

### **KU LEUVEN**

# Laser spectroscopy of neutron-deficient Hg

Simon SELS\*

\* Current address: CERN, Geneva



QPT workshop, Padua | 23 May 2018 | Session 4 - Shell evolution

# Outline

- Introduction
- Experiment
- Results
- Comparison to theory
- Conclusions and outlook



3

**KU LEUVEN** 

P. Campbell et al. Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics 3596 (2015),



Heyde and Wood, Rev Mod Phys (2011)

Different types of shape/deformation at low excitation energy

Interplay between:

- Stabilizing effect of closed shells
- Residual proton-neutron interaction





4

# Level systematics in even-even Hg



Coexistence of different bands in Hg isotopes

Prolate intruder states comes down in energy towards minimum around *N*=104 midshell region

#### Studied by multitude of techniques

- Coulex (cfr. Talk K. Wrzosek-Lipska)
- Gamma spec.
- Decay spec.

• ....

But direct measurement of groundstate charge radii differences and electromagnetic moments missing below *N*=101

# Charge radii differences



- > Excellent tool to test precision of theoretical models
- Kink at N=126 like Pb,Bi,Po ?

## Laser spectroscopy



# Laser spectroscopy variables





# **ISOLDE - CERN**







# Hyperfine spectra



## results



#### Charge radii results

- End point of shape staggering observed
- kink at N=126 present as well
- Agreement with previously measured values



## results



12

-1.017(9)

0.19(32)

# Shape staggering comparison to DFT



Skyrme functional UNEDF1so [1]

- $\rightarrow$  Adjusted to global properties of nuclear chart
- → Fine tuned SO and pairing to reproduce No spectroscopy

13

**KU LEUVEN** 

[1] PRC 89, 034309 (2014)

Calc: J. Dobaczewski, A. Pastore – Univ.York

## **Electromagnetic moments**

#### Comparison to MCSM



**KU LEUVEN** 

#### Calc: T. Otsuka, Y. Tsunoda et al

# Shape staggering comparison to MCSM



# Shape staggering comparison to theory



Charge radii differences, staggering strength and location well reproduced! In all but <sup>181</sup>Hg and <sup>185m</sup>Hg, state with correct  $d < r^2 > = g.s.$ 

Calc: T. Otsuka, Y. Tsunoda et al

# Shape staggering comparison to theory



Particular occupation numbers of  $n(i_{13/2})$  and  $p(h_{9/2})$  important

# Effect of reducing monopole interaction



# Shape staggering mechanism

Combined action of <u>monopole energy</u>, which stands out compared to others between  $n(i_{13/2})$  and  $p(h_{9/2})$  and due to:

- Large radial overlap of wavefunctions
- $_{\circ}$  Attractive tensor force between j<sub>></sub> j<sub><</sub>'

$$E_{\rm mon} = f(j_p, j_n) n_{\pi}(j_p) n_{\nu}(j_n)$$

And <u>quadrupole interaction</u>, bringing down the *deformed* state in energy to near-degeneracy with *spherical* state

Cfr. Similar to Type II shell evolution where SPE's are adjusted due to occupation numbers of *p* and *n* orbitals

Small addition in pairing energy between even/odd-A isotopes dictates the ground state shape

**KU LE** 

# Conclusion

Experiment: Hg laser spectroscopy at ISOLDE

- \* Determined end-point of shape staggering
- \* Measured electromagnetic moments

Comparison to theory:

\* <u>DFT</u> – interplay of shape coexistence, pairing and blocking

\* <u>MCSM:</u>

 $-n(i_{13/2}) p(h_{9/2})$  interaction responsible

**KU LEUVEN** 

- Related to Type II shell evolution

Outlook..

Extending to different measurements and observables

## **Outlook**



# Thank you for your attention

S. SELS,1, \* T. Day Goodacre,2 B. A. March,3 Y. Tsunoda,4
N. Althubiti,2 B. Andel,5 A. N. Andreyev,6 A. E. Barzakh,7 J. Billowes,2
K. Blaum,8 T. E. Cocolios,1 J. Cubiss,6 J. Dobaczewski,9 G. Farooq-Smith,1
D.V. Fedorov,7 V. N. Fedosseev,3 K. T. Flanagan,2 L.P. Gaffney,10, 1
L. Ghys,11, 1 M. Huyse,1 K. M. Lynch,3 V. Manea,8 Y. Martinez,1

T. M. Mendonca,3, 12 T. Otsuka,4, 13, 1 A. Pastore,6 J. P. Ramos,3, 14
R. E. Rossel,3, 15 S. Rothe,3, 15 L. Schweikhard,16 T. Stora,3
P. Spagnoletti,10 C. Van Beveren,1 P. Van Duppen,1 E. Verstraelen,1

#### F. Wienholtz, 16 and A. Zadvornaya1

1KU Leuven, Instituut voor Kern- en Stralingsfyisca, B-3001 Leuven, Belgium 2School of Physics and Astronomy, The University of Manchester, Manchester M13 9PL, UK 3CERN, CH-1211 Geneve 23, Switzerland 4Department of Physics, The University of Tokyo, Bunkyo-ku, 113-0033 Tokyo, Japan 5Department of Nuclear Physics and Biophysics, Comenius University, 84248 Bratislava, Slovakia 6Department of Physics, University of York, York Y010 5DD, UK 7Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, NRC Kurchatov Institute, Gatchina 188300, Russia 8Max-Planck-Institut fu r Kernphysik, Saupfercheckweg 1, 69117 Heidelberg, Germany 9Institute of Theoretical Physics, Warsaw University, ul. Hoza 69 PL-00681 Warsaw, Poland 10School of Engineering and Computing, University of the West of Scotland, Paisley, PA1 2BE, UK 11Belgian Nuclear Research Center SCK•CEN, Boeretang 200, B-2400 Mol, Belgium 12IFIMUP and IN - Institut of Nanosciences and Nanotechnologies, University of Porto, Rua do Campo Alegre, 687, 4169 007 Porto, Portugal 13National Superconducting Cyclotron Laboratory, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824, USA 14Powder Technology Laboratory, Ecole Polytechnique F ed erale de Lausanne, 1015 Lausanne, Switzerland 15Insitut fu'rPhysik, Johannes Gutenberg-Universita't, 55122 Mainz, Germany 16Institut fu'r Physik, Ernst-Moritz-Arndt-Universita't, 17487 Greifswald, Germany