Combined Higgs boson measurements at the ATLAS experiment

Stefano Manzoni

on behalf of the ATLAS collaboration

Bari, Win2019





- Since the discovery of the Higgs boson in 2012 its properties have been measured with increasing precision
- $\rightarrow\,$ probing the SM predictions
 - Presenting the most recent Higgs boson combined measurements with the ATLAS detector
 - Signal strength, production mode cross-sections and branching ratios
 - Simplified template cross-sections (STXS)
 - κ -framework

L [fb ⁻¹] 79.8	Ref. [1,2,3]
79.8	[1,2,3]
70.0	
79.8	[4,5]
36.1	[6]
36.1	[7]
79.8	[8,9]
24.5 - 30.6	[10]
36.1	[11,12]
79.8	[13]
36.1	[14,15,16]
36.1	[17]
	79.8 36.1 36.1 79.8 24.5 - 30.6 36.1 79.8 36.1 36.1

Combination input analyses



Signal strength, production mode cross-sections and branching ratios

Global signal strength

• First parametrization used to interpret the results is signal strength:

$$\mu_{if} = \frac{\sigma_i}{\sigma_i^{\mathsf{SM}}} \times \frac{BR_f}{BR_f^{\mathsf{SM}}}$$

for SM $\mu_{if} = 1$

		Uncertainty source	$\Delta \mu / \mu$ [%]
_	8	Statistical uncertainty	4.4
ù	ATLAS Preliminary - Total	Systematic uncertainties	6.2
21	7 Vs = 13 TeV, 24.5 - 79.8 fb ⁻¹ - Remove Bkg. th.	Theory uncertainties	4.8
	m _H = 125.09 GeV, y _H < 2.5 Remove Sig. th.	Signal	4.2
	P _{SM} = 18%	Background	2.6
	5	Experimental uncertainties	4.1
		Luminosity	2.0
	4	Background modeling	1.6
	3 - 1	Jets, $E_{\rm T}^{\rm miss}$	1.4
		Flavor tagging	1.1
		Electrons, photons	2.2
		Muons	0.2
		au-lepton	0.4
		Other	1.6
	1 1.1 1.2 1.3	MC statistical uncertainty	1.7
	μ	Total uncertainty	7.6

• Fixing scaling of σ and *BR* as in the SM, the global normalization μ results $\mu = 1.11^{+0.09}_{-0.08} = 1.11 \pm 0.05 \text{ (stat.)} {}^{+0.05}_{-0.04} \text{ (exp.)} {}^{+0.05}_{-0.04} \text{ (sig. th.)} \pm 0.03 \text{ (bkg. th.)}$

- Consistent with the SM with a *p*-value=18%
- Measurement limited by systematic uncertainties
- Experimental and Theory uncertainty with same magnitude => < </p>

S. Manzoni (NIKHEF)

• Branching ratio fixed to SM value, considering only σ_i



Process	Value	Uncertainty [pb]				SM pred.	Signi	ficance	
$(y_H < 2.5)$	[pb]	Total	Stat.	Exp.	Sig. th.	Bkg. th.	[pb]	obs.	(exp.)
ggF	46.5	± 4.0	± 3.1	± 2.2	± 0.9	± 1.3	44.7 ± 2.2	-	
VBF	4.25	$^{+0.84}_{-0.77}$	$^{+0.63}_{-0.60}$	$^{+0.35}_{-0.32}$	$^{+0.42}_{-0.32}$	$^{+0.14}_{-0.11}$	3.515 ± 0.075	6.5(5.3)	
WH	1.57	$^{+0.48}_{-0.46}$	$^{+0.34}_{-0.33}$	$^{+0.25}_{-0.24}$	$^{+0.11}_{-0.07}$	± 0.20	1.204 ± 0.024	3.5(2.7)] = 2 (4 7)
ZH	0.84	$^{+0.25}_{-0.23}$	± 0.19	± 0.09	$^{+0.07}_{-0.04}$	± 0.10	$0.797^{+0.033}_{-0.026}$	3.6 (3.6)	50.0 (4.7)
$t\bar{t}H+tH$	0.71	$^{+0.15}_{-0.14}$	± 0.10	± 0.07	$^{+0.05}_{-0.04}$	$^{+0.08}_{-0.07}$	$0.586^{+0.034}_{-0.049}$	5.8(5.4)	

- Consistent with the SM with a *p*-value=76%
- All main production modes have been observed (also WH and $ZH \ge 3\sigma$)

S. Manzoni (NIKHEF)

- Small correlation between the measured cross-sections
- \bullet Correlation of -15% between ggF and VBF



• Constraint mainly from $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ (79.8 fb⁻¹) and $H \rightarrow WW^* \rightarrow e \nu \mu \nu$ (36.1 fb⁻¹)

 Cross-section fixed to SM value, considering only BR_f (Syst. include SM xsec unc.)



Branching	Voluo	Uncertainty					
ratio	value	Total	Stat.	Exp.	Sig. theo.	Bkg. theo.	
$B_{\gamma\gamma}/B_{\gamma\gamma}^{SM}$	1.06	± 0.12	± 0.08	$^{+0.08}_{-0.07}$	± 0.05	± 0.01	
$\mathbf{B}_{ZZ}/\mathbf{B}_{ZZ}^{\mathrm{SM}}$	1.20	$^{+0.15}_{-0.14}$	± 0.12	± 0.05	$^{+0.07}_{-0.05}$	± 0.02	
B_{WW}/B_{WW}^{SM}	1.05	$^{+0.17}_{-0.16}$	± 0.09	± 0.09	$^{+0.06}_{-0.05}$	± 0.07	
$B_{\tau\tau}/B_{\tau\tau}^{SM}$	1.10	$^{+0.28}_{-0.26}$	± 0.18	$^{+0.17}_{-0.16}$	$^{+0.12}_{-0.08}$	$^{+0.06}_{-0.05}$	
$\mathbf{B}_{bb}/\mathbf{B}_{bb}^{\mathrm{SM}}$	1.17	$^{+0.24}_{-0.23}$	± 0.15	± 0.11	$^{+0.09}_{-0.06}$	$^{+0.13}_{-0.12}$	

• All consistent with the SM with a *p*-value=75%

• Considering the products $(\sigma \times BR)_{if}$



- Consistent with the SM with a p-value=71%
- Different level of ggF–VBF correlation in the analyses
 - Well separated in $H \rightarrow WW^*$ analysis



S. Manzoni (NIKHEF)

Simplified template cross-section framework

- Simplified template cross-section framework defines fiducial regions by using:
 - production mode, $p_{\mathbf{T}}^{H}$, N_{j} , $p_{\mathbf{T}}^{H}$, $p_{\mathbf{T}}^{Hjj}$, $p_{\mathbf{T}}^{V}$
 - sensitivity to BSM model
 - avoidance of large theory uncertainty in SM prediction
 - matching the experimental selection
- Measurement designed to split the events according to STXS





• Due to limited data statistics the current combined measurement is presented in a reduce splitting scheme

- $11 \rightarrow 6$ bins for ggF
- 5 \rightarrow 3 bins for $qq \rightarrow Hqq$ (incl. VBF and VH)
- 11 \rightarrow 5 bins for V(lep)H+ggZH
 - 2 bins for WH
 - 3 bins for ZH+ggZH
- 2 \rightarrow 1 single bin $t\bar{t}H + tH$



(日) (四) (三) (三)



- Due to limited data statistics the current combined measurement is presented in a reduce splitting scheme
 - $\bullet~11 \rightarrow 6$ bins for ggF
 - 5 \rightarrow 3 bins for $qq \rightarrow Hqq$ (incl. VBF and VH)
 - 11 \rightarrow 5 bins for V(lep)H+ggZH
 - 2 bins for WH
 - 3 bins for ZH+ggZH
 - 2 \rightarrow 1 single bin $t\bar{t}H + tH$



- Due to limited data statistics the current combined measurement is presented in a reduce splitting scheme
 - $11 \rightarrow 6$ bins for ggF
 - 5 \rightarrow 3 bins for $qq \rightarrow Hqq$ (incl. VBF and VH) 11 \rightarrow 5 bins for V(lep)H+ggZH
 - - 2 bins for WH
 - 3 bins for ZH+ggZH
 - 2 \rightarrow 1 single bin $t\bar{t}H + tH$



• Measured 19 parameters:

- cross-section in STXS region i \times branching ratio of $H \rightarrow ZZ$
- the ratio of each branching fraction over the $H \rightarrow ZZ$ one.

$$(\sigma \times \mathsf{B})_{if} = (\sigma \times \mathsf{B})_{i,ZZ} \cdot \left(\frac{\mathsf{B}_f}{\mathsf{B}_{ZZ}}\right)$$

 All consistent with the SM with a p-value=89%

ATLAS Preliminary $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, 36.1 - 79.8 fb ⁻¹ $m_{H} = 125.09$ GeV, $ y'_{H} < 2.5$ $p_{SM} = 89\%$ → Total Stat. Syst. SM	B _{γγ} /B _Z B _{bb} /B _Z B _{WW} /B B _{τ⁺τ} /B	z E z E zz E zz E	2	0.86 0.63 0.86 0.87	Total +0.14 -0.12 (+0.35 -0.28 (+0.18 -0.16 (+0.29 -0.24 (Stat. +0.12 -0.11, +0.22 -0.18, +0.13 -0.11, +0.22 -0.19, 6	Syst. +0.07 -0.06 +0.27 -0.22 +0.12 -0.11 +0.19 -0.14 8
$gg \rightarrow H, 0 \text{-jet} \times B_{ZZ}$ $gg \rightarrow H, 1 \text{-jet}, p_{i}^{H} < 60 \text{ GeV} \times B_{ZZ}$ $gg \rightarrow H, 1 \text{-jet}, 60 \leq p_{i}^{H} < 120 \text{ GeV} \times B_{J}$ $gg \rightarrow H, 1 \text{-jet}, 120 \leq p_{i}^{H} < 200 \text{ GeV} \times B_{ZZ}$ $gg \rightarrow H, 2 \text{-jet}, p_{i}^{H} \geq 200 \text{ GeV} \times B_{ZZ}$ $gg \rightarrow H, 2 \text{-jet}, p_{i}^{H} < 200 \text{ GeV} \times B_{ZZ}$	z E Szz E			1.29 0.57 0.87 1.30 2.05 1.11	Total +0.18 -0.17 +0.43 -0.41 +0.38 -0.34 +0.81 -0.72 +0.84 -0.72 +0.56 -0.51	Stat. +0.16 -0.15, +0.37 (-0.35, +0.33 (-0.31, +0.71 (-0.65, +0.73 (-0.64, +0.73 (-0.44,	Syst. +0.09 -0.08) +0.23 -0.22) +0.18 -0.15) +0.39 -0.30) +0.43 -0.32) +0.43 -0.32) +0.232 -0.26
$qq \rightarrow Hqq$, VBF topo + Rest × B_{ZZ} $qq \rightarrow Hqq$, VH topo × B_{ZZ} $qq \rightarrow Hqq$, $p'_{T} \ge 200 \text{ GeV} \times B_{ZZ}$		•••••		1.57 -0.12 -0.95	+0.45 -0.38 +1.35 -1.13 +1.51 -1.48	(+0.36 -0.32, +1.31 (-1.11, +1.34 (-1.29,	+0.27 -0.21) +0.32 -0.24) +0.69 -0.72)
$qq \rightarrow Hlv, p_{T}^{\vee} < 250 \text{ GeV} \times B_{ZZ}$ $qq \rightarrow Hlv, p_{T}^{\vee} \ge 250 \text{ GeV} \times B_{ZZ}$	ŀ	••••	4	2.28 1.91	+1.24 -1.01 +2.32 -1.19	(+1.02 -0.85, (+1.44 (-1.00,	+0.71 -0.55) +1.81 -0.66)
$\begin{array}{l} gg/qq \rightarrow Hll, \ p_{\gamma}^{\vee} < 150 \ {\rm GeV} \times B_{ZZ} \\ gg/qq \rightarrow Hll, \ 150 \leq p_{\gamma}^{\vee} < 250 \ {\rm GeV} \times B_{2} \\ gg/qq \rightarrow Hll, \ p_{\gamma}^{\vee} \geq 250 \ {\rm GeV} \times B_{ZZ} \end{array}$	r 🗖		-	0.85 0.86 ⊣2.92	+1.26 -1.57 +1.29 -1.13 +3.03 -1.50	(+1.01 (-0.98, +1.02 (-0.90, +1.87 (-1.33,	+0.76 -1.22) +0.79 -0.70) +2.38 -0.71)
<i>ttH</i> + <i>tH</i> × <i>B</i> ₂₂ 	I 0	Para	5 mete	1.44	+0.39 -0.33 1 nalize	(+0.30 (-0.27, 0 d to SI	+0.24 -0.19) 1 1 value

κ -framework

メロト メロト メヨト

κ -framework: vector boson-fermions, loops coupling

• LO-approximated framework introducing coupling strength modifiers κ

$$\sigma_i imes \mathsf{BR}^f = rac{\sigma_i(\kappa) imes \Gamma^f(\kappa)}{\Gamma_H}, \quad \text{with} \quad \kappa_j^2 = rac{\sigma_j}{\sigma_j^{\mathsf{SM}}} \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa_j^2 = rac{\Gamma^j}{\Gamma_{\mathsf{SM}}^j}.$$

- Γ_H modified by a factor κ_H , defined as $\kappa_H^2 = \sum_j BR_{SM}^f \kappa_j^2$, with no additional BSM new particle contribution
 - vector boson: κ_V = κ_W = κ_Z
 - fermions: $\kappa_F = \kappa_t = \kappa_b = \kappa_\tau = \kappa_\mu$



- $\kappa_V = 1.05 \pm 0.04$ $\kappa_F = 1.05^{+0.09}_{-0.08}$
- p-value=41% w.r.t SM

- Probing contributions of new particles either in loops or in new final states
- Effective coupling modifiers κ_g and κ_γ



- · Generic parametrization assuming no new particles in loops and decays
- coupling strengths to W, Z, t, b, au and μ are treated independently
- including $H \rightarrow \mu \mu$ (79.8 fb⁻¹)

Parameter	Result
κ _Z	$1.11\substack{+0.08\\-0.08}$
κ_W	1.05 ± 0.08
κ_b	$1.05\substack{+0.19 \\ -0.18}$
κ_t	$1.02^{+0.11}_{-0.10}$
$\kappa_{ au}$	$1.06\substack{+0.16\\-0.15}$
κ_{μ}	< 1.49 at 95% CL.

• p-value of SM = 72%



$\kappa\text{-}\mathsf{framework}:$ additional contributions to Higgs width



 Including a Higgs boson branching fraction to invisible or undetected decays, the Higgs boson width is expressed as

$$\Gamma_{H}(\kappa_{j}, B_{\text{inv}}, B_{\text{undet}}) = \frac{\kappa_{H}^{2}(\kappa_{j})}{(1 - B_{\text{inv}} - B_{\text{undet}})} \Gamma_{H}^{\text{SM}}.$$

- No BSM contributions to the total width $(B_{inv} = B_{undet} = 0).$
- Both B_{inv} and B_{undet} are added as free parameters to the model.
 - Including $H \rightarrow \text{invisible} (36.1 \text{ fb}^{-1})$
 - $\kappa_W \leq 1$ and $\kappa_Z \leq 1$
- Additional single free parameter $B_{\text{BSM}} = B_{\text{inv}} = B_{\text{undet}}$ is added to the model.
 - Including Off-shell $H \to ZZ^* \to 4\ell$ and $H \to ZZ^* \to 2\ell 2\nu$ (36.1 fb⁻¹)

- Scale factors expressed as ratios of scale factors that can be measured independent of any assumptions on the Higgs boson total width
- Most model-independent determination of coupling-strength in the κ -framework.

Davamatar	Definition in terms
Parameter	of κ modifiers
κ_{gZ}	$\kappa_g \kappa_Z / \kappa_H$
λ_{tg}	κ_t/κ_g
λ_{Zg}	κ_Z/κ_g
λ_{WZ}	κ_W/κ_Z
$\lambda_{\gamma Z}$	$\kappa_{\gamma}/\kappa_{Z}$
$\lambda_{\tau Z}$	κ_{τ}/κ_{Z}
λ_{bZ}	κ_b/κ_Z

- $\lambda_{\gamma Z}$ sensitive to new charged particles contributing to the $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ loop in w.r.t to $H \rightarrow ZZ^*$ decays.
- λ_{tg} sensitive to new coloured particles contributing through the ggF loop as compared to ttH
- All compatible with SM, p-value = 85%



Higgs Self-Coupling

Image: A math a math

- Single Higgs production does not depend on trilinear-coupling λ_3 at LO
- $\bullet\,$ Two types of NLO EW corrections that depend on λ_3
 - one universal $O(\lambda_3^2)$ due to Higgs loops



• one linear $O(\lambda_3)$ that is both process and kinematics dependent



Higgs Self-coupling

• To study this possible modifications we can introduce a coupling modifier κ_{λ} , defined as

$$\lambda_{\mathbf{3}} = \kappa_{\lambda} \lambda_{\mathbf{3}}^{SM}$$

• Parametrizing the fit with

$$\mu_i^f(\kappa_\lambda) \equiv \mu_i(\kappa_\lambda) \times \mu^f(\kappa_\lambda)$$



• Not only global normalization but also differential distribution affected

 \rightarrow exploiting full STXS informations for VH and VBF production modes

S. Manzoni (NIKHEF)



 $\kappa_{\lambda} = 4.0^{+4.3}_{-4.1} = 4.0^{+3.7}_{-3.6} \, (\text{stat.})^{+1.6}_{-1.5} \, (\text{exp.})^{+1.3}_{-0.9} \, (\text{sig. th.})^{+0.8}_{-0.9} \, (\text{bkg. th.})^{+0.8}_{-0.9} \, (\text{bkg. th.})^{-0.9}_{-0.9} \, (\text{bkg. th.})^{-0.9}_{-$

- strong assumption: BSM only affecting κ_{λ}
- 95% C.L. : $-3.2 < \kappa_{\lambda} < 11.9$ (observed), $-6.2 < \kappa_{\lambda} < 14.4$ (expected)
- complementary to the limit from ATLAS *HH* 36.1 fb^{-1} combination:
 - $-5.0 < \kappa_\lambda < 12.1$ (observed)
 - $-5.8 < \kappa_{\lambda} < 12.0$ (expected)

S. Manzoni (NIKHEF)

HL-LHC

S. Manzoni (NIKHEF)

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト



- Higgs measurement projection to 3000 fb $^{-1}$ and $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV
- Same Run 2 detector performance considered (improved performance of ATLAS will compensate for higher pileup)
- Two scenarios for systematic uncertainties:
 - S1: same values of current Run 2 analyses
 - unc. on the modeling of the continuum background and MC statistics negligible (also for S2)
 - S2: reduced sys. reflecting the situation expected at the end of the HL-LHC
 - all theory uncertainties for signal and background are halved
 - ${\ensuremath{\,\circ\,}}$ unc. on integrated luminosity is set to 1%
- Only S2 results shown

• Comparison between exp. 3000 fb^{-1} and obs. 80 fb^{-1} measurements



- Cross-sections dominated by systematic uncertainties (except for ZH)
- $\bullet\,$ Precision improved by \sim 3–5 times

• Comparison between exp. 3000 fb^{-1} and obs. 80 fb^{-1} measurements



- Sensitive to branching ratio of rarer process $Z\gamma$ and $\mu\mu$ (expected to be observed)
- $\bullet\,$ Precision improved by \sim 3–7 times



• Uncertainties at the level of $\sim 2-4\%$ and systematic limited (except for κ_{μ} and $\kappa_{Z\gamma}$)

- Most recent combined Higgs measurements with the ATLAS detector have been presented
- Input analyses with an integrated luminosity up to 80 ${\rm fb^{-1}}$
 - \rightarrow stay tuned for the full Run 2/legacy analyses with L= 140 fb⁻¹
- The measurements presented agree well with the SM expectation
- Also new constraint of the Higgs self coupling using single Higgs production mode have been presented.
 - 95% C.L. $-3.2 < \kappa_\lambda < 11.9$
- At HL-LHC expected:
 - cross-section measurement at 5% accuracy
 - observation of Higgs rare decays

Thank you for your attention

Back-up

メロト メロト メヨト

ATL-PHYS-PUB-2019-009

Higgs Self-coupling

POIs	Granularity	$\kappa_F + 1\sigma = 1\sigma$	$\kappa_V + 1\sigma_{-1\sigma}$	$\kappa_{\lambda} + 1\sigma_{-1\sigma}$	κ_{λ} [95% C.L.]
$\kappa_{\lambda}, \kappa_{V}$	STXS	1	${}^{1.04^{+0.05}_{-0.04}}_{1.00^{+0.05}_{-0.04}}$	$\substack{4.8^{+7.4}_{-6.7}\\1.0^{+9.9}_{-6.1}}$	[-6.7, 18.4] [-9.4, 18.9]
$\kappa_{\lambda}, \kappa_F$	STXS	$\begin{array}{r}0.99\substack{+0.08\\-0.08}\\1.00\substack{+0.08\\-0.08}\end{array}$	1	${}^{4.1^{+4.3}_{-4.1}}_{1.0^{+8.8}_{-4.4}}$	[-3.2, 11.9] [-6.3, 14.4]



• Fitting $\kappa_{\lambda} - \kappa_{V} - \kappa_{F}$ or fitting $\kappa_{\lambda} - \kappa_{H} = \kappa_{V} = \kappa_{F}$ results in nearly no sensitivity to κ_{λ} (for $|\kappa_{\lambda}| < 20$)

S. Manzoni (NIKHEF)