

The 27th International Workshop on Weak Interactions and Neutrinos

Electroweak and Higgs







Nadia Pastrone



Bari - June 3st, 2019

WIN2019 - June 3, 2019

Nadia Pastrone



Outline

LHC results after the end of Run2 @ \sqrt{s} = 13 TeV

- $\checkmark\,$ LHC and experiments: ATLAS and CMS
- ✓ Electroweak measurements
- ✓ Higgs physics
- ✓ Future prospects



Conclusions and outlook for Run3 and HL-LHC

LHC @ 13 TeV

- In Run 2 (2015-18) the LHC set important milestones:
 - Demonstrated reliable operation with 6.5 TeV beams
 - Exploited **25** ns bunch spacing to operate with **>2500 bunches**
 - Reached design luminosity $\mathcal{L}_{IP1/5} = 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$... and doubled it!
 - Delivered 160 fb⁻¹ to ATLAS and CMS, 6.7 fb⁻¹ to LHCb and 33 pb⁻¹ to ALICE





LHC operations @ 13 TeV



Efficiency similar to CERN LEP (1990-2000) in spite of more complex machine, larger stored beam energy, etc.

- Robust machine configuration
- Efficient and optimized operational procedures and tools

→ Exploited to push the luminosity

- Stronger focusing at the interaction points: β^* down to 30 cm (design was 55 cm)
- Usage of high-brightness beams from the injectors (BCMS)
- Operational usage **luminosity leveling** (separation, crossing angle and β^* down to 25 cm!)

→ Luminosity leveling in ATLAS and CMS routinely used in operation during 2018

Nadia Pastrone



Sketch of the ATLAS detector

44m



Pile-up - trigger

- Total inelastic pp cross section ~80 mb
- Multiple events overlap with the main hard interaction and several vertices are reconstructed in the detectors
- Maximum pileup of ~60 events until now

Run 1 event with ~20 pileup vertices



 Mitigation measures adopted to reduce the effect of PU on the measurement of the main interaction



Mean Number of Interactions per Crossing



Luminosity measurement

- The luminosity enters all cross section measurements and its uncertainty is in some cases the dominant uncertainty
- ATLAS and CMS relies on different detectors
- Calibration of luminometers is carried out with VdM scans
- Beams are separated by the LHC in known steps



- Normalization: uncertainties from luminosity calibration in the VdM scan procedure: 2.1%
- Integration: uncertainties from the detector operations
- Total uncertainty for 2018: 2.5%

Nadia Pastrone

L dt

 $N_{events} = \sigma$

CMS-PAS-LUM-18-002

2018 data taking

LHC+HL-LHC schedule



Cross section of SM processes





https://atlas.web.cern.ch/Atlas/GROUPS/PHYSICS/PUBNOTES/ATL-PHYS-PUB-2019-010/

March 2019

Nadia Pastrone

Electroweak measurements

- Precision EW measurements at the LHC:
 - M_{W,}
 - Differential W and Z (+jets) cross sections and asymmetries and sin² ϑ_W
 - Di-, tri-boson production and anomalous couplings
- All measurements are mainly based on Z and W production and their leptonic decays to ℓ where $\ell = e, \mu$
 - $Z \rightarrow \ell \ell$
- $W \rightarrow \ell v$ CMS Experiment at the LHC, CERN $Z \rightarrow \mu\mu$ event Data recorded: 2015-Oct-30 19:23:54.631552 GMT 0424 / 211873064 / Nadia Pastrone





W mass

pp collisions 139±2 fb⁻¹ @ \sqrt{s} = 13 TeV

• Need very good control of detector performance

EPJC 78 (2018) 110

- Understanding of theoretical modelling and related measurements e.g. ${\rm p}_{\rm tW}$
- Template fits are performed to the lepton p_t and transverse mass distributions





pp collisions 139±2 fb⁻¹ @ \sqrt{s} = 13 TeV



 $m_W = 80370 \pm 19 \text{ MeV} = 80370 \pm 7 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 11 \text{ (exp. syst.)} \pm 14 \text{ (mod. syst.)} \text{ MeV}$

Nadia Pastrone

sin² ϑ_w measurement

• Forward-backward (FB) asymmetry in Drell Yan process using $Z \rightarrow \mu\mu$ and $Z \rightarrow ee @ 8$ TeV:



$$A_{\rm FB} = \frac{\sigma_{\rm F} - \sigma_{\rm B}}{\sigma_{\rm F} + \sigma_{\rm B}}$$



→ FB asymmetry related to interference of vector and axial currents and sensitive to $\sin^2 \vartheta_W$ though the axial and vector components of the lepton couplings



 $\sin^2 \vartheta_{eff} = 0.23101 \pm 0.00053$ (0.00036 (stat) ± 0.00018 (syst) ± 0.00016 (th) ± 0.00031 (PDF)

sin²ປ_w measurement



- ATLAS measures all the Z boson decay angular coefficients, A_0 to A_7 with $Z \rightarrow \mu\mu$ and Z->ee (both central and one central, one forward)
- A₄ originates from the Z axial and vector currents and is sensitive to $\sin^2 \vartheta_w$



JHEP 08 (216) 159

Expect to reach a precision of ~0.00015 or better at HL-LHC



M_W vs sin²ဗိ_{eff} lept



Di-boson: cross section ratio to theory



Higher statistical power @ 13 TeV → no longer a limitation Theory predictions updated to latest NNLO calculations

Nadia Pastrone

Z differential measurements

do/dφ'

CMS-PAS-SMP-17-010

- Differential distributions of Z production with 2016 data
- Y, pT and ϕ^* of the l⁺l⁻ system
- Compared with several MC generators
 - MINLO
 - aMC@NLO
 - **POWHEG**
 - FEWZ

$$\phi^* = \tan\left(rac{\pi - \Delta\phi}{2}
ight) \sin(heta_\eta^*)$$

 $\cos(heta_\eta^*) = \tanh(rac{\eta^- - \eta^+}{2}),$

CMS Preliminary 35.9 fb⁻¹ (13 TeV) CMS Preliminar 35.9 fb⁻¹ (13 TeV 역 500 dσ lηl<2.4, p_>25 GeV aMC@NLO/Data 🔸 Data dlv^{i†} ⁵⁰⁽ μ, λρ/ορ 400 – aMC@NLO POWHEG FEWZ POWHEG/Data 300 200 FEWZ/Data 100 |hl<2.4, p_>25 GeV 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 1.2 1.4 1.6 1.8 2.0 2.2 2.4 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 1.2 1.4 1.6 1.8 2.0 lv^rí 0/qd] [⊥]_{j,⊥}dp/Ωp aMC@NLO/I ---- MINLO - aMC@NLO 0.8 POWHEG/Data lηl<2.4, p_>25 GeV 1.2 25 1 (20 0.8 15 MINLO/Data 1.2 10 1.0 0.8 10² 10³ 10² 1 10 10 p_^{r⁺r} [GeV] p____[GeV] aMC@NLO/I aMC@NLO --- POWHEG POWHEG/Data |ηl<2.4, p_>25 GeV 0.8 MINLO/Data 0.8 10-3 10-2 10⁻¹ 10^{-3} 10⁻² 10^{-1} 10 φ* (l⁺l⁻)

10³

Diboson differential measurements

• From single bosons to di-bosons using WW \rightarrow µvev events:



arXiv:1905.04242

Measure fiducial and differentia cross sections



Anomalous couplings related to dim 6 operators in EFT approach arXiv:1205.4231

Parameter	Observed 95% CL [TeV ⁻²]
c_{WWW}/Λ^2	[-3.4, 3.3]
c_W/Λ^2	[-7.4, 4.1]
c_B/Λ^2	[-21,18]
$c_{ ilde WWW}/\Lambda^2$	[-1.6, 1.6]
$c_{ ilde W}/\Lambda^2$	[-76 , 76]

All measurements in agreement with SM -> limits on anomalous couplings derived

... and tri-bosons

 ATLAS, using 80 fb⁻¹ (2015-2017) sees evidence of triboson production WWW, WWZ (4 sigma observed)



Search in several decay channels: WWW, WWZ, WZZ with leptons



Top physics

- Heaviest quark
- Very short lifetime, no top hadrons
- Top mass Yukawa coupling order 1, large effect in the global electroweak fits
- LHC is a top factory: @ 13 TeV CM energy, ~800 pb
- ➔ 40M tt pairs produced per experiment in 2018
- Top decays almost always
- into a real W and a b quark
- According to W decay: leptonic, semileptonic and fully hadronic
- Very clean signature
- for leptonic and semi-leptonic decays, leptons plus b-tagged jets
 - b-tagging important

@ LHC tt is mainly produced through gg fusion:





Nadia Pastrone

top-pair production cross-section

LHC + Tevatron measurements



Direct top mass measurement

 $\times 10^3$ CMS

80



- Direct mass measurements (MC mass)
 - Recostruction of top decays in fully leptonic, semileptonic and fully hadronic channels



CMS measurement at 13 TeV

35.9 fb⁻¹ (13 TeV)

- Largest systematic uncertainties
 - **Color reconnection models** (more models than in Run 1)
 - Flavour jet energy response



Direct top mass measurement



- Direct mass measurements (MC mass)
 - Recostruction of top decays in fully leptonic, semileptonic and fully hadronic channels

Recent ATLAS combination of all direct measurements in Run 1



Top pole mass measurement

Direct mass measurements can be interpreted as pole mass measurement (pole of propagator)

- MC mass relation with pole mass still under study, difference due to non perturbative effects estimated to be ~0.5-1 GeV
- Using the tt production cross section, inclusive and differential, the pole mass and running mass in the MS bar scheme can be determined
 ATLAS



Consistent results between direct and indirect measurements

tt differential measurements and mass



Single top production also measured



Ratio (PDF) 1.66 ± 0.02 (stat) ± 0.05 (syst)

27

ttV measurements

Several tV and ttV measurements carried out by ATLAS and CMS Very important to probe couplings of the top with photon and Z boson



Four top production (tttt)

- Spectacular process with 4 top quarks in the final state
- Searches in single lepton, double lepton same and opposite sign, triple lepton



nd opposite sign, triple lepton

ATLAS PRD 99, 052009 (2019)



Full Run 2 dataset used



 CMS: same sign dileptons and three leptons, significance 2.6 σ (2.7 σ expected)
 29

ATLAS: significance 2.8 σ (1.0 σ expected)

WIN2019 – June 3, 2019

Nadia Pastrone



Higgs boson discovery



The Royal Swedish Academy of Sciences has decided to award the Nobel Prize in Physics for 2013 to

François Englert

Université Libre de Bruxelles, Brussels, Belgium

Peter W. Higgs

"for the theoretical discovery of a mechanism that contributes to our understanding of the origin of mass of subatomic particles, and which recently was confirmed through the discovery of the predicted fundamental particle, by the ATLAS and CMS experiments at CERN's Large Hadron Collider"



Main Higgs production processes



SM Higgs boson decays



Decay channel	Branching ratio [%]
$H \rightarrow b \bar{b}$	57.5 ± 1.9
$H \rightarrow WW$	21.6 ± 0.9
$H \rightarrow gg$	8.56 ± 0.86
$H \to \tau \tau$	6.30 ± 0.36
$H \rightarrow c \bar{c}$	2.90 ± 0.35
$H \rightarrow ZZ$	2.67 ± 0.11
$H ightarrow \gamma \gamma$	0.228 ± 0.011
$H \rightarrow Z\gamma$	0.155 ± 0.014
$H \rightarrow \mu \mu$	0.022 ± 0.001

expected Higgs boson's natural width: 4 MeV << experimental resolution

Higgs boson mass measurement

- ✓ SM cross sections and decay BRs depend on the mass
- ✓ The mass is measured using high resolution channels:
 - $H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4I$ small systematic uncertainties compared to current statistical ones
 - $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ more important systematic uncertainties and effect of interference



Run 1, ATLAS and CMS combined M_H = 125.09 ± 0.24 [± 0.21 (stat) ± 0.11(syst)] GeV Still used as common reference measurement

WIN2019 – June 3, 2019

Nadia Pastrone

Mass measurement @ 13 TeV



SM fits to all EW precision measurements

Global EW fit

Measurement

M_H

Mw Γ_{W} Indirect determination

- Fundamental parameters of SM measured at the LHC:
 - M_w, M_t, M_H, sin²ປໍ_w
- Used in SM fits to all EW precision measurements



Gfitter EPJC 78, 675 (2018)

2018: observation of ttH

- Several channels are combined:
 - − $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$, $H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4I$: cleanest channel
 - Multilepton (H→WW, ZZ, ττ): simultaneous fit of ttH, ttW and ttZ (important BG)
 - − $H \rightarrow bb$: : tt+HF background is constrained from data



ttH results

ATLAS significance

PLB 784 (2018) 173

Analysis	Integrated	tīH cross	Obs.	Exp.	
	luminosity [fb ⁻¹]	section [fb]	sign.	sign.	
$H \to \gamma \gamma$	79.8	710_{-190}^{+210} (stat.) $_{-90}^{+120}$ (syst.)	4.1σ	3.7σ	
$H \rightarrow$ multilepton	36.1	$790 \pm 150 \text{ (stat.)} ^{+150}_{-140} \text{ (syst.)}$	4.1σ	2.8σ	
$H \rightarrow b \bar{b}$	36.1	400_{-140}^{+150} (stat.) ± 270 (syst.)	1.4σ	1.6σ	
$H \to Z Z^* \to 4\ell$	79.8	<900 (68% CL)	0σ	1.2σ	
Combined (13 TeV)	36.1-79.8	$670 \pm 90 \text{ (stat.)} ^{+110}_{-100} \text{ (syst.)}$	5.8σ	4.9σ	
Combined (7, 8, 13 TeV)	4.5, 20.3, 36.1–79.8	_	6.3σ	5.1σ	
		PRL 120 (2018) 2318	301 ^{5.}	1 fb ⁻¹ (7 TeV) + 19.	7 fb ⁻¹ (8 TeV) + 35.9 fb ⁻¹ (13 TeV)
<i>ATLAS</i> → Total √s = 13 TeV, 36.1 - 79.8 fb ⁻¹	Stat. Syst. SM		CMS		● Observed — ±1σ (stat ⊕ syst) ±1σ (syst)
	Total Stat. Syst.	tīH(WW*)			—— ±2σ (stat ⊕ syst)
tťH (bb)	$0.79 \pm \begin{smallmatrix} 0.61 \\ 0.60 \end{smallmatrix} \ (\ \pm \begin{smallmatrix} 0.29 \\ 0.28 \end{smallmatrix} \ , \pm 0.53 \)$				
tīH (multilepton)	$\mathbf{H} \qquad 1.56 \pm \begin{smallmatrix} 0.42 \\ 0.40 \end{smallmatrix} (\pm \begin{smallmatrix} 0.30 \\ 0.29 \end{smallmatrix} , \pm \begin{smallmatrix} 0.30 \\ 0.27 \end{smallmatrix})$	tīH(ZZ*)			
tīH (γγ)	$1.39 \pm \begin{smallmatrix} 0.48 \\ 0.42 \end{smallmatrix} \ (\ \pm \ \begin{smallmatrix} 0.42 \\ 0.38 \end{smallmatrix} \ ,\ \pm \ \begin{smallmatrix} 0.23 \\ 0.17 \end{smallmatrix} \)$	tī̈H(γγ)	_		
tīH (ZZ) 🖌	< 1.77 at 68% CL	tīH(\u03c6+\u03c6-\u03c6)			
Combined	$1.32 \pm \begin{smallmatrix} 0.28 \\ 0.26 \end{smallmatrix} \ (\pm 0.18 \; , \pm \begin{smallmatrix} 0.21 \\ 0.19 \end{smallmatrix})$	tīH(bb)		•	
	2 3 4	7+8 TeV			
	$\sigma_{ttH}^{}/\sigma_{ttH}^{SN}$	13 TeV	_		
	CMS	significance: Combined			
	5.2 σ	(exp. 4.2 σ)	-1 0	1 2	3 4 5 6 7 $\mu_{r\bar{r}\mu}$

ttH, $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ with full Run 2 data



>=1 lepton e or mu + 1 btagged jet

Fully hadronic No e nor mu + 1 btagged jet + 2 jets



 $\sigma_{t\bar{t}H} \times B_{\gamma\gamma} = 1.59^{+0.43}_{-0.39} \text{ fb} = 1.59^{+0.38}_{-0.36} \text{ (stat.)} {}^{+0.15}_{-0.12} \text{ (exp.)} {}^{+0.15}_{-0.11} \text{ (theo.)} \text{ fb}$ $\mu_{t\bar{t}H} = 1.38 {}^{+0.41}_{-0.36} = 1.38 {}^{+0.33}_{-0.31} \text{ (stat.)} {}^{+0.13}_{-0.11} \text{ (exp.)} {}^{+0.22}_{-0.14} \text{ (theo.)}$

Significance 4.9 σ (expected 4.2 σ)

2018: observation of H \rightarrow bb

- Production process with highest sensitivity: VH, H \rightarrow bb
- All W,Z leptonic decays exploited



Higgs coupling to 2nd generation fermions

- No conclusive results yet, first channel with sensitivity reaching SM prediction $H \rightarrow \mu \mu$
- Excellent mass resolution, which matters a lot for this channel

2015-2017 data



- several categories to improve the sensitivity mainly based on mass resolution and addressing the VBF signature
- Both results are dominated by statistics, expected to keep improving with $1/\int L$
- ATLAS and CMS currently have similar sensitivity but different data sets
- If coupling is SM like, CMS, with current analysis extended to full Run 2 data expects to start setting a lower limit on the Higgs coupling to muons: $\mu = 1.0 \pm 0.5$
- Analysis improvements could further improve the sensitivity

Combination of all channels

- All main channels have been measured/combined in each experiment
- Measurements and compatibility tests are carried out:
 - Fits of signal strengths $\mu \sigma$, BR relative to SM and their ratios, including new STXS measurements
 - Fits in the κ-framework coupling modifiers and their ratios
- All of them assume a SM-like Higgs boson Spin Parity 0⁺ and with a narrow width such that production and decay are decoupled $\sigma_{i}(\vec{\kappa}) \cdot \Gamma^{f}(\vec{\kappa})$
 - ed $\sigma_i \cdot BR^f = \frac{\sigma_i(\vec{\kappa}) \cdot \Gamma^f(\vec{\kappa})}{\Gamma_H}$
- At the LHC we can only measure σxBR need further assumptions to extract σ, BR or couplings independently
- Spin parity and width compatibility tests are also carried out and no deviations from SM have been found

Decay/Production	ggF	VBF	WH	ZH	ttH
Η→γγ					
H→ZZ					
H→WW					
$H \rightarrow \tau \tau$					
H→bb					
Η→μ μ					

Channel measured

Signal strengths µ

μ is the so called signal strength (μ=1 in the SM)

$$\mu_i = \frac{\sigma_i}{\sigma_i^{\text{SM}}}$$
 and $\mu^f = \frac{BR^f}{BR^f_{\text{SM}}}$ $\mu_i^f \equiv \frac{\sigma_i \cdot BR^f}{(\sigma_i \cdot BR^f)_{\text{SM}}} = \mu_i \times \mu^f$

Results @ 13 TeV ATLAS-CONF-2019-005 CMS: arXiv:1809.10733

Most constrained parameterization: one single signal strength μ



- Statistical, experimental systematic and theoretical uncertainties comparable
- For all other measurement, except μ_{ggF} , statistical uncertainties are dominant

Signal strengths μ

ATLAS Preliminary $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}, 24.5 - 79.8 \text{ fb}^{-1}$ m = 125.09 GoV/Jv + z 2.5	Stat	. — 5	Syst.	SM
$p_{SM} = 71\%$		Total	Stat.	Syst.
ддF үү 📥	0.96	±0.14 (±0.11,	+0.09 -0.08)
ggF ZZ 🙀	1.04	+0.16 -0.15	±0.14 ,	± 0.06)
ggF WW 📥	1.08	±0.19 (±0.11,	± 0.15)
ggFττ μ	0.96	+ 0.59 - 0.52 (+0.37 -0.36,	+0.46 -0.38)
ggF comb.	1.04	± 0.09 (±0.07,	+0.07 -0.06)
VBF γγ	1.39	+0.40 -0.35 (+ 0.31 - 0.30 ,	+0.26 -0.19)
VBF ZZ	2.68	+0.98 -0.83 (+0.94 -0.81,	+0.27 -0.20)
VBF WW	0.59	+0.36 -0.35 (+0.29 -0.27,	± 0.21)
VBF ττ μ	1.16	+0.58 -0.53 (+0.42 -0.40 ,	+0.40 -0.35)
VBF bb	3.01	+ 1.67 - 1.61 (+ 1.63 - 1.57,	+0.39 -0.36)
VBF comb.	1.21	+0.24 -0.22 (+0.18 -0.17,	+0.16 -0.13)
VH γγ ι	1.09	+0.58 -0.54	+0.53 -0.49,	+0.25 -0.22)
VH ZZ	0.68	+1.20 -0.78 (+1.18 -0.77,	+0.18 -0.11)
VH bb 🖬 🔤	1.19	+0.27 -0.25 (+0.18 -0.17,	+0.20 -0.18)
VH comb.	1.15	+0.24 -0.22 (±0.16,	+0.17 -0.16)
ttH+tH γγ	1.10	+0.41 -0.35 (+0.36 -0.33,	+0.19 -0.14)
ttH+tH VV	1.50	+0.59 -0.57 (+0.43 -0.42,	+0.41 -0.38)
<i>ttH+tH</i> ττ μ	1.38	+1.13 -0.96 ($^{+0.84}_{-0.76}$,	+0.75 -0.59)
ttH+tH bb	0.79	+0.60 -0.59	±0.29,	±0.52)
ttH+tH comb.	1.21	+0.26 -0.24 (±0.17,	+0.20 -0.18)
-2 0 2 4		6		8

σ x BR measured

Results @ 13 TeV ATLAS-CONF-2019-005 CMS: arXiv:1809.10733

Parameter normalized to SM value

Signal strength µ: production, decay

- Production σ measured, fixing SM BRs
- BR measured, fixing SM σ

 Simplified Template Cross Sections (STXS) in specific phase space in each production mode.



• Limit model dependency, measure regions of phase space sensitive to BSM effects, and allow phase space dependent interpretations, such as EFTs



ATLAS Preliminary				Total	Stat.	Syst.	
√s = 13 TeV, 36.1 - 79.8 fb ⁻¹	$B_{\gamma\gamma}/B_{Z}$	z 🖻	0.86	-0.12 (-0.11	-0.06)	l
m _H = 125.09 GeV, y ₁ < 2.5	$B_{b\overline{b}}/B_{z}$	z 🖻	0.63	-0.28 (-0.18	-0.22)	l
p _{em} = 89%	B _{WW} /B	zz 🖻	0.86	-0.16 (+0.13	-0.11)	l
HTotal Stat.	$B_{\tau^{\prime}\tau^{\prime}}/B$	zz 🖻	0.87	+0.29 -0.24 (+0.22	+0.19	l
Syst. SM	-2		2	4	6		
				Total	Stat.	Syst.	
$gg \rightarrow H$, 0-jet × B_{ZZ}			1.29	+0.18	(+0.16	+0.09	
$p_{q} \rightarrow H$, 1-jet, $p_{-}^{H} < 60 \text{ GeV} \times B_{22}$			0.57	+0.43	(+0.37	+0.23	l
$p_{H} \rightarrow H$ 1-iet $60 \le p^{H} \le 120 \text{ GeV} \times B_{-}$		Į.	0.07	-0.41	,+0.35	-0.22/ +0.18	l
- ·// 1 i=t 100 < -Η - 200 C=V··· Β	2	Ľ,	0.67	-0.34 +0.81	-0.31	-0.15 ⁷ +0.39	l
$gg \rightarrow H$, 1-jei, $120 \le p_T^2 < 200 \text{ GeV} \times B_2$	zz 🖣		1.30	-0.72	(_0.65	-0.30)	l
$gg \rightarrow H, \ge 1$ -jet, $p_T^H \ge 200 \text{ GeV} \times B_{ZZ}$			2.05	-0.72	(^{+0.73} _{-0.64} ,	-0.32)	l
$gg \rightarrow H, \ge 2$ -jet, $p_{T}^{H} < 200 \text{ GeV} \times B_{ZZ}$	6	•	1.11	+0.56	(+0.46	+0.32 -0.26)	l
							ł
$qq \rightarrow Hqq$, VBF topo + Rest × B_{77}			1.57	+0.45	(+0.36	+0.27	l
		Ľ.	0.10	-0.38	, +1.31	+0.32	l
		7	-0.12	-1.13	-1.11	-0.24) +0.69	l
$qq \rightarrow Hqq, p_{\gamma} \ge 200 \text{ GeV} \times B_{ZZ}$			-0.95	-1.48	(_1.29	-0.72)	
					1.02	10.71	l
$qq \rightarrow H/v, p_T^v < 250 \text{ GeV} \times B_{ZZ}$			2.28	-1.01	(0.85	-0.55)	l
$qq \rightarrow Hlv, p_T^V \ge 250 \text{ GeV} \times B_{ZZ}$	ŀ		1.91	+2.32	(^{+1.44} -1.00 [,]	+1.81 -0.66)	l
							ł
$gg/qq \rightarrow HII$, $p_T^V < 150 \text{ GeV} \times B_{ZZ}$	H		0.85	+1.26	(+1.01	+0.76	l
a/aa→HII. 150 ≤ ρ ^V < 250 GeV × B ₂₂			0.86	+1.29	(+1.02	+0.79	l
$a_{\alpha}/a_{\alpha} \rightarrow H_{\mu} = a_{\nu}^{\nu} > 250 \text{ GeV} \times B$			- 10.00	+3.03	, +1.87	-0.707 +2.38,	l
$p_{\rm T}$ q γ m, $p_{\rm T}$ = 200 GOV × D_{ZZ}			2.92	-1.50	(-1.33	-0.71)	l
						10.24	l
$tH + tH \times B_{ZZ}$		•	1.44	-0.33	(0.27	-0.19)	l
10 5			5				E
-10 -3	U	Param	neter nor	ا malize	d to SI	ı VI value	ć
				/1/1			

All signal strengths and STXS in different channels are consistent with 1 (SM)

Higgs Couplings: к-framework

- In the k-framework the Higgs boson couplings are scaled by coupling modifiers κ
- The definition is such that:

$$\kappa_j^2 = \sigma_j / \sigma_j^{SM}$$
 or $\kappa_j^2 = \Gamma^j / \Gamma_{SM}^j$
 $\kappa_H^2 = \sum_j BR_{SM}^j \kappa_j^2$ $\Gamma_H = \frac{\kappa_H^2 \cdot \Gamma_H^{SM}}{1 - BR_{BSM}}$

- With BR_{BSM} the BR of invisible + undetected decays
 - Undetected decays can be non SM decays or come from different BRs of known but not measured decays: cc, gg,



			Effective	
	Loops	Interference	scaling factor	Resolved scaling factor
Production				
$\sigma({ m ggH})$	\checkmark	g-t	$\kappa_{\rm g}^2$	$1.04\kappa_t^2 + 0.002\kappa_b^2 - 0.038\kappa_t\kappa_b$
$\sigma(\text{VBF})$	—	—	_	$0.73\kappa_{\rm W}^2 + 0.27\kappa_Z^2$
$\sigma(WH)$	—	—		$\kappa_{ m W}^2$
$\sigma(qq/qg \rightarrow ZH)$				κ_Z^2
$\sigma({ m gg} ightarrow { m ZH})$	\checkmark	Z-t		$2.46\kappa_Z^2 + 0.47\kappa_t^2 - 1.94\kappa_Z\kappa_t$
$\sigma(ttH)$	—	_		$\kappa_{\rm t}^2$
$\sigma({ m gb} ightarrow { m WtH})$		W-t		$2.91\kappa_{\rm t}^2 + 2.31\kappa_{\rm W}^2 - 4.22\kappa_{\rm t}\kappa_{\rm W}$
$\sigma(qb \rightarrow tHq)$	—	W-t		$2.63\kappa_{\rm t}^2 + 3.58\kappa_{\rm W}^2 - 5.21\kappa_{\rm t}\kappa_{\rm W}$
$\sigma({\rm bbH})$	—	—		$\kappa_{\rm b}^2$
Partial decay width				
Γ^{ZZ}				κ_Z^2
Γ^{WW}				κ_{W}^{2}
$\Gamma^{\gamma\gamma}$	\checkmark	W-t	κ_{γ}^2	$1.59\kappa_{W}^{2} + 0.07\kappa_{t}^{2} - 0.67\kappa_{W}\kappa_{t}$
$\Gamma^{ au au}$			1	κ_{τ}^2
$\Gamma^{ m bb}$				$\kappa_{\rm b}^2$
$\Gamma^{\mu\mu}$	_	—		$\kappa_{\mu}^{\tilde{2}}$
Total width for $\mathcal{B}_{ ext{BSM}}=0$				
				$0.58\kappa_{\rm b}^2 + 0.22\kappa_{\rm W}^2 + 0.08\kappa_{\rm g}^2 +$
$\Gamma_{ m H}$	\checkmark	—	$\kappa_{ m H}^2$	$+ 0.06\kappa_{ au}^2 + 0.026\kappa_Z^2 + 0.029\kappa_c^2 +$
				$+ 0.0023 \kappa_{\gamma}^2 + 0.0015 \kappa_{Z\gamma}^2 +$
				$+ 0.00025 \kappa_{ m s}^2 + 0.00022 \kappa_{\mu}^2$
2, BR _{BSM} could	be a	iffected		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

If New Physics is lower than m_H/2, BR_{BSM} could be affected If above m_H/2, effective couplings of the loops would be modified

• • •

Nadia Pastrone

Higgs boson couplings

- No BSM in the loops, loops as in SM only depend on couplings
- Fitting the 5 main tree level coupling modifiers + κ_{μ} and resolving all the loops

• Within current precision Higgs couplings scale with particle masses

ATLAS-CONF-2019-005 CMS: arXiv:1809.10733



CMS H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 4I, full Run 2 datasets



 Various measurements have been carried out, including fiducial and differential cross sections

	Expected	Observed
$\mu_{ m inclusive}$	$1.00^{+0.08}_{-0.08}(\text{stat.})^{+0.09}_{-0.07}(\text{syst.})$	$0.94^{+0.07}_{-0.07}(\text{stat.})^{+0.08}_{-0.07}(\text{syst.})$
$\mu_{ m ggH}$	$1.00^{+0.10}_{-0.10}(\text{stat.})^{+0.09}_{-0.07}(\text{syst.})$	$0.97^{+0.09}_{-0.09}(\text{stat.})^{+0.09}_{-0.07}(\text{syst.})$
μ_{VBF}	$1.00^{+0.54}_{-0.45}(\text{stat.})^{+0.27}_{-0.14}(\text{syst.})$	$0.64^{+0.45}_{-0.36}(\text{stat.})^{+0.16}_{-0.09}(\text{syst.})$
$\mu_{ m VH}$	$1.00^{+0.91}_{-0.72}(\text{stat.})^{+0.29}_{-0.16}(\text{syst.})$	$1.15^{+0.89}_{-0.72}(\text{stat.})^{+0.26}_{-0.16}(\text{syst.})$
$\mu_{t\bar{t}H,tH}$	$1.00^{+1.16}_{-0.73}(\text{stat.})^{+0.19}_{-0.04}(\text{syst.})$	$0.13^{+0.92}_{-0.13}(\text{stat.})^{+0.11}_{-0.00}(\text{syst.})$

CMS H->ZZ->4I, full Run 2 statistics

More granural STXS measurements are also performed



All measurements again in agreement with SM

Electroweak: future prospects

- ATLAS and CMS are working to complete the full Run 2 analyses in all channels
- Once published, as for Run 1, Run 2 results may be combined
- Run 3 and later at HL-LHC will allow an increase in precision



Higgs: future prospects



pp collisions 2016
35.9 fb⁻¹ @
$$\sqrt{s}$$
 = 13 TeV

combined results from four final states: $bb\gamma\gamma$, $bb\tau\tau$, bbbb, and bbVVV = W or Z boson



Quite far from SM sensitivity O(10x)

No evidence for a signal is observed in a search for narrow resonances \rightarrow *HH* performed in the mass range 250-3000 GeV

Higgs: future prospects

arXiv:1902.00134

With 3000 fb⁻¹/experiment current projections indicate a combined 4.0 σ sensitivity to double Higgs production





Conclusions

- Outstanding results from the LHC experiments thanks to the LHC team and the excellent performance of the collider
- Achieved crucial improvements on the knowledge of electroweak interactions, top physics and of the Higgs boson:
 - Precise measurements of EW interactions
 - Higgs discovery and related measurements
- Only ~ 5% of the expected total data have been collected until now
- ATLAS and CMS will continue to collect and analyze the data and upgrade the detectors in view of High Lumi LHC
- Improved measurements may further confirm the validity of the SM or indicate deviations which could be hints of new physics

extras

Differential measurements

arXiv:1812.06504

- Differential measurements mainly carried out in $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ and $H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow 41$ channels
- To verify QCD modelling and accessing Higgs couplings and spin parity properties



- No deviations from predictions observed
- Constrains on $\kappa_c, \kappa_b, \kappa_t$ and dimension-6 operators in the EFT approach can be derived



$sin^2 \vartheta_W$ with projections

ATL-PHYS-PUB-2018-037



Measurement of sin² ປ_w

• $sin^2 \vartheta_W$ provides an indirect measurement of W mass

$$\sin^2\theta_{\rm W} = 1 - M_{\rm W}^2 / M_Z^2$$

• Z decays are sensitive to the axial and vector lepton couplings,

at tree level: $v_{
m f} = T_3^{
m f} - 2Q_{
m f} \sin^2 heta_{
m W},$ $a_{
m f} = T_3^{
m f},$

and allow the measurement of $sin^2 \vartheta_{eff}^{}^{}^{lept}$

 $v_{\rm f}/a_{\rm f} = 1 - 4|Q_{\rm f}|\sin^2\theta_{\rm eff}^{\rm f}$ $\sin^2\theta_{\rm eff}^{\rm f} = \kappa_{\rm f}\sin^2\theta_{\rm W}$ Enhanced Born Approximation (EBA

- Will help to disentangle LEP/SLD tension (3.2σ)
 - $\sin^2 \vartheta_{eff} \text{ from } A_{FB}^{0b} (LEP) = 0.23221 \pm 0.00029$
 - sin²ϑ_{eff} from A_I(SLD) → 0.23098±0.00026

Top pair production and decay



Trigger and Data Acquisition

- The trigger system must be able to take in real time the decision of which collisions must be saved
- 2-level trigger systems



• The HLT has access to the full event information

Nadia Pastrone



$t\bar{t}H$ production with $H \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$

- updated photon identification and jet calibration
- <PU> = 23 (2015-16) up to 37 (2017-18)
- data-driven background estimations
- simultaneous fit in seven signal-enriched event categories

ATLAS-CONF-2019-004

pp collisions 139±2 fb⁻¹ @ \sqrt{s} = 13 TeV

