Light DM searches (with xenon detectors)



Christopher M^cCabe arXiv:1702.04730

> LDMA17 La Biodola - Isola d'Elba 26 May 2017

Outline

A theorists perspective on...

- Limitations of standard searches
- Light DM searches:
 - Scattering with electrons
 - Absorption by electrons
 - Polarised atom emission

The standard search



xenon nucleus

The standard search



measure recoil energy of the xenon nucleus

 $E_{\rm vis}^{\rm max} \approx 0.1 \ {\rm keV} \times (m_{\rm DM}/1 \ {\rm GeV})^2$

The standard search: SI+S2

- The measurable signals are termed S1 and S2
 - SI: proportional to #VUV photons
 - S2: proportional to # electrons
 - SI+S2: 3D position reconstruction + background identification



Standard SI+S2: limited by SI efficiency



S2-only (from XENON100)

XE100: 1605.06262

- Advantage: lower threshold (in principle)
- Disadvantage: background ~10⁴ higher



The standard search(es): summary



measure nucleus energy

 $m_{\rm DM} \gtrsim 3 {\rm ~GeV}$

Light DM I: electron scattering

Kopp, Niro, Schwetz, Zupan, 0907.3159 Essig, Mardon, Volansky, 1108.5383, PRD Essig, Manalaysay, Mardon, Sorensen, Volansky, 1206.2644, PRL



Light DM I: electron scattering



•
$$\frac{1}{2}m_{\rm DM}v_{\rm DM}^2 \gtrsim E_{\rm binding}(\sim 12 \text{ eV})$$

 ZEPLIN, XE10 & XE100 all demonstrated single electron extraction (S2 only)

$$m_{\rm DM} \gtrsim 4 {
m MeV}$$

Electron scattering: limits from S2-only



imits from S2-only



Christopher M^cCabe, King's College London 12

Light DM 2: absorption



Light DM 2: absorption



Christopher M^cCabe, King's College London 14

Light DM 3: polarised atom emission

Kouvaris & Pradler 1607.01789, PRL



Light DM 3: polarised atom emission

Kouvaris & Pradler 1607.01789, PRL



Light DM 3: polarised atom emission

Kouvaris & Pradler 1607.01789, PRL



Polarised atom emission: a detectable signal



Photon energy is detectable:

$$\omega^{\rm max} \approx 3 \, {\rm keV} \cdot (m_{\rm DM}/1 \, {\rm GeV})$$

Nuclear recoil below threshold:

 $E_{\rm R}^{\rm max} \approx 0.1 \ {\rm keV} \cdot (m_{\rm DM}/1 \ {\rm GeV})^2$

Polarised atom emission: the catch



Polarised atom emission: energy spectrum



Polarised atom emission: S2-only







Polarised atom emission: SI+S2



Signal vs. LUX data

CM 1702.04730



Polarised atom emission: limits

Polarised atom emission: LUX

Polarised atom emission: LUX

Polarised atom emission: LZ

Polarised atom emission: LZ

The parameters of sub-GeV DM could be reconstructed with LZ!

Light DM: The Future...

...many ideas to significantly improve current sensitivity

Light Dark Matter in Superfluid Helium:

Detection with Multi-excitation Production

Simon Knapen,^{1,2} Tongyan $\mathrm{Lin},^{1,2}$ and Kathryn M. Zurek^ 1,2

Absorption of light dark matter in semiconductors

Yonit Hochberg,* Tongyan Lin,
† and Kathryn M. Zurek \ddagger

Directional Detection of Dark Matter with 2D Targets

Yonit Hochberg^{1,2},* Yonatan Kahn³,[†] Mariangela Lisanti³,[‡] Christopher G. Tully³,[§] and Kathryn M. Zurek^{1,2}¶

Searching for Dark Absorption with Direct Detection Experiments

Itay M. Bloch,^{1, *} Rouven Essig,^{2, †} Kohsaku Tobioka,^{1, 3, ‡} Tomer Volansky,^{1, §} and Tien-Tien Yu^{2, ¶}

Magnetic Bubble Chambers and Sub-GeV Dark Matter Direct Detection

Philip C. Bunting,^{1,*} Giorgio Gratta,^{2,†} Tom Melia,^{3,4,5,‡} and Surjeet Rajendran^{3,§}

Dark Matter Direct Detection with Accelerometers

Peter W. Graham,¹ David E. Kaplan,^{1, 2, 3, 4} Jeremy Mardon,¹ Surjeet Rajendran,³ and William A. Terrano^{5, 6}

Direct Detection of Light Dark Matter and Solar Neutrinos via Color Center Production in Crystals

Ranny Budnik Ori Chesnovsky Oren Slone and Tomer Volansky

Detecting Ultralight Bosonic Dark Matter via Absorption in Superconductors

Yonit Hochberg,¹ Tongyan Lin ,¹ and Kathryn M. Zurek¹

Direct Detection of sub-GeV Dark Matter with Semiconductor Targets

Rouven Essig, ^a Marivi Fernández-Serra, ^{b,c} Jeremy Mardon, ^d Adrián Soto, ^{b,c} Tomer Volansky, ^e Tien-Tien Yu^a

Detection of sub-GeV Dark Matter and Solar Neutrinos via Chemical-Bond Breaking

Rouven Essig,^{*a*} Jeremy Mardon,^{*b*} Oren Slone,^{*c*} Tomer Volansky^{*c*}

Summary

• Existing Xenon detectors can probe a wide range of masses:

Upcoming optimised searches can go much further

Extra slides

LUX leads the way in sensitivity... and in calibrating their detector

Nuclear recoil calibration to 0.7 keV

Christopher M^cCabe - King's College London

LUX leads the way in sensitivity... and in calibrating their detector

Nuclear recoil calibration to 0.7 keV... and plans to go lower (150 eV?)

LZ TDR arXiv:1703.09144

LUX leads the way in sensitivity... and in calibrating

Electronic recoil calibration to 1.3 keV (tritium)

0.8

Christopher M^cCabe - King's College London

LUX leads the way in sensitivity... and in calibrating their detector

Electronic recoil calibration to 1.3 keV (tritium) 0.19 keV (127-Xe)

Christopher M^cCabe - King's College London

LUX leads the way in sensitivity... and in calibrating their detector

Electronic recoil calibration to 1.3 keV (tritium) 0.19 keV (127-Xe) 0.27 keV (37-Ar)

Christopher M^cCabe - King's College London

LUX leads the way in sensitivity... and in calibrating their detector

Electronic recoil calibration to 1.3 keV (tritium) 0.19 keV (127-Xe) 0.27 keV (37-Ar)

Christopher M^cCabe - King's College London