#### Neutron spin structure studies at EIC

Alessio Del Dotto, (INFN LNF, Italy) Evaristo Cisbani (INFN Roma1)

contributions from Leonid Kaptari ( Bogoliubov Lab. Theor. Phys), Emanuele Pace (Tor Vergara Univ. & INFN Roma 2), Sergio Scopetta (Perugia Univ. & INFN Perugia), Giovanni Salmè (INFN Roma)

SPIN 2018 Ferrara, 13/09/2018 A. Del Dotto / Neutron Spin Structure SPIN 2018 – neutron spin structure @ EIC

#### Outline

- Formalism (DIS / SIDIS)
- <sup>3</sup>He as effective polarized n target
- Experimental status (g<sub>1</sub><sup>n</sup>, A<sub>n</sub>, TMD ...)
- Approved "upcoming" experiments
- EIC features
- SiDIS off <sup>3</sup>He and information on the neutron structure:
  - Recent theoretical developments in SiDIS studies including the <u>final state interaction through a distorted</u> <u>spin dependent spectral function</u>
  - "spectator" SiDIS measurements  $\rightarrow$  tagging D or pp
- Conclusions

# (Spin) Neutron structure

- **Goal:** To study the inner structure of the neutron and its spin degrees of freedom (mainly by DIS and related)
- The free neutron decays in about 1000 s and has no net charge → need an "effective" polarized neutron target
- SIDIS on transversely polarized target

$$A_{UT} \equiv \frac{1}{|S_T|} \frac{d\sigma(\phi, \phi_S) - d\sigma(\phi, \phi_S + \pi)}{d\sigma(\phi, \phi_S) + d\sigma(\phi, \phi_S + \pi)}$$



 $\sigma_{SiDIS} \sim TMD(x, p_T^2, Q^2) \otimes \sigma_{\gamma^* q} \otimes FF(z, k_T^2, Q^2)$ 

- TMD: probability density to strike a quark with x fraction of longitudinal momentum and transverse momentum p<sub>τ</sub>
- FF: probability density of the struck quark, with transverse momentum k<sub>τ</sub> to fragment in an hadron carrying a fraction z of the parton momentum

# <sup>3</sup>He polarized target ${}^{3}\vec{He} \simeq \vec{n}$ S



The two photons are mostly in a s=0wave.

Main idea:

- The virtual photon interacts with a single nucleon. The FSI's among the hadron, the nucleon and the (fully interacting) spectator nuclear system is disregarded
- The internal structure of the bound nucleon is the same as the free one

The key quantity to calculate the effective polarizations is the <sup>3</sup>He spectral function S(E,p) ... it appears in DIS, SIDIS, DVCS, ...

(Ciofi degli Atti et al ., PRC48(1993)R968)

---> applied to DiS, first naive extension to Sidis



$$A_n \simeq \frac{1}{p_n f_n} (A_3^{exp} - 2p_p f_p A_p^{exp})$$

Effective polarizations:

$$p_p = -0.023$$
  $p_n = 0.878$ 

Dilution factor:  $f_{p(n)} \simeq 0.2$ 

#### Neutron Spin Structure Function from polarized DIS



Both x<0.04 and >0.4 need neutron data! Most of the existing data at very small x taken at Q<sup>2</sup><1

Large space for experimental improvements:

- Bjorken sum rule (a «direct» check of QCD) (more accuracy with new data)
- g<sub>1</sub><sup>p</sup>+g<sub>1</sub><sup>n</sup> and g<sub>1</sub><sup>p</sup>-g<sub>1</sub><sup>n</sup> at small x is related for DGLAP evolution

Need well established «baseline» to understand Higher Twist effects e.g. g<sub>2</sub> Both D (previous talk) and 3He targets needed to extract consistent data on neutron from non trivial nuclear effects



#### Nucleon spin & flavor decomposition



Extend, improve

decomposition requires data

**from SIDIS**  $\pi^+/\pi^-$ ,  $K^+/K^-$ 

(need good PID), and

different targets p,D,<sup>3</sup>He

Both D and <sup>3</sup>He targets needed:

- D: treatment of nuclear effects somehow simpler, but difference of similar terms to extract n (g<sub>1</sub><sup>d</sup>-g<sub>1</sub><sup>p</sup>)
- <sup>3</sup>He: n effective polarization but nuclear effects more complicated

#### FSI in <sup>3</sup>He distorted spectral function

L. Kaptari, A.DD., E. Pace, G. Salmè, S. Scopetta., PRC 89 (2014) A.DD., E. Pace, G. Salmè, S. Scopetta, PRC95 (2017)

1) PWIA:  $\langle p_n \rangle = 0.876$ ,  $\langle p_p \rangle = -0.0237$ ,  $\theta_e = 30^\circ$ ,  $\theta_\pi = 14^\circ$ 

| $E_{beam}$ ; | $x_{Bj}$ | ν           | $p_{\pi}$     | $f_n(x,z)$ | $\langle p_n \rangle f_n$ | $f_p(x, z)$ | $\langle p_p \rangle f_p$ |
|--------------|----------|-------------|---------------|------------|---------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|
| ${\rm GeV}$  |          | ${\rm GeV}$ | ${\rm GeV/c}$ |            |                           |             |                           |
| 8.8          | 0.21     | 7.55        | 3.40          | 0.304      | 0.266                     | 0.348       | $-8.410^{-3}$             |
| 8.8          | 0.29     | 7.15        | 3.19          | 0.286      | 0.251                     | 0.357       | $-8.510^{-3}$             |
| 8.8          | 0.48     | 6.36        | 2.77          | 0.257      | 0.225                     | 0.372       | $-8.910^{-3}$             |
| 11           | 0.21     | 9.68        | 4.29          | 0.302      | 0.265                     | 0.349       | $-8.310^{-3}$             |
| 11           | 0.29     | 9.28        | 4.11          | 0.285      | 0.25                      | 0.357       | $-8.510^{-3}$             |

**2)** FSI: 
$$\langle p_n \rangle = 0.756$$
,  $\langle p_p \rangle = -0.0265$ ,  $\langle N_n \rangle = 0.85$ ,  $\langle N_p \rangle = 0.87$ ,  $\langle \sigma_{eff} \rangle = 71 \ mb$ 

| $E_{beam}$ , | $x_{Bj}$ | $\nu$       | $p_{\pi}$     | $f_n(x,z)$ | $\langle p_n \rangle f_n$ | $f_p(x,z)$ | $\langle p_p \rangle f_p$ |
|--------------|----------|-------------|---------------|------------|---------------------------|------------|---------------------------|
| GeV          |          | ${\rm GeV}$ | ${\rm GeV/c}$ |            |                           |            |                           |
| 8.8          | 0.21     | 7.55        | 3.40          | 0.353      | 0.267                     | 0.405      | $-1.110^{-2}$             |
| 8.8          | 0.29     | 7.15        | 3.19          | 0.332      | 0.251                     | 0.415      | $-1.110^{-2}$             |
| 8.8          | 0.48     | 6.36        | 2.77          | 0.298      | 0.225                     | 0.432      | $-1.210^{-2}$             |
| 11           | 0.21     | 9.68        | 4.29          | 0.351      | 0.266                     | 0.405      | $-1.10^{-2}$              |
| 11           | 0.29     | 9.28        | 4.11          | 0.331      | 0.250                     | 0.415      | $-1.110^{-2}$             |

$$\mathbf{A_n} \simeq \frac{1}{p_n^{\mathbf{FSI}} \ \mathbf{f_n^{\mathbf{FSI}}}} \left( \mathbf{A_3^{exp}} - 2p_p^{\mathbf{FSI}} \mathbf{f_p^{\mathbf{FSI}}} \mathbf{A_p^{exp}} \right) \simeq \frac{1}{p_n \ \mathbf{f_n}} \left( \mathbf{A_3^{exp}} - 2p_p \mathbf{f_p} \mathbf{A_p^{exp}} \right)$$

- The usual extraction is safe in the valence region and for the Jlab kinematics
- At very low x (EIC) the FSI grows up, better to have an experimental measurement (spectator SIDIS, exclusive measurements, cross sections ...)



**Progresses have** 

been done!

**SPIN 2018** 





Rather evident LT asymmetries, with opposite signs, but very limited data → not clean picture, need further exp. data at broad phase space and with higher precision

Negative Sievers for  $\pi^+$  (d opposite to u)? K and  $\pi^-$  Asymmetries negligible (not fully consistent with p and D existing data)

### <sup>3</sup>He Cross section data in (unpolarized) SiDIS

PHYSICAL REVIEW C 95, 035209 (2017)







«Simple» model (at lower twist) reproduce reasonably well the main features of the data; no large higher-twists contribution in explored Q2 range

Estimated  $\langle k_T^2 \rangle$  width smaller than from global analyses of other data (model based!)

Cross section data (also polarized!) valuable to quantitative estimate of:

- transverse quark motion
- FSI in light nuclei

Expected better accuracy in EIC hermetic 4pi detectors respect to fixed target, limited acceptance, experiments

# "Standard" SIDIS cross sections

First measurement of unpolarized SIDIS cross section off <sup>3</sup>He (X. Yan et al, PRC 95, 035209 (2017))

• For a similar analysis on polarized data the expected error is of order 15%

A.DD., L.P. Kaptari, E. Pace, G. Salmè, S. Scopetta, PRC 96, 065203 (2017)

- A look back at the light cone distributions:
  - The relative difference around the peak > 15%
  - The relative difference in the mean polarizations is of the order of 15%



α

Even if the spectral function is convoluted with TMDs (and structure function) the analysis can still provide valuable information with an expected accuracy on sigma at the level of 10%! (at least considering the current available data).

SPIN 2018

A. Del Dotto / Neutron Spin Structure

10

#### Near future experiments by <sup>3</sup>He/<sup>3</sup>H @ JLab



Precise multidimensional 3He (polarized) (SI)DIS data at large x (an moderate Q2) in the coming decades .... together with experiments on H/D based targets

SPIN 2018

#### **Spectator SiDIS**

Deuteron in the final state  $\rightarrow$  g1p

pp in the final state  $\rightarrow$  g1n





$$\frac{\Delta \sigma^{\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{A}}}{d\varphi_{e} \, dx \, dy \, d\mathbf{P}_{D}} \equiv \frac{d\sigma^{\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{A}}(h_{e}=1) - d\sigma^{\hat{\mathbf{S}}_{A}}(h_{e}=-1)}{d\varphi_{e} \, dx \, dy \, d\mathbf{P}_{D}} = \\ \approx 4 \frac{\alpha_{em}^{2}}{Q^{2} z_{N} \mathcal{E}} \frac{m_{N}}{E_{N}} g_{1}^{p} \left(\frac{x}{z}\right) \mathcal{P}_{||}^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbf{p}_{mis}) \mathcal{E}(2-y) \left[1 - \frac{|\mathbf{p}_{mis}|}{m_{N}}\right] \qquad Bjorken \ limit$$

- Measuring g<sub>1</sub><sup>p</sup> and doing a comparison with g<sub>1</sub><sup>p</sup> from a proton target → test of the model for the FSI in different kinematical regions
- g<sup>p</sup><sub>1</sub>, study of EMC effect (high x), shadowing and anti-shadowing effects (low x)
- g<sub>1</sub><sup>n</sup> of bound nucleons direct measurement SPIN 2018



A. Del Dotto / Neutron Spin Structure

#### EIC main features for n structure studies

- D, <sup>3</sup>He polarized ions
- Luminosity

ົ<sup>10<sup>39</sup></sub></sup>

JLAB/CEBAF

COMPASS

HIAF-EIC

BCDMS

**SPIN 2018** 

HERMES NMC

10

1111

SLAC

EIC

6 12

Luminosity (cm<sup>-2</sup> s <sup>20</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup> s

10<sup>36</sup>

10<sup>35</sup>

10<sup>34</sup>

10<sup>33</sup>

10<sup>32</sup>

10<sup>3</sup>

- Extended x and Q<sup>2</sup> space
- 4 pi hermetic detectors

ep Facilities & Experiments:

Past Colliders

Collider Concepts

Past Fixed Target Ongoing Fixed Target

LHeC/HE-LHC

LHeC/HL-LHC

LHeC/CDR

HERA (ZEUS/H1)

10<sup>2</sup>

1 I I I I I I I

 $10^{3}$ 

FCC-he

. . . . . .

√s (GeV)

p/A

EIC Project



A. Del Dotto / Neutron Spin Structure

### <sup>3</sup>He spectator SIDiS phase space

Light-cone momentum fraction of the spectators

$$\alpha_{\zeta} = \frac{A(p_{\zeta} \cdot q)}{P_A \cdot q}$$



 $e + {}^{3}He \rightarrow e' + \pi + \zeta + X$ 

 $\textbf{t}{=}(\textbf{p}_{_{^{3}\text{He}}}{\textbf{-}\textbf{p}_{_{^{\zeta}}}})^{2}\rightarrow \approx m_{N}^{2}$ 

ς=D or pp, N=p or n

**Beam Momenta:** 

e = 10 GeV (+z)

3He = 60 GeV(-z)

**Base Unit is GeV** 

DIS: Q2>1











SPIN 2018

# (polarized) spectator (SI)DiS, possible measurements



#### **Concluding remarks**

Experimental nucleon (spin) structure functions intrinsically involved with nuclear effects:

- To "clearly" get rid of nuclear effects need to pursue different approaches: D .... previous talk
  <sup>3</sup>He ... not only for "n" but also for <sup>3</sup>He itself (e.g. EMC effect ...)
- Theoretical approaches are under refinement and extension for FSI and other low x effects (anti-shadowing ...)
- To measure (polarized) cross section (other than asymmetries) may open interesting scenario in understanding the nuclear effects (need good luminosity monitor and detector performances)
- Spectator technique (almost exclusive DIS) seems experimentally applicable to <sup>3</sup>He as long as the D spectator tagging is too; this may represent a valuable step forward in EIC
- <sup>3</sup>He polarimetry is probably not trivial in a collider and need a careful R&D

Hadron Asymmetry for 3He polarimetry ?

- Polarimetry of <sup>3</sup>He ion beam is not trivial
- Precise data on An <sup>3</sup>He(pi) cou be a potential tool

![](_page_16_Figure_3.jpeg)