Rapid Oscillation of Gravitational Constant in the Scalar–Tensor Theory of Gravity: the early-time constraints on its induced energy density

from cosmology



Po-Wen Chang¹

In collaboration with Je-An $Gu^{1 2}$

¹Department of Physics, National Taiwan University (NTU)

²Leung Center for Cosmology and Particle Astrophysics (LeCosPA)

Post-Inflationary String Cosmology, Bologna 20th September, 2017



Outline

- I. Introduction
- **II.** An Expanding Universe in the Scalar-Tensor Theory
- **III.** Higgs Field as a Source of *G* Oscillation
- **IV.** Phenomenological Constraints on NMC Scalar Field
- V. Summary

I. Introduction

II. An Expanding Universe in the Scalar-Tensor Theory

- **III.** Higgs Field as a Source of *G* Oscillation
- **IV. Phenomenological Constraints on NMC Scalar Field**
- V. Summary

Introduction The scalar-tensor theory of gravity

• Brans-Dicke Theory (1961)

$$\mathbf{S_{BD}} = \int \mathbf{d^4x} \sqrt{-\mathbf{g}} \left(\mathbf{\varphi R} - \frac{\omega}{\varphi} \mathbf{g}^{\mu
u} \ \nabla_{\mu} \mathbf{\varphi} \nabla_{
u} \mathbf{\varphi} + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}} \right)$$

• A **non-minimal coupling (NMC)** between φ and gravity

In general, the theory reduces to general relativity as $\omega \to \infty$

$$\mathbf{S_{EH}} = \int \mathbf{d^4x} \sqrt{-\mathbf{g}} \left(\frac{1}{\mathbf{16}\pi\mathbf{G}}\mathbf{R}\right)$$

In this sense, we have an "effective gravitational constant" with intrinsic dynamics from φ :

$$\mathbf{G_{eff}}(\varphi) \equiv \frac{\mathbf{I}}{\mathbf{16}\pi\varphi}$$

. . . .

Motivations

• Non-Minimal Coupling (NMC)

$$\mathbf{S_{BD}} = \int \mathbf{d^4x} \sqrt{-\mathbf{g}} \left(\boldsymbol{\varphi} \mathbf{R} - \frac{\omega}{\varphi} \mathbf{g}^{\mu\nu} \nabla_{\mu} \boldsymbol{\varphi} \nabla_{\nu} \boldsymbol{\varphi} + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}} \right)$$

- Characteristic size of the extra dimensions in the Kaluza-Klein theory
- Dilaton from the string theory
- Low-energy limit of the bosonic string theory

. . . .

Motivations

• Non-Minimal Coupling (NMC)

$$\mathbf{S_{BD}} = \int \mathbf{d^4x} \sqrt{-\mathbf{g}} \left(\boldsymbol{\varphi} \mathbf{R} - \frac{\omega}{\varphi} \mathbf{g}^{\mu\nu} \nabla_{\mu} \boldsymbol{\varphi} \nabla_{\nu} \boldsymbol{\varphi} + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}} \right)$$

- Characteristic size of the extra dimensions in the Kaluza-Klein theory
- Dilaton from the string theory
- Low-energy limit of the bosonic string theory

Theories of unification



• Rapid Oscillation of Gravitational Constant

VOLUME 67, NUMBER 3

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

15 JULY 1991

Cosmological Consequences of High-Frequency Oscillations of Newton's Constant

Frank S. Accetta and Paul J. Steinhardt

Department of Physics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104 (Received 15 November 1990; revised manuscript received 19 February 1991)

We show that high-frequency, small-amplitude oscillations of Newton's constant G can dramatically alter cosmology even if the frequency is very high compared to the expansion rate. For example, it is possible to have a spatially flat universe in which dynamical tests of $\Omega \equiv (\text{matter density})/(\text{critical} \text{density})$ —tests which attempt to directly measure the mass density of the universe—obtain values less than unity ($\Omega = 0.1-0.3$, say). The cosmological effects can be obtained in a frequency-amplitude range allowed by all known constraints on G and its time derivative.

PACS numbers: 98.80.Dr, 04.50.+h

Motivations

- Rapid Oscillation of Gravitational Constant
 - During the 1980s ...

Theoretical consequence of inflation: flat universe with $\Omega_{total,0} = 1$ Observations suggested: $\Omega_{m,0} = 0.1 - 0.3$ Accelerated expansion of the universe (dark energy)

Accetta and Steinhardt [PRL 67, 298-301 (1991)]

$$\mathbf{H} = \frac{\dot{\mathbf{G}}}{2\mathbf{G}} + \left[\frac{8\pi\mathbf{G}}{3}(\rho_{\mathbf{m}} + \rho_{\mathbf{r}} + \rho_{\phi}) + \left(\frac{\dot{\mathbf{G}}}{2\mathbf{G}}\right)^{2}\right]^{1/2}$$

Rapid Oscillation of Gravitational Constant

$$\left(\frac{\dot{G}}{2G}\right)^2 \equiv \frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho_G$$
 ρ_G : Energy density induced by G oscillation

- Phenomenology:
- Rough constraints from local experiments: $v > 10^{16} Hz (\sim 10^{-3} eV)$
- Consider the *G* Oscillation (*GO*) with $\begin{cases} \text{High frequency} \\ \text{Small amplitude} & \Delta G \ll G_0 \end{cases}$

Accetta and Steinhardt [PRL 67, 298-301 (1991)]

$$\mathbf{H} = \frac{\dot{\mathbf{G}}}{2\mathbf{G}} + \left[\frac{8\pi\mathbf{G}}{3}(\rho_{\mathbf{m}} + \rho_{\mathbf{r}} + \rho_{\phi}) + \left(\frac{\dot{\mathbf{G}}}{2\mathbf{G}}\right)^{2}\right]^{1/2}$$

Rapid Oscillation of Gravitational Constant

$$\left(\frac{\dot{G}}{2G}\right)^2 \equiv \frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho_G$$

$$G'$$
: Energy density induced by G oscillation

Theoretically, the idea of inflation can lead to G oscillation if the inflaton is non-minimally coupled to gravity

- Phenomenology:
- Rough constraints from local experiments: $v > 10^{16} Hz (\sim 10^{-3} eV)$
- Consider the *G* Oscillation (*GO*) with $\begin{cases} \text{High frequency} \\ \text{Small amplitude} & \Delta G \ll G_0 \end{cases}$

Steinhardt and Will [Phys. Rev. D 52, 628 (1995)] Acce

Accetta and Steinhardt [PRL 67, 298-301 (1991)]



- The impact of the **non-minimal coupling (NMC**) on the scalar field
- **Dynamical evolution** behind the rapid oscillation of *G*
 - **Phenomenological constraints** on the effective energy density of the non-minimally coupled scalar field from cosmology and the rapid oscillation of *G*

I. Introduction

II. An Expanding Universe in the Scalar-Tensor Theory

III. Higgs Field as a Source of G Oscillation

IV. Phenomenological Constraints on NMC Scalar Field

V. Summary

• Begin with the Lagrangian:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L} &= \mathbf{f}(\phi) \mathbf{R} - \frac{1}{2} \ \mathbf{g}^{\mu\nu} \ \nabla_{\mu} \phi \nabla_{\nu} \phi - \mathbf{V}(\phi) \\ \mathbf{f}(\phi) &\equiv \frac{1}{16\pi \mathbf{G}(\phi)} \\ \mathbf{S} &= \int \mathbf{d}^4 \mathbf{x} \sqrt{-\mathbf{g}} \ \left(\mathcal{L} + \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{M}} - \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{P}}^2 \mathbf{\Lambda} \right) \end{split}$$

$$\hbar = 1 = c$$

The reduced Planck mass:

$$M_P \equiv \left(\frac{1}{8\pi G_0}\right)^{1/2} \approx 2.435 \times 10^{18} GeV$$

 $f(\phi)$: coupling function

 $f = \frac{M_P^2}{2} = \frac{1}{16\pi G_0}$ for General Relativity $f(\phi) = \frac{1}{16\pi G_{eff}(\phi)}$ for the scalar-tensor theory

• The field equations

$$f(\phi) = rac{1}{16\pi \ G_{eff}(\phi)}$$

■ The "modified Einstein equations" :

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}R \ g_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2f} T_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2f} \left\{ \nabla_{\mu}\phi\nabla_{\nu}\phi - g_{\mu\nu} \left(\frac{1}{2} \nabla^{\lambda}\phi\nabla_{\lambda}\phi + V \right) \right\} + \frac{1}{f} \left(\nabla_{\mu}\nabla_{\nu}f - g_{\mu\nu}\Box f \right) - \frac{M_{P}^{2}}{2f} \Lambda g_{\mu\nu}$$

• Equation of motion of the scalar field :

 $-\Box \phi = f'(\phi)R - V'(\phi)$

- The flat FLRW background
 - + homogeneous, isotropic scalar field $\phi(t)$ non-minimally coupled to gravity

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{H} &= -\frac{\mathbf{f}'}{2\mathbf{f}}\dot{\phi} + \left[\frac{1}{6\mathbf{f}}\left(\rho_{\mathbf{G}} + \sum_{\mathbf{i}}\rho_{\mathbf{i}}\right)\right]^{1/2} \\ i &= \text{matter, radiation, } \Lambda, \phi \\ \ddot{\phi} + \mathbf{3H}\dot{\phi} &= \frac{-1}{\mathcal{F}^{2}} \cdot \left\{\mathbf{V}' + \frac{\mathbf{f}'}{2\mathbf{f}}\left[\mathbf{6f}''\dot{\phi}^{2} - \sum_{\mathbf{i}}(\rho_{\mathbf{i}} - \mathbf{3}\mathcal{P}_{\mathbf{i}})\right]\right\} \end{split}$$

$$\mathcal{F}(\phi) \equiv \sqrt{\mathbf{1} + \frac{\mathbf{3f'}^2}{\mathbf{f}}}$$

$$\rho_{\phi} = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\phi}^2 + \mathbf{V}(\phi)$$

- The function \mathcal{F} reduces to 1 if there is no non-minimal coupling
- We will show that \mathcal{F} could significantly influence the evolution of ϕ in some situations

$$\rho_{\mathbf{G}} \equiv \frac{\mathbf{3f'}^2}{\mathbf{2f}} \dot{\phi}^2$$

I. Introduction

II. An Expanding Universe in the Scalar-Tensor Theory

III. Higgs Field as a Source of *G* Oscillation

IV. Phenomenological Constraints on NMC Scalar Field



Higgs Inflation



PABLO STANLEY



- Can we produce the cosmic inflation under the framework of the standard model?
- Is it favored by the current CMB measurements?

Higgs Inflation

- Can we produce the cosmic inflation under the framework of the standard model?
- Is it favored by the current CMB measurements?
 - First attempt without the non-minimal coupling between the Higgs field and gravity:

After the electroweak phase transition:

$$\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{h}) = \frac{1}{4}\lambda(\mathbf{h}^2 - \mathbf{v}^2)^2$$

Standard Model: $\lambda \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$ CMB constraint: $\lambda \sim \left(\frac{\Delta T}{T}\right)^2 \sim 10^{-10}$

Higgs Inflation

 $rac{\lambda}{\epsilon^2} \sim \left(rac{\Delta \mathrm{T}}{\mathrm{T}}
ight)^2 \sim 10^{-10}$

Bezrukov and Shaposhnikov [Phys. Lett. B, 659 (2008), p. 703]

- Can we produce the cosmic inflation under the framework of the standard model?
- Is it favored by the current CMB measurements?
 - What if: Strong non-minimal coupling between the Higgs field and gravity

$$\mathbf{S} = \int \mathbf{d}^4 \mathbf{x} \sqrt{-\mathbf{g}} \left\{ \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{h}) \mathbf{R} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \mathbf{h} \ \partial^\mu \mathbf{h} - \frac{\lambda}{4} \left(\mathbf{h}^2 - \mathbf{v}^2 \right)^2 \right\} \qquad \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{h}) = \frac{\mathbf{M}^2}{2} \left(\mathbf{1} + \xi \frac{\mathbf{h}^2}{\mathbf{M}^2} \right)$$

CMB constraint:



Higgs Inflation

- Can we produce the cosmic inflation under the framework of the standard model?
- Is it favored by the current CMB measurements?
 - What if: Strong non-minimal coupling between the Higgs field and gravity

$$\mathbf{S} = \int \mathbf{d}^4 \mathbf{x} \sqrt{-\mathbf{g}} \left\{ \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{h}) \mathbf{R} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \mathbf{h} \partial^\mu \mathbf{h} - \frac{\lambda}{4} \left(\mathbf{h}^2 - \mathbf{v}^2 \right)^2 \right\} \qquad \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{h}) = \frac{\mathbf{M}^2}{2} \left(\mathbf{1} + \xi \frac{\mathbf{h}^2}{\mathbf{M}^2} \right)$$

$$\mathbf{M} = \frac{\mathbf{M}^2}{2} \left(\mathbf{1} + \xi \frac{\mathbf{h}^2}{\mathbf{M}^2} \right)$$

$$\mathbf{M} = \frac{\mathbf{M}^2}{2} \left(\mathbf{1} + \xi \frac{\mathbf{h}^2}{\mathbf{M}^2} \right)$$

$$\mathbf{M} = \frac{\mathbf{M}^2}{2} \left(\mathbf{1} + \xi \frac{\mathbf{h}^2}{\mathbf{M}^2} \right)$$

$$\mathbf{M} = \frac{\mathbf{M}^2}{2} \left(\mathbf{1} + \xi \frac{\mathbf{h}^2}{\mathbf{M}^2} \right)$$

$$\mathbf{M} = \frac{\mathbf{M}^2}{2} \left(\mathbf{1} + \xi \frac{\mathbf{h}^2}{\mathbf{M}^2} \right)$$

$$\mathbf{M} = \frac{\mathbf{M}^2}{2} \left(\mathbf{1} + \xi \frac{\mathbf{h}^2}{\mathbf{M}^2} \right)$$

$$\mathbf{M} = \frac{\mathbf{M}^2}{2} \left(\mathbf{1} + \xi \frac{\mathbf{h}^2}{\mathbf{M}^2} \right)$$

$$\mathbf{M} = \frac{\mathbf{M}^2}{2} \left(\mathbf{1} + \xi \frac{\mathbf{h}^2}{\mathbf{M}^2} \right)$$

$$\mathbf{M} = \frac{\mathbf{M}^2}{2} \left(\mathbf{1} + \xi \frac{\mathbf{h}^2}{\mathbf{M}^2} \right)$$

$$\mathbf{M} = \frac{\mathbf{M}^2}{2} \left(\mathbf{1} + \xi \frac{\mathbf{h}^2}{\mathbf{M}^2} \right)$$

$$\mathbf{M} = \frac{\mathbf{M}^2}{2} \left(\mathbf{1} + \xi \frac{\mathbf{h}^2}{\mathbf{M}^2} \right)$$

$$\mathbf{M} = \frac{\mathbf{M}^2}{2} \left(\mathbf{1} + \xi \frac{\mathbf{h}^2}{\mathbf{M}^2} \right)$$

$$\mathbf{M} = \frac{\mathbf{M}^2}{2} \left(\mathbf{1} + \xi \frac{\mathbf{h}^2}{\mathbf{M}^2} \right)$$

Bezrukov and Shaposhnikov [Phys. Lett. B, 659 (2008), p. 703]

• Inspired by the Higgs Inflation...

$$\mathbf{V}(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{m}^2\phi^2 \qquad \qquad \text{Recall that} \quad \mathcal{F}(\phi) \equiv \sqrt{1 + \frac{3\mathbf{f}'^2}{\mathbf{f}}}$$

Linear NMC:
$$\mathbf{f_L}(\phi) = \frac{\mathbf{M_P^2}}{2} \left(1 + \alpha \frac{\phi}{\mathbf{M_P}} \right) \qquad \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{L}}(\phi) = \sqrt{1 + \frac{3}{2}\alpha^2 \left(1 + \alpha \frac{\phi}{\mathbf{M_P}} \right)^{-1}}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} \textit{Quadratic NMC:} \quad \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\phi) = \frac{\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{P}}^2}{2} \left(1 + \xi \frac{\phi^2}{\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{P}}^2} \right) \qquad \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\phi) = \sqrt{1 + 6 \frac{\xi^2 \phi^2}{\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{P}}^2} \left(1 + \xi \frac{\phi^2}{\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{P}}^2} \right)^{-1}} \end{array}$$

Our Equation:
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dt}}\widetilde{
ho}_{\phi} = -3\mathcal{F}^2\bar{\mathrm{H}}\dot{\phi}^2 + \frac{\mathrm{f}'}{2\mathrm{f}}\left(4\widetilde{
ho}_{\phi} + \rho_{\mathrm{m}} + 4\rho_{\Lambda}\right)\dot{\phi}$$

• For both *Linear* and *Quadratic* NMC, this can be the case...

Slow-roll: $\nu \leq H$, $\Delta G \ll G_0$

- The damping force from the cosmic expansion dominates the dynamics of ϕ
- Typically, this happens in the early universe (i.e., *H* is extremely large)



• For *Linear* NMC:

$$\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{L}}(\phi) = \frac{\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{P}}^2}{2} \left(\mathbf{1} + \alpha \frac{\phi}{\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{P}}} \right) \qquad \mathbf{V}(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{m}^2 \phi^2$$

Type-1 dissipation: $v \gg H$, $\Delta G \ll G_0$ ($\alpha \phi \ll M_P$)

ν

- The cosmic expansion can be ignored within few periods of oscillation
- Frequency of oscillation:

$$\sim \frac{m}{\mathcal{F}} \simeq \frac{m}{\sqrt{1+3\alpha^2/2}}$$

• The dissipation of the effective energy density: $\tilde{\rho}_{\phi} \propto a^{-3}$





• For Quadratic NMC: $f_Q(\phi) = \frac{M_P^2}{2} \left(1 + \delta^2\right)$

$$\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\phi) = \frac{\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{P}}^2}{2} \left(\mathbf{1} + \xi \frac{\phi^2}{\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{P}}^2} \right) \quad \mathbf{V}(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{m}^2 \phi^2$$

Type-1 dissipation: $\nu \gg H$, $\Delta G \ll G_0$ ($\xi \phi^2 \ll M_P^2$), $\mathcal{F}(\phi_{\max}) \simeq 1$

- The cosmic expansion can be ignored within few periods of oscillation
- Frequency of oscillation: $\nu \sim \frac{m}{\overline{\mathcal{F}}} \simeq m$
- The dissipation of the effective energy density: $\tilde{\rho}_{\phi} \propto a^{-3}$





Essentially, the Type-1 dissipation is not so surprising. Since one might expect that as:

$$f \approx \frac{M_P^2}{2} (i.e., \Delta G \ll G_0),$$

NMC seems to disappear, and the theory would simply return to GR with single scalar field...

• For Quadratic NMC:

$$\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\phi) = \frac{\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{P}}^2}{2} \left(\mathbf{1} + \xi \frac{\phi^2}{\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{P}}^2} \right) \quad \mathbf{V}(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{m}^2 \phi^2$$

Type-2 dissipation: $\nu \gg H$, $\Delta G \ll G_0$ ($\xi \phi^2 \ll M_P^2$), $\mathcal{F}(\phi_{\max}) \gg 1$

- The cosmic expansion can be ignored within few periods of oscillation
- However, the oscillatory behavior changes a lot : $v(\phi_{max}) \approx \frac{mM_P}{4\sqrt{6}\xi} \cdot \frac{1}{\phi_{max}}$
- The dissipation of the effective energy density: $\tilde{\rho}_{\phi} \propto a^{-2}$





• For Quadratic NMC: for

$$\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\phi) = \frac{\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{P}}^2}{2} \left(\mathbf{1} + \xi \frac{\phi^2}{\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{P}}^2} \right) \quad \mathbf{V}(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{m}^2 \phi^2$$

 $\tilde{
ho}_{\phi} \propto a^{-2}$

Type-2 dissipation: $v \gg H$, $\Delta G \ll G_0$ ($\xi \phi^2 \ll M_P^2$), $\mathcal{F}(\phi_{\max}) \gg 1$

- The cosmic expansion can be ignored within few periods of oscillation
- However, the oscillatory behavior changes a lot : $v(\phi_{max}) \approx \frac{mM_P}{4\sqrt{6}\xi} \cdot \frac{1}{\phi_{max}}$
- The dissipation of the effective energy density:



Phenomenological Constraints on NMC Scalar Field

- I. Introduction
- II. An Expanding Universe in the Scalar-Tensor Theory
- **III. Higgs Field as a Source of G Oscillation**
- **IV.** Phenomenological Constraints on NMC Scalar Field



Evolution of the energy density of the NMC scalar field



Evolution for the Linear-NMC models Quadratic-NMC models with small ξ



• (Typical) Evolution for the Quadratic-NMC models with large ξ





Phenomenological Constraints on NMC Scalar Field



- G oscillation in the present-time universe:
 Should be High-frequency, Small-amplitude
 II
- The effective energy density $\tilde{\rho}_{\phi}$ contributes as a matter sector in the universe at present

$$\widetilde{\Omega}_{\phi \mathbf{0}} < \Omega_{\mathcal{M}\mathbf{0}} - \Omega_{\mathbf{b}\mathbf{0}} pprox \mathbf{0.26}$$

Phenomenological Constraints on NMC Scalar Field



- G oscillation in the present-time universe:
 Should be High-frequency, Small-amplitude
 JL
- The effective energy density $\widetilde{\rho}_{\phi}$ contributes as a matter sector in the universe at present

A very loose upper bound! Expected to be more stringent if taking the DM in galaxy clusters into account.

$$\widetilde{\Omega}_{\phi 0} < \Omega_{\mathcal{M}0} - \Omega_{\mathbf{b}0} pprox \mathbf{0.26}$$





- I. Introduction
- II. An Expanding Universe in the Scalar-Tensor Theory
- III. Higgs Field as a Source of G Oscillation
- **IV. Phenomenological Constraints on NMC Scalar Field**
- V. Summary



- Theoretically, we have several motivations to consider the scalar-tensor theory of gravity and the existence of the oscillation of G (e.g., Higgs inflation).
- We have derived the field equations of the theory, and shown that the complicated equations could be recast into a fairly graceful form, which enables us to analyze the time evolution of the energy density relevant to the non-minimally coupled scalar field.
- Realizing the evolution of $\tilde{\rho}_{\phi}$ along the cosmic history is crucial for setting the upper bounds on ϕ in any epoch of the universe. It is straightforward to see that the evolution of $\tilde{\rho}_{\phi}$ is characterized by both the effects from non-minimal coupling and the cosmic expansion.



• Based on the properties of *G* oscillation set by local experiments (i.e., high-frequency + small-amplitude) and the standard ΛCDM cosmology, we could set a loose constraint on $\tilde{\rho}_{\phi 0}$ —the effective energy density from the non-minimally coupled scalar field at present.

• In other words, given certain kinds of the scalar-tensor models with condensate of single scalar field oscillating around the local minimum of potential, one could apply the phenomenological constraints from *G* oscillation and the standard ΛCDM cosmology to examine the ideas.



To analyze the motion for the quadratic-coupling models with $\mathcal{F}(\phi_{\max}) \gg 1$: Resort to the method of averaging.

$$\frac{d}{dt}\tilde{\rho}_{\phi} = -3\mathcal{F}^2\bar{H}\dot{\phi}^2 + \frac{f'}{2f}\left(4\tilde{\rho}_{\phi} + \rho_m + 4\rho_\Lambda\right)\dot{\phi}$$



$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{6 \xi^2}{M_P^2} \phi^2 \dot{\phi}^2 + \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi^2 \right) \approx -3 \frac{6 \xi^2}{M_P^2} \bar{H} \phi^2 \dot{\phi}^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{2} \dot{\chi}^2 + \frac{m^2 M_P}{\sqrt{6} \xi} \chi \right) \approx -3\bar{H} \dot{\chi}^2 \;,$$

with $\chi \equiv \frac{\sqrt{6} \xi}{2M_P} \phi^2$ and the effective potential $\widetilde{V}(\chi) \equiv \frac{m^2 M_P}{\sqrt{6} \xi} \chi$

Let $y \equiv \tilde{V}/\tilde{V}_{max} = \chi/\chi_{max}$, we can get the approximated period of oscillation:

$$T \approx \oint_{\chi(\tau)} \frac{1}{\dot{\chi}} d\chi \approx \oint_{\chi(\tau) \ge 0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(\widetilde{V}_{\max} - \widetilde{V})}} d\chi$$
$$\approx \left(2\widetilde{V}_{\max}\right)^{-1/2} \oint_{\chi(\tau) \ge 0} \left(1 - \frac{\chi}{\chi_{\max}}\right)^{-1/2} d\chi$$
$$\approx \left(2\widetilde{V}_{\max}\right)^{-1/2} \cdot 4\chi_{\max} \underbrace{\int_{0}^{1} (1 - y)^{-1/2} dy}_{B(0, \frac{1}{2}) = 2} = \frac{4\sqrt{6} \xi}{mM_{P}} \Phi . \text{ Thus, } \nu(\Phi) \approx \frac{mM_{P}}{4\sqrt{6} \xi} \cdot \frac{1}{\Phi}$$

To examine the dissipating behavior of $\tilde{\rho}_{\phi}$ for the quadratic-coupling models with $F(\phi_{max}) \gg 1$: Averaging over individual period τ of oscillation.

$$\left\langle \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{2} \dot{\chi}^2 + \widetilde{V}(\chi) \right) \right\rangle_{\tau} \approx -3 \left\langle \bar{H} \dot{\chi}^2 \right\rangle_{\tau}$$
Assuming $\nu \gg H$, we have $\frac{d}{dt} \widetilde{V}_{\max}(\chi) \approx -3 \bar{H} \left\langle \dot{\chi}^2 \right\rangle_{\tau}$.
Since

$$\begin{split} \left\langle \dot{\chi}^2 \right\rangle_{\tau} &\approx 2 \widetilde{V}_{\max} \cdot \oint_{\chi(\tau) \ge 0} \left(1 - \frac{\widetilde{V}}{\widetilde{V}_{\max}} \right)^{1/2} d\chi \oint_{\chi(\tau) \ge 0} \left(1 - \frac{\widetilde{V}}{\widetilde{V}_{\max}} \right)^{-1/2} \, d\chi \\ &= 2 \widetilde{V}_{\max} \cdot \int_0^1 (1 - y)^{1/2} \, dy \int_0^1 (1 - y)^{-1/2} \, dy = \frac{2}{3} \widetilde{V}_{\max} \; . \end{split}$$

The relation is obtained:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\widetilde{V}_{\max} \approx -2\widetilde{V}_{\max}\frac{\dot{a}}{a} \; .$$



Consequently,

$$\widetilde{V}_{\rm max} \propto a(t)^{-2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \qquad \langle \chi_{\max} \rangle \propto \langle \Phi^2 \rangle \propto a(t)^{-2}$$

$$\left\langle \widetilde{\rho}_{\phi} \right\rangle \propto a(t)^{-2}$$

where

Starting form the action of the Higgs inflation:

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left\{ f(h)R - \frac{1}{2}\partial_{\mu}h \ \partial^{\mu}h - \frac{\lambda}{4} \left(h^2 - v^2\right)^2 \right\} ,$$

$$f(h) = \frac{M^2}{2} \left(1 + \xi \frac{h^2}{M^2}\right) ,$$

the the parameter *M* is defined by the equality: $f(v) = \frac{M_F^2}{2}$

 \angle

Consider a perturbation on *h*: $\delta h \equiv h - v \ll v$

The effective potential of the Higgs field can be approximated as:

$$V \approx \frac{\lambda}{4} \cdot (2v \cdot \delta h)^2 = \lambda v^2 \ (\delta h)^2 \ .$$

Identifying the effective potential with $\frac{1}{2}m^2(\delta h)^2$, The Higgs mass is $m \equiv \sqrt{2\lambda} v$



Similarly, the quadratic non-minimal coupling function f(h) can be approximated as follows:

$$\begin{split} f(h) &= \frac{M^2}{2} \left\{ 1 + \xi \frac{(\delta h + v)^2}{M^2} \right\} \\ &\approx \frac{M^2}{2} \left(1 + \xi \frac{v^2}{M^2} + \frac{2 \xi v}{M^2} \delta h \right) \\ &= \frac{M_P^2}{2} \left(1 + \frac{2 \xi v}{M_P^2} \delta h \right) \; . \end{split}$$

We obtain a linear non-minimal coupling function with coupling constant:

$$\alpha \equiv \frac{2 \xi v}{M_P}$$