## A New Correlator to Detect and Characterize the Chiral Magnetic Effect

Roy A. Lacey Stony Brook University

#### <u>Outline</u>

#### Introduction

- ✓ CME & Charge separation
- ✓ Prior measurements & challenges
- New Correlator
  - ✓ Correlator essentials
  - ✓ Correlator details
  - ✓ Signal Quantification
- Correlator response
  - ✓ Response to background
  - ✓ Response to signal + background
  - STAR Experimental measurements presented by N. Magdy
- > Summary

#### Anomalous Transport in the QGP



The Chiral Magnetic Effect (CME) results from anomalous chiral transport of the chiral fermions in the QGP, leading to the generation of an electric current along the magnetic field generated in the collision: → Leads to charge separation along the B-field



- I. CME detection & characterization could provide crucial insights on;
  - ✓ anomalous transport
  - ✓ the interplay of chiral symmetry restoration, axial anomaly, and gluonic topology in the QGP
- II. The search for CME-driven charge separation is a major research theme especially at RHIC!
  - ✓ The isobar run is currently in progress

## Measuring Charge separation



CME-driven charge separation leads to a dipole term in the azimuthal distribution of the produced charged hadrons:

$$\frac{dN^{ch}}{d\phi} \propto \left[1 \pm 2a_1^{ch} \sin \phi + \ldots\right] \qquad \qquad a_1^{ch} \propto \mu_5 \vec{B}$$

#### **Objective:** identify & characterize this "dipole moment"

 The Gamma correlator and its variants, have been used extensively for experimental measurements

## Gamma correlator & its Response



switch the  $p_y$  values of a fraction of each set

- signal and background
  ✓ Background-driven correlations complicate CME-driven signal extraction?
- Background can account for a <u>part</u>, or <u>all</u> of the observed charge separation signal?

### Gamma correlator status quo & measurements

Pb+Pb

Recent CMS measurements for p+Pb and Pb+Pb at the LHC gives cause for pause!



The magnitudes of the scaled correlators for p+Pb and Pb+Pb are not expected to be the same

> → "Reduced" magnetic field strength for p+Pb? → Large dispersion of the B-field about  $\Psi_2$  in p+Pb

Why a new correlator?

### To have better control over signal and background **Correlator essentials**



<u>Measure separately;</u>

- ✓ CME-driven + Background-driven charge separation
- ✓ Background-driven charge separation Then compare them

Background-driven charge separation

#### Leverage Small systems



B and  $\Psi_2 \sim$  uncorrelated

- ✓ Measurement insensitive to B-field  $\rightarrow$  "no signal"
- $\checkmark$  Excellent bench mark

#### Leverage $\Psi_3$ measurements



B and  $\Psi_3 \sim$  uncorrelated

- $\checkmark$   $\Psi_3$  measurements insensitive to B-field, but sensitive to background
- $\checkmark$  Compare with  $\Psi_2$  measurements



The  $R_{\Psi_m}(\Delta S)$  correlator measures the magnitude of charge separation parallel to the B-field, relative to that for charge separation perpendicular to the B-field

#### <u>Note</u>

 $C_{\Psi_3}(\Delta S)$  and  $C_{\Psi_3}^{\perp}(\Delta S)$  are both insensitive to CME-driven charge separation *(they measure only background)* 

## The "New" Correlator – Correlation Functions

$$R_{\Psi_m}(\Delta S) = \frac{C_{\Psi_m}(\Delta S)}{C_{\Psi_m}^{\perp}(\Delta S)}, \ m = 2, 3$$

*N. Magdy et al. arXiv:* 1710.01717

#### Correlation functions are constructed from the ratio of two distributions

 $N_{real}(\Delta S)$ is the distribution over events, of the event-by-event averaged  $\Delta S$ 

 $N_{\text{Shuffled}}(\Delta S)$ 

is obtained from the same events, following random reassignment (shuffling) of **only** the charge of each particle in an event  $\Delta S = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{r} \sin(\frac{m}{2}\Delta\varphi_{m})}{p} - \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{n} \sin(\frac{m}{2}\Delta\varphi_{m})}{n}$   $\Delta \varphi_{m} = \phi - \Psi_{m}$   $\varphi = \# h^{+} \quad n = \# h^{-}$   $\Delta S \text{ measures charge separation}$   $\int \sum_{n=1}^{r} \frac{\Delta S}{N_{\text{shuffled}}} \left(\Delta S\right)}{V_{m} \longrightarrow \Psi_{m} + \pi / m}$ 

 $N_{\text{real}}(\Delta S)$  carries charge separation response  $N_{\text{Shuffled}}(\Delta S)$  carries the "null" response

<u>contributions from CME-driven charge</u> <u>separation, vanish for this</u> <u>correlation function</u>

## The "New" Correlator & Correlation Functions

$$R_{\Psi_m}(\Delta S) = \frac{C_{\Psi_m}(\Delta S)}{C_{\Psi_m}^{\perp}(\Delta S)}, \ m = 2, 3$$

Correlation functions are constructed from Gaussian shaped distributions





 $C_{\Psi_m}(\Delta S), \ C_{\Psi_m}^{\perp}(\Delta S) \text{ and } R_{\Psi_m}(\Delta S) \text{ are Gaussian}$ 

 $\rightarrow$  Convexity/Concavity of  $R_{\Psi_{m}}(\Delta S)$  depends on the relative widths

#### New Correlator Response

$$R_{\Psi_m}(\Delta S) = \frac{C_{\Psi_m}(\Delta S)}{C_{\Psi_m}^{\perp}(\Delta S)}, \ m = 2, 3$$

#### **Correlator response investigated with several models**

✓ Toy Models
 ✓ AMPT (background only)
 ✓ AVFD (background + signal)

Representative examples follow

*Correlator Response – background models* 



> Validation of the expected similarity between the patterns for  $R_{\Psi_3}(\Delta S)$  and  $R_{\Psi_3}(\Delta S)$  for background-driven charge separation

> A discernible difference in the response for signal and background ( $R_{\Psi_2}(\Delta S)$  and  $R_{\Psi_3}(\Delta S)$ ) is a crucial and necessary requirement for unambiguous identification and characterization of CME-driven charge separation.

## *Correlator Response – background models*





> Validation of the expected similarity between the patterns for  $R_{\Psi_3}(\Delta S)$  and  $R_{\Psi_3}(\Delta S)$  for background-driven charge separation

A discernible difference in the response for signal and background ( $R_{\Psi_2}(\Delta S)$  and  $R_{\Psi_3}(\Delta S)$ ) is a crucial and necessary requirement for unambiguous identification and characterization of CME-driven charge separation.

### *New Correlator Response – Signal + background*

N. Magdy et al.



Concaved-shape distribution for input charge separation



Signal magnitude reflected in the widths of the distributions

✓ Smaller widths for larger input signal

> Validation of the expected concave-shaped response of  $R_{\Psi_2}(\Delta S)$  to CME-driven charge separation input in AVFD events.

## Signal Quantification

# Charge separation magnitude is reflected in the widths $(\sigma)$ of the correlator distributions

# The widths are also influenced by;

- ✓ *Number fluctuations*
- ✓ Event plane resolution

# Both can be accounted for, via appropriate scaling

- ✓ Number fluctuations  $\Delta S' = \Delta S / \sigma_{\Delta S^{sh}}$
- ✓ Event plane resolution  $\Delta S'' = \Delta S' / \delta_{Res}$  $\delta_{Res} = e^{0.5(1-Res)^2}$





We leverage the characteristic  $\sqrt{s}$ , centrality and system dependence to identify and characterize CME-driven charge separation

#### New Correlator Response



> Validation of the expected centrality dependence of  $R_{\Psi_2}(\Delta S)$  to CME-driven charge separation input in AVFD events.

## *New Correlator Response – Data teaser*



- A decidedly "concaveshaped" distribution for peripheral Au+Au collisions
  - Consistent with a CME-driven charge separation contribution in these collisions

- In contrast, an essentially flat distribution for p(d)+Au
  - Validates the
    - "reduced magnetic field strength"
    - ✓ random B-field orientations

in these collisions

 $R_{\Psi_m}(\Delta S)$  measurements are consistent with the expectations for CME-driven charge separation



Isobaric ratios of the correlation function can be used to characterize both signal and background – crucial for isobar run!

## Summary

- New charge-sensitive  $R_{\Psi_m}(\Delta S)$  correlators have been developed to identify and characterize CME-driven charge separation
  - ✓ This correlator suppresses, as well as measures the well known background contributions to the CME-driven charge separation signal
- Validation tests, performed with several models, indicate that the correlators can give;
  - discernible responses for background- and CME-driven charge separation which allows unambiguous identification and characterization of the CME
  - Crucial information to characterize both signal and background in the isobar data
- The experimentally measured correlators (to date) suggests the presence of a CME-driven charge separation in Au+Au collisions.





The Chiral Magnetic Effect (CME) results from anomalous chiral transport of the chiral fermions in the QGP, leading to the generation of an electric current J<sub>Q</sub> along the magnetic field B generated in the collision: → Leads to charge separation about the event plane

Charge separation leads to a dipole term in the azimuthal distribution of the produced charged hadrons:

 $\frac{dN^{ch}}{d\phi} \propto [1 \pm 2a_1^{ch}\sin\phi + ...]$ 

**Objective:** identify & characterize this "dipole moment"

#### CME correlator status quo

Several measurements performed at RHIC and the LHC with the so-called **Gamma Correlator**;



### Gamma correlator status quo & measurements

Local charge conservation is an especially important background



Background-driven correlations can account for a part, or <u>all</u> of the observed charge separation signal?