

# New results from the EXO-200 experiment

Gaosong Li On behalf of the EXO-200 collaboration Stanford University

> Jun 7, 2019 WIN2019, Bari, Italy

#### Neutrinoless double beta decay $(0\nu\beta\beta)$





 $2
u\beta\beta$  decay

Conventional process

 $0\nu\beta\beta$  has rich physics implications:

- Majorana neutrino
- Lepton number violation
- Absolute neutrino mass scale

- $2\nu$  VS  $0\nu$  spectrum: continuum vs peak
- Good energy resolution required to separate  $0\nu$  from  $2\nu$

#### EXO-200

- Located at Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) in Carlsbad, NM, USA
- 1624 m.w.e. overburden
- LXe vessel surrounded by ~50 cm HFE-7000 cryofluid, housed in a double-wall cryostat
- ~25 cm passive lead shield in all directions
- Plastic scintillator panels for muon veto



#### Time Projection Chamber (TPC)

- Single phase liquid xenon TPC with <sup>enr</sup>Xe (80.6%)
- ~110 kg active volume
- Two back-to-back TPCs with cathode in the middle
- Scintillation light readout by arrays of large area avalanche photodiodes (LAAPDs)
- Ionization detected by two wire grids crossing at 60 degree
  - Collection plane (U-wires)
  - Shielding plane (V-wires)





#### EXO-200 timeline



- Operation concluded in Dec 2018, with 1181.3 days of livetime
- Phase I from Sep 2011 to Feb 2014
  - Most precise  $2\nu\beta\beta$  measurement, *Phys. Rev. C* **89**, 015502 (2013)
  - Stringent limit for  $0\nu\beta\beta$  search, *Nature* **510**, 229 (2014)
- Phase II operation begins on Jan 31, 2016 with system upgrades
  - First results with Phase II data from upgraded detector, Phys. Rev. Lett. 120, 072701 (2018)
  - This talk, new results with complete dataset!

#### Energy

- Using anti-correlation between charge and scintillation response
  - "Rotated" energy provides optimal resolution in the energy of interest



Scintillation vs. ionization, <sup>228</sup>Th calibration:





#### **Resolution improvement**

#### Resolution at the Q value (<sup>228</sup>Th near cathode)



- Front end readout electronics
  - Reduce APD readout excess noise
- Cathode HV increased from -8 kV to -12 kV
- Software De-noising to optimize energy calibration
- De-noising adapted for Phase II as well in new analysis
- Proper Modeling of mixed collection/induction wire signals
- Energy resolution ( $\sigma/E$ ) at  $Q_{\beta\beta}$  value (design goal 1.6%)
  - Phase I: 1.35+-0.09%
  - Phase II: 1.15+-0.02%

## Vertex reconstruction and SS/MS classification

- X/Y (U/V) position determined by the signals in cross wire planes with 9 mm pitch
- Z position determined by the time delay between light signal and collection signals in wires with ~ 6 mm resolution
- ββ mostly deposits energy at single location (SS)
- γ backgrounds deposits at multiple locations (MS)
- SS/MS classification is very powerful in background rejection



#### Relaxed 3D cut

- Previous analyses require all events having full 3D position
- Partial 3D events are due to small energy deposit having complete collection on U-wire, but usually having no V signals because of higher threshold
- Now require >60% of energy deposits having 3D position, only recovering MS events
- Recovers almost all previously cut  $0\nu\beta\beta$  events (10%) in MS due to small bremsstrahlung deposit
- Average SS fraction is 12% in the energy range  $Q_{\beta\beta} \pm 2\sigma$  for Th-228 source deployed near the cathode

9



#### Light/charge Diagonal cut

- Requires 2D light/charge energy calibration and good understanding of detector
- Light/charge ratio distributions validated by comparison between data/simulation using source and  $2\nu\beta\beta$  data
- Powerful to reject  $\alpha$ , as well as poorly reconstructed  $\beta/\gamma$  with anomalous light/charge ratio



### Increasing $0\nu\beta\beta$ detection efficiency

- Another major signal efficiency loss in previous analyses has been improved in addition to the 3D cut
- Event coincidence cut
  - Originally designed to remove time-correlated events, e.g. Bi-Po event, potential muon induced long-lived decay products ...
  - Comprehensive cosmogenic background studies (*JCAP 1604 (2016) no.04, 029*) later found no evidence of contributions from such muon-induced isotopes
  - Reducing time cut window from 1s to 0.1 s is still sufficient for rejecting Bi-Po
- $0\nu\beta\beta$  detection efficiency increases from ~80% to 97.8 $\pm$ 3.0% (96.4 $\pm$ 3.0%) for Phase I (II)



#### Improved background rejection for SS

- Additional discrimination in SS using *spatial distribution* and *cluster size*
- Entering γ-rays rate is exponentially reduced by LXe selfshielding, provides independent measurement of γbackgrounds
  - standoff-distance

- Size of individual cluster estimated from:
  - pulse rise time (longitudinal direction)
  - number of wires with collection signal (transverse)

Techniques already used in Phys. Rev. Lett. 120, 072701 (2018)



#### Improved background rejection for MS

- $0\nu\beta\beta$  in MS arising from small energy deposits due to bremsstrahlung, while  $\gamma$  Compton scatters
- Distinct features in number of energy deposits, energy distribution and spatial spread among deposits
- High background rejection than in SS to compensate the fact that MS is dominated by backgrounds



## Improved background discrimination with DNN

- Deep neural network (DNN) based  $0
  u\beta\beta$  discriminator
- DNN trained on images built from U-wire waveforms

![](_page_13_Figure_3.jpeg)

- Signal/background identification efficiency correlates with the true event size based on truth information in simulation
- Indicates the network can pick up correct features on the waveform to reconstruct event, (find wire signals, cluster signals into energy deposits), thus to discriminate signal and background

![](_page_13_Figure_6.jpeg)

#### Data/MC agreement for DNN

- Data/MC agreement validated with different data
  - $\gamma$ : Ra-226, Th-228, Co-60 calibration sources
  - $\beta$ :  $2\nu\beta\beta$  data
- Showed consistent and reasonable agreement
- Any differences in data/MC are taken into account as systematic uncertainties on normalization of backgrounds within  $Q_{\beta\beta} \pm 2\sigma$

![](_page_14_Figure_6.jpeg)

#### Analysis strategy

- Blinded analysis performed
- SS/MS classification
- 3-dimension fit in both SS and MS: E + DNN + standoff distance
  - Energy, event topology and spatial information
  - Make the most use of multi-parameters for background rejection
  - SS, MS relative contributions constrained by SS fraction
- Improvement of ~25% in  $0\nu\beta\beta$  half-life sensitivity compared with using energy spectra + SS/MS alone

![](_page_15_Figure_8.jpeg)

#### Best fit

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Results

#### Background contribution to ${f Q}\pm 2\sigma$

(counts)	$^{238}\mathrm{U}$	$^{232}\mathrm{Th}$	$^{137}\mathrm{Xe}$	Total	Data
Phase I	12.6	10.0	8.7	$32.3 \pm 2.3$	39
Phase II	12.0	8.2	9.3	$30.9 \pm 2.4$	26

![](_page_17_Figure_3.jpeg)

2012: Phys.Rev.Lett. 109 (2012) 032505 2014: Nature 510 (2014) 229-234 2018: Phys. Rev. Lett. 120, 072701 (2018) 2019: arXiv 1906.02723

No statistical significant signal observed

Phase I+II: 234.1 kg·yr <sup>136</sup>Xe exposure Limit  $T_{1/2}^{0\nu\beta\beta} > 3.5 \times 10^{25}$  yr (90% C.L.)  $\langle m_{\beta\beta} \rangle < (93 - 286)$  meV Sensitivity 5.0x10<sup>25</sup> yr

![](_page_17_Figure_7.jpeg)

#### Neutrino mass limits

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### Conclusion

- EXO-200 was the first 100-kg class experiment searching for  $0\nu\beta\beta$ , and successfully concluded after 7 years of stable operation
- EXO-200 produced a lot of important physics results
  - One of the most sensitive searches for  $0\nu\beta\beta$ , with full dataset giving a half-life limit of  $3.5 \times 10^{25}$  yr and a sensitivity of  $5.0 \times 10^{25}$  yr at 90% C.L. for <sup>136</sup>Xe  $0\nu\beta\beta$
  - The first to observe the  $2\nu\beta\beta$  decay from <sup>136</sup>Xe and made the most precise measurement on its half-life
  - Many other searches/tests of exotic models
  - Expecting more analyses on other physics topics with full EXO-200 dataset
- The planned 5-ton next generation experiment (nEXO) will have a  $0\nu\beta\beta$  half-life sensitivity reaching ~10<sup>28</sup> yr half-life

University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa AL, USA — M. Hughes, O. Nusair, I. Ostrovskiy, A. Piepke, AK. Soma, V. Veeraraghavan, University of Bern, Switzerland — J-L. Vuilleumier, University of California, Irvine, Irvine CA, USA — M. Moe California Institute of Technology, Pasadena CA, USA — P. Vogel

Carleton University, Ottawa ON, Canada — I Badhrees, R Gornea, C Jessiman, T Koffas, D Sinclair, B Veenstra, J Watkins Colorado State University, Fort Collins CO, USA — C Chambers, A Craycraft, D Fairbank, W Fairbank Jr, A Iverson, J Todd Drexel University, Philadelphia PA, USA — MJ Dolinski, P Gautam, EV Hansen, YH Lin, Y-R Yen

Duke University, Durham NC, USA — PS Barbeau 🐜

Friedrich-Alexander-University Erlangen, Nuremberg, Germany — G Anton, J Hoessl, P Hufschmidt, T Michel, M Wagenpfeil, S Schmidt, G Wrede, T Ziegler

IBS Center for Underground Physics, Daejeon, South Korea — DS Leonard

IHEP Beijing, People's Republic of China — G Cao, W Cen, T Tolba, L Wen, J Zhao

ITEP Moscow, Russia — V Belov, A Burenkov, M Danilov, A Dolgolenko, A Karelin, A Kuchenkov, V Stekhanov, O Zeldovich

University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign IL, USA — D Beck, M Coon, J Echevers, S Li, L Yang

Indiana University, Bloomington IN, USA — JB Albert, SJ Daugherty

### The EXO-200 Collaboration

Laurentian University, Sudbury ON, Canada — B Cleveland, A Der Mesrobian-Kabakian, J Farine, C Licciardi, A Robinson, U Wichoski University of Maryland, College Park MD, USA — C Hall

University of Massachusetts, Amherst MA, USA — S Feyzbakhsh, A Pocar, M Tarka

McGill University, Montreal QC, Canada — T Brunner, L Darroch, K Murray

University of North Carolina, Wilmington NC, USA — T Daniels

SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Menlo Park CA, USA — M Breidenbach, R Conley, J Davis, S Delaquis, A Johnson, LJ Kaufman, B Mong, A Odian, CY Prescott, PC Rowson, JJ Russell, K Skarpaas, A Waite, M Wittgen

University of South Dakota, Vermillion SD, USA — A Larson, R MacLellan

Stanford University, Stanford CA, USA — J Dalmasson, R DeVoe, D Fudenberg, G Gratta, M Jewell, S Kravitz, G Li, A Schubert, M Weber, S Wu

Stony Brook University, SUNY, Stony Brook, NY, USA — K Kumar, O Njoya

Technical University of Munich, Garching, Germany — W Feldmeier, P Fierlinger, M Marino

TRIUMF, Vancouver BC, Canada — J Dilling, R Krücken, Y Lan, F Retière, V Strickland

Yale University, New Haven CT, USA — A Jamil, Z Li, D Moore, Q Xia

#### Backup

![](_page_22_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_0.jpeg)

SS

MS

![](_page_24_Figure_0.jpeg)