## Optimisation of the laser-plasma injector via space charge effects using ionisation-induced injection

#### <sup>1</sup><u>P. Lee</u>, <sup>1</sup>G. Maynard, <sup>1</sup>T. L. Audet, <sup>2</sup>R. Lehe, <sup>2</sup>J.-L. Vay, <sup>1</sup>B. Cros

<sup>1</sup>LPGP, CNRS, Univ Paris-Sud, Université Paris-Saclay, 91405 Orsay France <sup>2</sup>Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA EAAC, 24-30<sup>th</sup> September 2017



Physique des Ondes et de la Matière









### Advantages and limitations of Laser Wakefield Accelerator





- **an injector** : a few mm long dense plasma, complex physics, highly nonlinear, intrinsically 3D
- a transport line: a few m long
- an accelerator : a few m long less dense plasma

## Ionisation injection scheme for the injector

Electron beam specifications for the injector (CILEX, EuPRAXIA):

- energy range between 50-200 MeV
- narrow energy spread ( $\Delta \mathcal{E}_{rms} / \langle \mathcal{E} \rangle \leq 5\%$ )
- high charge ( $Q \ge 10 \text{ pC}$ )
- rms transverse divergence ( $\theta \leq 5$ mrad)
- rms normalised emittance ( $\varepsilon \leq 1$ mm.mrad)

Existing injection schemes

- self-injection scheme
- density gradient based injection scheme
- multiple lasers injection scheme
- ionisation injection scheme
- mixed techniques

T. L. Audet, WG4 Thursday, 18:00

Why ionisation injection scheme?

- generate high charge electron beam
- shot-to-shot stability
- simple experimental setup
- additional control parameter

### Ionisation injection scheme: the basics



### \*Warp is well adapted to model laser-plasma injector

Warp : open source PIC code, co-developed at LBNL

J.-L Vay et al. Computational Science & Discovery, 2012



#### Quasi 3D: angular Fourier decomposition algorithm<sup>†</sup> $\Delta z = [\lambda_0/30, \lambda_0/25], \Delta r = \lambda_0/6, \text{ # macroparticles/cell/species = 36,}$ Fourier modes = [-1,0,+1]



**Ionisation processes**: Ionisation module using the ADK model



Trajectory analysis: particle tracking module

\*J.-L Vay et al. Computational Science & Discovery, 2012 †A. F. Lifschitz et al. JCP, 2009

### Plasma target : ELISA<sup>+</sup>







- ELISA longitudinal density profile well characterised experimentally and by fluid dynamics simulations
- L<sub>cell</sub>= 1.0 mm
- $Ø_{aperture} = 600 \, \mu m$
- $d_{plate}$  (entry and exit) = 500  $\mu$ m

\*T.-L. Audet et al. NIM-A, 2016

# Varying nitrogen concentration to control space charge effects

#### \*Choice of parameters:

- nitrogen concentration,  $C_{N_2}$ = [0, 0.35, 0.5, 1.0, 2.0]%
- maximum electron number density,  $max(n_{e0}) = 4.\times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}$
- laser parameters: 100 TW, 20 fs FWHM,  $w_L = 16 \ \mu m$
- moderate Gaussian power laser pulse, initial potential vector,  $a_0 = 1.6$



evolution of a₀ independent of C<sub>N₂</sub>
→generated plasma wave similar in all cases
→study narrows down to only

space-charge effects

# Space charge effects are responsible for the energy distribution of the accelerated electrons





- formation of 2 peaks for  $C_{N_2} \ge 1\%$
- induced space charge field flattens the accelerating field
- low energy electrons at the back of the bunch never catch up with the high energy electrons

# Space charge effects correlate with the degree of phase space rotation of accelerated electrons



 correlation between average energy and position



- the decrease of C<sub>N₂</sub> increases the phase space rotation → reduce the energy spread
- if C<sub>N₂</sub>≤0.35%, the degree of phase space rotation becomes large → degrade the energy spread

# Electron beam energy can be tuned while preserving other beam properties

#### **Results summary**

$C_{N_2}$	$\begin{vmatrix} Q \\ (pC) \end{vmatrix}$	$\left  \begin{array}{c} \langle \mathcal{E} \rangle \\ (\mathrm{MeV}) \end{array} \right $	$\left  \begin{array}{c} \Delta \mathcal{E}_{rms} / \left\langle \mathcal{E} \right\rangle \\ (\%) \end{array} \right $	$\theta_x$ (mrad)	$\left \begin{array}{c} \theta_y \\ (\mathrm{mrad}) \end{array}\right $	$\left \begin{array}{c} \varepsilon_{x,n} \\ (\text{mm mrad}) \end{array}\right $	$\varepsilon_{y,n}$ (mm mrad)	
0.35	27	142	3.8	2.1	2.9	0.8	1.8	<b>)</b> optimal
0.5	37	135 113	5.0 14.7	2.8	3.1	1.1	1.9 2.2	<b>J</b> values
$\frac{1.0}{2.0}$	107	93	29.1	4.6	5.6	2.5	3.8	

#### Extending cell length to yield a higher energy electron bunch



- For  $C_{N_2}=0.35\%$ ,  $L_{cell}=1 \text{ mm} \rightarrow 1.3 \text{ mm}$ 
  - • $\langle \mathcal{E} \rangle = 142 \rightarrow 196 \text{ MeV},$
  - $\Delta \mathcal{E}_{rms} / \langle \mathcal{E} \rangle = 3.8 \rightarrow 3.2\%$ ,
  - Q remains at 27 pC,
  - $\theta_x = 2.1 \rightarrow 2.2 \text{ mrad},$
  - $\theta_y = 2.9 \rightarrow 3.1 \text{ mrad},$
  - $\varepsilon_{x,n} = 0.8 \rightarrow 1.3 \text{ mm.mrad},$
  - $\varepsilon_{y,n} = 1.8 \rightarrow 2.3 \text{ mm.mrad}$

## Conclusion and perspective

- Space charge effects have demonstrated to be beneficial in the generation of a high quality electron bunch for an injector
- the present laser plasma configuration with C<sub>N2</sub>=0.35% has proven to be robust for the tuning of electron bunch energy while preserving other properties
- In perspective, simulations with realistic laser spatial-temporal profile measurements

## Thank you