Fifty Years of Polarized Targets

A personal Memoir D. G. Crabb University of Virginia



What is a (Solid) Polarized Target





- Magnet $\sim 2 T \rightarrow \sim 7 T$
- Refrigerator $\sim 50 \text{ mK} \rightarrow \sim 1 \text{K}$
- Microwaves $\sim 50 \text{ GHz} \rightarrow 220 \text{ GHz}$
 - Carcinotron, Klystron, EIO
- Target Material Butanol, Ammonia, Lithium Hydride
- Chemically or irradiation
- doped.

• NMR Liverpool system



Successful material for DNP characterized by three measures:

- 1. Maximum polarization
- 2. Dilution factor
- 3. Resistance to ionizing radiation







Material	Butanol	Ammonia, NH ₃	Lithium Hydride, ⁷ LiH
Dopant	Chemical	Irradiation	Irradiation
Dil. Factor (%)	13.5	17.6	25.0
Polarization (%)	90-95	90-95	90
Material	D-Butanol	D-Ammonia, ND ₃	Lithium Deuteride, ⁶ LiH
Dil. Factor (%)	23.8	30.0	50.0
Polarization (%)	40	50	55
Rad. Resistance <i>Comments</i>	moderate Easy to produce and handle	high Works well at 5T/1K	very high Slow polarization, but long T ₁





Giants of Polarized Targets



A. Abragam

First Polarized Target Solid theory Dispute with Borghini over EST

VS

M. Borghini

Student of Abragam Devised Equal Spin Temperature Theory Conflict with Abragam





First Polarized Targets

- Abragam (Saclay) and Jeffries (Berkeley) ~ 1962 $La_2Mg_3(NO_3)_{12}$. 24H₂O doped with Neodymium Polarizations ~ 70% at ~1K and 2.0 T Borghini (CERN) ~1969 Butanol, Diols doped with porphyrexide, CrV etc Polarizations 70 % - 80% at 0-.5K and 2.5 T Niinikoski (CERN) and Crabb (Michigan) ~1980 NH₃
 - Polarizations ~ 95% 0.3K, 2.5T and 1K, 5T



Simple Brute Force Polarization

Advantage:

- Works for almost any material
- Easy to explain

Disadvantages:

- Requires large magnet
- Low temperatures mean LOW luminosity
- Polarization can take a very long time (long $\mathsf{T}_1)$

$$P_{TE} = \frac{e^{\frac{\mu B}{kT}} - e^{\frac{-\mu B}{kT}}}{e^{\frac{\mu B}{kT}} + e^{\frac{-\mu B}{kT}}} = \tanh\left(\frac{\mu B}{kT}\right)$$



400 300 Events Events 200 20 CH₂ LMN 100 1140 1160 1180 Me 1200 m (MeV (b)

Comparison of the data with LMN and CH₂ in π^+ + p \rightarrow K⁺ + Σ^+ Reactions*

• Although LMN was an excellent polarized target material for elastic scattering experiments, it was not convenient for other experiments, e.g. backward scatterings, inelastic scatterings, rare decays, and for electron and photon beams.

1160

1140

1180

(a)

M

1200 m (MeV)

- In the experiment of $\pi^+ + p \rightarrow K^+ + \Sigma^+$ reaction which was tried to carry out in CERN and Berkeley (1964) in order to check the parity conservation.*
- It was difficult to find the true events because of background events from other nuclei than free protons, since the ratio of free protons to bound protons is 1 : 15.

Asymmetries of Pion-Proton Elastic Scattering



- LMN targets were successfully operated for elastic scattering experiments with π, K, p and n beams, and became important tools for particle physics.
- LMN targets were constructed in Berkeley, Saclay, CERN, Argonne, Rutherford, Brookhaven, Nagoya, INS, Liverpool, Los Alamos, Harvard, Dubna, Protvino etc. (most of the high energy laboratories in the world)
- Not in SLAC and DESY because of radiation damage with electrons.

2nd Generation Polarized Target

Breakthrough in 1969

- Protons in **butanol** with small amount of water doped with **porphyrexide** were polarized up to 40 % at 1 K and 2.5 T at CERN by S.Mango, O.Runolfsson and M. Borghini, .
- At the same time, protons in **diol solutions with Cr⁵⁺ complex** were polarized up to 45 % at 1 K and 2.5 T at Saclay by M. Odehnel and H. Glättli.

Test of Polarization in Organic Materials in late 1960s

- Dynamic polarizations had been tested with organic materials with free radicals in many laboratories in the last half of 1960s, since these materials have higher concentration of free protons, and are strong for radiation damage.
- LMN and diol are damaged with relativistic particles of 2×10^{12} /cm² and 5×10^{14} /cm², respectively.
- At CERN more than 200 materials with more than 500 mixing ratios had been tested to polarize with several kinds of free radicals.
- However, most of them could not be polarized more than 30 %.*

Materials tried to polarize at CERN (in 1965 ~ 1971)

by M. Borghini, S. Mango, O. Runolfsson, K. Sheffler, A. Masaike, F. Udo

Benzene Toluene Ethanol Methanol Propanol Polyethylene Polystyrene LiF Wax Para Wax Polexiglass M-xylol Mylar C₆H₅CF₃ Diethylether Tetracosane Octacosane LiBH₄ Cyclododecan

Palmitin acid Polyphene Thanol Prophlbenzol Phenylethylether Phenylethyl-alcohol NaBH₄ Prehnitene Durol Anthracene Hexanol Water Propanol Methylcyclohexan Isodurol Tetrahydrofuran O-xylol 2,5 Dimethyltetrahydrofuran 1-Hexadecarol Dioxan Oppanol $(CH_3)_4 NBH_4$ $(CH_3CH_2)_4NBH_4$ NH_4BH_4 Tetramethylbenzene Tritetra-butylphenol

Benzen + Ether Propanol + Ethanol Ethanol + Water Ethanol + Methanol Ethanol + Propanol Ethanol + Diethylether Butylalcohol + Methanol Methanol + Propanol NaBH₄ + NH₄F + NH₃

Free Radicals

DPPH PAC BPA Shape BPA Violanthrene Porphyrexide TEMPO Ziegler Anthracene Na⁺ TMR PB PR TMPD Tri-tetra-bythlphenyl Tetramethyl 1,3 cyclobutadien DTBM etc.

BPA + DPPH BPA + Cob. Oleale Ziegler + DPPH Ziegler + Cob. Oleale Ziegler + BPA etc.

- neutron irradiation
- ⁶⁰Co-γirradiation
- γ- irradiation
- e- irradiation

- Further Improvements
- Borghini (CERN)

³He (~ 0.5K) and dilution (<< 0.5K) refrigerators at 2.5 T Diols and butanol polarized up to ~90% with eg. CrV dopant

Radiation Damage resistant materials

• Spin frozen targets with dilution refrigerators were constructed at CERN and KEK in 1974, then Saclay, Dubna and Bonn a few years later.



The Dilution Refrigerator for Spin Frozen Target at CERN T. Niinikoski

T < 50 mK B = 2.5 T

Propanediol polarization : 80 ~ 90 %

• Beams pass through the central axis of the cryostat.

Radiation Doping

- Suggested in early 1960s that radiation damage centers (free radicals) could be used to produce polarization in suitable hydrogenous materials. Comment by H. Atkinson (RL) "that it should be possible to polarize burnt toast as it was known to contain radicals!"
- Various attempts to irradiate and polarize CH₂ : Chester Hwang at North Western U. Reached 10% at 1.2 K and 2.5 T.
- Because of the success of Borghini's EST scheme, idea abandoned until late 70s
- 1980 Workshop on Polarized Materials Rutherford Lab Report RL-80-080 showed that NH₃ could be polarized to >90%, by Niinikoski et al and that LiH (Abragam et al) could be as well.



Era of Radiation Doping

- At the U. of Michigan and later at U. of Virginia started a program of irradiating Ammonia for experiments at Brookhaven, SLAC, Jlab.
- These experiments, using chemically doped butanol and diols
- suffered from radiation damage and a low proton content thus compromising the statistical precision of the experiment
- It was found by Crabb et al that at, 5T and 1K, that the proton polarization in ¹⁴NH₃ reached 90% in ~20 minutes, Reaching +95% and -98%. Approximately true for ¹⁵NH₃ as well
- Radiation damage was considerably better than other materials

NH₃ and other Irradiated targets

- Ammonia used in many experiments CERN, SLAC, JLAB, Brookhaven, Bonn by many universities.
- Advantages: Higher dilution factor than butanol
- and diols 17.5% : ~10%
- Better rad. damage
- Problems Difficult handling, toxic

Ammonia Polarization



Material Quality by Year



Irradiation Follies

- Initially irradiations were done simply by irradiating an open dewar of liquid nitrogen by an electron beam, proton beam or gamma source.
- However:

Beam \rightarrow LN₂ + O₃ \rightarrow nitrates \rightarrow move dewar \rightarrow BOOM !! Shift to more sophisticated cryogenic methods.

Irradiate under liquid Argon

Problem: ${}^{40}A \rightarrow {}^{39}Cl T_{1/2} \sim 55 \text{ mins} \text{ proton knock-out at an electron energy of ~12 MeV}$

Run at 10 MeV with good extraction and ventilation

Deuterated Materials for Neutron Studies

- Not aware of any LMN with D₂O
- Deuterated diols/butanol deuteron polarizations ~30% to 50%
- However Meyer et al at Bochum using a trityl
- dopant in butanol achieved ~ 80% deuteron polarization with a dilution fridge and at 2.5 T.

Related to narrow ESR line for trityl

Irradiated deuterated materials

• ND₃

• Needs irradiation at 78K to reach polarizations of ~ 15 %, then subsequent *in situ* irradiation at ~1K to push to ~ 50%

ND₃ Polarization with SLAC Beam



Lithium Deuteride

- ⁶LiD
- Needs to be irradiated at 180 K. (Bochum). More complicated cryostat. → LN2 Cooled He gas.
- ⁶Li can be considered as a combination of α + d leading to a dilution factor of ~ 50% (μ of Li ~ 3% less than of deuteron)
- First polarized at Saclay to 70% (6.5T, dil.fridge)
- Long polarizing times, less easy thermal calibrations.
- Better radiation damage characteristic than ammonia
- Used in two experiments at SLAC and then at CERN with COMPASS

Deuteron Polarization in Lithium Deuteride

• ⁶LiD



Deuteron polarizations vs dose for several materials



Deuteron polarization line shape at 63%

0.01 0.00 -0.01 -0.02 -0.03 -0.04 -0.05 -0.06 -0.07 100 200 300 400 500 0

3*10^15 e/cm^2 irradiated d-butanol at 6.5T

Table of Commonly used Polarized Target Materials

Table 1 Polarized target materials common	y used in particle scattering experiments
---	---

Materials & Cham Camp.	Dopant" & Method	Polarizeable Nucleona % by weight	B/T Tain/K	Max. Polarization %	Radiation Damage Characteristic Flux 10 ¹⁴ particles/om ²
LMN L42(Co, Mg)a (NO)a· 24H2O	Neodymium Ch	3 .1	2.0/1.5	±70	~ 0.01
1.2 Propenedial CaHe(OH)2	Cr (V) Cb	10.8	2.5/0.37	+98 -100	~ 1
1,2 Ethanedial $C_3H_4(OH)_2$	Cr (V) Ch	9 .7	2.8/0.8	±80	~ 2
Butanol C ₄ HgOH	EHBA Cr (V) Cb	13.5	2.5/0.3	±\$3	3 - 4
EX BA C2NH7 HH3NH3	EHBA Cr (V) Cb	1 6 5	2 5/0 5	+75 -73	7(+), 3 5(-) ^a
Ammonia ¹⁴ NH _a , ¹⁵ NH _a	NH2• Ir	17.6, 16.6	5.0/1.0	+97 100	7. 17.8ª
d-Butanol C ₄ D ₉ OD	EDBA Cr (V) Ch	23.8	2.5/0.3	±80	nct measured
d-Ammonia ¹⁴ NDa. ¹⁶ NDa	ND₂• Ir	30.0, 28.6	3.5/0.3	+49 -53	13(+), 28(-)
Lithium deutende [*] LiD	f-center L:	50	6.5/0.2	±70	>100

"Ch: chemically doped, in doped through invadiation

"The raviation does which neduces the polarisation by s" of its value

'For positive and negative polarisations, respectively

'In NH₃ there are two distinct regions of decay

Radiation Damage in Ammonia vs Dose



UVA Polarized Target



Putting it all Together



leak checked fridge shell + nose





Cryogenic Performance



Fridge performance

separator and nose fill

~1hr to fill the nose after a night on standby

very stable, very little attention required



POLARIZED TARGET SUBSYSTEMS

NMR

Pumping system designed and built by Oerlikon target heat load ~1.4W μ-wave:~1W, beam:~0.37W 3 roots (7000), 1 rotary vane (840) requires 100L LHe per day 14000 m3/hr pumping capacity

Insert

Fridge

Magnet

Construction and tests

first assembly at LANL spring 2015 tested and shipped to FNAL assembled and tested 10/2015



Pumps

Microwave



Target material





Recent Projects and Achievements



AFP produces rotation of the macroscopic magnetization vector by sweeping through resonance in a short time compared to the relaxation time

- Set record for Tensor Polarization for Deuteron (d-b only) Q>31% @1K 5T
- Set record for AFP flip with Proton e>50% @ 1K 5T

New Use of AFP

Fast target helicity flips through Adiabatic Fast Passage (AFP)

AFP at UVA

performed AFP on different materials (5T, 1K)

15NH3, D-butanol, butanol+tempo

preliminary results on flip efficiency

D-Butanol pedestal flip



Table 1 Results from AFP experiments with various nuclei in different target materials

Nuclei	Substance dopant	e ⁻ conc. (spins/g)	δP ^{max}
'н	1-butanol EHBA-Cr(V)	2.0×10 ¹⁹	-0.76
² Li ¹ H	⁷ LiH (irradiated)	low	- 0.90 - 0.90
¹⁹ F ¹ H	8-fluoro-1-pentanol TEMPO	1×10^{20}	-0.37 - 0.40
²н	1-butanol-d ₁₀ EHBA-Cr(V)-d ₂₂	2.36×10^{19} 6.35×10^{19}	- 0.92 - 0.90













