Elliptic Azimuthal Anisotropy in Dijet Production



Yale

Thomas Ullrich, BNL/Yale in collaboration with V. Skokov and A. Dumitru EIC User Meeting Trieste July 21, 2017

What Is It About?

- Thus far, focus on quark TMDs while the available studies of gluon TMDs are sparse
- Of particular interest: WW distribution of linearly polarized gluons inside an unpolarized hadron, h_T⁽¹⁾
- These gluon distributions play also central role in small-x saturation phenomena.

 $h_T^{(1)}$ can be accessed through measuring azimuthal anisotropies in processes such as jet pair (dijet) production in e+p and e+A scattering.

- A. Metz and J. Zhou, Phys. Rev. D84, 051503 (2011), arXiv:1105.1991.
- D. Boer, P. J. Mulders, and C. Pisano, Phys. Rev. D80 , 094017 (2009), arXiv:0909.4652
- D. Boer, S. J. Brodsky, P. J. Mulders, and C. Pisano, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106 , 132001 (2011), arXiv:1011.4225.
- F. Dominguez, J.-W. Qiu, B.-W. Xiao, and F. Yuan, Phys. Rev. D85, 045003 (2012), arXiv:1109.6293.
- A. Dumitru, L. McLerran, and V. Skokov, Phys. Lett. B743 , 134 (2015), arXiv:1410.4844.
- A. Dumitru and V. Skokov, Phys. Rev. D91, 074006 (2015), arXiv:1411.6630.
- A. Dumitru, T. Lappi, and V. Skokov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115 , 252301 (2015), arXiv:1508.04438.
- A. Dumitru and V. Skokov, Phys. Rev. D94, 014030 (2016), arXiv:1605.02739.

Kinematics: Dijets in γ*A



Key observables: P_T and q_T

- the difference in momenta (imbalance) $\vec{q}_T = \vec{k}_1 + \vec{k}_2$
- the average transverse momentum of the jets

$$\vec{P}_T = (1-z)\vec{k}_1 - z\vec{k}_2$$

- ϕ is angle between P_T and q_T
- This study: work in "correlation limit" P_T >> q_T

Anisotropy (v₂)



- RHIC: Strong elliptic flow in A+A established presence of QGP. LHC: p+p and p+Pb collisions revealed long-range near-side azimuthal angular correlations in high multiplicity events.
- They are quantified by $v_2 = \langle \cos 2\phi \rangle$
- Dijet production in e+A at high energies originates from long-ranged eikonal interactions ⇒ parameterizing the azimuthal structure arising from the linearly polarized gluon distribution also in terms of v₂

Theory Prediction: Substantial v₂



- Studies on parton level
 - substantial v₂ (> 10%)
 - different v₂ for long. and trans. polarized γ*
 - Goal: $v_2^L = \frac{1}{2} \frac{h_{\perp}^{(1)}(x, q_{\perp})}{G^{(1)}(x, q_{\perp})} , \quad v_2^T = -\frac{\epsilon_f^2 P_{\perp}^2}{\epsilon_f^4 + P_{\perp}^4} \frac{h_{\perp}^{(1)}(x, q_{\perp})}{G^{(1)}(x, q_{\perp})}$

A. Dumitru, T. Lappi, V. Skokov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115 (2015) no.25, 252301

Theory → Experiment (EIC)

Theory	Experiment
parton level	jets does v ₂ survive showering and jet finding?
no backgrounds	various background sources, does signal survive?
γ*: L and T distinguished	Cannot experimentally distinguish L and T
both partons η > 0	Does jet kinematics reflect original parton directions

Exciting theory but need to show that measurement is feasible

Simulations: Event Generation

• Event generator: MCDijet

- V. Skokov, A. Dumitru, TU, https://github.com/vskokov/
- qq dijet at LO in eA collisions
- Determines the distribution of linearly polarized gluons of a dense target at small-x by solving the B-JIMWLK renormalization evolution equation
- Output:
 - x, Q²,
 - partons **p**₁, **p**₂
- Parton showering → Jets: Pythia8
 - input: qq pair
 - output: Pythia event record

Parton Kinematics



Diparton Kinematics



- Bulk $P_T < 3.5$ GeV/c and $q_T < 2$ GeV/c
- Correlation limit P_T >> q_T does cost lots of signal
- Note, cross-section and efficiencies do not matter (to some extent) only anisotropy

Jet Finding

- Standard jet finding package: FastJet
- Clean environment \Rightarrow R_{jet}=1
- Accept hadrons, p_T > 250 MeV/c
- Problem: two forward jets with low-moderate pT
- Anti-kt algorithm not the right choice
 - $\langle \# jets/event \rangle \sim 4.7$
 - Comparison of parton with jet p_T, dijet q_T, P_T shows the algorithm is not up to the task
- ee-kt seems best of all available algorithms
 - Fixed number of jets, here 2
 - Future: combination of ee-kt and anti-kt with detailed comparison and QA

Jet - Parton Comparison



- Ok η match
- Obvious lower jet p_T than original parton
- Shift needs to be corrected by usual unfolding in "real" analysis. Beyond scope here.
- Poor man's correction: add average E-loss to compensate

Dijet - Diparton Comparison



- Perfect q_T match due to ee-kt
- P_T matches quite well (unfolding would improve)

Elliptic Anisotropy



- Here: eA $\sqrt{s}=90$ GeV, 1.25 < q_T < 1.75 GeV/c, 2.75 < P_T < 3.25 GeV/c
- Error bars scaled to 1 fb⁻¹/A, fluctuations due to limited MC stats
- Dijets recover the anisotropy (v₂) quite well
- Lower yield of jets due to uncorrected jet finding efficiency
 - doesn't matter as long it doesn't effect anisotropy
- NOTE: phase shift between long. and trans. γ^* (dominated by T)

Disentangling L and T (I)

- Other than in diffractive exclusive J/ ψ production, L and T cannot be separated easily
- However, essential to extract h_T⁽¹⁾/G⁽¹⁾

$$v_2^L = \frac{1}{2} \frac{h_{\perp}^{(1)}(x, q_{\perp})}{G^{(1)}(x, q_{\perp})} \quad , \quad v_2^T = -\frac{\epsilon_f^2 P_{\perp}^2}{\epsilon_f^4 + P_{\perp}^4} \frac{h_{\perp}^{(1)}(x, q_{\perp})}{G^{(1)}(x, q_{\perp})}$$

Several Methods available:

1. Using Q^2 and P_T dependence of L and T



- σ_L is negligible at small Q^2
- Bin data in Q² and P_T and study dependence

Disentangling L and T (II)

2. Use kinematic relation:

$$v_2^{\text{unpol.}} = \frac{Rv_2^L + v_2^T}{1+R}$$
 where

$$R = \frac{4z^2(1-z)^2\epsilon_f^2 P_T^2}{z(1-z)(z^2 + (1-z)^2)(\epsilon_f^4 + P_T^4)} \quad \text{with} \quad \epsilon_f^2 = z(1-z)Q^2$$

Proof of principle from generated jet data:



- Fit dN/d
 with v2^L and v2^T as free parameter tied together by variable R
- Here no P_T, Q² binning, use average for proof of principle
- Sufficient leverage to disentangle L and T (true: v₂^L ~ 0.14 and v₂^T ~ -0.14)

Background (I)

- Sources
 - physical background
 - artifacts by using ee_kt jet finder and enforcing two jets (fake jets)
- PYTHIA6 Simulations
 - Generate events with full suite of processes switched on
 - Same kinematic limits (Q², W,...) as McDijet (source generator)
 - Run through exactly same chain as McDijet

Background (II)

- Studying Background
 - Dijet distributions offer no obvious cuts in P_T, q_T
 - Key difference: rapidity dependence



- Pythia jets are more forward and dominantly $\eta_1 \cdot \eta_2 < 0$
- Require jets to be 0 < η < 3
 - Signal loss 1-2%
 - Background reduction by factor 5

Factor 5 improvement in S/B

Background (III)



- Pythia & fake jet background is ~ 0.25 of that of signal.
- background shows no modulation but a broadened away-site peak
- Further QA cuts on jets may reduce the background further (to be checked)
- To subtract background need to know the shape quite well
 - simulations
 - ep events
- Proof of principle: assume Gaussian + const as free fit parameters

Background (IV)



- Fit works well
- v₂ within < 15%
- χ²/ndf ~ 1.2

- Sufficient leverage to deal with backgrounds
- Further reduction of background through jet Q&A cuts and shape control

Summary

- Transverse momentum dependent (TMD) factorization in DIS predicts a distribution for linearly polarized gluons in an unpolarized target, h_T⁽¹⁾. This is reflected in an azimuthal anisotropy in dijet production, measured via v₂.
- Anisotropy is different for transverse (T) and longitudinal (L) polarized virtual photons
- First simulations show that the in eA an EIC can perform this challenging measurement
 - Can separate background from signal jet pairs
 - Can extract v₂^L and v₂^T

Measurement of v2 of dijets will give us this **new TMD gluon distribution** function which has not been measured so far.