# The ENUBET project

# **A. Longhin** (Padova University and INFN) on behalf of the ENUBET Collaboration

This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (G.A. n. 681647).



Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare

# WIN 2019 Bari (IT), 4/6/2019

# **Overview and outline**

The goal of ENUBET is to demonstrate the technical feasibility and physics performance of a neutrino beam where lepton production at large angles is monitored at single particle level.

#### Two pillars:

- Build/test a **demonstrator** of the instrumented decay tunnel
- Design/simulate the layout of the hadronic beamline

#### Achievements

- Beamline simulation + accelerator studies
- Updated physics performance
- Experimental validation of detector **prototypes**





Enhanced NeUtrino BEams from kaon Tagging

ERC-CoG-2015, G.A. 681647 (2016-21) PI A. Longhin, **Padova University, INFN** 





# Monitored beams



Based on conventional technologies, aiming for a 1% precision on the v flux

protons 
$$\rightarrow$$
 (K<sup>+</sup>,  $\pi^+$ )  $\rightarrow$  K decays  $\rightarrow$   $e^+$   $v_e^-$  heutrino detector

- Monitor (~ inclusively) the decays in which  $\nu$  are produced event-by-event
- "By-pass" hadro-production, PoT, beam-line efficiency uncertainties
- Fully instrumented decay region

 $\mathbf{K}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbf{e}^{+} \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{n}^{0} \rightarrow \text{large angle } \mathbf{e}^{+}$ 

v flux prediction = e<sup>+</sup> counting

Removes the **leading source of uncertainty** in v cross section measurements

To get the correct spectra and avoid swamping the instrumentation  $\rightarrow$  needs a **collimated momentum selected hadron beam**  $\rightarrow$  **only decay products in the tagger** 

→ Correlations with interaction radius allows an **a priori knowledge of the v spectra** 

### Neutrino beams for precision physics: the ENUBET project 🖓 🛉

The next generation of **short baseline** experiments for **cross-section** measurements and for **precision v-physics** (e.g. **CP violation program**, sterile v NSI) should rely on:

#### $\checkmark$ a direct measurement of the fluxes

a narrow band beam: energy known a priori from beam width
 a beam covering the region of interest from sub- to multi-GeV

#### The ENUBET facility fulfills simultaneously all these requirements



# The ENUBET beamline (baseline option) <sup>2nd</sup> quadrupole <sup>2nd</sup> quadrupole



- Proton driver: CERN (400 GeV), FNAL (120 GeV), J-PARC (30 GeV)
- <u>Target</u>: 1 m Be, graphite target. FLUKA.
- Focusing
  - **Horn**: 2 ms pulse, 180 kA, 10 Hz during the flat top *[not shown in fig.]*
  - **Static focusing system**: a quadrupole triplet before the bending magnet
- <u>Transfer line</u>
  - Kept **short** to: minimize early K the decays and those of off-momentum mesons out of tagger acceptance (untagged neutrino flux component)
  - Optics: optimized with TRANSPORT to a 10% momentum bite centered at 8.5 GeV/c
  - Particle transport and interaction: full simulation with G4Beamline
  - Normal-conducting magnets

2 quad triplets (15 cm wide, L < 2 m, B = 4 to 7 T/m) 1 bending dipole (15 cm wide, L = 2 m, B =1.8 T)

- Decay tunnel: r = 1 m. L=40 m, low power hadron dump at the end
- Proton dump: position and size under optimization

A. Longhin - ENUBET

# The ENUBET beam line – particle yields



Focusing system	π/pot (10 <sup>-3</sup> )	K/pot (10 <sup>-3</sup> )	Extraction length	п/сусle (10 <sup>10</sup> )	K/cycle (10 <sup>10</sup> )	Proposal <sup>(c)</sup>
Horn	97	7.9	2 ms <sup>(a)</sup>	438	36	x 2
"static"	19	1.4	2 s	85	6.2	x 5

(a) 2 ms at 10 Hz during the flat top (2 s) to empty the accelerator after a super-cycle. (c) A. Longhin, L. Ludovici, F. Terranova, EPJ C75 (2015) 155.

The horn-based option still allows ~x5 faster statistics but the static option gained momentum since initial estimates were ~ x 5 too conservative wrt to present simulations! **Furthermore ... advantages of the static extraction:** 

- No need for fast-cycling horn
- Strong **reduction of the rate** (pile-up) in the instrumented decay tunnel
- Pave the way to a "tagged neutrino beam" →
   v interaction at the detector associated in time with the observation of the lepton from the parent hadron in the decay tunnel (more later)
- Monitor the  $\mu$  after the dump at % level (flux of  $v_{\mu}$  from  $\pi$ ) [under evaluation]

# Neutrino events per year at the detector



- **Detector mass**: 500 t (e.g. Protodune-SP or DP @ CERN, ICARUS @ Fermilab, WC at J-PARC ?)
- **Baseline** (i.e. distance between the detector and the beam dump) : 50 m
- $4.5 \times 10^{19}$  pot at SPS (0.5 / 1 y in dedicated/shared mode) or  $1.5 \times 10^{20}$  pot at FNAL
- $v_{\mu}$  from K and  $\pi$  are well separated in energy (narrow band)
- $v_e^{}$  and  $v_{\mu}^{}$  from K are constrained by the tagger measurement (K<sub>e3</sub>, mainly K<sub>µ2</sub>).
- $v_{\mu}$  from  $\pi$ :  $\mu$  detectors downstream of the hadron dump? (under study)



# v<sub>"</sub> CC events at the ENUBET narrow band beam

The neutrino energy is a function of the distance of the neutrino vertex from the beam axis.



ENUBET @ SPS, 400 GeV, 4.5e19 pot, 500 ton detector

- The beam width at fixed R (  $\equiv v$  energy resolution for  $\pi$  component) is:
  - 8 % for r ~ 50 cm, <E<sub>v</sub>>~ 3 GeV
  - 22% for r ~ 250 cm, <E<sub>y</sub>> ~ 0.7 GeV

+ Binning in R allows to explore the energy domains of **DUNE/HK** and enrich samples in specific processes (quasi-elastic, resonances, DIS) for cross section measurements



## Systematics on the $\nu_{_{e}}$ flux

**Golden sample**  $\epsilon \sim O(10^{-2})$ 

 $\phi(v_{\alpha}) = \alpha N(K_{\alpha}) + \varepsilon N(\mu)$ 

Uncertainties from K yields, efficiency and stability of the transfer line are by-passed by the e<sup>+</sup> tagging

 $\alpha$  encodes the residual geometrical (decay lengths, beam spread) and kinematic factors from K decays  $\rightarrow$  "easy" corrections.

The background in the positron sample has to be controlled  $\rightarrow$  simple robust detector validated at test beams (e/ $\pi^{\pm 0}/\mu$  separation)

#### Silver sample $\phi'(v_e) = \alpha N(K) \times BR(K_{e3})$

Measuring the **inclusive rate of K decays** is also very powerful. Branching ratios known to < 0.1% (additional uncertainty is small). Residual background is **stray pions from beam tails** (well characterized in terms of azimuth and longitudinal position)

 $K^{+} \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} + \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & &$ 

- can we get to 1% ? assessment in progress: toy Monte Carlos + full simulation
- Address the effect of each uncertainty and the degree of cancellations allowed by the large correlations between e<sup>+</sup> rate and v<sub>e</sub> flux.



### Machine studies for the horn-based option



"burst " slow extraction: trigger the third integer betatron resonance with a periodic pattern

From an idea "on slide" to a working implementation !





10 ms 90 ms



A. Longhin - ENUBET

WIN2019-4/6/2019

https://ipac2019.vrws.de/papers/wepmp035.pdf 10

# The static beamline: emittance, particle content





# The hadronic beamline: FLUKA simulation



1) Optimize shielding to **reduce backgrounds** in the tagger ( $\mu$ , n, high angle e<sup>+</sup> and  $\pi^+$ )



#### 2) Specs of **rad-hard upstream focusing quads**





# Additional beamline options

We are also simulating other beamline schemes:

- **2 dipoles with an intermediate quadrupole**. Increased length of beamline but  $\dots \rightarrow$
- Better quality of the beam in the tagger
- larger bending angle (15.2 °) reducing
  - backgrounds from muons
  - probability for neutrinos produced in the straight section to reach the  $\nu$  detector



- We are putting all these inputs together
- $\rightarrow$  pindown the best scheme in terms of physics and technical feasibility

WIN2019 - 4/6/2019



# The ENUBET tagger

Ultra Compact Module 3×3×10 cm<sup>3</sup> – 4.3 X<sub>0</sub>



Longitudinal segmentation Plastic scintillator + Iron absorbers Integrated light readout with SiPM

#### $\rightarrow$ e<sup>+</sup>/n<sup>±</sup>/µ separation

Integrated photon veto Plastic scintillators Rings of 3×3 cm<sup>2</sup> pads → π<sup>0</sup> rejection







A. Longhin - ENUBET

# **K**<sub>e3</sub> **positrons reconstruction**



**Full GEANT4 simulation** of the detector, validated by prototype tests at CERN in 2016-2018. Includes particle **propagation** and **decay**, from the transfer line to the detector, hit-level detector response, **pile-up** effects.

Analysis chain Event Builder	 Identify the <b>seed</b> of the event (UCM with largest energy deposit in inner layer and > 20 MeV). <b>Cluster neighboring cells</b> close in time.
e/ $\pi/\mu$ separation	 Iterate on not-yet-clustered cells. Multivariate analysis based on 6 variables (pattern of the
e/ $\gamma$ separation	 energy deposition in the calorimeter) with TMVA Signal on the tiles of the <b>photon veto (0-1-2 mip</b> )



### Time tagged neutrino beams ?

- Event time dilution → **Time-tagging**
- Associating a single neutrino interaction to a tagged e<sup>+</sup> with a small "accidental coincidence" probability through time coincidences

 $E_v$  and flavor of the v measured "a priori" event by event.

Compare "E<sub>v</sub> from decay kinematics"  $\leftrightarrow$  "E<sub>v</sub> from v interaction products"



genuine  $K_{e3}$  cand. : 80 MHz  $\rightarrow$  1 every  $\sim$  12 ns background  $K_{e3}$  cand.  $\sim$  2 x  $\rightarrow$  1 cand. every  $\sim$  4 ns With  $\delta$ =0.5  $\oplus$  0.5 ns resolutions: already interesting! S/N ratio will likely improve with further tuning.





# The tagger: shashlik with integrated readout





**UCM**: ultra compact module. SiPM and electronics embedded in the shashlik calorimeter





### Test beam results with shashlik readout



#### Calorimeter prototype performance with test-beam data @ CERN-PS T9 line 2016-2017

UCM module n.

Tested response to MIP, e and  $\pi^-$ 

- e.m. energy resoluton:  $17\%/\sqrt{E}$  (GeV)
- Linearity deviations: <3% in 1-5 GeV range
- From 0 to 200 mrad  $\rightarrow$  no significant differences
- Work to be done on the fiber-to-SiPM mechanical coupling  $\rightarrow$  dominates the nonuniformities



longitudinal profiles of partially contained  $\pi$ reproduced by MC @ 10% precision



A. Longhin - ENUBET

### Polysiloxane shashlik prototypes



Pros : **increased resistance to irradiation** (no yellowing), **simpler** (just pouring + reticulation) A **13X**<sub>0</sub> **shashlik prototype** tested in May 2018 and October 2017 (**first application** in HEP)







A. Longhin - ENUBET

WIN2019 - 4/6/2019

#### SiPM irradiation measurements at INFN-LNL and CERN

- e<sup>t</sup>nu Det
- @ the CN Van de Graaf on July 2017  $\rightarrow$  1-3 MeV n with fluences up to 10<sup>12</sup>/cm<sup>2</sup> in a few hours



- By choosing SiPM cell size and scintillator thickness (~light yield) properly mip signals remain well separated from the noise even after typical expected irradiation levels
- Mips can be used from channel-to-channel intercalibration even after maximum irradiation.

A. Longhin - ENUBET

WIN2019 - 4/6/2019

# The tagger: lateral readout option



Light **collected from scintillator sides** and **bundled** to a single SiPM reading 10 fibers (1 UCM). SiPM are not immersed anymore in the hadronic shower → less compact but .. much **reduced neutron damage** (larger safety margins), better **accessibility**, possibility of replacement. Better reproducibility of the **WLS-SiPM optical coupling**.



May 2018, CERN-PS test beam



Large SiPM for 10 WLS 4x4 mm<sup>2</sup>

#### Achievable neutron reduction with lateral readout

- 30 cm of borated **polyethylene** in front of SiPM
- FLUKA full simulation. 400 GeV protons.
- Very good suppression especially below 100 MeV.
- Factor ~18 reduction averaging over spectrum.





### The Tagger – Detector R&D



**September 2018** CERN-PS: a module with hadronic cal. for pion containment and **integrated t**<sub>0</sub>**-layer** 



• Good signal amplitude

• Checking impact of light connection uniformity and reproducibility of WLS-SiPM optical match. In progress.





A. Longhin - ENUBET



WIN20





# The photon veto

@ CERN-PS T9 line 2016-2018



- γ / e<sup>+</sup> discrimination + timing scintillator (3×3×0.5 cm<sup>3</sup>) + WLS Fiber (40 cm) + SiPM
- light collection efficiency  $\rightarrow$  >95%
- time resolution  $\rightarrow \sigma_{t} \sim 400 \text{ ps}$
- 1mip/2mip separation





#### charge exchange: $\pi \xrightarrow{-} p \rightarrow n \pi^0 (\rightarrow \gamma \gamma)$ Trigger: PM1 + VETO +PM2



### The tagger demonstrator



- Length ~ **3 m** 
  - allows the containment of shallow angle particles in realistic conditions
- Fraction of  $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$
- Due by 2021



# ENUBET in the CERN Neutrino Platform



- CERN: already gave a prominent contribution for the success of ENUBET
  - machine studies performed at the SPS
  - East Area beamline for the characterization of the prototypes
- For 2019-2021 → recognition in the Neutrino Platform as ENUBET/NP06
  - support and consulting from CERN accelerator experts in collaboration with personnel by the project
  - test of the final proton extraction scheme in the SPS after LS2
  - use of the renovated East Area for the final validation of the demonstrator

132<sup>th</sup> meeting of the SPSC, 22<sup>nd</sup>–23<sup>rd</sup>/01/2019 https://cds.cern.ch/record/2654613/files/SPSC-132.pdf 228<sup>th</sup> meeting of the Research Board, 5/3/2019 https://cds.cern.ch/record/2668519/files/M-228.pdf

#### MoU being finalized

5.12 The physics case of the ENUBET project and the exciting possibilities of a tagged neutrino beam are recognized by the SPSC. The committee recognizes the technological development for a neutrino beam without a horn using a quadrupole-based solution, and appreciates the close collaboration of the ENUBET collaboration with the CERN accelerator sector. The SPSC supports the proposed programme, and welcomes the opportunity to continue reviewing the experiment; test-beam requests will be considered via the standard annual procedure. The Research Board approved the participation of ENUBET in the Neutrino Platform, with reference NP06, on the understanding that

# Conclusions

**ENUBET** is a **narrow band beam** with a high **precision monitoring** of the flux at source (O(1%)) and control of the  $E_v$  spectrum (20% @ 1 GeV  $\rightarrow$  8% @ 3 GeV)

#### In the first two and a half years

- first end-to-end simulation of the beamline
- Tested the **"burst" slow extraction** scheme at the CERN-SPS
- feasibility of a purely static focusing system (10<sup>6</sup>  $v_{\mu}^{cc}$ , 10<sup>4</sup>  $v_{e}^{cc}$ /y/500 t)
- full simulation of e<sup>+</sup> reconstruction: single particle level monitoring
- completed the **test beams** campaign before LS2
- Strengthened the **physics case**: → slow extraction + "**narrow band off-axis technique**"

# The ENUBET technique is **very promising** and the results we got so far **exceeded our expectations**



# Next steps



- 2019: freeze light readout technology (shashlik versus "lateral readout")
- 2019: Further **tuning of the beamline design** (improve current S/N for e<sup>+</sup>)
- Full assessment of **systematics** on the neutrino fluxes
- CDR at the end of the project (2021): physics and costing
- Build the demonstrator prototype of the tagger (2021)







# Backup

# Time tagged neutrino beams: challenges



- Proton extraction ~ 2s
- $\sigma_{\rm r}$  of the tagger < 1 ns
- $\sigma_{L}$  of the v detector < 1 ns
- Cosmic background × 10
- (not to spoil the  $v_{a}$  energy reco.)
- Tagger-detector time sync.  $<< 1 \text{ ns} \rightarrow OK$  (direct optical links)

- → Static focusing with slow extraction is mandatory
- $\rightarrow$  OK
- $\rightarrow$  Feasible but at the limit of present technology
- → Foresee overburden/cosmic ray tagger
- small K<sup>+</sup> momentum bite small → Feasible but implies flux reduction

- In parallel to the **t**\_-layer baseline option (light plastic scintillator tracker) we are considering alternative technologies (NUTECH project MIUR). Improve the timing both:
- at the tagger
  - direct readout of cherenkov light, LYSO crystals with embedded SiPM, MicroMegas
- and at the neutrino detector side
  - SiPM based readout of Ar scintillation light

### Polysiloxane shashlik prototypes



Light yield (normalized to thickness) is ~ 1/3 of plastic scintillator  $\rightarrow$  tests light transmission on WLS fibers in absence of air gap Energy resolution, particle-ID and uniformity in line with the one of the second seco





#### SiPM irradiation measurements at INFN-LNL



- SiPM were irradiated at the CN Van de Graaf on July 2017
- 7MV and 5 mA proton currents on a Be target
- ${}^{9}Be(p,n){}^{9}B, {}^{9}Be(p,np)2\alpha, {}^{9}Be(p,np){}^{8}Be and {}^{9}Be(p,n\alpha){}^{5}Li$
- $\rightarrow$  1-3 MeV n with fluences up to 10<sup>12</sup>/cm<sup>2</sup> in a few hours

n spectra (from previous works at the same facility)



 $\rightarrow$  Tested 12,15 and 20 µm SiPM cells up to ~ **2 x 10**<sup>11</sup> n/cm<sup>2</sup> 1 MeV-eq (max non ionizing dose for 10<sup>4</sup> v<sub>e</sub><sup>CC</sup> at a 500 t v detector at r = 1 m)







# v<sub>µ</sub> CC events at the ENUBET narrow band beam

The neutrino energy is a function of the distance of the neutrino vertex from the beam axis.





A. Longhin - ENUBET

#### Machine studies for the horn-based option



- Difficult to get below 20 ms  $\rightarrow$  implemented a feed-forward mechanism using BCT data
- Iterative procedure (AutoSpill) → can "sharpen" peaks **up to 10 ms in 3 iterations**
- at the cost of a somewhat larger variance in peak intensity.



- Versatile/general: mixed continuous-burst possible.
- General **software tool** developed for CR operations.
- Present studies suggest that this mode does not increase significantly radiation losses at septa
- ENUBET: would the static focusing be preferred, burst mode could be used to constrain cosmics background.
- Now focusing on simulation/further ideas, improvement in diagnostics used for feedback (BCT).
- Studies performed in a limited time → will benefit greatly of more data in the future!



A. Longhin - ENUBET

WIN2019 - 4/6/2019



Padova June 2016

CERN Aug 2017





INFN-LNL Jun 2017



CERN May 2018

CERN Sep 2018





Milan Oct 2017



WIN2019 - 4/6/2019

A. Longhin - ENUBET

### Beamline shielding tuning studies



• Studies in progress to optimize the shielding to shield muons and other backgrounds.









Besides shielding a further reduction of muons can be achieved by removing a section in  $\phi$  in the upstream part of the tagger

### Particle rates in the tunnel



Static focusing system 4.5 x 10<sup>13</sup> pot in 2 s (400 GeV)

Radius = 1 m from the axis of the tunnel





• Primary particles background largely reduced with tuning in the shielding

- The second part of the tunnel is significantly favored in terms of signal-to-background
- With static focusing scheme rates in the second half are below 10 kHz/cm<sup>2</sup>

# Machine studies for the horn-based option

• Performed Jul/Aug/Nov 2018 at the SPS

#### CERN-BE-OP-SPS, Velotti, Pari, Kain, Goddard

- Idea: synchronize proton beam and horn current pulses
- + keep rates compatible with tagger (10 ms pulses "slow extr.")
- "burst " slow extraction: trigger the third integer betatron resonance with a periodic pattern

10 ms

90 ms

M. Pari (CERN doctoral student, Univ. of Padova) @ SLAWG meeting of 5/12/2019 https://indico.cern.ch/event/777458/





# Positron ID from K decay



**Full GEANT4 simulation** of the detector, validated by prototype tests at CERN in 2016-2018. Includes particle propagation and decay, from the transfer line to the detector, hit-level detector response, pile-up effects.





<b>E</b> <sub>geom</sub>	0.36	
٤ <sub>sel</sub>	0.55	
٤ <sub>tot</sub>	0.20	
Purity	0.26	cut 0.46
S/N	0.36 <del>•</del>	• <b>0.46</b>

Instrumenting half of the decay tunnel: K<sub>e3</sub> e⁺ at single particle level with a S/N = 0.46

# The Tagger – positron ID from K decay





#### Response to signal and background





