## Beam Dump Experiments at JLab and SLAC

- Brief History (E137 at SLAC)
- BDX at Jefferson Lab
  - Detector and signal
  - Backgrounds
- Expected Sensitivity

Elton S. Smith, Jefferson Lab On behalf of the BDX Collaboration Light Dark Matter at Accelerators – May 24-28, 2017



## **SLAC E137 Beam Dump**

"Search for neutral metastable penetrating particles"

- Axions
- Photinos
- EM calorimeter and multi-wire proportional chambers
- Masses < 100 MeV, small production  $\sigma$ , long lifetime



Bjorken PRD 38 (1988) 3375



FIG. 2. Layout of SLAC experiment E137.

### **SLAC E137 – LDMA limits**



## **SLAC E137 – LDMA limits**



## **Beam Dump Experiments**

Izaguirre PRD 88 (2013) 114015

 $\sqrt{\alpha_n}$ 

- Parasitic to experimental program. Use electrons that are otherwise thrown away
- Produce "invisible decays" of heavy photon (Beam Dump)



- Detect dark matter particle interaction (Experiment Detector)
- Signature is EM shower E > 0.5 GeV

$$y = \epsilon^{2} \alpha_{D} (m_{\chi}/m_{A'})^{4}$$

$$Yield \sim y^{2} \times \frac{1}{\alpha_{D}} \times \left(\frac{m_{A'}}{m_{\chi}}\right)^{4}$$

$$(m_{A'} > 2 m_{\chi})$$
efferson Lab
Eton S. Smith LDMA 2017 May 24-28, 2017

## **Kinematics**



- Main features follow from thin-target kinematics and e<sup>-</sup> energy loss and secondary emission in dump
- A' emitted with forward kinematics,  $E_{A'} \sim E_{beam}$
- High-energy  $\chi$  beam strongly focused along primary beam direction
- e- in dump: lower electrons in shower contributes broadening of  $\boldsymbol{\chi}$  kinematics
- $\chi$ -e<sup>-</sup> elastic scattering detected in detector with E<sub>shower</sub> > 0.5 GeV



### **Jefferson Lab site**



#### Upgrade Goals

- Accelerator: 6 GeV  $\Rightarrow$  12 GeV
- Halls A,B,C: e<sup>-</sup> <11 GeV, < 100 μA</li>
- Hall D:  $e^-$  12 GeV  $\Rightarrow \gamma$ -beam

Jefferson Lab

Upgrade Status

99.7% Complete



### **Jefferson Lab site**



#### Upgrade Goals

- Accelerator: 6 GeV  $\Rightarrow$  12 GeV
- Halls A,B,C: e<sup>-</sup> <11 GeV, < 100 μA</li>
- Hall D:  $e^-$  12 GeV  $\Rightarrow \gamma$ -beam

Upgrade Status

99.7% Complete





## Location of BDX at JLab

- Highest beam current ~ 65  $\mu$ A
- Integrated charge ~ 10<sup>22</sup> EOT (41 weeks)
- E<sub>beam</sub> up to 11 GeV
- New underground facility ~\$1.5M



## **Location of BDX at JLab**

- Highest beam current ~ 65 μA
- Integrated charge ~ 10<sup>22</sup> EOT (41 weeks)
- E<sub>beam</sub> up to 11 GeV
- New underground facility ~\$1.5M



## Detector

- Signal requirements
  - Sensitivity to GeV EM showers
  - Low thresholds
  - Compact footprint and good segmentation
- Background rejection
  - High efficiency, fast timing
  - Active veto
  - Passive veto

Plastic scintillator Lead Plastic scintillator

Crystal based detector

- BUT... multiple detectors can be stacked behind each other!
- Add complementarity with DRIFT
  - Completely different technology and sensitivities
  - Directionality

#### See this session: Dan Snowden-Ifft



## **BDX inner detector**

BDX detector: state-of-the-art EM calorimeter, CsI(TI) crystals with SiPM-based readout. Possibility to re-use existing BaBar CsI(TI) crystals (informal agreement already discussed) Detector design:

- $\simeq$  800 CsI(Tl) crystals, total interaction volume  $\simeq 0.5m^3$
- Modular detector: change front-face dimesions and total lenght by re-arranging crystals

Arrangement:

- 1 module: 10x10 crystals, 30-cm long. Front face: 50x50 cm<sup>2</sup>
- 8 modules: interaction length 2.6 m

Signal:

- EM-shower,  $E_{thr} \simeq 300$  MeV, anti-coincidence with IV and OV
- Efficiency (conservative): O(10%) refined cuts on EM shower directionality can improve this



![](_page_11_Picture_11.jpeg)

A. Celentano

![](_page_11_Picture_13.jpeg)

## **BDX** active veto

Active veto requirements: high efficiency for charged particles detection, hermeticity, compactness

**Technology:** two layers of plastic scintillator counters, made of different paddles, each read by WLS fibers + SiPMs (IV) / PMTs (OV). 5-cm lead vault between two layers to shield photons

#### R&D:

- Veto efficiency for charged particles measured with cosmics-ray setup, in different positions:  $\overline{\varepsilon} > 99\%$
- On-going effort to replace light guides by slim wavelength-shifting plastics to reduce dead spaces and simplify mechanical supports

![](_page_12_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_7.jpeg)

## Signal: $\chi$ interaction in detector

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_3.jpeg)

Signal Efficiency ~ 20% for E<sub>thresh</sub> > 0.3 GeV

Parameters:  $M_{\chi}$ =10 MeV,  $m_{A'}$ =100 MeV

#### **Cosmic-ray Backgrounds**

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

5. Smith LDMA 2017 May 24-28, 2017

#### **Cosmic-ray Backgrounds**

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

## **Detector simulations (GEANT4 and FLUKA)**

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

## **Beam Backgrounds**

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

## **Estimated neutrino fluxes at the detector**

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Expect < 10  $v_e$  background interactions for 10<sup>22</sup> EOT
- There are 10 times more  $v_{\mu}$  interactions, but they are identifiable and can be used to normalize the  $\nu$  rate.

**Jefferson Lab** 

## Test plan to measure muon flux

- We have a test plan to measure the muon flux behind the existing Hall A beam dump.
- The measurements will validate MC and help understand backgrounds

![](_page_19_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_5.jpeg)

## **Background summary**

#### Cosmic-ray Backgrounds

- Measured (beam-off) and subtracted
- Several meters of overburden
- Time uncorrelated (CW beam prevents fast time coincidence)

Solution: Measurements with BDX prototype and expected overburden, extrapolation to Jlab. Measured during experiment and beam-off

- Beam-related Backgrounds
  - Detection thresholds define the background level
  - Charged particles easy to shield, neutrals more difficult
  - Low-energy particles are below threshold

#### Solution: Heavy Shielding Simulations for irreducible backgrounds

Beam-related background	
Energy threshold	N <sub>ν</sub> (285 days)
300 MeV	~10 counts

For  $E_{thresh}$ >0.3 GeV v are ultimate background

![](_page_20_Picture_13.jpeg)

Cosmic sensitivity	
Energy threshold	√Bg (285 days)
300 MeV	<2 counts

### **BDX Reach**

 $10^{-4}$ 

10-5

10

10-

 $\epsilon^2$ 

 $(g-2)_{\mu} > 5\sigma$ 

 $(g-2)_{\mu} \pm 2\sigma$ 

Leptophilic Inelastic DM,  $m_{\gamma} = 10 \text{ MeV}$ ,  $\Delta = 50 \text{ MeV}$ ,  $\alpha_D = 0.1$ 

- BDX can be conclusive for some Light Dark Matter scenarios
- The BDX sensitivity has been evaluated assuming 10<sup>22</sup> EOT

![](_page_21_Figure_3.jpeg)

## **Summary and Status**

- Beam-dump experiments are sensitive to invisible decays of dark photons, which probe regions of the parameter space that are not covered by visible decays.
- Beam-dump experiments at electron facilities have significantly reduced neutrino backgrounds compared to hadron beams
- The BDX experiment is conditionally approved to run parasitically at Jefferson Lab for 41 weeks at ~11 GeV, which will allow it to collect ~10<sup>22</sup> electrons on target.

![](_page_22_Picture_4.jpeg)

# Backup Slides

![](_page_23_Picture_1.jpeg)

## **Visible vs Invisible: Complementarity**

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

**Jefferson Lab** 

Elton S. Smith LDMA 2017 May 24-28 , 2017

#### **Invisible decay sensitivity**

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

Figure 35: Same as Fig. 34 only here  $m_{\chi} = 68$  MeV and we adopt  $\alpha_D = 0.1$  and  $\alpha_D = \alpha_{EM}$  for the two panels. This choice of  $m_{\chi}$  represents the kinematic limit beyond which LSND can no longer produce pairs of  $\chi$  via  $\pi^0 \to \chi \chi$ . Note that for  $m_{A'} < 2m_{\chi}$  the dark photon will no longer decay to DM pairs and may be constrained **Jeffer** by visible searches, but this is model dependent.

#### **Inelastic DM scenario**

A' Production in Target

![](_page_26_Figure_2.jpeg)

iDM Scattering in Detector  $\chi_1 \qquad \chi_2 \qquad \chi_2 \qquad \chi_1 \qquad \chi_1 \qquad \chi_1 \qquad \chi_2 \qquad \chi_2 \qquad \chi_2 \qquad \chi_1 \qquad \chi_2 \qquad \chi_1 \qquad \chi_2 \qquad \qquad \chi_2 \qquad \chi_2$ 

 $Z,p,n,e^-$ 

Figure 5: Top: Same as Fig. 2, but for an *inelastic* Majorana DM scenario in which the A' decays to a pair of different mass eigenstates. The unstable  $\chi_2$  decays in flight, so the flux at the detector is dominated by  $\chi_1$  states which upscatter off electron, nucleon, and nuclear targets (bottom) to regenerate the  $\chi_2$  state. Subsequently, the  $\chi_2$  promptly de-excites in a 3-body  $\chi_2 \rightarrow \chi_1 e^+ e^-$  process, depositing significant  $\sim$  GeV scale electromagnetic signal inside the BDX detector.

#### **Signal detection**

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_0.jpeg)

C1 elevation for BDX

![](_page_29_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)