NUCLEON FEMTOGRAPHY FROM EXCLUSIVE REACTIONS

SPIN 2018 UNIVERSITY OF FERRARA, SEPTEMBER 9-14, 2018



Simonetta Liuti University of Virginia



Outline

Physics goals

quarks and gluons imaging, origin of mass, spin, nuclear structure

Theory

Energy Momentum Tensor (EMT) and Generalized Parton Distributions (GPDs): probing the mechanical properties of the proton

Method

Femtography. Fourier transforms, merging information from lattice, models/ parametrizations

Disentangling quark and gluon OAM twist-3 GPDs, k_T dependence (GTMDs) from lattice

A concerted effort

Center for Nuclear Femtography

- organizing a variety of approaches /setting benchmarks
- extraction from experiments at EIC -> beyond standard analyses/ computational methods/phen. approaches

Conclusions and Outlook

1. PHYSICS GOALS

GPDs and Deeply Virtual Exclusive Experiments

A new paradigm that will allow us to both penetrate and visualize the deep structure of visible matter ... to answer questions that we couldn't even afford asking before

what is the origin of mass and spin?



... a new way of thinking strongly interacting systems

... a link to phenomenology that allows us to measure what could only be conceivably explored through "thought" experiments in Lattice QCD



what is the spatial structure of hadrons?



GPDs connect to complex phase space distributions (Wigner)



...To observe, evaluate and interpret Wigner distributions requires stepping up data analyses from the standard methods → developing new numerical/analytic/quantum computing methods

2. THEORY: EMT AND GPDS

How does the proton get its mass and spin?

$$\mathcal{L}_{QCD} = \overline{\psi} \left(i \gamma_{\mu} D^{\mu} - m \right) \psi - \frac{1}{4} F_{a,\mu\nu} F_{a}^{\mu\nu}$$

The mass generated by the Higgs mechanism is very far in value from the characteristic scale of strongly interacting matter

Invariance of \mathcal{L}_{QCD} under translations and rotations

Energy Momentum Tensor

from translation inv.

$$T^{\mu\nu}_{QCD} = \frac{1}{4} \,\overline{\psi} \,\gamma^{(\mu} D^{\nu)} \psi + Tr \left\{ F^{\mu\alpha} F^{\nu}_{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} F^2 \right\}$$

Angular Momentum Tensor

from rotation inv.

$$M_{QCD}^{\mu\nu\lambda} = x^{\nu}T_{QCD}^{\mu\lambda} - x^{\lambda}T_{QCD}^{\mu\nu}$$

QCD Energy Momentum Tensor and Angular Momentum



Conserved quantities

Momentum

$$P^{\mu} = \int d^3 \mathbf{x} \, T^{o\mu}$$

Angular Momentum

$$M^{\mu\nu} = \int d^3 \mathbf{x} \, M^{o\mu\nu}$$
$$= \int d^3 \mathbf{x} \, [x^{\mu} T^{o\nu} - x^{\nu} T^{o\mu}]$$

Angular Momentum density

 $M^{\mu\nu\lambda} = x^{\nu}T^{\mu\lambda} - x^{\lambda}T^{\mu\nu}$

EMT matrix elements

S=0 $\langle p' \mid T^{\mu\nu} \mid p \rangle = 2 \left[A(t) P^{\mu\nu} + C(t) (\Delta^2 g^{\mu\nu} - \Delta^{\mu\nu}) \right] + \widetilde{C}(t) g^{\mu\nu}$





q and g not separately conserved

Energy Momentum Tensor in a spin 1 system

Angular momentum sum rule for spin one hadronic systems

Swadhin K. Taneja,^{1,*} Kunal Kathuria,^{2,†} Simonetta Liuti,^{2,‡} and Gary R. Goldstein^{3,§} PRD86(2012)

QCD Energy Momentum Tensor relations (spin 1/2)

Momentum

Angular Momentum

$$\left\langle p' \left| \int d^3 x \left(x_1 T_{q,g}^{02} - x_2 T_{q,g}^{01} \right) \right| p \right\rangle = (A+B)^{q,g} \int d^3 x \ p^0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \frac{1}{2} \left(A^{q,g} + B^{q,g} \right) = J_z^{q,g}$$

Stress Tensor (Donoghue et al., PLB 2001, Polyakov Shuvaev (2002) 0207153)

$$T_{ij}(\vec{r}) = \frac{1}{M} \int \frac{d^3 \Delta}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\vec{q}\cdot\vec{r}} \left(\Delta_i \Delta_j - \Delta^2 \delta_{ij}\right) C(t)$$

C defines the stress at a given point inside the nucleon



9/14/18

GPDs and the Energy Momentum Tensor



DVCS

 $ep \rightarrow e' \gamma' p'$



16

large scale

Deeply virtual photon/meson production



(2) Quark momenta and spins on LHS can be different from the RHS

Physical meaning from helicity structure





Local operators: OPE&Mellin Moments (X. Ji, 1998)

$$n_{\mu_{1}} \dots n_{\mu_{n}} \langle P' | O_{q}^{\mu_{1} \dots \mu_{n}} | P \rangle = \overline{U}(P') \ \# U(P) H_{qn}(\xi, t) + \overline{U}(P') \frac{\sigma^{\mu\alpha} n_{\mu} i \Delta_{\alpha}}{2M} U(P) E_{qn}(\xi, t)$$
helicity conserving helicity flip
$$Mellin \text{ Moments} \quad \int_{-1}^{1} dx \ x^{n-2} H_{q}(x, \xi, t) = H_{qn}$$

$$\int_{-1}^{1} dx \ x^{n-2} E_{q}(x, \xi, t) = E_{qn}$$

2nd Mellin moments



GPDs are the key to interpret the mechanical properties of the proton



22

Connecting with observables: work in progress with W. Cosyn and A. Freese

9/14/18

Let's summarize what we know so far...





Jlab Hall B, Burkert Elouadrhiri, Girod, Nature (2018)

Deuteron

Ratio of Gluons/Quarks



experimentally... an open field...

Neutron stars



26

Comparing the QCD EMT with the Equation of State of neutron stars, after event GW178017 (see W. Van de Brandt's talk)



3. FEMTOGRAPHY

To understand mass and spin we need to describe and measure the joint space and momentum distributions of quarks and gluons inside the nucleon

mass

Color charge flux tube



Figure 3: Action density distribution for the ground state and the first excitation.

G. Bali et al., PoS LAT2005 (2006) F. Bissey, et al. PRD76 (2007)

"light (quark) pair creation seems to occur nonlocalized and instantaneously."

Spin



u quark density distribution in transv. polarized proton

Gluons

 ✓ Are gluons concentrated in the interior of the proton

 ... or are they occupying the whole volume beyond the quark radius



- ✓ Do they cluster around the quarks
- ...or do they form their own "hot spots"

Images of Atoms

- Transmission Electron Microscope: by scattering electrons -- with a much smaller wavelength --allows us to reconstruct pictures of microscopic particles
- Scanning Probe Microscope: we monitor the tunneling current between the probe and the surface of a sample, as the tip scans the surface

Tarresting Current amphilier Distance caetrod current amphilier Torresta Tarresting Current amphilier Current a

central voltages for piccets

We can now image the structure of matter in 3D at the atomic level

(1 Å=10⁻¹⁰ m= 0.1 nm)

Hexagonal-MoSi2 nanocrystallites



courtesy Petra Reinke et al. (Material Science Dept. UVa, Nanoletters (2017)

Facing the next challenge....images at the femtoscale five orders of magnitude below



Key Theory Development: Wigner/phase space distributions at the femtoscale Key Experimental Probe: Deeply virtual exclusive scattering experiments

p





exclusive

The Proton Relativistic Wave Function: Poincaré Invariance



Center of P⁺



- P⁺ plays the role of mass
- "The subgroup of the Poincaré group that leaves the surface z⁺=const invariant, is isomorphic to the Galilean group in 2D"
- We can disentangle the transverse components from the longitudinal components in boosts

Implication

We can map out faithfully the spatial quark distributions in the transverse plane (no modeling/approximation)

$$q(x,\vec{b}) = \frac{dn}{dxd^2\vec{b}}$$

Soper (1977), Burkardt (2001)

Already a surprise: re-evaluation of nucleon charge distribution







GPDs involve two types of distance

$$H^{q}(\boldsymbol{x},0,\Delta) = \int rac{d\boldsymbol{z}^{-}}{2\pi} e^{i\boldsymbol{x}P^{+}\boldsymbol{z}^{-}} \langle P-\Delta,\Lambda' \mid ar{q}(0)\gamma^{+}q(\boldsymbol{z}^{-}) \mid P,\Lambda
angle_{\boldsymbol{z}_{T}=0}$$

x distribution → Fourier transform of <u>non-diagonal</u> density distribution in z⁻
 △ distribution → Fourier transform of <u>diagonal</u> density distribution in b

$$\bar{q}_{+}^{\dagger}(0,b)q_{+}(z^{-},b) \rightarrow \rho(0,b;z^{-},b)$$

$$\downarrow t=0.1 \text{ GeV}^{2} \cdot Q^{2} = 4 \text{ GeV}^{2}$$

$$H(x,\xi,t)$$

$$H(x,\xi,t)$$

$$\downarrow t=0.1 \text{ GeV}^{2} \cdot Q^{2} = 4 \text{ GeV}^{2}$$

$$H(x,\xi,t)$$

$$H(x,\xi,t)$$

$$\downarrow t=0.1 \text{ GeV}^{2} \cdot Q^{2} = 4 \text{ GeV}^{2}$$

$$H(x,\xi,t)$$

$$H(x,\xi,t$$

In summary...imaging nucleons at the femtoscale

- Knowing the longitudinal (LC) momentum dependence allows us to separate out the transverse plane where Poincarè invariance applies
- ➤ We can then scan the transverse plane by measuring the scattered photon and proton with momentum transfer Δ
- Impact on nucleon and nuclear density distributions
- Impact on equation of state of neutron stars as we explore the core of the neutron with new GW data

A. Mutschler et al., Nature (2017





9/14/18

3. OAM AND OTHER GENERALIZED WANDZURA WILCZEK RELATIONS



Definition: Wigner Distributions

$$L_{q}^{\mathcal{U}} = \int dx \int d^{2}\mathbf{k}_{T} \int d^{2}\mathbf{b} \left(\mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{k}_{T}\right)_{z} \mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{U}}(x, \mathbf{k}_{T}, \mathbf{b}) \xrightarrow{\text{Hatta Burkardt Lorce, Pasquini, Xiong, Yuan Mukherjee, Courtoy, Engelhardt, Rajan SL}$$

Possible Observable for L_a

$$\frac{1}{M} \int d^2k_T k_T^2 F_{14}(x, 0, k_T^2, 0, 0) = \langle b_T \times k_T \rangle_3(x) \qquad \mathsf{L}_q(\mathsf{x})$$

$$\mathsf{k}_T \text{ moment of a GTMD} \qquad \begin{cases} \mathsf{\xi}=0 \\ \mathsf{k}_T \cdot \Delta_T = 0 \\ \Delta_T^2 = 0 \end{cases} \qquad \mathsf{CANIT} \texttt{BE} \texttt{MEASURED} \qquad \mathsf{for a GTME} \texttt{ASURED} \qquad \mathsf{for a GTME} \qquad \mathsf{for a GTME} \texttt{ASURED} \qquad \mathsf{for a GTME} \qquad \mathsf{for a GTME} \texttt{ASURED} \texttt{ASURED} \qquad \mathsf{for a GTME} \qquad \mathsf{for a GTME} \texttt{ASURED} \qquad \mathsf{$$

Is there any observable that we can identify OAM with?

A New Relation



A. Rajan, A. Courtoy, M. Engelhardt, S.L., PRD (2016) arXiv:1601.06117 A. Rajan, M. Engelhardt, S.L., PRD (2018) arXiv:1709.05770

$$\frac{1}{M} \int d^2k_T \, k_T^2 \, F_{14}(x, 0, k_T^2, 0, 0) = - \int_x^1 dy \, \left[\widetilde{E}_{2T} + H + E \right]$$
twist-3 GPD

OAM: twist 2 GTMD

Generalized Lorentz Invariance Relation (LIR)

*Different notation! $G_2 \rightarrow \tilde{E}_{2T} + H + E$ Polyakov et al. Meissner, Metz and Schlegel, JHEP(2009) Quark sector : $J_q = L_q + \frac{1}{2}\Delta\Sigma_q$



Angular Momentum Sum Rule A. Rajan et al, arXiv:1709.05770

$$J_q = L_q + \frac{1}{2}\Delta\Sigma_q$$



Beam Target Spin Correlation: unpolarized quark density in a longitudinally polarized proton



Other correlations: quark and gluon spin-orbit A. Rajan et al, arXiv:1709.05770, PRD



Beam Target Spin Correlation: longitudinally polarized quark density in an unpolarized proton



Interpretation of gauge link

 $M_2(v)$

$$\int dx \int d^2k_T \,\mathcal{M}^{i,S}_{\Lambda'\Lambda} = -gv^- \frac{1}{2P^+} \int_0^1 ds \,\langle p',\Lambda'|\bar{\psi}(0)\gamma^+ U(0,sv)F^{+j}(sv)U(sv,0)\psi(0)|p,\Lambda\rangle$$

$$\int dx \int d^2k_T \,\mathcal{M}^{i,A}_{\Lambda'\Lambda} = -gv^- \frac{1}{2P^+} \int_0^1 ds \,\langle p',\Lambda'|\bar{\psi}(0)\gamma^+\gamma^5 U(0,sv)F^{+i}(sv)U(sv,0)\psi(0)|p,\Lambda\rangle$$
Force acting on quark

Non zero only for staple link

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{M}_{3}(\mathbf{v}^{-}=\mathbf{0}) \\ \int dx \, x \int d^{2}k_{T} \, \mathcal{M}_{\Lambda'\Lambda}^{i,S} &= \frac{ig}{4(P^{+})^{2}} \langle p',\Lambda'|\bar{\psi}(0)\gamma^{+}\gamma^{5}F^{+i}(0)\psi(0)|p,\Lambda\rangle \\ \int dx \, x \int d^{2}k_{T} \, \mathcal{M}_{\Lambda'\Lambda}^{i,A} &= \frac{g}{4(P^{+})^{2}} \epsilon^{ij} \langle p',\Lambda'|\bar{\psi}(0)\gamma^{+}F^{+j}(0)\psi(0)|p,\Lambda\rangle \end{split}$$

A more profound understanding of quark-gluon-quark correlations



- Two types
- Difference between JM and Ji (LIR violating term)

$$L^{JM}(x) - L^{Ji}(x) = \mathcal{M}_{F_{14}} - \mathcal{M}_{F_{14}}|_{v=0} = -\int_{x}^{1} dy \,\mathscr{A}_{F_{14}}(y).$$

Genuine twist 3 term (Generalized Qiu Sterman)

$$\int d^2k_T \, \frac{k_T^2}{M^2} \, F_{14}^{JM} - \int d^2k_T \, \frac{k_T^2}{M^2} \, F_{14}^{Ji} = T_F(x, x, \Delta)$$

An experimental measurement of twist 3 GPDs is sensitive to OAM but it cannot disentangle the difference between JM and Ji decompositions

Relations between gauge links derivatives

$$\frac{d}{dv^{-}}\mathcal{M}^{i,S(n=2)}_{\Lambda\Lambda'}\Big|_{v^{-}=0} = i(2P^{+})\mathcal{M}^{i,A(n=3)}_{\Lambda\Lambda'}$$
$$\frac{d}{dv^{-}}\mathcal{M}^{i,A(n=2)}_{\Lambda\Lambda'}\Big|_{v^{-}=0} = -i(2P^{+})\mathcal{M}^{i,S(n=3)}_{\Lambda}$$

Proton transverse spin configuration

n=2





Work in progress: W. Armstrong, F. Aslan, M. Burkardt, M. Engelhardt, SL

EIC \rightarrow Adding gluons: Present data consistent with L_q<0

$$\frac{1}{2} - (\Delta G + L_g^{JM}) = L_q^{JM} + \frac{1}{2}\Delta \Sigma_q$$



4. A NEW EFFORT

Multi-process, multi-variable analysis

52

Universality

- Deeply Virtual Compton Scattering
- ✓ Deeply Virtual Meson Production
- ✓ Timelike Compton Scattering
- ✓ Double DVCS
- ✓ DVCS, TCS with Recoil Polarization
- ✓ Exclusive DY

(BTW...NEED EIC TO CARRY OUT THIS PROGRAM)

All the channels



Exclusive pion induced DY (EDY), T. Sawada et al., PRD93 (2016) accessible at LHC SPIN → P. Di Nezza's talk







Because we are able to describe it as a GPD, OAM can be disentangled from data

A. Rajan et al, PRD (2016) arXiv:1601.06117 A. Rajan et al, arXiv:1709.05770 How do we detect all this?



New Analysis Groups



- Need to handle unprecedentedly large and varied volumes of data from different sources
- The analyses requirements call for an evolution of the standard physics methodologies.
- Infusion of <u>Data Science</u> methods into the physics analysis workflow provides that evolution.
- No centralized hub!
- White paper with benchmarks is needed!

- Things that I did not mention
- Mass Decomposition (Z. Meziani)
- Chiral Odd Sector (see recent work by W. Cosyn and B.Pire)
- Chiral Odd and BSM searches (S. Baessler, A.Courtoy, O.Elgadawy, SL, and EIC BSM Effort: Y. Furletova)
- Nuclei Jlab ALERT experiment (R. Dupre, W. Armstrong, M. Hattawy, SL...)



Back UP

Correlation function



Unintegrated: GTMDs

$$\mathcal{W}^{[\hat{\Gamma}]} = \int dz^{-} d^{2} \mathbf{z}_{T} e^{i(xP^{+}z^{-} - \mathbf{k}_{T} \cdot \mathbf{z}_{T})} \langle p', \Lambda' \mid \bar{\psi}(0) \hat{\Gamma} \, \mathcal{U}(0, z) \, \psi(z) \mid p, \Lambda \rangle \mid_{z^{+} = 0}$$





Integrated over k_T (gauge link becomes trivial) \rightarrow GPDs

Parametrization of matrix element

Meissner, Metz, Schlegel, JHEP (2009)

Leading twist, chiral even

vector $F_{\Lambda\Lambda'}^{[\gamma^+]} = \frac{1}{2P^+} \bar{U}(p,\Lambda') \left[\gamma^+ H(x,\xi,t) + \frac{i\sigma^{+j}\Delta_j}{2M} E(x,\xi,t) \right] U(p,\Lambda)$ axial-vector $F_{\Lambda\Lambda'}^{[\gamma^+\gamma_5]} = \frac{1}{2P^+} \bar{U}(p,\Lambda') \left[\gamma^+ \gamma_5 \tilde{H}(x,\xi,t) + \frac{\gamma_5 \Delta^+}{2M} E(x,\xi,t) \right] U(p,\Lambda)$