Preliminary considerations on the expansion by regions for µ-e scattering

Alessandro Broggio



µ-e scattering kickoff workshop, Padova, 4-5 September 2017

Outline

- Introduction: kinematics and counting
- Expansion by regions of a scalar virtual diagram
- Small mass limit
- Open questions & Summary

Kinematics and counting



We focus on the electron part since m_{μ} is of the order of the hard scale



After Feynman parametrisation and loop integration I obtain

$$I = \left(\frac{\mu^2}{-t}\right)^{\epsilon} \left(\frac{1}{-t}\right) \int_0^1 dx \, \int_0^x dy \, \frac{\Gamma(1+\epsilon)}{[-y^2 + \lambda x^2 + xy]^{1+\epsilon}}$$

After integration over the Feynman parameters and expansions

$$I = \frac{\Gamma(1+\epsilon)}{-t} \left(\frac{\mu^2}{-t}\right)^{\epsilon} \left[\frac{\ln\lambda}{\epsilon} - \frac{\pi^2}{6} - \frac{\ln^2\lambda}{2} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) + \mathcal{O}(\lambda)\right]$$

Now we should find/calculate the different regions that contribute to this integral

Light-cone components $n_{\mu} = (1, 0, 0, 1)$ and $\bar{n}_{\mu} = (1, 0, 0, -1)$

$$p^{\mu} = (\underbrace{n \cdot p}_{"+}, \underbrace{\overline{n} \cdot p}_{\text{comp."}}, \underbrace{\overline{n} \cdot p}_{\text{comp."}}, p_{\perp}^{\mu})$$

Scalar products $p \cdot q = p_+ \cdot q$

$$p \cdot q = p_+ \cdot q_- + p_- \cdot q_+ + p_\perp \cdot q_\perp$$

External momenta scaling

$$p_3 \sim (\lambda, 1, \sqrt{\lambda})Q, \quad p_1 \sim (1, \lambda, \sqrt{\lambda})Q$$

hard-collinear scaling anti-hard-collinear scaling

Hard Region $k \sim (1, 1, 1)Q$

Expanded Propagators

$$k^{2} \to \mathcal{O}(1)$$

$$(k+p_{1})^{2} - m_{e}^{2} = k^{2} + 2k_{-} \cdot p_{1+} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda)$$

$$(k+p_{3})^{2} - m_{e}^{2} = k^{2} + 2k_{+} \cdot p_{3-} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda)$$

$$I_{h} = \frac{\Gamma(1+\epsilon)}{-t} \left(\frac{\mu^{2}}{-t}\right)^{\epsilon} \left[\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} - \frac{\pi^{2}}{6}\right]$$

Single scale integral

Alessandro Broggio 4-5/09/2017

Anti-h-collinear Region $k \sim (1, \lambda, \sqrt{\lambda})Q$

$$k^2 \sim \mathcal{O}(\lambda_{-})$$

Expanded Propagators

$$k^{2} \sim \mathcal{O}(\lambda)$$

$$(k+p_{1})^{2} + m_{e}^{2} = k^{2} + 2k \cdot p_{1} \sim \mathcal{O}(\lambda)$$

$$(k+p_{3})^{2} + m_{e}^{2} = k^{2} + 2k \cdot p_{3} = 2k_{+} \cdot p_{3-} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda)$$

$$\begin{split} I_c &= i\pi^{-\frac{d}{2}} \mu^{4-d} \int d^d k \frac{1}{k^2 [k^2 + 2k \cdot p_1] [2k_+ \cdot p_{3-}]} \\ I_c &= \frac{\Gamma(1+\epsilon)}{(2p_{1+}p_{3-})} \left(\frac{\mu^2}{m_e^2}\right)^{\epsilon} \left[-\frac{1}{2\epsilon^2} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) \right] = \qquad \text{Single scale integral} \end{split}$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(1+\epsilon)}{-t} \left(\frac{\mu^2}{-t}\right)^{\epsilon} \left[-\frac{1}{2\epsilon^2} + \frac{\ln\lambda}{2\epsilon} - \frac{\ln^2\lambda}{4} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) + (\mathcal{O})(\lambda)\right]$$

integral has been rewritten

The h-collinear region $k \sim (\lambda, 1, \sqrt{\lambda})Q$ gives the same contribution as the anti-collinear region

Sum hard, h-collinear and anti-h-collinear regions

$$I_h + 2I_c = \frac{\Gamma(1+\epsilon)}{-t} \left(\frac{\mu^2}{-t}\right)^{\epsilon} \left[\frac{1}{\epsilon^2} - \frac{\pi^2}{6} + 2\left(-\frac{1}{2\epsilon^2} + \frac{\ln\lambda}{2\epsilon} - \frac{\ln^2\lambda}{4}\right)\right] = \frac{\Gamma(1+\epsilon)}{-t} \left(\frac{\mu^2}{-t}\right)^{\epsilon} \left[\frac{\ln\lambda}{\epsilon} - \frac{\pi^2}{6} - \frac{\ln^2\lambda}{2}\right] = I$$

The sum of these 3 regions reproduces the initial integral, this proves that other regions do not contribute, for example (ultra-)soft regions must give scaleless integrals

Soft Region $k \sim (\lambda, \lambda, \lambda)Q$

$$k^{2} \sim \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{2})$$

$$(k + p_{1})^{2} - m_{e}^{2} = 2k_{-} \cdot p_{1+} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{2}) \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad I_{s, us} = 0$$

$$(k + p_{3})^{2} - m_{e}^{2} = 2k_{+} \cdot p_{3-} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{2})$$

It is possible to prove that this integral vanishes in dimensional regularisation, the integral in the ultra-soft region $k \sim (\lambda^2, \lambda^2, \lambda^2)Q$ is the same as the one in the soft region (but with a different scaling) and it also vanishes

Factorization

The expansion by regions is important to find a factorization theorem (separation of scales)

$$\label{eq:stargenergy} \begin{split} d\sigma \sim H(s,t,m_{\mu},\mu) F_j(m_e,\mu) F_j(m_e,\mu) F_j(m_e,\mu) \dots \\ & \text{hard scales} \qquad \text{collinear scale} \\ & \text{Missing terms/regions} \end{split}$$

- Single scale objects, then resummation is possible via RG-evolution
- We need to look at the real emission diagrams, new scales and regions (usually) appear
- Question? Is there an experimental soft cutoff in the energy of the emitted photons? I think in practice there is one: "The angles of the scattered electron and muon are correlated...This constraint is extremely important to select elastic scattering events, rejecting background events from radiative or inelastic processes"
- We call this cutoff ΔE . What is the size of this cutoff/scale ΔE ? $m_e << \Delta E << m_{\mu}$,s,t or $m_e \sim \Delta E$?

Regions in the real emission diagrams

Soft real emission from static source, Moeller scattering, (R. Hill, [arXiv:1605.02613]) in HQET then expanded in $m_e^2 \le Q^2$, with soft cutoff on the photon energy



- Large logarithmic corrections are still present in this formula, further separation of regions is needed
- It seems to be a situation similar to the boosted heavy quark regime: need to study the enhanced $\ln(m_e^2/Q^2)$ contributions in the soft-emission limit $\Delta E^2 \ll Q^2$ at fixed $(\Delta E)^2/E_e^2$ (joint limit)

Small mass limit & factorization

Mele Nason '91, Melnikov Mitov '04, Mitov Moch '07,....

$$\frac{d\sigma_{Q}}{dz}(z,Q,m) = \sum_{a} \int_{z}^{1} \frac{dx}{x} \frac{d\hat{\sigma}_{a}}{dx}(x,Q,\mu) D_{a/Q}\left(\frac{z}{x},\frac{\mu}{m}\right)$$
Fragmentation function: probability that a massless parton fragments into a massive quark. Describes collinear radiation to final-state particles

Similar to the simple example of the expansion by regions above

Now expand in the soft limit, further factorization (limits should be independent and commutative?)

$$D(z, m_e, \mu) = F_j(m_e, \mu)S_j(m_e, \Delta E, \mu) + O(1-z)$$
 Korchemsky Marchesini '93, Cacciari Catani '01, Gardi '05, Neubert '07, Ferroglia Pecjak Yang '12

Naive/Guess factorization theorem

$$d\sigma \sim H(s, t, m_{\mu}, m_{e} = 0, \mu) F_{j}(m_{e}, \mu) F_{j}(m_{e}, \mu) S(\Delta E, s, t, m_{\mu}, m_{e} = 0, \mu) S_{j,i}(m_{e}, \Delta E, \mu) S_{j,f}(m_{e}, \Delta E, \mu)$$

| Hard function, | virtual corrections of | Soft function, | Soft-collinear functions for |
|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| virtual corrections | the fragmentation | could contain ratio | initial and final state e |
| with m _e =0 | functions | of hard scales | $+ \mathcal{O}(\Delta E/E_e) + \mathcal{O}(m_e^2/s)$ |

Resummation

In some convenient space (momentum or Laplace/Mellin...) the functions appearing in the factorization formula satisfy RG equations of the type

Different counting than QCD

What do we need to do

- Find all the relevant hard, collinear and soft kinematic scales of the process $(m_{\mu}, s, t, E_{e}, m_{e}, \Delta E, ...)$, be careful with hidden low energy scales that could possibly be introduced experimentally (ΔE). How do ΔE and m_{e} relate to each other?
- Expansion by region analysis of real emission diagrams: find all the relevant modes, achieve scale separation
- Formally prove factorization formula by employing effective field theory methods
- Explicit computation of the matching coefficients entering the factorization formula (at NLO first and next at NNLO)
- Fixed order results from factorization theorem where power corrections in m_e^2/Q^2 and $\Delta E/E_e$ are neglected, but probably it is enough for out purposes
- Resummation by RG evolution (it directly depends on the structure of the factorization theorem)