R&D on Small-pad Micromegas for the Phase II upgrade of the ATLAS Muon Spectrometer



E. Rossi on behalf of the ATLAS Muon Collaboration INFN Roma Tre, Italy; Università di Roma Tre, Italy



IFAE 2017-XVI Incontri di Fisica della Alte Energie

Introduction

In view of the ATLAS Phase II Upgrade, a proposal to extend the detector acceptance of the muon system to large η (up to $|\eta| \sim 4$) is being considered. The extension of the muon detection, together with the extension of the inner detector to the same range in n, has been demonstrated to enhance "physics" performance [1]. The aim of the new detector is to tag muons, relying on the combination with the inner detector track for the momentum measurement. The new Large-n Muon Tagger should cope with extremely high particle rate, dominated by background hits up to about 10 MHz/cm² in the most forward region.

In order to minimize the occupancy, pixel or small pad readout are needed. Micro-Pattern-Gaseous-Detectors is a suitable technology for this purpose.

We present the development of resistive Micromegas with O(mm²) pad readout aiming at precision tracking in high rate environment without efficiency loss up to several MHz/cm². A first prototype has been designed, constructed and tested. It consists of a matrix of 48x16 pads. Each pad with rectangular shape with a pitch of 1 and 3 mm in the two coordinates. The active surface of this prototype is 4.8x4.8 cm² with a total number of 768 channels.



Characterization and performance studies of the detector have been carried out by means of radioactive sources, X-Rays, cosmic rays and test beam.

| Physics Implications | Requirements for the Large-η Muon Tagger | Development of Small Pad Resistive Micromegas |
|--|--|---|
| The extended coverage associated with this upgrade can be valuable for reconstructing low-mass final states whose decay products are produced with a very broad η distribution. It also extends the η -coverage for vetoing additional leptons from backgrounds. Examples of physics processes that would profit from large η are [1]: • Increased acceptance for $H \rightarrow ZZ \rightarrow \mu\mu\mu\mu \sim 15\%$ • Additional lepton veto for $ZW^+ \rightarrow \mu\mu\nu$ background to $W^+W^+ \rightarrow \mu l$ $\ell^+\ell^- \ell^+ _{VS} \ell^+\ell^+ \Delta\mu/\mu - 13\%$ | The main requirements necessary to achieve the "physics" performance are: ✓ Reconstruct a muon segment after the calorimeter; ✓ Match with an ITK track (position, angle): determination of the muon p_T; ✓ Operation at ~1-10 MHz/cm² at R=25 cm; ✓ Position resolution: few 100 µm; ✓ Angular resolution ~ 10 mrad; ✓ Requirements (greatly) relayed at large P | The main problem of first generation Micromegas, the discharges with high flux of highly ionizing particles, has been overcome in recent years during the development phase for the Micromegas for the ATLAS upgrade with the implementation of a layer of resistive strips facing the amplification gap [3]. Principle of operation of a resistive strip Micromegas Drift Cathode Drift Electrode Conversion/Drift Gop Drift Electrode Conversion/Drift Gop Drift Electrode Mesh Mesh |





PRELIMINARY analysis of the data taken with X-Ray Source few weeks ago:

• Detector current in the amplification gap VS current of the (Cu) X-Ray gun

 Many checks still ongoing (i.e. Linearity of the X-ray gun Vs Rates, X-ray spot-size, etc...) Measurements of Linearity vs High Rate



References

[1] ATLAS Collaboration, ATLAS Phase-II Upgrade Scoping Document;

[2] ATLAS Collaboration, Expected Performance of the ATLAS Inner Tracker at the High-Luminosity LHC, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2016-025,06 Oct 2016;

[3] T. Alexopoulos et al., A spark-resistant bulk-MicrOMEGAs chamber for high-rate applications, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. **A 640** (2011) 110;

[4] M. Alviggi et al., Small-pads resistive micromegas, 2017_JINST_12_C03077;

- [5] F. Thibaud et al., Performance of large pixelised MicrOMEGAs detectors in the COMPASS environment, 2014 JINST 9 C02005;
- [6] C. Adloff et al., Construction and test of a 1x1 m2 Micromegas chamber for sampling hadron calorimetry at future lepton colliders, Nucl. Inst. Meth. A 729 (2013) 90–101;

[7] M. Raymond, et al., Nuclear Science Symposium Conference Record 2 (2000) 9.

Open Issues and Outlook



We want to acknowledge for the valuable support:

the CERN MPT Workshop.