DoublePDFs and parton correlations + focus on same sign WW production



Matteo Rinaldi<sup>1</sup>

In collaboration with :

#### Federico Alberto Ceccopieri<sup>2</sup>, Sergio Scopetta<sup>3</sup>, Marco Traini<sup>4</sup>, Vicente Vento<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Dep. of Theor. Physics, Valencia University, IFIC and CSIC, Valencia, Spain

<sup>2</sup>IFPA, Université de Liège, B4000, Liège, Belgium

<sup>3</sup>Dep. of Physics and Geology, Perugia University and INFN, Perugia, Italy

<sup>4</sup>Dep. of Physics Trento University and INFN-TIFPA, Italy









## Outlook

- Introduction:
  - The 3D proton structure in single & double parton scatterings (DPS)
  - Double parton scattering and double parton distribution functions (dPDFs)
  - Double parton correlations (DPCs) in double parton distribution functions
- dPDFs in constituent quark models, a proton "imaging" via DPS?
   M.R., S. Scopetta and V. Vento, PRD 87, 114021 (2013)
   M. R., S. Scopetta, M. Traini and V.Vento, JHEP 12, 028 (2014)
- Calculation of the "effective X-section"
   M. R., S. Scopetta, M. Traini and V.Vento, PLB 752, 40 (2016)
   M. Traini, S. Scopetta, M. R. and V. Vento, PLB 768, 270 (2017)
- Analysis of correlations in dPDFs
   M. R., S. Scopetta, M. Traini and V.Vento, JHEP 10, 063 (2016)
   M. R., F. A. Ceccopieri, PRD 95, no. 3, 034040 (2017)
- Analysis of same-sign W pair production via double parton scattering F. A. Ceccopieri, M. R., S. Scopetta, arXiv:1702.05363
- Conclusions

# How 3-Dimensional structure of a hadron can be investigated?

The 3D structure of a strongly interacting system (e.g. nucleon, nucleus..) could be accessed through different processes (e.g. SIDIS, DVCS, double parton scattering ...), measuring different kind of parton distributions, providing different kind of information:



## DPS and dPDFs from multi parton interactions

Multi parton interaction (MPI) can contribute to the, *pp* and *pA*, cross section @ the LHC:



The cross section for a DPS event can be written in the following way: (N. Paver, D. Treleani, Nuovo Cimento 70A, 215 (1982))

$$d\sigma = \frac{1}{S} \sum_{i,j,k,l} \hat{\sigma}_{ij}(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_3, \mu_A) \hat{\sigma}_{kl}(\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_4, \mu_B) \int d\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_{\perp} \mathbf{F}_{ik}(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{z}_{\perp}, \mu_A, \mu_B) \mathbf{F}_{jl}(\mathbf{x}_3, \mathbf{x}_4, \mu_B) \mathbf{F}_{jl}(\mathbf{x}_3, \mathbf{x}_4, \mathbf{z}_{\perp}, \mu_A, \mu_B) \mathbf{F}_{jl}(\mathbf{x}_3, \mathbf{x}_4,$$

physics and to grasp information on the 3D PARTONIC STRUCTURE OF THE PROTON

NPQCD17

## Parton correlations and dPDFs



## DPCs in constituent quark models (CQM)

✓ potential model

Main features:

effective particles

particles are strongly bound and

#### correlated

- CQM are a proper framework to describe DPCs, but their predictions are reliable ONLY in the valence quark region at low energy scale, while LHC data are available at small x
- At very low x, due to the large population of partons, the role of correlations may be less relevant BUT theoretical microscopic estimates are necessary

pQCD evolution of the calculated dPDFs is necessary to move towards the experimental kinematics:



CQM calculations are able to reproduce the gross-feature of experimental PDFs in the valence region. CQM calculations are useful tools for the interpretation of data and for the planning of measurements of unknown quantities (e.g., TMDs in SiDIS, GPDs in DVCS...)

Similar expectations motivate the present investigation of dPDFs

## The Light-Front approach





The most relevant are the following: 7 Kinematical generators (maximum number): i) three LF boosts (at variance with

- the dynamical nature of the Instant-form boosts), ii)  $\mathbf{P}^+$ ,  $\mathbf{P}_{\perp}$ , iii) Rotation around z.
- The LF boosts have a subgroup structure, then one gets a trivial separation of the intrinsic motion from the global one (as in the non relativistic (NR) case).
- In a peculiar construction of the Poincaré generators (Bakamjian-Thomas) it is possible to obtain a Mass equation, Schrödinger-like. A clear connection to NR.
- <sup>•</sup> The IMF (Infinite Momentum Frame) description of DIS is easily included.

The LF approach is extensively used for hadronic studies (e.m. form factors, PDFs, GPDs, TMDs......)



NPQCD17

Matteo Rinaldi

8/28

#### What we would like to learned: A link between dPDFs and GPDs? The dPDF is formally defined through the Light-cone correlator: $F_{12}(x_1, x_2, \vec{z_\perp}) \propto \sum_{X} \int dz^{-} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{2} dl_i^{-} e^{ix_i l_i^{-} p^{+}} \right] \langle p|O(z, l_1)|X\rangle \langle X|O(0, l_2)|p\rangle \Big|_{l_1^{+} = l_2^{+} = z^{+} = 0}^{\vec{l}_{1\perp} = \vec{l}_{2\perp} = 0}$ M. Diehl, D. Ostermeier, A. $F_{12}(x_1, x_2, \vec{z}_{\perp}) \sim \int d\vec{b} f(x_1, 0, \vec{b} + \vec{z}_{\perp}) f(x_2, 0, \vec{b}) f(x_2, 0, \vec{b})$ Approximated by the proton state! Schafer, JHEP 03 (2012) 089 14 12 $F_{u_V u_V}(x_1, x_2, k_{\perp}^2, \mu_0^2)$ 10 In GPDs, the variables $\vec{b}$ and xare correlated! dPDF app Correlations between $\vec{z}_{\perp}$ and $x_1, x_2$ dPDF could be present in dPDFs ! $x_1 = x_2 = 0.1$ 0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 0.5 $k_{\perp}^{2}[GeV^{2}]$

NPQCD17

Matteo Rinaldi



M. R., S. Scopetta, M. Traini and V.Vento, JHEP 12, 028 (2014)

Here, ratios, sensitive to correlations, are shown in order to test the factorization ansatz! Use has been made of relativistic Hyper-Central CQM.



The factorization ansatz is basically violated in all quark model analyses! M.R., S. Scopetta and V. Vento, PRD 87, 114021 (2013) H.-M. Chang, A.V. Manohar, and W.J. Waalewijn, PRD 87, 034009 (2013)

#### LF RELATIVISTIC EFFECTS I M.R., F. A. Ceccopieri, PRD 95, no. 3, 034040 (2017) The expressions of dPDF in the canonical (e.g. NR limit) and LF forms are quite similar for small values of x: $F_{[NR]}(x_1, x_2, k_{\perp}) = \int d\vec{k}_1 d\vec{k}_2 f(\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2, k_{\perp}) \delta\left(x_1 - \frac{k_1^+}{M_P}\right) \delta\left(x_2 - \frac{k_2^+}{M_P}\right)$ $F_{[L]}(x_1, x_2, k_{\perp}) = \int d\vec{k}_1 d\vec{k}_2 f(\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2, k_{\perp}) \langle SPIN | O_1(\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2, k_{\perp}) O_2(\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2, k_{\perp}) SPIN \rangle$ $\times \quad \delta\left(x_1 - \frac{k_1^+}{M_0}\right)\delta\left(x_2 - \frac{k_2^+}{M_0}\right)$ Melosh Operators! No constant quantities. They depend on momentum $f(\vec{k}_1, \vec{k}_2, k_{\perp}) =$ product of the canonical proton wave-functions of partons!

For very small values of  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ , the main difference in the two approaches, in the calculation of dPDF, is due to Melosh operators!





#### Important effect due to Melosh's operators found in this observable!!

Now the same analysis must be done at high energy scales and considering also gluons and sea quarks!



NPQCD17

Introduction of non perturbative sea quarks M. R., S. Scopetta, M. Traini and V.Vento, JHEP 10, 063 (2016) From PDF analyses it is clear the necessity of including non perturbative sea quarks and gluons at the initial scale of the model. In order to face this problem, a simplified approach has been used:  $F_{uu}(x_1, x_2, k_{\perp} = 0; Q_0^2) \sim F_{u_v u_v}(x_1, x_2, k_{\perp} = 0; Q_0^2) + (1 - x_1 - x_2)^n \theta (1 - x_1 - x_2)$ +  $u_v(x_1;Q_0^2)\bar{u}(x_2;Q_0^2)$ - Pure valence contribution obtained evolving in pQCD the +  $\bar{u}(x_1;Q_0^2)u_v(x_2;Q_0^2)$ model calculation of dPDF from the initial scale  $\mu_0^2$  to the scale  $Q_0^2$ - Non perturbative sea quark contributions (effective high Fock states ) ---from  $\mu_0^2 \rightarrow Q^2 = 250 GeV^2$ n = 0.21.8 -from  $Q_0^2 \rightarrow Q^2 = 250 GeV^2$ 1.6 ratio<sub>gg</sub> =  $\frac{F_{gg}(x_1, x_2, k_\perp = 0; Q^2)}{q(x_1; Q^2)q(x_2; Q^2)}$ PDF LO MSTW2008 1.4  $\mathbf{\bar{u}}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{Q_0^2}) \ \mathbf{u_v}(\mathbf{x};\mathbf{Q_0^2}) \ \mathbf{Q_0^2} = 1 \ \mathrm{GeV^2}$ ratiogg Small x correlations  $ratio_{gg} \neq 1$ 0.8 Only sea quarks and 0.6 gluons perturbatively 0.4 generated  $x_2 = 0.01$ 0.2 Sea quarks and gluons **CORRELATIONS** perturbatively and non 0.01 **0.1** x<sub>1</sub> perturbatively generated

NPQCD17

Matteo Rinaldi

13/28

## The Effective X-section

A fundamental tool for the comprehension of the role of DPS in hadron-hadron collisions is the so called "effective X-section" ( see talk of P. Bartalini )  $\sigma_{eff}$  :



#### ....EXPERIMENTAL STATUS:

Difficult extraction, approved analysis for the same sign W's production @LHC (RUN 2, see talk of A. Rossi)  $\bigcirc$  the model dependent extraction of  $\sigma_{eff}$  from data is consistent with a "constant", nevertheless there are large errorbars (uncorrelated ansatz assumed!)  $\bigcirc$  different ranges in  $x_i$  accessed in different experiments.

High  $\mathbf{x}$  for hard jets (heavy particles detected, large partonic s):

AFS 
$$\longrightarrow$$
 y~0;  $x_1 \sim x_2$ ;  $0.2 < x_{1,2} < 0.4$   
CDF  $\longrightarrow 0.02 < x_{1,2,3,4} < 0.4$ 

CMS (W + 2 jets) ATLAS (W + 2 jets) CDF (4 jets) Corrected CDF (y + 3 jets)  $\rightarrow$  D0 ( $\gamma$  + 3 jets) AFS (4 jets - no errors given) 20 15 10 0.1 0.2 2 3 4 5 IS [TeV] valence region included

NPQCD17

CDF -



## M. R., S. Scopetta, M. Traini and V.Vento, PLB 752, 40 (2016)

Our predictions of  $\sigma_{eff}$ , without any approximation, in the valence region at different energy scales:



Similar results obtained with dPDFs calculated within AdS/QCD correspondence M. Traini, M. R., S. Scopetta and V.Vento, PLB 768, 270 (2017)

 $> x_i$  dependence of  $\sigma_{eff}$  may be model independent feature

ig> Absolute value of  $\sigma_{
m eff}$  is a model dependent result

The old data lie in the obtained range of  $\sigma_{eff}$ 

### **Numerical results** M. R., S. Scopetta, M. Traini and V.Vento, PLB 152, 40 (2016) Our predictions of $\sigma_{eff}$ in the valence region at different energy scales:

 $\sigma_{eff}(x_1, x_2, Q^2 = 250 \text{ GeV}^2)$   $\sigma_{eff}(x_1, x_2, Q^2 = 250 \text{ GeV}^2)$ 

X DEPENDENCE on  $\sigma_{eff}$ ACCESS THE DOUBLE PARTON CORRELATIONS  $\sigma_{eff} \sim 11 \text{ mb}$ Access Cheve Quark  $\otimes$  Gluon

> Valence quark ⊗ Sea quark Partons involved in, e.g., same sign WW production.

#### NPQCD17

 $\sigma_{eff}(x_1, x_2, \mu_0^2)$ 

Same sign W's in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV at the LHC F. A. Ceccopieri, M. R., S. Scopetta, arXiv:1702.05363



In this channel, the single parton scattering (usually dominant w.r.t to the double one) starts to contribute to higher order in strong coupling constant.

"Same-sign W boson pairs production is a promising channel to look for signature of double Parton interactions at the LHC."

NPQCD17

Same sign W's in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV at the LHC F. A. Ceccopieri, M. R., S. Scopetta, arXiv:1702.05363



In this channel, the single parton scattering (usually dominant w.r.t to the double one) starts to contribute to higher order in strong coupling constant.

#### Can double parton correlations be observed for the first time in the next LHC run ?







Same sign W's in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV at the LHC F. A. Ceccopieri, M. R., S. Scopetta, arXiv:1702.05363



#### Fixing the initial scale $Q_0^2$ of dPDFs evaluated within the QM model:

- Since in this model the initial scale is originally located in the infrared regime, pQCD evolution and related observables, calculated by means of this model, are very sensitive to value of the initial scale  $Q_0$ .
- → In order to fix  $Q_o$  in this analysis use has been made of results on single parton scattering for  $pp \to W^+ \to (\mu^+ \bar{\nu}_\mu) X$ ;  $pp \to W^- \to (\mu^- \nu_\mu) X$





#### **THE STRATEGY:**

- ✓ σ<sup>+</sup>, σ<sup>-</sup> have been evaluated through DYNNLO [1] code by using PDFs of MSTW08 parametrization [2] (straight lines)
   [1] S. Catani et. al., PRL 103, 082001 (2009); S. Catani et al., PRL 98, 222002 (2007)
   [2] A.D. Martin *et al.* Eur. Phys. J. C63, 189 (2009)
- $\sigma^+$ ,  $\sigma^-$  have been evaluated through the PDFs calculated by means of the QM model starting from different values of  $Q_0$

#### **RESULT:**

We found a range of values of  $Q_0$  where the calculations within the LF approach get close to DYNNLO results

<u>We associate a theoretical error to  $Q_0$ :</u>

 $\delta Q_0^2 \longrightarrow 0.24 < Q_0^2 < 0.28 \text{ GeV}^2$ 

Same sign W's in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV at the LHC F. A. Ceccopieri, M. R., S. Scopetta, arXiv: 1702.05363

✓ The uncertainty due to neglected higher order perturbative corrections has been simulated by varying the final momentum scale:

$$\delta \mu_F = 0.5 M_W < \mu_F < 2.0 M_W$$

✓ The total cross section has been evaluated within the three models

✓ The differential cross section, converted in numbers of events, has been calculated w.r.t.:

dPDFs	$\sigma^{++} + \sigma^{}$ [fb]		
MSTW	$0.77 \ ^{+0.23}_{-0.21}$	$(\delta\mu_F) {}^{+0.}_{-0.}$	$^{18}_{18} \left( \delta \bar{\sigma}_{eff} \right)$
GS09	$0.82 \stackrel{+0.24}{_{-0.26}} (\delta \mu_F) \stackrel{+0.19}{_{-0.19}} (\delta \bar{\sigma}_{eff})$		
QM	$0.69 \ ^{+0.18}_{-0.18} \ (\delta \mu_F) \ ^{+0.12}_{-0.16} \ (\delta Q_0)$		
dPDFs	$\sigma^{++}$ [fb]	$\sigma^{}$ [fb]	$\sigma^{++}/\sigma^{}$
GS09	0.54	0.28	1.9
QM	0.53	0.16	3.4
GS09/Q	M 1.01	1.78	-



#### **RESULTS:**

 results of the three models are comparable within the errors;
 with L = 300 fb<sup>-1</sup> the central value of the predictions of the three models can experimentally discriminated; ➢ for the expected number of events:

- **×** The maximum is found for  $\eta_1 \cdot \eta_2 \sim 0$  where interacting partons share same momentum;
- **×** For large  $\eta_1 \cdot \eta_2$  the decreasing of the cross section is related to the decreasing behaviour of the dPDFs in the high  $x_i$  region

#### NPQCD17

Same sign W's in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV at the LHC F. A. Ceccopieri, M. R., S. Scopetta, arXiv:1702.05363

In order to understand whether correlations can be accessed in experimental observations, using dPDF evaluated within the QM model, the effective cross section has been calculated for this process and compared with its mean value:  $\langle \tilde{\sigma}_{eff} \rangle = 21.04 \stackrel{+0.07}{_{-0.07}} (\delta Q_0) \stackrel{+0.06}{_{-0.07}} (\delta \mu_F) \text{ mb} .$ 

 $\tilde{\sigma}_{eff} = \frac{m}{2} \frac{\sigma_A^{pp'} \sigma_B^{pp'}}{\sigma_{double}^{pp}}$ 24  $\langle \widetilde{\sigma}_{eff} \rangle$  $\tilde{\sigma}_{eff}$ 23 $r_{eff} \, [mb]$ 22Difference | | between green and red line is due to 20 correlations effects 19 -3 -2 -1 0 1 2 3 4 5 -5 -4 -6  $\eta_1 \cdot \eta_2$ 

"Assuming that the results of the first and the last bins can be distinguished if they differ by 1 sigma, we estimated that

$$\mathcal{L} = 1000 \text{ fb}^{-1}$$

is necessary to observe correlations"

NPQCD17

Same sign W's in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV at the LHC F. A. Ceccopieri, M. R., S. Scopetta, arXiv:1702.05363

In order to understand whether correlations can be accessed in experimental observations, using dPDF evaluated within the QM model, the effective cross section has been calculated for this process and compared with its mean value:

 $\langle \tilde{\sigma}_{eff} \rangle = 21.04 \, {}^{+0.07}_{-0.07} \, (\delta Q_0) \, {}^{+0.06}_{-0.07} (\delta \mu_F) \, \mathrm{mb} \; .$ 



Same sign W's in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV at the LHC F. A. Ceccopieri, M. R., S. Scopetta, arXiv:1702.05363

In order to understand whether correlations can be accessed in experimental observations, using dPDF evaluated within the QM model, the effective cross section has been calculated for this process and compared with its mean value:

 $\langle \tilde{\sigma}_{eff} \rangle = 21.04 \, {}^{+0.07}_{-0.07} \, (\delta Q_0) \, {}^{+0.06}_{-0.07} (\delta \mu_F) \, \mathrm{mb} \; .$ 



IN THIS CHANNEL, THANKS TO THIS ANALYSIS, THE POSSIBILITY TO OBSERVE FOR THE FIRST TIME TWO-PARTON CORRELATIONS, IN THE NEXT LHC RUN, HAS BEEN ESTABLISHED

## Conclusions



- **A CQM calculation of the dPDFs with a fully covariant approach** 
  - Iongitudinal and transverse correlations are found;
  - deep study on relativistic effects: transverse and longitudinal model independent correlations have been found;
  - pQCD evolution of dPDFs, including non perturbative degrees of freedom into the scheme: correlations are present at high energy scales and in the low x region;
  - calculation of the effective X-section within different models in the valence region:
     x-dependent quantity obtained!
- Study of DPS in same sign WW production at the LHC
  - Calculations of the DPS cross section of same sign WW production
  - · dynamical correlations are found to be measurable in the next run at the LHC

A proton imagining (complementary to the one investigated by means of electromagnetic probes) can/will be obtained in the next LHC runs!





A proton imagining (complementary to the one investigated by means of electromagnetic probes) can/will be obtaiend in the next LHC runs

# A Light-Front wave function representation



The proton wave function can be represented in the following way: see *e.g.*: S. J. Brodsky, H. -C. Pauli, S. S. Pinsky, Phys.Rept. 301, 299 (1998)





Extending the procedure developed in S. Boffi, B. Pasquini and M. Traini, Nucl. Phys. B 649, 243 (2003) for GPDs, we obtained the following expression of the dPDF in momentum space, often called  $_2$ GPDs from the Light-Front description of quantum states in the intrinsic system:

$$F_{ij}(x_{1}, x_{2}, \vec{k_{\perp}}) = 3(\sqrt{3})^{3} \int \prod_{i=1}^{3} d\vec{k}_{i} \delta\left(\sum_{i=1}^{3} \vec{k}_{i}\right) \Phi^{*}(\{\vec{k}_{i}\}, k_{\perp}) \Phi(\{\vec{k}_{i}\}, -k_{\perp})$$
onjugate to  $\vec{z_{\perp}} \times \delta\left(x_{1} - \left(\frac{k_{1}^{+}}{M_{0}}\right)\right) \delta\left(x_{2} - \left(\frac{k_{2}^{+}}{M_{0}}\right)\right)$ 

$$M_{0} = \sum_{i} \sqrt{\vec{k}_{i}^{2} + m^{2}}$$

$$GOOD \ SUPPORT$$

$$x_{1} + x_{2} > 1 \Rightarrow F_{ij}(x_{1}, x_{2}, k_{\perp}) = 0$$

$$\Phi(\{\vec{k}_{i}\}, \pm k_{\perp}) = \Phi\left(\vec{k}_{1} \pm \frac{\vec{k}_{\perp}}{2}, \vec{k}_{2} \mp \frac{\vec{k}_{\perp}}{2}, \vec{k}_{3}\right)$$
Now we need a model to properly describe the hadron wave function in order to estimate the LF 2GPDs
$$\Phi(\vec{k}_{1}, \vec{k}_{2}, \vec{k}_{3}) = D^{\dagger 1/2}(R_{il}(\vec{k}_{1})) D^{\dagger 1/2}(R_{il}(\vec{k}_{2})) D^{\dagger 1/2}(R_{il}(\vec{k}_{3})) \psi^{[i]}(\vec{k}_{1}, \vec{k}_{2}, \vec{k}_{3})$$
Instant form proton w.f.
We need a CQM!

NPQCD17



$$u_{\uparrow(\downarrow)}u_{\uparrow(\downarrow)}(x_1, x_2, k_{\perp})3(\sqrt{3})^3 \int \prod_{i=1} d\vec{k}_i \delta\left(\sum_{i=1} \vec{k}_i\right) \delta\left(x_1 - \frac{\kappa_1}{M_0}\right) \delta\left(x_2 - \frac{\kappa_2}{M_0}\right) \Phi^*(\{\vec{k}_i\}, k_{\perp}) \frac{1 \pm \sigma_3(1)}{2} \frac{1 \pm \sigma_3(2)}{2} \Phi(\{\vec{k}_i\}, -k_{\perp})$$

Here we have calculated:  $\Delta u \Delta u(x_1, x_2, k_{\perp}) = \sum_{i=\uparrow,\downarrow} u_i u_i - \sum_{i\neq j=\uparrow,\downarrow} u_i u_j;$ (defined in M. Diehl et Al, JHEP 03, 089 (2012), M. Diehl and T. Kasemets, JHEP 05, 150 (2013))

This particular distribution, different from zero also in an unpolarized proton, contains more information on spin correlations, which could be important at small x and large t (LHC) !

Also in this case, both factorizations,  $x_1 - x_2$  and  $(x_1, x_2) - k_{\perp}$  are strongly violated!

NPQCD17



All these ratios would be 1 if there were no correlations!

NPQCD17

Matteo Rinaldi

33/28

## pQCD evolution of dPDFs calculations

The evolution equations for the dPDFs are based on a generalization of the DGLAP equations used, *e.g.*, for the single PDFs (Kirschner 1979, Shelest, Snigirev, Zinovev 1982). Introducing the Mellin moments:

$$\langle x_1 x_2 F_{q_1,q_2}(Q^2) \rangle_{n_1,n_2} = \int_0^1 dx_1 \int_0^{1-x_1} dx_2 \, x_1^{n_1-2} \, x_2^{n_2-2} \, x_1 x_2 F_{q_1,q_2}(x_1,x_2,Q^2) ,$$

defining the moments of the quark-quark NS splitting functions at LO as follows:

$$P_{NS}^{(0)}(n_1) = \int dx \ x^{n_1} P_{NS}^{(0)}(x) \ ,$$

using the modified DGLAP evolution equations, without the inhomogeneous term, since we are evaluating the valence dPDFs, one gets

$$\langle x_1 x_2 F_{q_1, q_2}(Q^2) \rangle_{n_1, n_2} = \left( \frac{\alpha(Q^2)}{\alpha(\mu_0^2)} \right) \frac{-P_{NS}^{(0)}(n_1) - P_{NS}^{(0)}(n_2)}{\beta_0} \langle x_1 x_2 F_{q_1, q_2}(\mu_0^2) \rangle_{n_1, n_2}$$

The dPDF at any high energy scale is obtain by inverting the Mellin transformation:

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 x_2 F_{q_1, q_2}(x_1, x_2, Q^2) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\mathcal{C}} dn_1 \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\mathcal{C}} dn_2 \\ &\times x_1^{(1-n_1)} x_2^{(1-n_2)} \langle x_1 x_2 F_{q_1, q_2}(Q^2) \rangle_{n_1, n_2} \end{aligned}$$

#### NPQCD17

## M. R., S. Scopetta, M. Traini and V.Vento, PLB 752, 40 (2016)

Our predictions of  $\sigma_{eff}$  in the valence region at different energy scales:

 $\sigma_{eff}(x_1, x$ 





The old data lie in the obtained range of  $\sigma_{eff}$ 

NPQCD17

 $\sigma_{eff}(x_1, x_2, \mu_0^2)$ 

Numerical results II M. R., S. Scopetta, M. Traini and V.Vento, PLB 752, 40 (2016) M. R., S. Scopetta, M. Traini and V.Vento, PLB 768, 270 (2017)

Our predictions of  $\sigma_{eff}$  in the valence region at different energy scales:



NPQCD17

#### Effects of evolution and correlations I M. R., S. Scopetta, M. Traini and V.Vento, 10, 063 (2016)

 $\frac{F_{ab}(x_1, x_2, k_\perp = 0; Q^2)}{a(x_1; Q^2)b(x_2; Q^2)} \sim 1$ In the analysis of  $\sigma_{eff}$  , the factorized ansatz for dPDF in terms of PDFs, is commonly used. This is consistent with-

#### It is worth to notice that dPDFs and PDFs obey to different pQCD evolution scheme:

In order to distinguish effects of dynamical correlations, from those arising from the pQCD evolution, we have studied different ratios:



#### Effects of evolution and correlations II M. R., S. Scopetta, M. Traini and V.Vento, 10, 063 (2016)



NPQCD17

![](_page_38_Figure_0.jpeg)

## LF RELATIVISTIC EFFECTS II

M.R., F. A. Ceccopieri, PRD 95, no. 3, 034040 (2017)

![](_page_39_Figure_2.jpeg)

NPQCD17

# How 3-Dimensional structure of a hadron can be investigated?

The 3D structure of a strongly interacting system (e.g. nucleon, nucleus..) could be accessed through different processes (e.g. SIDIS, DVCS, double parton sattering ...), measuring different kind of parton distributions, providing different kind of information. The parton distribution puzzle is:

![](_page_40_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Figure_0.jpeg)

Finally, combining the previous equations in the "pocket formula", one obtains: Here the scale is omitted

$$\sigma_{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_1', \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_2') = \frac{\sum_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{l}} \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{x}_1) \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x}_1') \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{x}_2) \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{l}}(\mathbf{x}_2') \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{j}\mathbf{l}}}{\sum_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l}} \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{j}\mathbf{l}} \int \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2; \mathbf{k}_\perp) \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{l}}(\mathbf{x}_1', \mathbf{x}_2'; -\mathbf{k}_\perp) \frac{\mathbf{d}\mathbf{k}_\perp}{(2\pi)^2}}$$
Non trivial x-dependence

#### NPQCD17

![](_page_42_Figure_0.jpeg)

NPQCD17

Matteo Rinaldi

43/28

## The Effective X-section calculation

M. R., S. Scopetta, M. Traini and V.Vento, PLB 752, 40 (2016)

$$\sigma_{eff} = \frac{m}{2} \frac{\sigma_A^{pp'} \sigma_B^{pp'}}{\sigma_{double}^{pp}}$$

If factorization between dPDF and PDFs held:  

$$F_{ab}(x_1, x_2, \vec{k}_{\perp}) = F_a(x_1)F_b(x_2)\tilde{T}(\vec{k}_{\perp})$$

$$\sigma_{eff}(x_1, x'_1, x_2, x'_2) \rightarrow \sigma_{eff} = \left[ \int \frac{d\vec{k}_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^2} \tilde{T}(\vec{k}_{\perp}) T(-\vec{k}_{\perp}) \right]^{-1} = \left[ \int d\vec{b}_{\perp} (T(\vec{b}_{\perp}))^2 \right]^{-1}$$
Constant value w.r.t.  $x_i$ 
Conjugated variable to  $\vec{k}_{\perp}$ 

Here the scale is omitted

$$\sigma_{\text{eff}}(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_1', \mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_2') = \frac{\sum_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{l}} \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{x}_1) \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{x}_1') \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{x}_2) \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{l}}(\mathbf{x}_2') \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{j}\mathbf{l}}}{\sum_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{l}} \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{j}\mathbf{l}} \int \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{i}\mathbf{j}}(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2; \mathbf{k}_\perp) \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{l}}(\mathbf{x}_1', \mathbf{x}_2'; -\mathbf{k}_\perp) \frac{\mathbf{d}\mathbf{k}_\perp}{(2\pi)^2}}$$
Non trivial x-dependence

#### NPQCD17