The Polarized Target at the CBELSA/TAPS Experiment SPIN 2018 Ferrara

Stefan Runkel

on behalf of the CBELSA/TAPS collaboration

Physikalisches Institut - Universität Bonn

11th September, 2018



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

CBELSA/TAPS





γ		Target		
		х	у	Z
Unpolarised	σ	0	Т	0
Linear pol.	-Σ	н	- P	$-\mathbf{G}$
Circular pol.	0	F	0	$-\mathbf{E}$

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\sigma}}{\mathrm{d}\Omega}\left(\vartheta, \ \phi\right) &= \frac{\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\sigma}}{\mathrm{d}\Omega}\left(\vartheta\right) \left[1 - P_T \boldsymbol{\Sigma}\left(\vartheta\right) \cos\left(2\phi\right) \\ &+ P_x \left(-P_T \mathbf{H}\left(\vartheta\right) \sin\left(2\phi\right) + P_\circ \mathbf{F}\left(\vartheta\right)\right) \\ &- P_y \left(P_T \mathbf{P}\left(\vartheta\right) \cos\left(2\phi\right) - \mathbf{T}\left(\vartheta\right)\right) \\ &- P_z \left(-P_T \mathbf{G}\left(\vartheta\right) \sin\left(2\phi\right) + P_\circ \mathbf{E}\left(\vartheta\right)\right)\right]. \end{aligned}$$

CBELSA/TAPS





Stefan Runkel (PI Bonn)

The Polarized target at CBELSA/TAPS

07.03.2018 3/21

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト

CBELSA/TAPS - Polarized Target





Work in 2017

- Merging the Dubna/Mainz and Bonn Systems.
- February Transport of the cryostat, the ³*He*-system, temperature measurement to Bonn.
 - Connecting the vacuum system.
 - Leak test at room temperature.
 - Change Front part of the cryostat.
 - Implement the Dubna/Mainz DAQ in the Bonn system.

…

May First cooling test, $T_{min} = 60 \text{ mK}$.

September Test of all components, $T_{min} < 30 \text{ mK}$ $P_{max} \approx 45 \%$.

December First measurement.

Dubna: Y. Usov, N. Borisov, I. Gorodnov et al. Mainz: A. Thomas et al. Bochum: G. Reicherz. Bonn: S. Goertz, H. Dutz, S. Runkel et al

Target Polarization and Beam Heating - December 2017







Target Polarization and Beam Heating - December 2017



- NMR coil at the edge of the container.
- The polarization lost due to the beam was not measured with the NMR system.





- NMR coil at the edge of the container.
- The polarization lost due to the beam was not measured with the NMR system.
- Change of the geometry for the NMR coil.



A First Look into the $2\pi^0$ Channel



T. Seifen et al.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

A First Look into the $2\pi^0$ Channel





T. Seifen et al.

・ ロ ト ・ 同 ト ・ 三 ト ・ 三 ト

Target Polarization and Beam Heating - May 2018





- Butanol doped with 0.45 % Porphyrexide.
- $\label{eq:pmax} \begin{array}{l} \textbf{P}_{max} + \approx 83 \,\%, \\ P_{max} \approx 86 \,\%, \\ \tau_{rel, \ without \ beam} \approx 1800 \, h, \\ \tau_{rel, \ with \ beam} \approx 500 \, h. \end{array}$
- Coil wound through the Target container and the beam axis.
- Difference in the relaxation time with and without beam can be seen directly.

Target Polarization and Beam Heating - May 2018





- Butanol doped with 0.45 % Porphyrexide.
- $\label{eq:pmax} \begin{array}{l} \textbf{P}_{max} + \approx 83 \,\%, \\ P_{max} \approx 86 \,\%, \\ \tau_{rel, \ without \ beam} \approx 1800 \, h, \\ \tau_{rel, \ with \ beam} \approx 500 \, h. \end{array}$
- Coil wound through the Target container and the beam axis.
- Difference in the relaxation time with and without beam can be seen directly.
- Two possibilities:
 - Reduce the beam intensity,
 - Increase the temperature.

A B > A B

Target Polarization and Beam Heating - May 2018





- Butanol doped with 0.45 % Porphyrexide.
- $\label{eq:pmax} \begin{array}{l} \textbf{P}_{max} + \approx 83 \,\%, \\ P_{max} \approx 86 \,\%, \\ \tau_{rel, \ without \ beam} \approx 1800 \, h, \\ \tau_{rel, \ with \ beam} \approx 500 \, h. \end{array}$
- Coil wound through the Target container and the beam axis.
- Difference in the relaxation time with and without beam can be seen directly.

| 4 同 ト 4 三 ト 4 三 ト

- To minimize the beam heating effect, the temperature of the helium bath in the mixing chamber was increased to 27 mK.
- ▶ Next measurement with D-Butanol start in October. Two production runs planned in 2019.

$\gamma p \rightarrow \pi^0 p$, Target Asymmetry

631 MeV < E, < 670 MeV 670 MeV < E, < 701 MeV 701 MeV < E, < 732 MeV 732 MeV < E, < 769 MeV 769 MeV < E, < 799 MeV 0.5 868 MeV < E, < 900 MeV 900 MeV < E, < 932 MeV 932 MeV < E, < 977 MeV 799 MeV < E, < 834 MeV 834 MeV < E, < 868 MeV 0. 99-AT APROPER SH 00000 -0.5 977 MeV < E, < 1017 MeV < 1017 MeV < E, < 1060 MeV + 1060 MeV < E, < 1102 MeV + 1102 MeV < E, < 1148 MeV + 1148 MeV < E, < 1198 MeV 0.5 ***** -0.5 0.5 0.5 -0.5 0.5 -0.50.5 0.5 -0.5 -0.5 $\cos \theta_{-0}$ 2018 data 2010 data JuBo 2015 ----- BnGa 2013 SAID CM12 - MAID

J. Hartmann et al.

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト



$\gamma p \rightarrow \pi^0 p$, Target Asymmetry



J. Hartmann et al.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Continuous and Frozen-Spin Target





- > 2018/2019: Measurements in Bonn with the Dubna/Mainz frozen-spin target.
- A new frozen-spin cryostat is under construction by Dubna and will be finished in the end of 2018.
- A continuous mode target is under construction by Bonn.

4π -Continuous Target





Design conditions:

- Current of 90 A for internal, longitudinal polarization-magnet.
- Cooling power of 100 mW at 200 mK for DNP.
- Minimal temperature 30 mK for transverse polarized targets.

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト

4π -Continuous Target





■ ► ■ つへの 07.03.2018 11/21

・ロト ・ 日 ト ・ 日 ト ・ 日 ト ・

Precooling





- ▶ Four heat exchangers and two heat sinks to cool and liquefy the circulating mixture.
- ▶ HE4 inside the evaporator to ensure 1 K after the precooling stages.
- Circulating ³He-⁴He-mixture cooled by ⁴He from the heat sinks and by evaporating mixture from the dilution unit.

(日)







- ► HE1 HE3 counterflow heat exchangers with more than two streams.
- Calculations of the precooling stages only with simple models for two stream heat exchangers.

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

Precooling





► The heat exchange between the different streams and the solid is given by:

$$\dot{Q}_{\text{solid}}(\Delta T_{\text{m}}) = \alpha \cdot A \Delta T_{\text{m}} \quad \text{mit} \quad \alpha \propto \text{Nu}(\text{Re}, \text{Pr}) \cdot \frac{\lambda}{L}.$$

- NUSSELT-, PRANDLT- and REYNOLDS-Number are characteristic flow parameter depending on the geometry.
- Characteristic flow parameter given by the dimensionless NAVIER-STOKES equations.
- Idea: Solve the NAVIER-STOKES equations with a finite-volume-method.

 \Rightarrow CFD-Simulation

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト

Computational Fluid Dynamics



The basis of almost all CFD simulations is

ρ: density

 φ: fluid dynamic parameter (e.g. fluid velocity <u>u</u>, enthalpy h)

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\rho \phi \right) + \underbrace{\nabla \cdot \left(\rho \underline{u} \phi \right)}_{F_{\phi}} = D_{\phi} + Q_{\phi}.$$

GI.	ϕ	D_{ϕ}	Q_{ϕ}
1. KON	1	0	0
2. IMP	<u>u</u>	$\nabla \cdot \underline{a}$	$-\nabla \cdot \mathbf{p} + \rho \underline{g}$
3. ENG	h	$\nabla(k\nabla T)$	$\frac{\partial p}{\partial t} + \underline{u} \cdot \nabla \rho + \nabla \cdot \left(\underline{\underline{\tau}} \cdot \underline{u}\right)$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

- F_{ϕ} : convective flow, describes the transport of the stream given by ϕ
- ▶ D_{ϕ} : diffusive flow, describes the changes in space given by ϕ
- ▶ Q_{ϕ} : all other distributions given by ϕ

 \Rightarrow Using openFOAM with snappyHexMesh for the simulation and the creation of the mesh.

Computational Fluid Dynamics

Compressible fluid:

1. Mass:

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \underline{u}) = \mathbf{0}$$

2. Motion:

3. Enthalpy:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\rho \underline{u} \right) + \nabla \cdot \left(\rho \underline{u} \underline{u} \right) = \nabla \cdot \underline{a} - \nabla p + \rho \underline{g}$$

 $\frac{\partial \rho h}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \underline{u} h) = \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} + \underline{u} \cdot \nabla p + \nabla (k \nabla T) + \nabla \cdot \left(\underline{\underline{\tau}} \cdot \underline{u}\right)$

Equations of state (e. g. ideal gas):
n = aBT

$$p = p m$$

 $dh = c_p dT$

Specific material equations:

 $\dot{Q} = -k\nabla T$

Stress-tensor:

$$\underline{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} = \eta \left[2\underline{\boldsymbol{S}} - \frac{2}{3} \left(\nabla \cdot \underline{\boldsymbol{u}} \right) \underline{\boldsymbol{\delta}} \right]$$
$$\underline{\boldsymbol{S}} = \frac{1}{2} \left[\nabla \underline{\boldsymbol{u}} + \left(\nabla \underline{\boldsymbol{u}} \right)^T \right]$$
$$\underline{\underline{\boldsymbol{\tau}}} = \underline{\boldsymbol{\sigma}} + p \mathbb{1}$$

▲□▶▲□▶▲目▶▲目▶ 目 のQの



Heat Exchanger 1



UNIVERSITÄT BONN

- Data for $\dot{n}_{^{3}\text{He}} = 1 \text{ mmol s}^{-1}$.
- Well defined temperature gradient along the heat exchanger.
- Temperature after the last stage of 8 K is reached.
- Simulation performed for all heat exchangers and a circulation rate of 1 to 20 mmol s⁻¹

• • • • • • • • • • • •

Simulation		Measurement		
HE1 _{in}	170 K	170(5) K		
HE1 _{middle}	43 K	43(3) K		
HE1 _{out}	8 K	8(1)K		
$p_{^{3}\text{He}_{in}}$	100 mbar	105(10) mbar		
$p_{^{3}\text{He}_{out}}$	$2.1 imes 10^{-2} \text{mbar}$	$2.2(2) \times 10^{-2}$ mbar		
$p_{\rm ^4He_{out}}$	15 mbar	15(3) mbar		

Heat Exchanger 1





 \Rightarrow Laminar flow through turbine stage.

Stef	an R	unkel	(PI I	Bonn)

The Polarized target at CBELSA/TAPS

07.03.2018 18/21

イロト イロト イヨト イヨト





Heat exchanger 1 & 2 are in series. Thus, they can be seen as one unit.

- ⁴He-flowrate necessary to cool the circulating ³He-⁴He-mixture to the temperature of the separator.
- ▶ First tests done with ⁴He, simulation performed up to 15 % ⁴He in circulating ³He.

Heat Exchanger 3





Condenser: phase-boundary between liquid and gas not included in the mesh.

- ⁴He_{in}, gets superfluid.
 - Only the change in the heat capacity is included.
 - Heat conductivity and viscosity have to be implemented. (ongoing work)
- ▶ ⁴He from the evaporator at a higher temperature as calculated.

< E

Summary & Outlook



- 2017, the Dubna/Mainz dilution cryostat was sent to Bonn and integrated in the Bonn control system.
- Production run in December 2017 and May 2018.
- First results are promising and the analysis is ongoing.
- A new frozen-spin dilution cryostat for Bonn is under construction by DIJN.
- First tests of the new continuous mode dilution cryostat were performed and compared to the existing simulation.
- The data of the precooling stages are in agreement with the simulation. (Excluding HE3, where some deviations were expected.)
- ► For 2019, measurements at CBELSA/TAPS are planned with the existing setup.
- Test of the Dubna/Bonn frozen-spin target.
- > Tests of the new frozen-spin target and optimizing the operation parameter.
- Including the superfluid behaviour of helium in the simulation model.
- Preparing the next tests of the continuous dilution refrigerator in Bonn.