Precision measurements using parity violation electron scattering

Ciprian Gal





Happy 40th Anniversary !!

SLAC-PUB-2148 July 1978 (T/E)



...

ABSTRACT

We have measured parity violating asymmetries in the inelastic scat-

tering of longitudinally polarized electrons from deuterium and hydrogen.

For deuterium near $Q^2 = 1.6 (GeV/c)^2$ the asymmetry is (-9.5 × 10⁻⁵) Q^2

with statistical and systematic uncertainties each about 10%.

(Submitted to Phys. Lett.)

2

http://inspirehep.net/record/130569/ files/slac-pub-2148.pdf

- C.Y. Prescott *et al.* (E122) first observed the weak neutral current interaction in electron scattering
- This lead to the cementing of SU(2)_L x U(1)_Y electroweak model
 - 1979 Glashow, Weinberg and Salam were awarded the Nobel Prize in Physics







Sheldon Lee Glashow Prize share: 1/3

Abdus Salam Prize share: 1/3 Steven Weinberg Prize share: 1/3

University of Virginia

https://previews.123rf.com/ images/ruthblack/ ruthblack1502/ ruthblack150200016/364483 37-40th-birthday-cake-withsparklers.jpg

Ciprian Gal



Parity violating electron scattering

In this experiment a polarized electron beam of energy

between 16.2 and 22.2 GeV was incident upon a liquid deuterium target. Inelastically scattered electrons from the reaction

 $e(polarized) + d \rightarrow e' + X \tag{1}$

Parity violating effects may arise from the interference between the weak and electromagnetic amplitudes. Calculations of the expected effects in deep inelastic experiments have been reported by several authors⁽¹⁻⁷⁾, and asymmetries at the level of 10^{-4} Q² are predicted for the kinematics of our experiment.

http://inspirehep.net/record/130569/files/slac-pub-2148.pdf

Parity violating electron scattering



$$A_{PV} = \frac{\sigma_R - \sigma_L}{\sigma_R + \sigma_L} \sim \frac{\left| \frac{\gamma}{\rho_R} - \frac{Z^0}{\rho_R} - \frac{Z^0}{\rho_R} - \frac{|\mathcal{M}_Z|}{|\mathcal{M}_\gamma|} - 10^{-4} \times Q^2$$

- uses longitudinally polarized electron beams to scatter off of e, p, d, Pb...
- measures asymmetries in elastic or inelastic scattering
 - generally on the level of ppm or less
- This requires a lot of statistics leading to particular detection choices

PVES landscape



- PVES has a long history of pushing the limits of precision and discovery
 - E122: (ΔA=10 ppm)
 - pioneering experiment (already had most of the features of modern PVES experiments)
 - G0, A4, HAPPEX (ΔA=0.25 to 2 ppm)
 - E158 (ΔA=17 ppb)
 - Qweak (ΔA=9 ppb)
 - Moller (ΔA=0.7 ppb)
 - P2 (ΔA=0.44 ppb)

PVES setup overview



Electron source

E122

"Of crucial importance to this experiment was the development of an intense source of longitudinally polarized electrons" (Prescott *et al* 1978)

- Produced 37% polarized electrons
- 1.5 us pulses at 120 pulses per second
- random helicity for each pulse
 - 4*10¹¹ electrons per pulse (~8 uA current)



Present

- Regularly produce ~90% polarized electrons (CEBAF) with superlattice cathodes that have high QE and lifetime
- up to 1kHz random helicity flip
- high electron current 180 uA

Future

- faster helicity flips of 2kHz with faster transition times will be needed for the next generation of experiments
- along with high polarization and high currents

Polarimeters

E122

Moller polarimeter with precision of 3% stat and 5% syst uncertainties

Present

- Three types of polarimeters: Mott, Moller and Compton
- Compton can be run continuously to monitor polarization stability
- All have achieved at least 1% uncertainty (0.5% precision reported by Hall C Moller)



Future

- Plans in place for all three polarimeters to achieve 0.5% or better uncertainty in the next 5 years
- New double Mott polarimeter planned to be used

Integrating detectors

E122

- Integrates all signal in a helicity signal and reads out one number for each detector
- Used nitrogen-filled Cerenkov counter and 9rad length lead glass shower counter
- Detected about 1000 electrons per pulse (120Hz pulse rate)

Present

- Measurements have reached deadtime-less readout at ~6GHz
- Tracking detectors used at low current to better determine the average Q²



Future

Experiments are planned to be able to detect 500 GHz rates

Spectrometers

E122

- Magnetic spectrometer used to separate signal from background
- defines and calibrates the acceptance and kinematics for the experiment

Present

Different magnetic spectrometers used in conjunction with collimators to better separate signal



Future

 Novel spectrometer designs are needed to achieve precision for the next generation of experiments

PVES landscape: Upcoming nuclear studies



Ciprian Gal

University of Virginia

PREXII and CREX



$$A_{PV} = \frac{\sigma_R - \sigma_L}{\sigma_R + \sigma_L}$$

$$A_{\rm PV} \approx \frac{G_{\rm F}Q^2}{4\pi\alpha\sqrt{2}} \frac{F_{\rm W}}{F_{\rm ch}}$$



- Some of the additional 44 neutrons inside Pb are pushed out to form a crust
 - The neutron radius inside nuclei is not as well understood as the proton radius (i.e. electric charge distribution)
 - Using PVES one can directly access the neutron distribution and cleanly measure the neutron radius with minimal theoretical input

Probing neutron stars?



- Both systems are described with the nuclear equation of state
- Measurements in Pb have a high sensitivity to the density dependence of the symmetry energy in the nuclear EOS
- BNS merger results from gravitational waves and from PREX could lead us to conclude a phase transition exists before very high density nuclear matter is reached

Ciprian Gal

Bridging the divide



- By making two measurements at different nuclear densities we can provide crucial input to ab-initio and DFT models
- Recent calculations based on nuclear coupled cluster method (arXiv 1509.07169) make a prediction for the ⁴⁸Ca 0.12≦R_{skin}≦0.15 fm

Upcoming runs



A_{PV} = 0.657 ± 0.060(stat) ± 0.014(syst) ppm

- $R_n R_p = 0.33_{-0.18}^{+0.16}$
- Preparations are nearly complete and experimental equipment is being designed and build
- PREXII is scheduled to run in summer of 2019 and CREX will follow in the fall

- We expect a decrease of the uncertainty for PREX by a factor of 3 and a brand new measurement for Ca
- Will run with the standard Hall A equipment (@JLab), together with small additions (septum, GEMs and integrating detectors)



PVES landscape: Upcoming BSM studies



Ciprian Gal

University of Virginia

Testing the SM





 SM has withstood assaults for decades with only a few hints at something beyond it

Testing the SM

To fully map out the phase space of possible beyond the SM physics we need a comprehensive strategy with will need to include:

- Direct searches at the LHC need to be complemented by searches at Q² << M_Z²
- Dark Matter searches
- Rare/Forbidden processes: EDMs, CP(or T) violations, Lepton flavor violations
- Neutrino physics: neutrinoless double beta decay
- Precision electroweak measurements

Contact interaction

For electron-fermion scattering:





- At low Q² (Q²<<M_Z) the SM Lagrangian is effectively a 4-fermion contact interaction
- Depending on the experimental configuration one can access the vector of axial charge of the target

Contact interaction

For electron-fermion scattering:

$$A_{PV} = \frac{\sigma_R - \sigma_L}{\sigma_R + \sigma_L} \sim \frac{A_{weak}}{A_{\gamma}} \sim \frac{G_F Q^2}{4\pi\alpha} (g_A^e g_V^T + \beta g_V^e g_A^T)$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{eq}^{PV} = -\frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_i \left[C_{1i} \overline{e} \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 e \overline{q} \gamma^\mu q + C_{2q} \overline{e} \gamma_\mu e \overline{q} \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 q \right]$$

 $C_{1u} = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{4}{3}\sin^{2}(\theta_{W}) + \delta C_{1u} \approx -0.19$ $C_{1u} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{4}{3}\sin^{2}(\theta_{W}) + \delta C_{1u} \approx -0.19$ $C_{2u} = -\frac{1}{2} + 2\sin^{2}(\theta_{W}) + \delta C_{2u} \approx -0.30$ $C_{1i} = 2g_{A}^{e}g_{V}^{i} \quad C_{2i} = 2g_{V}^{e}g_{A}^{i}$ $C_{1d} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{3}\sin^{2}(\theta_{W}) + \delta C_{1d} \approx 0.35$ Forward Scattering $C_{2d} = \frac{1}{2} - 2\sin^{2}(\theta_{W}) + \delta C_{2d} \approx 0.25$

Ciprian Gal

University of Virginia

Vector charge

$$\mathcal{L}_{eq}^{PV} = -\frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_i \left[C_{1i} \overline{e} \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 e \overline{q} \gamma^\mu q + C_{2q} \overline{e} \gamma_\mu e \overline{q} \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 q \right]$$

 Vector charge searches with elastic scattering can be more experimentally accessible

Weak vector charge

• Weak charge is the analog to the electric charge:

| Electric charge | Weak vector charge $(\sin^2 \theta_W \approx \frac{1}{4})$ |
|-----------------|--|
| -1 | $Q^e_W = -1 + 4 \sin^2 	heta_W pprox 0$ |
| $+\frac{2}{3}$ | $-2C_{1u}=+1-rac{8}{3}\sin^2	heta_Wpprox+rac{1}{3}$ |
| $-\frac{1}{3}$ | $-2C_{1d} = -1 + \frac{4}{3}\sin^2\theta_W \approx -\frac{2}{3}$ |
| +1 | $Q_W^p = +1 - 4\sin^2\theta_W \approx 0$ |
| 0 | $Q_W^n=-1$ |
| | Electric charge -1 $+\frac{2}{3}$ $-\frac{1}{3}$ +1 0 |

- also defined as Q²->0 (intrinsic property of particle)
- combined with the very well defined SM prediction makes it a good place to look for deviations (and new physics)

Weak vector charge

Weak charge is the analog to the electric charge:

| Particle | Electric charge | Weak vector charge $(\sin^2 \theta_W pprox rac{1}{4})$ |
|----------|-----------------|--|
| е | -1 | $Q^e_W = -1 + 4 \sin^2 	heta_W pprox 0$ |
| u | $+\frac{2}{3}$ | $-2C_{1u} = +1 - \frac{8}{3}\sin^2\theta_W \approx +\frac{1}{3}$ |
| d | $-\frac{1}{3}$ | $-2C_{1d} = -1 + \frac{4}{3} \sin^2 \theta_W \approx -\frac{2}{3}$ |
| p(uud) | +1 | $Q_W^p = +1 - 4\sin^2\theta_W \approx 0$ |
| n(udd) | 0 | $Q_W^n=-1$ |
| | | |

- also defined as Q²->0 (intrinsic property of particle)
- combined with the very well defined SM prediction makes it a good place to look for deviations (and new physics)



- In the early 2000s E158 made the first measurement of electron weak charge Q^ew
- Atomic Parity Violation measurements on ¹³³Cs gave unique insights into d-quark weak vector charge
- Qweak directly measures the proton weak vector charge Q^pw

Weak triad







MOLLER @ JLab



- Fine segmentation on detector allows for measurements of both background and signal
- Novel two toroid design used to separate signal from background into different rings
- Odd number of sectors gives 50% coverage in azimuth but 100% of the acceptance (always get one of the electrons from the event)



beam energy: 11 GeV spectrometer E': 2.5 to 8.5 GeV θ_{lab} : 0.3 to 11 deg

MOLLER @ JLab



 $A_{PV} = 35.60 \pm 0.73 \text{ ppb}$ $\delta(Q_W^e) = 2.1 \text{ (stat)} \pm 1.0 \text{ (syst)} \%$ $\delta(\sin^2 \theta_W) = 0.00024 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.00013 \text{ (syst)} \sim 0.1\%$

• Will set the highest contact interaction lepton limits (either low or high Q2):

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{e}_{1}\mathrm{e}_{2}} = \sum_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}=\mathbf{L},\mathbf{R}} rac{\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{ij}}^{2}}{2\Lambda^{2}} \mathbf{\bar{e}}_{\mathbf{i}} \gamma_{\mu} \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{\bar{e}}_{\mathbf{j}} \gamma^{\mu} \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{j}} \qquad \qquad rac{\Lambda}{\sqrt{|\mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{R}\mathbf{R}}^{2} - \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{L}\mathbf{L}}^{2}|}} = 7.5 \,\,\mathrm{TeV}$$

- Passed CD0 DOE review recently and is planned for a JLab Director's review this winter
- Strong prospects to get results by the middle of the 2020s



Qweak



E158 and Qweak are sensitive to different types of new physics

 strong consistency with SM for Qweak should put a stronger limit on scalar leptoquarks (E158 insensitive)

$$A_{PV} = -\frac{G_F Q^2}{4\sqrt{2}\pi\alpha} \left[Q_W^p + Q^2 F(\theta, Q^2) \right]$$

weak mixing angle determined from Global fit of PVES data together with weak charge of the proton (for results and more detail see G. Smith's talk tomorrow)



Ciprian Gal

P2 @ Mainz

$$A_{PV} = -rac{G_F Q^2}{4\sqrt{2}\pilpha} \left[Q_W^p + Q^2 F(heta, Q^2)
ight]$$

- Will make measurement at significantly lower Q² compared to Qweak (0.0048 vs 0.0248 (GeV/c)²)
 - hadronic contributions negligible
- 100x the rate of Qweak
- Scattering angles between 25 and 45 degrees
- 60 cm long target that can take 150 uA of current
- Needs about 1.3 years (11000 hours) to complete main physics program



P2 @ Mainz



- Solenoid spectrometer enables novel detector configuration
- The new ERL based research machine will support 100-200 MeV parity quality beam
- Development underway and CDR has been submitted to EPJ (<u>https://arxiv.org/</u> <u>abs/1802.04759</u>)

$$A_{PV} = -24.03 \pm 0.44 \text{ ppb}$$

 $\delta(\sin^2 \theta_W) = 0.00036 \ (0.15\%)$

- Will provide test for BSM physics with mass ranges between 70 MeV to 50 TeV
- Expected to run in the early 2020s
 - possible run with Pb (and other nuclei) to better improve neutron radius results from PREX/CREX

Weak mixing angle



- Both P2 and MOLLER make measurements at very low Q² but they will have uncertainties comparable to the best single collider measurement at the Z-pole
 - The low Q² nature of the measurements will give significant constraints on physics beyond the Standard Model

•

The remaining component

$$\mathcal{L}_{eq}^{PV} = -\frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_i \left[C_{1i} \overline{e} \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 e \overline{q} \gamma^\mu q + C_{2q} \overline{e} \gamma_\mu e \overline{q} \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 q \right]$$

 While most of the PV searches so far have focused on vector current extensions to the SM the hadronic-axial vector phase space has been left mostly untouched

PVDIS with SoLID@JLab



$$A_{PV} = \frac{G_F Q^2}{\sqrt{2\pi\alpha}} \left[a(x) + f(y)b(x) \right]$$

for Q2 >> 1 and W2 >> 4 GeV2

$$a(x) = \frac{3}{10} \left[(2C_{1u} - C_{1d}) \left(1 + \frac{0.6s(x)}{u(x) + d(x)} \right) \right]$$
$$b(x) = \frac{3}{10} \left[(2C_{2u} - C_{2d}) \left(\frac{u_v(x) + d_v(x)}{u(x) + d(x)} \right) \right]$$

- PVDIS uses direct interaction with quarks to access axialvector component of the interaction where radiative corrections can be directly calculated
- For a deuterium target the structure functions mostly cancel (assuming charge symmetry)

PVES in DIS allows for determinations of the axialvector contributions (C_{2q} terms) without interpretation difficulties due to radiative corrections

PVDIS with SoLID@JLab

Requires 0.4% e- polarimetry



- The CLEO solenoid is being repurposed for these experiments and more (extensive TMD studies)
- will use GEMs for tracking and Cerenkov + segmented calorimeter
- δp/p of ~2%, angle coverage of about 15 degrees
 (20-35) and scattered energies between 1.5 and 5 GeV

- Large kinematic range allows to measure several interesting effects including:
 - charge symmetry violation
 - higher twist effects
 - d/u ratios without the need to nuclear effects



PVDIS with SoLID@JLab



 SoLID (orange) will significantly increase our reach in mass range compared to published data (magenta)

Conclusions

- PVES is a very versatile and clean measurement technique that has been employed to study nuclear and hadronic topics as well as SM tests
 - improved technical capability pushes to higher and higher precision
- The upcoming neutron skin measurements will provide invaluable information about high density nuclear matter
- Electroweak physics will test BSM scenarios in phase space regions not available to direct searches with new interaction mass scales up to 10s of TeV

Backup

BNS mergers and the nuclear EOS

- Binary neutron star mergers • can give us information about the nuclear equation of state
- The waveform and frequency of the inspiral right before the merger are directly correlated to the stiffness of the neutron star



Fattoyev, Piekarewicz, Horowitz arXiv 1711.06615





M. Warda, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106 252501 (2011)

- Clear correlation between APV and the neutron skin from theoretical models
- The minimal theoretical assumptions (Helm model for the weak form factor and different mean field weak charge densities) produce a much small spread than the statistical uncertainty from the final PREX2 result (<u>https://arxiv.org/pdf/1202.1468.pdf</u>)
- This analysis takes into account the significant Coulomb distortions affecting the 208Pb extraction (<u>https://arxiv.org/pdf/nucl-th/9801011.pdf</u>)

24th of Jun 2016

Ciprian Gal | UVa | Hall A Collaboration Meeting



Ciprian Gal

University of Virginia



Present

- more than 2.3kW cryo target
- stability measured to better than 40 ppm at 250 Hz



30 cm liquid deuterium

Future

- 1.5 m cryo target
- capable to absorb 4kW and remain stable to better than 25 ppm at 1kHz

E122

target

Beam monitors

E122

- measured helicity correlated charge and position differences (10 microns resolution for position differences, 0.02% for charge)
- made use of microwave cavities
- use fast analysis to feedback on accelerator parameters



Present

- we use RF antenna or RF cavities for beam position and charge measurements
- Precisions of ~ 30 ppm for charge and ~1 micron for position at 250 Hz helicity flip rate
- Use fast analysis from the cavities to feedback on the parameters in the injector

Future

- we will need a factor of 3 improvement for the charge measurements
- a further factor of 2 improvements on both angle and position differences

Electroweak radiative corrections

$Q_W^p = \left[1 + \Delta \rho + \Delta_e\right] \left[\left(1 - 4\sin^2\theta_W(0)\right) + \Delta_{e'} \right] + \Box_{WW} + \Box_{ZZ} + \Box_{\gamma Z}$

| Correction to Q ^p _{Weak} | Uncertainty |
|--|-------------------|
| Δ | ± 0.0006 |
| Ζ γ box (6.4% ± 0.6%) | 0.00459 ± 0.00044 |
| $\Delta \boldsymbol{sin} \theta_{\boldsymbol{W}} (\boldsymbol{Q})_{\boldsymbol{hadronic}}$ | ± 0.0003 |
| WW, ZZ box - pQCD | ± 0.0001 |
| Charge symmetry | 0 |
| Total | ± 0.0008 |

Erler et al., PRD 68(2003)016006.

*courtesy of R. Carlini

Ciprian Gal

Calculations of Two Boson Exchange effects on Q_W^p at our Kinematics:

Recent theory calculations applied to entire data set of PV measurements as appropriate in global analysis.

Our ΔA_{ep} precise enough that corrections to higher Q² points make little difference in extrapolation to zero Q².

Energy Dependence γZ correction:

Hall, N.L., Blunden, P.G., Melnitchouk, W., Thomas, A.W., Young, R.D. Quark-hadron duality constraints on γZ box corrections to parity-violating elastic scattering. Phys. Lett. B 753, 221-226 (2016).

Axial Vector yZ correction:

Peter Blunden, P.G., Melnitchouk, W., Thomas, A.W. New Formulation of γZ Box Corrections to the Weak Charge of the Proton. Phys. Rev. Lett. 107, 081801 (2011).

Q² Dependence γZ:

Gorchtein, M., Horowitz, C.J., Ramsey-Musolf, M.J. Model dependence of the γZ dispersion correction to the parityviolating asymmetry in elastic ep scattering. Phys. Rev. C 84, 015502 (2011).