down asymmetry:  $D \rightarrow \bar{K}_1(\rightarrow \bar{K}\pi\pi)\gamma$ , experimentally, no dependence on strong phases between  $C_7$  and  $C'_7$ , heavier resonances phase space suppressed, but *D*-tagging required [SdB et al: 1802.02769]

Photon polarization,  $C'_{7}/C_{7}$  (following *b*-analyses [Gronau et al: 0107254, 0205065, 1704.05280, Hiller et al: 0108074, Müheim et al: 0802.0876, Kou et al: 1011.6593, 1604.07708, 1802.09443, LHCb: 1402.6852]):

- Time-dependent analysis: Relate SM-dominated  $\overline{D}^0 \rightarrow \overline{K^*}^0 \gamma$  to  $\overline{D}^0 \rightarrow (\rho^0/\omega, \phi)\gamma$  using data +  $SU(3)_F$ and extract BSM contribution [Lyon et al: 1210.6546, SdB et al: 1802.02769]
- Up-down asymmetry:  $D \rightarrow \bar{K}_1(\rightarrow \bar{K}\pi\pi)\gamma$ , experimentally, no dependence on strong phases between  $C_7$  and  $C'_7$ , heavier resonances phase space suppressed, but *D*-tagging required [SdB et al: 1802.02769]
- Look into the future: Photon forward-backward asymmetry in  $\Lambda_c o p\gamma$  [SdB et al: 1701.06392]

- BSM physics links flavor sectors.
- The structure of charm flavor-changing-neutral-current transitions allows to uniquely probe the SM and BSM physics with many decays and observables - despite branching ratios being dominated by long-distance effects.
- Not to forget: Rare charm decays may help to improve our understanding of QCD/check theoretical frameworks.
- The little sister of beauty is growing up: Rare charm decays at the level of rare b-decays back twenty years.
- Many experiments, *e.g.*, Belle (II), BESIII, LHCb and theoretical works ongoing, *e.g.*, [SdB, Hiller:  $D \rightarrow PP\ell\ell$ , to appear].

# CKM elements $V_{cd}$ and $V_{cs}$

Figure: From leptonic decays, HPQCD 11/10B for semileptonic decays. Update of FLAG 2016 including [RBC/UKQCD: 1701.02644].



Uncertainties one order of magnitude larger than from CKM unitarity.

Recently, ( $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$ ) computations [ETM: 1706.03657, Fermilab

Lattice/MILC: 1712.09262].

While lattice is including QED effects non-perturbatively, more precise experimental measurements are needed for CKM elements from leptonic decays.

 $D \rightarrow V$  and  $\Lambda_c \rightarrow N$  form factors

 $D \rightarrow V$  form factors:

- Experimental results for  $D \to \rho$  [CLEO-c: 1112.2884],  $D \to \omega$ [BESIII: 1508.00151] and  $D \to K^*$  [BESIII: 1512.08627]
- Lattice QCD [Flynn et al: 9710057, UKQCD: 0109035]
- Light-cone sum rules [Wu et al: 0604007]

Mostly, older results, at  $q^2 = 0$ , with large uncertainties and not fully compatible.

Recently,  $\Lambda_c \rightarrow N$  form factors from lattice QCD [Meinel: 1712.05783].

• 
$$D^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^-$$
?

Helicity suppressed, misidentification from  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha m_D^2/m_e^2)$ enhanced  $D^0 \rightarrow e^+ e^- \gamma$  with soft photons [Fajfer et al: 0209250].

• 
$$D^{0^*} \rightarrow e^+ e^-$$
?  
No helicity suppression, but  $D^{0^*}$  decays  
strongly/electromagnetically (for  $D^{0^*} \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$  also  
misidentification from  $D^{0^*} \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-$ ).

$$ullet$$
  $e^+e^- o D^{0*}!$  [Khodjamirian et al: 1509.07123]