





# Latest results from NA48/2

### Cristina Biino \* - INFN Torino WIN2019:

#### The 27th International Workshop on Weak Interactions and Neutrinos

Bari, 3-8 June, 2019

\*On behalf of the NA48/2 Collaboration







Low energy QCD and Kaon physics at CERN :

★ The NA48/2 experiment

★ Precise measurement of K<sup>±</sup> →  $\pi^0 \ell^{\pm} \nu$  (K<sub>ℓ3</sub>) Form Factors

★ First observation and study of the rare decay  $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} \pi^{0} e^{+} e^{-}$ 

2

### NA48 experiment at CERN

#### A fixed target experiment at the CERN SPS dedicated to the study of CP violation and rare decays in the kaon sector



	1997: <b>K<sub>L</sub> +K</b> s
	1998: <b>K<sub>L</sub> +K</b> s
NA48	1999: <b>K<sub>L</sub> +K<sub>s</sub></b>
CPV	2000: <b>K<sub>L</sub> only</b>
ε'/ε	2001: <b>K<sub>L</sub> +K<sub>s</sub></b>
NA48/1	2002: K <sub>s</sub> /hyperons
NA48/2	2003 <b>K⁺+ K</b> <sup>-</sup> 2004 <b>Ag(CPV)</b>
NA62 (R <sub>K</sub> )	2007 K <sup>+</sup> +K <sup>-</sup> 2008 R <sub>K</sub> + tests
	2007 design & 2013 construction
NA62	2012technical run 2013 long shutdown
ra, Firenze, rino, Wien	2014 rare kaon decay $K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \nu \nu$

Flavour and precision physics

The CERN kaon facility

C. Biino – WIN 2019

# NA48/2 simultaneous K<sup>±</sup> beam



**NA48/2 beams: simultaneous K<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>-</sup>, focused, high momentum, narrow band** designed to precisely measure  $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{+}\pi^{-}\pi^{\pm}$  ( $\pi^{0} \pi^{0} \pi^{\pm}$ ) Dalitz-plot density to search for direct CPV.



### NA48 detector & performance



#### The NA48 Detector



Decay region expands over ~ 114 m Detector expands over ~ 50 m Similar acceptance for K<sup>+</sup> and K<sup>-</sup> beams ensured reversing magnetic fields

But in these analysis use much looser E/p ratio cuts for e /μ discrimination

0.6

0.8

photon vetoes



Liquid Krypton EM calorimeter (LKr)

each + dipole magnet) inside the He tank

**Charged Hodoscope**  $\sigma_{t}$  = 150 ps

1.2

Track E/p

 $\sigma_{p}/p = (1.0 \oplus 0.044 \text{ p})\%$  (p in GeV/c)

 $\sigma_{\rm E}/{\rm E}$  = (3.2/JE  $\oplus$  9.0/E  $\oplus$  0.42)% (E in GeV/)

 $\sigma_x = \sigma_y = 4.2/E^{\frac{1}{2}} \oplus 0.6 \text{ mm}$  (E in GeV/)

**Magnetic spectrometer** (4 DCHs with 4 views

Muon Veto counter, hadron calorimeter,

Mass resolution

<sup>5</sup> Flavour and precision physics

The NA48/2 experiment at CERN kaon facility

0.2

0.4

C. Biino – WIN 2019





# ★ Measurement of K<sup>±</sup> → π<sup>0</sup> ℓ<sup>±</sup> ν<sub>ℓ</sub> (K<sub>ℓ3</sub>) ★ Form Factors

JHEP 1810 (2018) 150 [arXiv: 1808.09041 [hep-ex] [CERN-PH-EP-2018-231]

### K&3 Form Factors parametrization



The differential K&3 decay width can be described  
in the 
$$(E_{\ell}^{*}, E_{\pi}^{*})$$
 Dalitz plot as:  

$$\frac{d^{2} \Gamma(K_{l3}^{\pm})}{dE_{l}^{*} dE_{\pi}^{*}} = \rho(E_{l}^{*}, E_{\pi}^{*}) = N(A_{1}|f_{+}(t)|^{2} + A_{2}f_{+}(t)f_{-}(t) + A_{3}|f_{-}(t)|^{2}$$

$$\frac{A_{1} = m_{K} \left(2 E_{l}^{*} E_{\nu}^{*} - m_{K}(E_{\pi}^{*,\max} - E_{\pi}^{*})\right) + m_{l}^{2} \left((E_{\pi}^{*,\max} - E_{\pi}^{*})/4 - E_{\nu}^{*}\right)$$

$$\frac{A_{2} = m_{l}^{2} \left(E_{\nu}^{*} - (E_{\pi}^{*,\max} - E_{\pi}^{*})/2\right), \qquad \ell = e, \mu$$

$$t = (p_{K} - p_{\pi})^{2} = M_{e\nu}^{2}$$

$$E_{\pi}^{*,\max} = (m_{K}^{2} + m_{\pi0}^{2} - m_{e}^{2})/2 m_{K}^{2}$$

 $f_+$  and  $f_-$  are the vector Form Factors,  $f_0$  the scalar Form Factor (FF)  $f_-(t) = (f_0(t) - f_+(t)) (m_K^2 - m_{\pi 0}^2)/t$ 

**Ke3 decays**  $\rightarrow$  only vector form factor  $\mathbf{f}_{+}(\mathbf{t})$ ,  $t = (p_{\kappa} - p_{\pi})^2$  **Kµ3 decays**  $\rightarrow$  both vector  $\mathbf{f}_{+}(\mathbf{t})$  and scalar  $\mathbf{f}_{0}(\mathbf{t})$  form factors  $\mathbf{f}_{+}(\mathbf{0})$  cannot be directly measured (lattice QCD calculation)  $\rightarrow \mathbf{f}_{+}(\mathbf{t})$ ,  $\mathbf{f}_{0}(\mathbf{t})$  normalised to  $\mathbf{f}_{+}(\mathbf{0})$ 

# K&3 Form Factors parametrization



#### • Linear and quadratic (Taylor expansion):

$$\tilde{f}_{+,0}(t) = 1 + \lambda_{+,0} \left(\frac{t}{m_{\pi^+}^2}\right)$$
$$\tilde{f}_{+,0}(t) = 1 + \lambda_{+,0}' \left(\frac{t}{m_{\pi^+}^2}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \lambda_{+,0}'' \left(\frac{t}{m_{\pi^+}^2}\right)^2$$

FF as a function of slope and curvature parameters  $\lambda'$  and  $\lambda''$ 

Strong correlations between  $\lambda$  parameters Absence of their physical meaning

Results can be related to a dispersive fit [PLB 638(2006) 480, PRD 80(2009) 034034)]

• Pole (resonance):

Assumes the dominance of single vector or scalar resonances  $K^{\ast}$  Pole masses  $m_{\nu}$  and  $m_{s}$  are the only free parameters

 $f_{+}(t)$  described by K<sup>\*</sup>(892) meson, no dominating resonances for  $f_{0}(t)$ 

$$\tilde{f}_{+}(t) = \frac{m_V^2}{m_V^2 - t} \qquad \qquad \tilde{f}_{0}(t) = \frac{m_S^2}{m_S^2 - t}$$

#### • Dispersive:

Makes use of general chiral symmetry and analiticity constraints, and external inputs from  $K^-$  scattering data, via the functions H(t) and G(t).



### The FF can be parametrized in several ways:

FF Parameterisation	f <sub>+</sub> (t,parameters)	f <sub>o</sub> (t,parameters)
Taylor expansion	$1+\lambda'_{+} t/m_{\pi^{+}}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\lambda''_{+}(t/m_{\pi^{+}}^{2})^{2}$	1 + $\lambda'_0 t/m_{\pi^+}^2$
Pole	$M_v^2 / (M_v^2 - t)$	$M_{s}^{2} / (M_{s}^{2} - t)$
<b>Dispersive*</b> H(t), G(t) functions fixed from theory and other data. Depend on extra external parameters.	exp( ( $\Lambda_+$ + H(t)) t/m <sup>2</sup> <sub>π+</sub> )	exp( (ln[C]-G(t)) t/(m <sub>K</sub> <sup>2</sup> -m <sup>2</sup> <sub>π0</sub> ) )

\* [V. Bernard, M. Oertel, E. Passemar, J. Stern. Phys.Rev. D80 (2009) 034034]



# Experimental Dalitz plots (5x5 MeV<sup>2</sup> cells)





Fit to Dalitz plot
✓ Acceptance correction
✓ Radiative correction [C.Gatti, EPJ C45 (2006) 417]
✓ Background subtraction

Masking of bins at the border of physical region



### **Experimental Dalitz plots – background**



Process	$\mathcal{B}\left[\% ight]$	$N_{\rm gen} [10^6]$	$f_{Ke3} [10^{-3}]$	$f_{K\mu3} [10^{-3}]$
$K^{\pm}  ightarrow \pi^{\pm} \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \ (\pi^{0}  ightarrow \gamma \gamma, \pi^{0}  ightarrow \gamma \gamma)$	1.72(2)	62.5	0.286(6)	2.192(32)
$K^{\pm}  ightarrow \pi^{\pm} \pi^{0} \; (\pi^{0}  ightarrow \gamma \gamma)$	20.43(8)	393.2	0.271(6)	0.392(10)
$K^{\pm} \to \pi^{\pm} \pi^0_D \; (\pi^0_D \to e^+ e^- \gamma)$	0.243(7)	1.5	0.049(5)	0.0008(8)
$K^{\pm} \to \pi^0 \mu^{\pm} \nu \ (\pi^0 \to \gamma \gamma) [via \ \mu \to e \bar{\nu} \nu]$	0.033(3)	174.3	0.044(5)	
$K^{\pm} \to e^{\pm} \nu \pi^0 \pi^0 \ (\pi^0 \to \gamma \gamma, \pi^0 \to \gamma \gamma)$	0.0022(4)	5.0	0.019(3)	$< 4 \times 10^{-6}$
$K^{\pm} \to \pi^{\pm} \pi^{0} \gamma \ (T^{*}_{\pi^{+}} = 55 - 90 MeV, \pi^{0} \to \gamma \gamma)$	0.027(2)	35.3	0.0044(3)	0.071(4)
$K^{\pm} \to \pi^{\pm} \pi^{0} \pi^{0} \ (\pi^{0} \to \gamma \gamma, \pi^{0} \to e^{+} e^{-} \gamma)$	0.0204(7)	9.9	0.0028(2)	0.0130(5)
$K^{\pm} \to \mu^{\pm} \nu \pi^0 \pi^0 \ (\pi^0 \to \gamma \gamma, \pi^0 \to \gamma \gamma)$	0.0004(2)	5.0	$0.19(11) \times 10^{-5}$	0.004(2)

### < 0.1% and <0.3% background contamination respectively

# Results of the K $\ell$ 3 (K<sub>e3</sub> + K<sub>u3</sub>) analysis



FF parametrization	Taylor	expa	nsion	Po	le	Dispe	ersive
	$\lambda'_+$	$\lambda_{+}^{\prime\prime}$	$\lambda_0$	$m_V$	$m_S$	$\Lambda_+$	ln C
Central values	24.24	1.67	14.47	884.4	1208.3	24.99	183.65
Statistical error	0.75	0.29	0.63	3.1	21.2	0.20	5.92
Diverging beam component	0.97	0.35	0.55	1.1	32.2	0.08	9.43
Kaon momentum spectrum	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.1	0.7	0.00	0.19
Kaon mean momentum	0.04	0.01	0.04	0.2	1.7	0.01	0.47
LKr energy scale	0.66	0.12	0.61	4.9	17.4	0.32	5.16
LKr non-linearity	0.20	0.01	0.55	3.1	19.6	0.20	5.77
Residual background	0.08	0.03	0.04	0.1	0.7	0.01	0.16
Electron identification	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.2	0.2	0.01	0.05
Event pileup	0.23	0.08	0.08	0.4	0.2	0.03	0.07
Acceptance	0.23	0.07	0.03	0.7	4.3	0.05	1.11
Neutrino momentum resolution	0.16	0.04	0.04	0.9	3.3	0.06	0.88
Trigger efficiency	0.29	0.13	0.20	1.1	9.9	0.07	2.82
Dalitz plot binning	0.05	0.04	0.06	0.9	1.1	0.06	0.29
Dalitz plot resolution	0.02	0.01	0.03	0.0	1.3	0.00	0.39
Radiative corrections	0.17	0.01	0.57	2.5	20.1	0.16	5.92
External inputs						0.44	2.94
Systematic error	1.30	0.41	1.17	6.7	47.5	0.62	14.25
Total error	1.50	0.50	1.32	7.4	52.1	0.65	15.43
Correlation coefficient	$-0.934 (\lambda'_{+}/\lambda''_{+})$		$(\lambda_{+}^{\prime\prime})$	0.374		0.354	
	$0.118 (\lambda'_{+}/\lambda_{0})$						
	0.0	)91 (λ <u>''</u>	$(\lambda_0)$				
$\chi^2/\text{NDF}$	97	79.6/107	70	979.3	/1071	979.7	/1071

# Comparison of combined K<sub>23</sub> results







- The combined result has improved precision and is fully compatible with previous measurements.
- ✓ NA48/2 is the first experiment measuring Ke3 and Kµ3 FF for both K<sup>+</sup> and K<sup>−</sup>
- ✓ This will allow to decrease the contribution of Kℓ3 FF on the |Vus| error.





# ★ First observation of the K<sup>±</sup> → $\pi^{\pm}\pi^{0}e^{+}e^{-}$ rare decay

### Phys.Lett. B788 (2019) 552-561 [arXiv: 1809.02873 [hep-ex] [CERN-PH-EP-2018-246]

# $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} \pi^{0} e^{+} e^{-}$ decays - motivation

ME

- Never observed so far
- Proceeds through virtual photon exchange:  $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm}\pi^{0}\gamma^{*} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm}\pi^{0}e^{+}e^{-}$
- The differential decay rate is described in terms of three components: Inner Bremsstrahlung (IB), Direct Emission (DE (M,E)) and Interference (INT)
- Predicted BR by Chiral Perturbation Theory [1,2,3].



[1] [H.Pichl, EPJ C20 (2001) 371; [2] L.Cappello, O.Cata, G.D'Ambrosio, D. Gao EPJ C72 (2012) 1872; [3] <u>L.Cappello, O.Cata, G.D'Ambrosio, EPJ C78 (2018) 265</u>]

<sup>15</sup> Flavour and precision physics

 $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm}\pi^{0}e^{+}e^{-}$  decays – theory & parametrization

C. Biino – WIN 2019

# $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} \pi^{0} e^{+} e^{-}$ - event analysis



Data

Signal IB



The  $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm}\pi^{0} e^{+}e^{-}$  decay rate is measured relative to the normalization decay  $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} \pi^{0}$  collected concurrently with the same trigger logic.

Signal and Normalization have similar:

- ✓ Topology, but with one gamma less
- Kinematics constraints on reconstructed masses but different resolution
- Cuts
- Trigger efficiency for signal and normalization (99.7%)



### Signal: $\pi^{\pm}(\pi^{0} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma) e^{+}e^{-}$



#### x 10<sup>3</sup>



BR( $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm}\pi^{0}$ ) = (20.67 ± 0.08)% and  $\Gamma(\pi^{0}_{D})/\Gamma(\pi^{0}\rightarrow\gamma\gamma)$  = (1.188 ± 0.035)%

 $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} \pi^{0} e^{+} e^{-}$  decays – theory & parametrization

x 10<sup>3</sup>

4000

3500

3000

2500

2000

1500

1000

500

0

120

 $MeV/c^2$ 

<u>\_</u>

Events

# $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} \pi^{0} e^{+} e^{-}$ - background evaluation



### Background contributions are estimated from MC simulation:

		Decay	N. of background events	R = background/ signal (%)
Normalization:	Normalization	$\mathbf{K}_{\mu 3 \mathbf{D}}$	10,437 ± 119	
$\pi^{\pm}\pi^{0}_{P} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm}\gamma e^{+}e^{-}$	Normalization	K <sub>e3D</sub>	6,851 ± 106	
	Total			0.106
	Signal	K <sub>3πD</sub>	132 ± 8	
Signal:	Signal	<b>Κ<sub>2πDγ</sub></b>	102 ± 19	
π⁺(π⁰→γγ) e⁺e⁻	Signal	K <sub>e3D</sub>	7 ± 3	
		Total		4.9 ± 0.4

### $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} \pi^{0} e^{+} e^{-}$ decays – selection results





Canuluales N <sub>N</sub>	10.5 X 10
Background N <sub>BN</sub>	17288 ± 159
Acceptance A <sub>N</sub>	3.981%
L1 eff. ε <sub>L1S</sub>	(99.767±0.003)%
L2 eff. ε <sub>L2S</sub>	(98.495±0.006)%

Special data taking:					
$(1.717\pm0.007_{stat}\pm0.052_{ext})10^{11}$					
kaon decays (both K <sup>+</sup> and K <sup>-</sup> )					
used for this analysis					

Candidates N <sub>s</sub>	4919
Background N <sub>BS</sub>	241 ± 21
Acceptance A <sub>s</sub>	0.662%
L1 eff. ε <sub>L1S</sub>	(99.729±0.009)%
L2 eff. ε <sub>L2S</sub>	(98.604±0.021)%

# Branching Ratio measurement



 $\mathsf{BR}=\mathsf{BR}(\pi^{\pm}\pi^{0}) \times \Gamma(\pi^{0}_{D})/\Gamma(\pi^{0}_{\gamma\gamma}) \times \mathsf{N}_{S}=\mathsf{N}_{BS})/(\mathsf{N}_{N}=\mathsf{N}_{BN}) \times (\mathsf{A}_{N} \times \varepsilon_{N})/(\mathsf{A}_{S} \times \varepsilon_{S})$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{BR}(\mathsf{K}^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} \pi^{0}) = (20.67 \pm 0.08)\% \\ \Gamma(\pi^{0}{}_{\mathrm{D}})/\Gamma(\pi^{0}{}_{\gamma\gamma}) = (1.188 \pm 0.035)\% \end{array}$ 

### **Final result:**

 $BR = (4.237 \pm 0.063_{stat} \pm 0.033_{syst} \pm 0.126_{ext}) 10^{-6}$ 

The error is dominated by the external error of  $\Gamma(\pi^0_{\ \ \rho})/\Gamma(\pi^0_{\ \ \gamma\gamma})$ .

In perfect agreement with ChPT *Ref.* [3] predictions:

- For IB only:
- BR(IB) = 4.183 10<sup>-6</sup>
- When including all DE and INTERFERENCE terms:

### BR(IB+DE+INT) = 4.229 10<sup>-6</sup>

# **Statistical, Systematic** and **External uncertainties:**

Source	$\delta BR/BR \times 10^2$
$N_s$	1.426
$N_{bs}$	0.416
$N_n$	0.025
$N_{bn}$	negl.
Total statistical	1.486
$A_s$ (MC statistics)	0.171
$A_n$ (MC statistics)	0.051
$\varepsilon(L1_s \times L2_s)$ (MC statistics)	0.023
$\varepsilon(L1_n \times L2_n)$ (MC statistics)	0.007
Acceptance geometry control	0.083
Acceptance time variation control	0.064
Background control	0.280
Trigger efficiency (systematics)	0.400
Model dependence	0.285
Radiative effects	0.490
Total systematic	0.777
$BR(K_{2\pi})$	0.387
$\Gamma(\pi_D^0)/\Gamma(\pi_{\gamma\gamma}^0)$	2.946
Total external	2.971

# Kinematic space study

- The contribution of (DE + INTERFERENCE) is expected to be ~1% of the BR, dominated by IB, so is not possible to measure it within the current statistical precision.
- It was pointed out that the contributions of IB, M, and IB-E terms have different distributions in the Dalitz plot  $(T^*_{\pi}, E^*_{\gamma})$  for different ranges of  $q^2$  values  $\rightarrow$  we therefore proceed with a detailed study of the kinematic space.
- Data splitted in N1 x N2 x N3 exclusive 3d-boxes, all with equal populations followed by the minimization of a χ2 estimator to obtain the fractions M/IB and (IB-E)/IB reproducing the data.

ext
28 <sub>ext</sub>

# Asymmetry investigations



### The simplest CP-violating asymmetry is the charge asymmetry

between  $K^+$  and  $K^-$  partial rates: BR(K<sup>+</sup>) = (4.151 ± 0.0078<sub>stat</sub>) 10<sup>-6</sup>

$$BR(K^{-}) = (4.394 \pm 0.0108_{stat}) \, 10^{-6}$$

A<sub>CP</sub> = 
$$-0.0284 \pm 0.0155_{stat}$$

$$A_{CP} = \frac{\Gamma(K^+ \to \pi^+ \pi^0 e^+ e^-) - \Gamma(K^- \to \pi^- \pi^0 e^+ e^-)}{\Gamma(K^+ \to \pi^+ \pi^0 e^+ e^-) + \Gamma(K^- \to \pi^- \pi^0 e^+ e^-)}$$

the error is statistical only, the systematic and external errors cancel in the ratio. This value is translated to a single-sided limit:  $|A_{CP}| < 4.82 \ 10^{-2} \ at \ 90\% \ CL$ 

Several **CP-violating asymmetries** and a **long-distance P-violating asymmetry** have been evaluated and found to be consistent with zero, leading to upper limits:  $|A^{\phi^*}_{CP}| < 3.11 \ 10^{-2}, |A^{\phi}_{CP}| < 2.50 \ 10^{-2} \ at \ 90\% \ CL$ .

The long-distance P-violating asymmetry:  $A^{(L)}_{P} < 2.07 \ 10^{-2} \ at \ 90\% \ CL$ 

These asymmetries are integrated over particular regions of the angular variable Φ (angle between the dipion and dilepton planes in the kaon rest frame). They can give access to the interference term IB-M and to the sign of the M term.

# Summary of NA48/2 recent results



### Precise measurement of $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \ell^{\pm} v$ (K<sub> $\ell 3$ </sub>) Form Factors

- K<sub>e3</sub> form factors have been measured using ~4.4·10<sup>6</sup> K<sub>e3</sub> and ~2.3·10<sup>6</sup> K<sub>μ3</sub> events, from NA48/2 data taking, with negligible background @(0.1%).
- For the first time the measurement is done simultaneously in K<sup>+</sup> and K<sup>-</sup>.
- The combined result has improved precision and is fully compatible with previous measurement.
- This will allow to decrease the contribution of  $K_{\ell 3}$  FF on the |Vus| error.

### First observation of the rare decay $K^{\pm} \longrightarrow \pi^{\pm} \, \pi^{0} \, e^{+} \, e^{-}$

• 4919 events of the process  $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm}\pi^{0}\gamma^{*} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm}\pi^{0}e^{+}e^{-} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm}\gamma\gamma e^{+}e^{-}$  have been observed for the first time:

BR = 
$$(4.237 \pm 0.063_{\text{stat}} \pm 0.033_{\text{syst}} \pm 0.126_{\text{ext}}) \ 10^{-6}$$

- Background contamination is 4.9 %.
- Uncertainty is dominated by external error (on BR( $\pi^0_D$ ).
- The result on the BR is in agreement with the ChPT prediction.
- The relative contribution, (M)/IB =  $(1.14 \pm 0.43s_{tat}) 10^{-2}$ , is found consistent with the theoretical expectation of  $(1.4 \pm 0.14_{ext}) 10^{-2}$ . The (IB-E)/IB =  $(-0.14 \pm 0.36stat) 10^{-2}$ , is also in agreement with the prediction of  $(-0.39 \pm 0.28ext) 10^{-2}$  but with limited significance due to the lack of data statistics in the high m<sub>ee</sub> region.
- Several CP-violating asymmetries and a long-distance P-violating asymmetry have been evaluated and found to be consistent with zero.

Phys.Lett.







# e Thankworr

★ Extra material →

ME

 $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{0} \ell^{\pm} v_{\ell}$  ( $\ell = e, \mu$ ): accurate and theoretically cleanest way to access  $|V_{us}|$ 

$$\Gamma(\mathbf{K}_{\ell 3(\gamma)}) = \frac{G_{F}^{2} m_{K}^{5}}{192 \pi^{3}} C_{K}^{2} S_{EW} |V_{us}|^{2} |f_{+}(0)|^{2} \mathbf{I}_{K}^{\ell} (1 + \delta_{SU(2)}^{\ell} + \delta_{EM}^{\ell})^{2}$$

### **★**Theoretical input:

K<sup>±</sup><sub>e3</sub> decays - theory

- $C_{K}$  Clebsch-Gordan coefficient (1 for K<sup>0</sup> and 1/V2 for K<sup>±</sup>)
- S<sub>EW</sub> Universal short distance EW correction (1.0232±0.0003)
- $f_+(0)$  Form factor at zero momentum transfer (@0.5%) [EPJC 69 2010, 399]
- $\delta^{e}_{SU(2)}$  Isospin breaking correction (charged mode only) [EPJC 69 2010, 399]
- $\delta^{e}_{EM}$  Long distance EM correction

### **★**Experimental input:

- $\Gamma(K_{e3})$  Branching ratios and Kaon lifetimes
- I<sup>e</sup><sub>K</sub> Phase space integral depends on form factors

**Ke3 decays**  $\rightarrow$  only vector form factor  $\mathbf{f}_{+}(\mathbf{t})$ ,  $t = (p_{K}-p_{\pi})^{2}$  **Kµ3 decays**  $\rightarrow$  both vector  $\mathbf{f}_{+}(\mathbf{t})$  and scalar  $\mathbf{f}_{0}(\mathbf{t})$  form factors  $\mathbf{f}_{+}(\mathbf{0})$  cannot be directly measured (lattice QCD calculation)  $\rightarrow \mathbf{f}_{+}(\mathbf{t})$ ,  $\mathbf{f}_{0}(\mathbf{t})$  normalised to  $\mathbf{f}_{+}(\mathbf{0})$ 

# Results of the K<sub>e3</sub> analysis



	$\lambda'_{+}$	λ" <sub>+</sub>	$m_V$	$\Lambda_+$	
Central values	24.26	1.64	885.2	24.94	—— (1) KTeV
Statistical error	0.78	0.30	3.3	0.21	—— (2) KLOE
Diverging beam component	0.89	0.31	1.4	0.10	—— (3) NA48
Kaon momentum spectrum	0.00	0.00	0.1	0.01	
Kaon mean momentum	0.03	0.01	0.1	0.01	$(4) \text{ IS I RA+ } (K_{e3})$
LKr energy scale	0.69	0.14	5.0	0.33	(5) This measurement
LKr non-linearity	0.28	0.01	3.4	0.22	
Residual background	0.08	0.04	0.4	0.02	
Electron identification	0.02	0.01	0.2	0.01	
Event pileup	0.24	0.08	0.5	0.03	$\times$ 1 4
Acceptance	0.29	0.08	1.2	0.08	
Neutrino momentum resolution	0.18	0.04	1.1	0.07	
Trigger efficiency	0.33	0.13	1.0	0.07	5 2
Dalitz plot binning	0.07	0.01	0.7	0.05	
Dalitz plot resolution	0.06	0.04	0.4	0.02	
Radiative corrections	0.20	0.01	2.9	0.19	3
External inputs				0.44	
Systematic error	1.30	0.39	7.2	0.64	$\lambda_{\perp}' \times 10^3$
Total error	1.51	0.49	7.9	0.67	
Correlation coefficient	- 0.	929		—	
$\chi^2$ /NDF	569.1	/687	568.9/688	569.0/688	

The units of  $\lambda_{+}$ ',  $\lambda_{+}$ "and  $\Lambda_{+}$ values and errors are in 10<sup>-3</sup> units. The units of  $m_v$  are in MeV/c<sup>2</sup>.

25 Flavour and precision physics

K<sub>2</sub>3 decays – results

C. Biino - WIN 2019

### Results of the K<sub>u3</sub> analysis

	λ'_+	$\lambda_{+}^{\prime\prime}$	$\lambda_0$	$m_V$	$m_S$	$\Lambda_{+}$	ln C
Central values	24.27	1.83	14.20	878.4	1214.8	25.36	182.17
Statistical error	2.88	1.05	1.14	8.8	23.5	0.58	6.31
Diverging beam component	2.03	0.78	0.13	0.9	30.9	0.04	8.98
Kaon momentum spectrum	0.08	0.02	0.00	0.1	0.9	0.01	0.24
Kaon mean momentum	0.06	0.00	0.06	0.8	2.4	0.06	0.63
LKr energy scale	0.31	0.01	0.53	4.5	19.4	0.30	5.55
LKr non-linearity	0.93	0.38	0.25	1.3	21.7	0.08	6.26
Residual background	0.13	0.00	0.02	1.7	1.3	0.11	0.31
Event pileup	0.04	0.01	0.03	0.0	0.7	0.00	0.18
Acceptance	0.70	0.18	0.18	2.9	0.3	0.20	0.14
Neutrino momentum resolution	0.09	0.03	0.08	0.2	2.1	0.01	0.59
Trigger efficiency	0.60	0.08	0.23	5.1	5.7	0.35	1.72
Dalitz plot binning	1.50	0.63	0.63	2.8	3.6	0.18	0.85
Dalitz plot resolution	0.04	0.01	0.02	0.1	0.4	0.01	0.18
Radiative corrections	0.32	0.10	0.54	0.7	23.7	0.04	6.73
External inputs						0.46	2.87
Systematic error	2.89	1.09	1.07	8.3	49.2	0.72	14.45
Total error	4.08	1.52	1.57	12.1	54.5	0.92	15.76
Correlation coefficients	-0.9	)74 ( <i>\</i> 2_+	/λ" <sub>+</sub> )	0.029		0.104	
	0.5	511 (X <sub>+</sub>	$(\lambda_0)$				
	-0.5	513 ( <i>X</i> <sub>+</sub> '	$(\lambda_0)$				
$\chi^2$ /NDF	4	09.9/38	1	409.	9/382	410.	3/382
						– (1) K	TeV

30  $K_{\mu3}$ **ົດ2** 25 × 20 3 15 15 5 10  $\lambda_0 \times 10^3$  $K_{\mu3}$  $\lambda_+$ " imes 10<sup>3</sup> 10 15 5  $\lambda_0 \times 10^3$ (5) This measurement

10<sub>1</sub>

imes 10 $^3$ 

ν**+**"

The units of  $\lambda_{\!_{+}}{'}$  ,  $\lambda_{\!_{+}}{''}$  ,  $\lambda_{\!_{0}}{'}$  ,  $\Lambda_{\!_{+}}$  , and In C values and errors are in 10<sup>-3</sup> units. The units of  $m_v$  and  $m_s$  are in MeV/c<sup>2</sup>.

#### Flavour and precisi

K<sub>2</sub>3 decays – results

(2) KLOE

(3) NA48

(4) ISTRA+ (K<sub>e3</sub>)

#### C. Biino – WIN 2019

 $K_{\mu3}$ 

30

2

25

 $\lambda_{+}' \times 10^3$ 

20

15

### Comparison of K<sub>e3</sub> results





Decays), Eur. Phys. J. C 69 (2010) 399.

# K<sup>±</sup><sub>ℓ3</sub> - Event-weighting fit procedure



- Experimental Dalitz plot is corrected for the simulated background.
- For each fit iteration, the model Dalitz plot is filled with MC simulated reconstructed center-of-mass pion and lepton energies. Each event is weighted by:

 $w = \rho_0 (E_{\pi}^{true}, E_{\ell}^{true}, FF_{fit}) / \rho_0 (E_{\pi}^{true}, E_{\ell}^{true}, FF_{MCgenerator})$ 

where  $\rho_0$  is the non-radiative Dalitz density formula (for Ke3 an additional factor is applied to conform the universal correction).

• MINUIT package is used to search for the most probable  $FF_{fit}$  parameters minimizing the standard  $\chi^2$  value:

$$\chi^{2} = \sum_{i,j} \frac{(D_{i,j} - MC_{i,j})^{2}}{(\delta D_{i,j})^{2} + (\delta MC_{i,j})^{2}}$$

where i,j are the Dalitz plot cell indices,  $D_{i,j}$  is the background-corrected experimental data content of the cell,  $MC_{i,j}$  is the weighted MC bin content, and  $\delta D_{i,j}$   $\delta MC_{i,j}$  are the corresponding statistical errors. Background correction contribution also has some dependence on FF due to the signal acceptance sensitivity.

➔ At least 20 data events per cell are required in the fit area.

# K<sup>±</sup><sub>e3</sub> - Dalitz plot projections



Reconstructed lepton energy and pion energy distributions for data after background subtraction and simulated samples according to the fit results using the Taylor expansion model (other parametrisation plots look very similar).

The fit results and systematic uncertainties are available:

- For K<sub>e3</sub>
- For K<sub>μ3</sub>
- For the combined K&3 result: joint fits minimizing  $\chi^2(K_{e3}) + \chi^2(K_{\mu3})$  with a common set of fit parameters.

JHEP 1810 (2018) 150 [arXiv: 1808.09041 [hep-ex] [CERN-PH-EP-2018-231]

K&3 decays – data analysis

# K<sup>±</sup><sub>e3</sub> - Radiative corrections



In the detailed GEANT3-based Monte Carlo simulation, the K&3 decays are modeled according to **ref**[5] [C.Gatti, Eur. Phys. J. C 45 (2006) 417] including both the Dalitz plot density and radiative corrections, with exactly one photon emitted in each decay, and tracked through the detector if its energy in the laboratory frame is above 1 MeV. This approach takes into account the infrared divergence of photon radiation by extending the soft-photon approxi-mation to the whole energy range. The implementation has been validated using the KLOE experimental data.

On the other hand, model-independent (universal) radiative corrections have been proposed in **ref**[6] [V.Cirigliano et al , Eur. Phys. J. C 23 (2002) 121]. Using these corrections FFs are free from uncertainties due to radiative corrections by construction, and their deviation from FFs defined in absence of electromagnetic interaction can be estimated.

In this analysis, the approach [5] is used, and the Dalitz plot density is corrected by event-by-event weights  $w_r(E_{\ell}^*, E_{\pi}^*)$  equal to the ratio of densities obtained within the formulations of [6] and [5].

In the Ke3 case, the weighting leads to  $d\Gamma = dE_e^*$  variations as large as 2%. In the Kµ3 case, the weights have been found to be  $w_r(E_{\mu}^*; E_{\pi}^*) = 1$  within the required precision.

A linear approximation for the vector and scalar FFs  $f_+(t) = f_0(t) = 1+.0296 t/m_{\pi}^2$  is used to generate the simulated samples.

# K<sup>±</sup><sub>e3</sub> decays – event selection





#### $\pi^{0}$ :

- A pair of clusters in-time (within 5 ns) without any in-time extra clusters (to suppress BG)
- Distance between the clusters in a pair > 20 cm
- $E(\pi^0) > 15$  GeV (for the trigger efficiency)
- Z of decay: from 2y assuming  $\pi^0$  mass («neutral Z»); Z > 200 cm downstream the last collimator DCH1 inner flunge cut for the both y



# Background suppression selection cuts



Assumptions:

### Specific Ke3 selection cuts

• Neutrino transversal momentum with respect to the beam axis  $P_t > 0.03 \text{ GeV/c}$ Against  $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} \pi^0$  with  $\pi^{\pm}$  misidentified as  $e^{\pm}$ .



•  $p_L(\nu)^2 = E(\nu))^2/c^2 - (p_t(\nu))^2 > 0.0014 \text{ GeV}^2/c^2$ , negative tail and zero region sensitive to beam shape



### Specific K $\mu$ 3 selection cuts

- cut against π<sup>±</sup>π<sup>0</sup>π<sup>0</sup> : (P<sub>2</sub> P<sub>1</sub>) < 60 GeV, a difference between two P solution is large when one pion is missing
- against the background from  $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} \pi^{0}$  with  $\pi^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi \mu^{\pm} \nu$

 $m(\pi^{\pm}\pi^{0}) < 0.47 \text{ GeV/c}^{2}$ 

 $m(\pi^{\pm}\pi^{0}) < (0.6 - P_{t}(\pi^{0})) \text{ GeV/c}^{2}$ 

 $m(\mu^{\pm}\nu) > 0.16 \text{ GeV/c}^2$  (to exclude  $\pi^+$  mass region)

# $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} \pi^{0} e^{+} e^{-} - Acceptance$



The normalization channel acceptance A<sub>n</sub> (3.981%) is computed using the simulation of K<sup>±</sup> → π<sup>±</sup>π<sup>0</sup><sub>D</sub> according to [ ◊] followed by π<sup>0</sup><sub>D</sub> decay according to the most re-cent "Prague" radiative decay calculation.

The MC samples for the different  $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm}\pi^{0}e^{+}e^{-}$  signal contributions IB, DE and INT have been generated separately according to the recent theoretical description [2] and global acceptances are obtained for each of the three main components of the signal process: IB (0.645 ± 0.001)%, M (1.723 ± 0.003)% and IB-E (0.288 ± 0.001)%. The signal acceptance A<sub>s</sub> is then obtained from a weighted average of the singlecomponent acceptances, using relative contributions to the total rate with respect to



[\*] C.Gatti, Eur.Phys.J. C45 (2006) 417

 $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} \pi^{0} e^{+} e^{-} decays$ 

C. Biino – WIN 2019

# $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} \pi^{0} e^{+} e^{-} - event reconstruction and selection$



### Signal: $\pi^{\pm}(\pi^{0} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma) e^{+}e^{-}$

Normalization:  $\pi^{\pm}\pi^{0}_{D} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm}\gamma e^{+}e^{-}$ 

Very abundant:

BR( $\pi^{\pm}\pi^{0}_{D}$ )xBR( $\pi^{0}_{D}$ ) = 22.66 % x 1.17 % = 2.425 10<sup>-3</sup>

- 3 charged tracks in both cases, forming a vertex, but only one photon in the normalization channel;
- No PID from LKr, only kinematics => no LKr acceptance cuts on tracks;
- Assign electron mass to the track with a charge opposite to kaon charge;
- For both other tracks try using both M(e) and M( $\pi^{\pm}$ );
- Reconstruct  $M(\pi^0)$  and  $M(K^{\pm})$ ;
- The mass resolutions (Gaussian rms) obtained from data: Signal mode: σ(π<sup>0</sup><sub>γγ</sub>) ≈ 2.7 MeV/c<sup>2</sup>, σ(π π<sup>0</sup><sub>γγ</sub> ee) ≈ 6.1 MeV/c<sup>2</sup> Norm. mode: σ(π<sup>0</sup><sub>D</sub>) ≈ 1.7 MeV/c<sup>2</sup>, σ(π ± π<sub>D</sub>) ≈ 4.2 MeV/c<sup>2</sup>
- $|M(\pi^0) M_{PDG}| < 15 \text{ MeV/c}^2;$
- $|M(K^{\pm}) M_{PDG}| < 45 \text{ MeV/c}^2;$
- $|M(\pi^0) 0.42 M(K^{\pm}) + 72.3 MeV/c^2| < 6 MeV/c^2$





# Other asymmetry investigations - (2)



Electroweak (or beyond Standard Model) phases change sign under charge conjugation when switching from K<sup>+</sup> to K<sup>-</sup>, unlike the strong phase  $\delta = \delta_0^2 - \delta_0^1$  that governs the final state interaction of the pion system. These phases can be investigated through asymmetries between K<sup>+</sup> and K<sup>-</sup> partial rates.

Other asymmetries are defined using the so-called Cabibbo-Maksymowicz variables to describe the kinematic space of the decay and selecting particular integration regions of the angular variable.



These asymmetries can be obtained by combining the branching ratios measured in various parts of the variable space.  $\int_{1}^{2\pi} \frac{d\Gamma_{(K^+-K^-)}}{d\phi^*} d\phi^* = c_{2\pi}^{2\pi} \int_{1}^{2\pi} \frac{d\Gamma_{(K^+-K^-)}}{d\phi^*} d\phi^*$ 

$$A_{CP}^{\phi^*} = \frac{\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\Gamma_{(K^+ - K^-)}}{d\phi} d\phi^*}{\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\Gamma_{(K^+ + K^-)}}{d\phi} d\phi}, \text{ where } \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi^* \equiv \left[\int_0^{\pi/2} -\int_{\pi/2}^{\pi} +\int_{\pi}^{3\pi/2} -\int_{3\pi/2}^{2\pi}\right] d\phi$$

i.e.  $A^{\Phi^*}_{CP}$  asymmetry is related to the interference IB-M term

$$A_{CP}^{\tilde{\phi}} = \frac{\int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{d\Gamma_{(K^{+}-K^{-})}}{d\phi} d\tilde{\phi}}{\int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{d\Gamma_{(K^{+}+K^{-})}}{d\phi} d\phi}, \text{ where } \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\tilde{\phi} \equiv \left[\int_{0}^{\pi/2} + \int_{\pi/2}^{\pi} - \int_{\pi}^{3\pi/2} - \int_{3\pi/2}^{2\pi} \right] d\phi$$

<sup>35</sup> Flavour and precision physics

 $K^{\pm} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} \pi^{0} e^{+} e^{-} decays$ 

C. Biino – WIN 2019